Central Nodes in Protein Interaction Networks Drive Critical Functions in Transforming Growth Factor Beta-1 Stimulated Kidney Cells

Reyhaneh Rabieian, M.Sc.^{1#}, Maryam Abedi, M.Sc.^{1#}, Yousof Gheisari, M.D., Ph.D.^{1, 2*}

1. Department of Genetics and Molecular Biology, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran 2. Regenerative Medicine Lab, Isfahan Kidney Diseases Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

*Corresponding Address: P.O.Box: 8174673461, Department of Genetics and Molecular Biology, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran Email: ygheisari@med.mui.aci.ir

*The first two authors equally contributed to this manuscript.

Received: 27/Sep/2015, Accepted: 17/Mar/2016 Abstract

Objective: Despite the huge efforts, chronic kidney disease (CKD) remains as an unsolved problem in medicine. Many studies have shown a central role for transforming growth factor beta-1 (TGF β -1) and its downstream signaling cascades in the pathogenesis of CKD. In this study, we have reanalyzed a microarray dataset to recognize critical signaling pathways controlled by TGF β -1.

Materials and Methods: This study is a bioinformatics reanalysis for a microarray data. The GSE23338 dataset was downloaded from the gene expression omnibus (GEO) database which assesses the mRNA expression profile of TGF β -1 treated human kidney cells after 24 and 48 hours incubation. The protein interaction networks for differentially expressed (DE) genes in both time points were constructed and enriched. In addition, by network topology analysis, genes with high centrality were identified and then pathway enrichment analysis was performed with either the total network genes or with the central nodes.

Results: We found 110 and 170 genes differentially expressed in the time points 24 and 48 hours, respectively. As the genes in each time point had few interactions, the networks were enriched by adding previously known genes interacting with the differentially expressed ones. In terms of degree, betweenness, and closeness centrality parameters 62 and 60 nodes were considered to be central in the enriched networks of 24 hours and 48 hours treatment, respectively. Pathway enrichment analysis with the central nodes was more informative than those with all network nodes or even initial DE genes, revealing key signaling pathways.

Conclusion: We introduced a method for the analysis of microarray data that integrates the expression pattern of genes with their topological properties in protein interaction networks. This holistic novel approach allows extracting knowledge from raw bulk *omics* data.

Keywords: Chronic Kidney Disease, Microarray Analysis, Protein Interaction Maps, Systems Biology, Transforming Growth Factor Beta-1

Cell Journal(Yakhteh), Vol 18, No 4, Jan-Mar (Winter) 2017, Pages: 514-531

Citation: Rabieian R, Abedi M, Gheisari Y. Central nodes in protein interaction networks drive critical functions in transforming growth factor beta-1 stimulated kidney cells. Cell J. 2017; 18(4): 514-531.

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a public health problem and a leading cause of death. Despite using current therapies to slow progression of CKD, respective patients are still reaching the end stage renal disease (ESRD) at alarming proportions (1). The histological feature of this debilitating disorder is excessive deposition of extra-cellular matrix (ECM) defined as renal fibrosis. Recent studies declared that transforming growth factor beta-1 (TGF β -1) is the major driver of fibrosis in kidney, stimulating a variety of signaling pathways related to deposition of ECM components (2). In spite of enormous researches on the role of TGF β -1 and

downstream elements in the progression of CKD (3, 4), few studies have employed holistic and computational methods for investigation of kidney disorders. Among these studies, there is an elegant report presented by Jin et al. (5) who employed gene regulatory network concepts to analyze high-throughput gene expression data. They could predict and experimentally validate HIPK2 as a potential drug target in HIV-associated nephropathy.

In this study, we propose a holistic approach to investigate the molecular interactions and signaling pathways in response to TGFβ-1 stimulation in human kidney cells. A microarray dataset has been generated by Walsh et al. (6) that examines the expression profile of human tubular epithelial cells before and after treatment with TGF_β-1 for 24 and 48 hours. However, they only focused on the few top differentially expressed (DE) genes including GREM1, JAG1 and HES1. They identified Notch signaling as a critical pathway in diabetic nephropathy. In the current study, we introduced a new method for the analysis of the same microarray dataset that integrated the expression pattern of genes with their topological location in the gene interaction network. Using this strategy, we could infer more informative signaling pathways related to TGF_β-1 stimulation. This approach could also be employed for other large data to improve our understanding of biological processes by extracting remarkable concepts from bulk omics data.

Materials and Methods

Microarray data

This study is a bioinformatics analysis of GSE23338 dataset, originally generated by Walsh et al. (6). mRNA expression profile was downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (7). In this microarray experiment, transcriptional response of human proximal tubule epithelial cells (HK-2) to TGF β -1 stimulation after 24 and 48 hours was assessed. Using GEO2R tool of GEO, the TGF β -1 treated cells (24 or 48 hours) were compared to untreated HK-2 cells. Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate method was applied for P value

adjustment. Genes with adjusted P \leq 0.05 were considered as differentially expressed.

Protein-protein interaction network

Using CluePedia plugin (8) of the Cytoscape software version 3.1.0 (9), a protein-protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed for the DE genes in time point of 24 hours or 48 hours. Topology of networks was analyzed by the NetworkAnalyzer tool of Cytoscape software.

Pathway enrichment analysis

Pathway enrichment analysis for DE genes was carried out using ClueGO plugin (10) of Cytoscape. In this analysis, KEGG and Reactome databases were chosen for retrieving data and network specificity was adjusted to medium. Bonferroni step down was applied for P value adjustment and pathways with adjusted $P \le 0.05$ were chosen.

Results

In this study, we reanalyzed the GSE23338 microarray dataset assessing mRNA expression profile of HK-2 cells after 24 and 48 hours of treatment with TGF_β-1. Analysis by GEO2R revealed that 110 genes after 24 hours and 170 genes after 48 hours were differentially expressed with adjusted P≤0.05 (Table 1). To investigate the interaction between variably expressed genes, a network was constructed for each time point. Although different kind of interactions (activation, post-translational modification, expression and binding) were allowed to be shown, unexpectedly, few interactions were appeared in both networks (Fig.1A, B). To infer pathways related to the DE genes and understand the down-stream processes controlled by TGFβ-1, pathway enrichment analysis was performed, showing only 12 pathways for 24 hours (Fig.1C) and 10 pathways for 48 hours treatments (Fig.1D), with few connections between the signaling pathways.

The scarcity of interactions in PPI and pathway networks was not unexpected, as they were derived from mRNA microarray data which can only detect genes with altered mRNA level, thus regulated genes at other levels were missed. Hence, to predict other role players, we enriched both PPI networks by adding one interacting node for each gene. This resulted in expansion from 110 to 199 nodes for 24 hours (Fig.2A) and from 170 to 301 nodes for 48 hours treatment (Fig.2B). PPI networks were reconstructed with the same parameters applied initially. To determine the most central genes in these enriched networks, their topology was assessed by graph theory measures such as degree, betweenness centrality, and closeness centrality. In each network, the genes were sorted based on each of these features. Then, the top 20% genes in 24 hours treatment and 15% genes with higher rank in 48 hours were chosen. Because of overlapping nodes between the above three centrality parameters, a total of 62 genes in time point of 24 hours (Table 2) and 60 genes in time point of 48 hours (Table 3) were finally selected. Again, pathway enrichment analysis was performed with either the central genes or the total genes in these two enriched networks. The central genes in time points 24 and 48 hours networks were related to 29 and 49 pathways, respectively (Fig.3). These pathways were strongly related to CKD and formed a deeply connected network in both time points. Interestingly, pathway enrichment analysis with the total enriched networks genes, only determined 16 and 18 pathways for time points of 24 and 48 hours, respectively. These pathways were less inter-connected compared to those derived from the central genes (Fig.4).

Pathway enrichment analysis with the central genes predicted Notch, TNF, P53, Activin and TGF β signaling as well as platelet-related pathways, affected after TGF β -1 treatment in both 24 and 48 hours. However, Hippo, PDGF and FGFR signaling pathways were enriched only in the second time point.

	Time 24			Time 48	
Genes	adj.P.Val	logFC	Genes	adj.P.Val	logFC
GDF15	0.012817	-4.03492	GDF15	0.004294	-3.77276
CRYM	0.046546	-3.35307	CRYM	0.020195	-3.74094
SCNN1A	0.012817	-3.19552	CD9	0.000557	-3.3273
CD9	0.003455	-2.96886	SCNN1A	0.006484	-2.86473
RBM47	0.012817	-2.96538	RBM47	0.010066	-2.73215
MAL	0.012817	-2.6579	MAL	0.007941	-2.72193
HLF	0.033274	-2.44538	AREG	0.014332	-2.71598
DEPTOR	0.011983	-2.38064	HLF	0.021497	-2.52256
IMPA2	0.002857	-2.22728	PLA1A	0.007423	-2.46499
RTEL1	0.003588	-2.11992	PDZK1IP1	0.026161	-2.45799
MEGF9	0.03429	-2.04315	DUSP5	0.005251	-2.37922
GSE1	0.011894	-2.04015	ACSL1	0.003583	-2.36964
ELOVL6	0.004534	-2.02884	DEPTOR	0.014818	-2.23285

Table 1: Differentially expressed genes in time 24 hours and 48 hours with adjusted P≤0.05. The genes are sorted by log2 of fold change (LogFC)

	Table 1: Continued					
	Time 24			Time 48		
Genes	adj.P.Val	logFC	Genes	adj.P.Val	logFC	
BIRC3	0.012817	-1.98537	DEFB1	0.001178	-2.1258	
SLC17A3	0.006063	-1.96502	IMPA2	0.001964	-2.11942	
SULT1C2	0.045879	-1.93073	HLA-DMB	0.036113	-2.11004	
DUSP6	0.018789	-1.93001	FXYD2	0.002471	-2.09686	
CEBPD	0.015951	-1.89181	RTEL1	0.003148	-1.99502	
DEFB1	0.003455	-1.87388	CLDN1	0.002102	-1.9428	
ACSL1	0.003455	-1.84878	BIRC3	0.008587	-1.93307	
PLA1A	0.030906	-1.79724	SULT1C2	0.028474	-1.89456	
DUSP5	0.011894	-1.78577	FAS	0.040775	-1.84699	
CA12	0.011983	-1.70822	CEBPD	0.014469	-1.81201	
CLDN1	0.006732	-1.69617	SLC17A3	0.010066	-1.78837	
PDZK1IP1	0.031449	-1.66723	LY6E	0.003332	-1.70064	
ADAMTS3	0.009793	-1.64873	SERPINA1	0.021497	-1.68148	
CDKN2AIP	0.047829	-1.62696	SLCO4A1	0.03808	-1.67053	
GULP1	0.049153	-1.55674	SOD2	0.003686	-1.65771	
ACVR1B	0.019538	-1.47953	TSPAN1	0.011747	-1.65484	
ID2	0.018571	-1.45204	PLIN2	0.026161	-1.62099	
EPAS1	0.049153	-1.42294	MEGF9	0.024224	-1.61932	
SOD2	0.016073	-1.41158	RAB20	0.026161	-1.59433	
ANXA4	0.047613	-1.37096	CLU	0.002471	-1.54936	
RAB20	0.015265	-1.34593	SLC4A4	0.03487	-1.50061	

Table 1: Continued					
	Time 24			Time 48	
Genes	adj.P.Val	logFC	Genes	adj.P.Val	logFC
MMD	0.030004	-1.33753	GULP1	0.047026	-1.46306
CLU	0.01997	-1.32415	EPAS1	0.038561	-1.42677
BDNF	0.018571	-1.26903	ACVR1B	0.013621	-1.3911
EPCAM	0.015265	-1.26628	GPRC5C	0.026161	-1.34555
NR2F2	0.044918	-1.26334	GSE1	0.041643	-1.32532
TMEM159	0.047829	-1.25784	LRRC61	0.020785	-1.32277
FAS	0.019538	-1.23999	ANXA4	0.038789	-1.31199
LY6E	0.014942	-1.20673	CDKN2AIP	0.03949	-1.30584
LRRC61	0.033972	-1.17462	MMD	0.021485	-1.29784
PPP2R5A	0.023781	-1.16917	PPP2R5A	0.019989	-1.25554
SERPINA1	0.039821	-1.09323	NR2F2	0.012081	-1.22902
IL24	0.011983	-1.09102	GLRX	0.035692	-1.22902
HGD	0.019538	-1.08015	SERPINA6	0.00653	-1.22661
ELF3	0.026977	-1.07437	EMP1	0.030041	-1.22491
GCH1	0.032261	-1.0672	МАРКАРКЗ	0.037211	-1.20559
ALDH5A1	0.030004	-1.05748	IF130	0.039032	-1.1775
FXYD2	0.020961	-1.02587	EPCAM	0.014332	-1.17347
TRIM38	0.043165	-0.92721	SYS1-DBNDD2	0.039499	-1.16256
NHLRC2	0.018571	-0.92091	ADAMTS3	0.014586	-1.12871
TBL1X	0.040887	-0.88595	SHMT1	0.036579	-1.12397
LAD1	0.04193	-0.87726	GGT2	0.007492	-1.10696
GLRX	0.035216	-0.87251	LAD1	0.014332	-1.09515
TPM1	0.030916	0.782848	FOSL1	0.023626	-1.08872
AMIGO2	0.032261	0.803279	ELF3	0.022045	-1.078
MISP	0.030916	0.808838	ID2	0.03219	-1.07757
ACLY	0.030778	0.809032	SMAD3	0.042933	-1.05481
FN1	0.03429	0.860918	IL24	0.030041	-1.03178
LYPD1	0.046955	0.922314	SH2B2	0.020195	-1.00971
RALA	0.030004	0.95394	DUSP6	0.038561	-0.98235
EFNB2	0.030004	0.9589	ITPR3	0.021485	-0.9804
SMURF2	0.044772	1.000129	PDLIM1	0.044481	-0.96321
TFPI2	0.019538	1.042945	ALDH5A1	0.019989	-0.95377
MARCH3	0.026013	1.048251	FAM3C	0.039499	-0.93464
NREP	0.031449	1.121914	REPIN1	0.038561	-0.9095

Table 1: Continued					
	Time 24			Time 48	
Genes	adj.P.Val	logFC	Genes	adj.P.Val	logFC
LTBP2	0.015265	1.133197	GGT1	0.036579	-0.893
PLEK2	0.025143	1.137329	ANXA1	0.03141	-0.8635
RFTN1	0.014768	1.141252	UXS1	0.037211	-0.78881
PRPS1	0.021243	1.212761	HGD	0.039499	-0.77866
ADA	0.012817	1.214286	TBL1X	0.028029	-0.76181
TNS1	0.027064	1.276677	MGLL	0.039499	-0.75719
COL1A1	0.044918	1.349036	GNPDA1	0.028029	-0.75096
LAMC2	0.015265	1.448205	PAX8	0.031546	-0.73263
CREB3L1	0.004425	1.453935	TRIM38	0.026161	-0.69388
TSPAN13	0.030916	1.468138	PROSC	0.047627	-0.68991
F3	0.049854	1.537792	TPM1	0.045542	0.614444
AKAP12	0.030004	1.541307	ARL4C	0.038561	0.67729
HES1	0.015265	1.549119	IFNGR2	0.045542	0.695846
SGK1	0.006063	1.584326	RFTN1	0.037889	0.727815
PAX6	0.014768	1.602106	ACLY	0.021485	0.74412
GREM1	0.004818	1.607941	EFNB2	0.026486	0.789092
PTHLH	0.018571	1.651867	CLTCL1	0.043748	0.805174
SLN	0.030916	1.66995	SMURF2	0.019989	0.813175
ADAM19	0.046955	1.673182	FAM208B	0.038561	0.815648
TUFT1	0.01997	1.708363	TPM4	0.036579	0.816674
PPP1R13L	0.044622	1.715701	PLEK2	0.040607	0.838742
VEGFC	0.006732	1.731189	FHOD3	0.043748	0.840283
GPR56	0.005222	1.757315	CADM1	0.014818	0.842324
LRP4	0.006732	1.839036	DLC1	0.035692	0.861077
SIK1	0.028431	1.847404	ELK3	0.037211	0.866603
C1orf106	0.014768	1.852771	AMIGO2	0.013633	0.891177
КСПКЗ	0.019891	1.928548	PGRMC2	0.038561	0.892116
WNT5B	0.015265	1.950651	RAB32	0.039499	0.911187
SNAI2	0.021356	1.996987	UAP1	0.02966	0.914231
GALNT10	0.022735	2.016561	SKIL	0.037889	0.927445
GADD45B	0.005222	2.081882	MAGED2	0.047466	0.933606
FSTL3	0.006871	2.18737	DYRK2	0.045542	0.941228
WNT5A	0.015265	2.199978	PALLD	0.039499	0.960395
SCG5	0.006063	2.421762	MKL1	0.012081	0.986708

	Table 1: Continued					
	Time 24			Time 48		
Genes	adj.P.Val	logFC	Genes	adj.P.Val	logFC	
TGFBI	0.010068	2.585222	MARCH3	0.039989	1.008954	
TP53I3	0.018571	2.591672	LTBP2	0.007423	1.013795	
IL11	0.006063	2.680544	GABARAPL1	0.026161	1.018263	
PMEPA1	0.002821	2.69133	TFPI2	0.045542	1.023787	
TAGLN	0.015265	2.807473	NOV	0.03219	1.037359	
SLCO2A1	0.002821	2.969782	NUAK1	0.010962	1.041704	
INHBA	0.006732	3.742935	SLC22A4	0.021701	1.057375	
JAG1	0.012993	4.819474	PDLIM7	0.036579	1.075928	
			SEMA3C	0.040214	1.084533	
			PRPS1	0.018933	1.090259	
			COL4A1	0.014469	1.103866	
			NREP	0.013884	1.110733	
			LYPD1	0.028474	1.112816	
			TCF4	0.044016	1.140686	
			GADD45B	0.047627	1.201497	
			INPP4B	0.003583	1.212552	
			SGK1	0.010594	1.225169	
			IL15	0.036579	1.22672	
			MAP3K4	0.028944	1.263727	
			TUFT1	0.037211	1.284833	
			SPARC	0.019989	1.288601	
			COL7A1	0.00653	1.297757	
			ADAM12	0.008731	1.356895	
			CREB3L1	0.003148	1.386727	
			PTHLH	0.013101	1.415775	
			ADAM19	0.026161	1.427201	
			IGF1R	0.047026	1.47119	
			ARHGEF40	0.01087	1.471459	
			WNT5B	0.037889	1.474394	
			C1orf106	0.018696	1.482021	
			FSTL3	0.010621	1.530293	
			LRP4	0.019989	1.533742	
			NEDD9	0.040607	1.541275	
			HES1	0.019989	1.573046	

	Table 1: Continued					
	Time 24			Time 48		
Genes	adj.P.Val	logFC	Genes	adj.P.Val	logFC	
			SPOCK1	0.014586	1.577949	
			TSPAN13	0.014818	1.599124	
			SPHK1	0.024113	1.599544	
			THBS1	0.047872	1.633499	
			BCAT1	0.003332	1.666823	
			AKAP12	0.010066	1.677861	
			SLN	0.015745	1.68979	
			DSP	0.048348	1.726407	
			FN1	0.004908	1.832317	
			SCG5	0.000815	1.864837	
			GPR56	0.010962	1.900793	
			GALNT10	0.028612	1.917703	
			PAX6	0.005251	1.918114	
			GREM1	0.001644	1.934697	
			SIK1	0.012081	1.972459	
			TP53I3	0.035787	1.979721	
			VEGFC	0.010621	1.991006	
			EFEMP1	0.007516	2.118009	
			SLC26A2	0.026357	2.161277	
			FBN1	0.019989	2.339046	
			WNT5A	0.001354	2.385963	
			MMP13	0.024732	2.392553	
			TAGLN	0.010066	2.43914	
			SNAI2	0.002471	2.45019	
			PMEPA1	0.000815	2.475717	
			TNS1	0.012081	2.514322	
			TGFBI	0.002471	2.622322	
			IL11	0.001964	2.698275	
			SLCO2A1	0.001145	2.774921	
			SLC7A11	0.00226	3.076526	
			MMP1	0.021973	3.220728	
			SERPINE1	0.000815	3.452134	
			INHBA	0.000815	3.757617	
			JAG1	0.007516	4.928316	



Fig.1: Interaction networks of the DE genes in the microarray dataset were poor and few signaling pathways were enriched. The expression profiles of human kidney cells treated with TGF β -1 for 24 or 48 hours were compared to untreated cells. The interaction networks of the differentially expressed genes in the time points of **A.** 24 hours and **B.** 48 hours have few edges. In addition, pathway enrichment analysis of these genes in **C.** 24 hours and **D.** 48 hours could not detect key signaling pathways. Pathways with adjusted P \leq 0.05 are shown. Color represents the gene ontology (GO) term level.

TGF β -1; Transforming growth factor Beta-1 and DE; Differentially expressed.



Fig.2: Enrichment of the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network is an efficient method to predict the missed interacting nodes. The networks of A. 24 hours and B. 48 hours treatment were enriched. The selected nodes from microarray experiment are depicted with ellipse and enriched nodes with triangle.

Α

Genes	Degree	Genes	Betweenness	Genes	Closeness
TP53	35	TP53	0.338181	TP53	0.397906
FN1	16	MMP2	0.171374	MMP2	0.38191
CTNNB1	15	ALB	0.145517	NOTCH1	0.361045
MMP2	15	CTNNB1	0.125341	ALB	0.356808
ALB	14	NOTCH1	0.11946	AR	0.35023
AR	14	SERPINE1	0.100643	CTNNB1	0.347032
NOTCH1	14	AR	0.085536	SERPINE1	0.344671
ЅҤҤ	13	FN1	0.075905	SMAD2	0.334802
SMAD2	11	ЅҤҤ	0.069747	FN1	0.333333
SERPINE1	10	SMAD2	0.069443	ACVR1B	0.326882
COL1A1	9	PRKAR2A	0.067309	ACVR2A	0.326882
PRKAR2A	9	HSPA5	0.067049	SHH	0.325482
МАРК1	9	МАРЗК5	0.049224	CD9	0.324094
TGFBI	8	PTHLH	0.047677	МАРК1	0.319328
ACVR1B	8	HRAS	0.045799	NCOR1	0.316667
IFNG	8	TGFBI	0.044403	LAMC2	0.31405
TCF4	8	HNF1B	0.040631	VTN	0.312115
ACVR2A	8	CDKN2A	0.039982	FAS	0.310838
FAS	7	NCOR1	0.039374	TCF4	0.310204
BDNF	7	PAX6	0.038418	SOD2	0.308943
CD9	6	CD9	0.038417	CTBP1	0.307692

 Table 2: The top 20% genes with the best rank in degree, betweenness centrality, and closeness centrality parameters in the enriched proteinprotein interaction (PPI) network of time 24 hours

		Table 2: C	ontinued		
Genes	Degree	Genes	Betweenness	Genes	Closeness
ТР53	35	TP53	0.338181	ТР53	0.397906
LAMC2	6	TCF4	0.037912	PAX6	0.306452
CTBP1	6	NROB1	0.035918	HES1	0.306452
PAX6	6	FAS	0.035234	HSPA5	0.305835
HES1	6	MAPK1	0.031996	IFNG	0.305221
CSF2	6	NEDD4L	0.030261	KDM1A	0.305221
NROB1	6	SLC9A3R2	0.028821	TGFBI	0.304609
HNF1B	6	IFNG	0.028734	CSF2	0.304609
LRP2	6	CSF2	0.027151	PRKAR2A	0.304
TRAF2	6	ANXA2	0.026874	DECR1	0.303393
RIPK1	6	PROC	0.026277	PPP2R1A	0.302187
NCOR1	5	KDR	0.024832	DECR1	0.303393
VTN	5	CTBP1	0.024626	PPP2R1A	0.302187
SOD2	5	APOB	0.024534	COL1A1	0.30099
HSPA5	5	TRAF2	0.024347	BDNF	0.298625
CDKN2A	5	F3	0.022625	TDGF1	0.295146
HRAS	5	BDNF	0.022597	F7	0.294574
CYP7A1	5	LRP2	0.022317	NROB1	0.293436
KDR	5	COL2A1	0.022231	HNF1B	0.292308
ID2	5	GSTA1	0.021794	CDKN2A	0.291747
МАРЗК5	5	VTN	0.021145	DUSP5	0.290631
CLU	5	ARF6	0.020175	LRP2	0.290076
NEDD4L	5	YWHAB	0.01996	ANXA2	0.289524
FST	5	ACVR1B	0.018667	F3	0.288425
MSTN	5	ACVR2A	0.018667	PTHLH	0.287335
PROC	5	RALA	0.018621	HRAS	0.286792

Genes	Degree	Genes	Betweenness	Genes	Closeness
TP53	55	TP53	0.218425	JUN	0.419966
AKT1	49	AKT1	0.180618	TP53	0.419244
EGFR	33	EGFR	0.129406	AKT1	0.415673
SMAD3	32	JUN	0.121849	EGFR	0.403974
JUN	32	SMAD3	0.091028	AR	0.403306
AR	28	ALB	0.08664	SMAD3	0.394184
FN1	25	CTNNB1	0.077284	CTNNB1	0.3904
THBS1	24	AR	0.063727	SMAD4	0.387917
CTNNB1	23	SMAD4	0.059499	SERPINE1	0.387917
SMAD2	23	FN1	0.056896	NOTCH1	0.380655
SERPINE1	20	THBS1	0.049411	THBS1	0.377709
SMAD4	20	SHH	0.0474	SMAD2	0.375963
NOTCH1	18	NOTCH1	0.044617	FN1	0.371951
ALB	16	SERPINE1	0.041249	MMP1	0.369138
SHH	16	STAT1	0.039746	MAPK1	0.365269
PLG	15	HSPA5	0.037599	STAT1	0.364179
MMP1	14	PLG	0.035914	MMP13	0.359882
TCF4	13	TRAF2	0.035805	ALB	0.357247
TGFBI	12	PRKAR2A	0.03185	IGF1R	0.357247
МАРК1	12	SMAD2	0.028572	ACVR1B	0.350575
ACVR1B	11	SLC9A3R2	0.028142	ACVR2A	0.350575
CSF2	11	HSPD1	0.027604	CSF2	0.34907

 Table 3: The top 15% genes with the best rank in degree, betweenness centrality, and closeness centrality parameters in the enriched proteinprotein interaction (PPI) network of time 48 hours

	Desires	Table 3: C	Continued		
Genes	Degree	Genes	Betweenness	Genes	Closeness
PRKAR2A	11	HRAS	0.025499	KDR	0.348074
STAT1	11	TCF4	0.024472	CDK1	0.348074
TRAF2	11	PALLD	0.024455	CTBP1	0.347578
IGF1R	10	TGFBI	0.024427	PPP2R1A	0.346591
CDKN2A	10	STX2	0.024388	SHH	0.343662
МАРЗК5	10	CDKN2A	0.022895	SPOCK1	0.343662
ACVR2A	10	CD9	0.021863	GRB10	0.343179
ID2	9	NCOR1	0.021568	NOV	0.342216
MMP13	9	МАРЗК5	0.020279	GSTA1	0.33936
SKIL	9	HNF1B	0.020242	TCF4	0.338889
SPOCK1	9	SPOCK1	0.018718	FAS	0.336088
PDLIM7	9	CTBP1	0.018114	CDKN2A	0.335626
KDR	9	TPM1	0.018056	NCOR1	0.335626
LRP2	9	PTHLH	0.017657	TGFBI	0.335165
TCF3	9	TBL1X	0.016927	VCAN	0.334705
NOV	8	CSF2	0.015788	HSPA5	0.334247
PTHLH	8	GSTA1	0.015304	CLTCL1	0.333333
CDKN1B	8	KDR	0.015185	SKIL	0.333333
GADD45A	8	MMP13	0.014604	PLG	0.332879
GRB10	8	ANXA2	0.01411	PTHLH	0.332879
LAMA5	8	CLTCL1	0.013602	PRKAR2A	0.332425
VTN	8	МАРК1	0.012772	МАРЗК5	0.330623
CBL	8	TCF3	0.012502	LAMA5	0.330176



В



Fig.3: Selection of central nodes for pathway enrichment analysis can detect critical signaling pathways. In the enriched protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks, 62 genes for 24 hours treatment network and 60 genes for 48 hours treatment network were chosen as nodes with high centrality. These central nodes are related to 29 and 49 highly connected pathways in **A.** 24 hours and **B.** 48 hours, respectively. Pathways with adjusted P≤0.05 are shown. Color represents the gene ontology (GO) term level.

Rabieian et al.



В



Fig.4: Pathway enrichment analysis with total genes in the enriched network is not informative. Pathway enrichment analysis with all 199 genes in 24 hours, or 301 genes in 48 hours treatment in enriched PPI networks only demonstrated **A.** 16 or **B.** 18 poorly inter-connected pathways, respectively. Pathways with adjusted P≤0.05 are shown. Color represents the gene ontology (GO) term level.

Discussion

In this study, we reanalyzed a microarray dataset to determine gene expression alteration in response to TGF β -1 in a human kidney cell line. The investigators who originally generated this data emphasized the involvement of Notch signaling pathway based on a few DE genes (6). In contrast, we have constructed PPI networks for DE genes in the time points of 24 and 48 hours treatment. We found that expansion of these networks followed by selection of central nodes for pathway enrichment analysis is an efficient method to recognize key signaling pathways in response to $TGF\beta$ -1 stimulation. Our analysis also predicted the potential role of some novel pathways in this in vitro model and also pointed out time-dependent activation of particular pathways. Interestingly, the same investigators later repeated the experiment and assessed the mRNA expression profile by RNA-Seq and found that this technique is superior to microarray in identification of the DE genes and altered signaling pathways (11). Noteworthy, the signaling pathways determined by our analysis on the original microarray dataset is similar to the pathways identified with RNA-Seq data.

An interesting finding in this study was that pathway enrichment analysis with the DE genes in the microarray experiment was not efficient for prediction of key signaling pathways. However, it was expected that all important genes were not regulated at the mRNA level and so they were not detectable by mRNA microarrays. Therefore, to compensate for this limitation, we constructed a PPI network of DE genes and then enriched this network by adding genes that were previously known to be interacting with the initial network nodes. This expanded gene set was more informative for detecting signaling pathways. Indeed, it is perfect to perform multi-level assessments in biological experiments, but for practical reasons it is not commonly feasible. In this case, it is possible to measure changes at one level and then make bioinformatics predictions to fill the gaps at other levels.

Several previous studies have shown that highly connected nodes (hubs) in the networks, determined by degree parameter, are vital for the organism survival (12). Next studies revealed that essential genes in the network can be determined not only by degree but also by other centrality parameters, such as betweenness or closeness centrality (13, 14). Here, we have used a combination of these three network topology parameters to determine the central nodes. Interestingly, pathway enrichment with these central genes was more informative than enrichment with the initial genes or even with the total genes in the expanded PPI networks. This observation is in line with our recent study on diabetic nephropathy showing the central network nodes tend to be present in signaling pathways and cross talks (15).

In pathway enrichment analysis, Hippo, PDGF, and FGFR signaling pathways were detected only in the second time point, 48 hours treatment. Actually, the initial activation of upstream signaling pathways detected in 24 hours treatment may lead to the expression of genes, related to these three pathways after 48 hours. This finding on time-specific expression of genes underscores the importance of time-course designs for gene expression analysis experiments.

Most of the predicted pathways in our analysis such as Notch, TNF, P53, and TGF β signaling have been previously known to be involved in the pathogenesis of CKD (16-19), whereas, for some others, such as platelet degranulation pathway, there is not currently direct experimental proof for participation in renal fibrosis. However, previous experiments have shown megakaryocytes as mediators of fibrosis in a subset of hematologic malignancies, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, as well as bone marrow (20-22). The role of megakaryocytes in kidney fibrosis is an interesting topic for future studies.

Conclusion

We have here employed a holistic approach to assess the consequences of TGF β -1 stimulation in kidney cells. Although, high-throughput techniques are frequently applied in biological investigations, data interpretation is yet commonly limited to the assessment of most up or down-regulated factors missing the huge effect of interactions for genes with subtle expression change. Systems biology provides novel concepts and methods to infer the underlying mechanisms of biological phenomena from *omics* raw data and hopefully will bring a higher quality of life to those suffering from chronic diseases.

Acknowledgments

This study was financially supported by Iran National Science Foundation (INSF) and Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (393088). There is no conflict of interest in this study.

References

- Thomas R, Kanso A, Sedor JR. Chronic kidney disease and its complications. Prim Care. 2008; 35(2): 329-344.
- Lan HY. Diverse roles of TGF-beta/Smads in renal fibrosis and inflammation. Int J Biol Sci. 2011; 7(7): 1056-1067.
- Bottinger EP. TGF-beta in renal injury and disease. Semin Nephrol. 2007; 27(3): 309-320.
- Munoz-Felix JM, Gonzalez-Nunez M, Martinez-Salgado C, Lopez-Novoa JM. TGF-beta/BMP proteins as therapeutic targets in renal fibrosis. Where have we arrived after 25 years of trials and tribulations? Pharmacol Ther. 2015; 156: 44-58.
- Jin Y, Ratnam K, Chuang PY, Fan Y, Zhong Y, Dai Y, et al. A systems approach identifies HIPK2 as a key regulator of kidney fibrosis. Nat Med. 2012; 18(4): 580-588.
- Walsh DW, Roxburgh SA, McGettigan P, Berthier CC, Higgins DG, Kretzler M, et al. Co-regulation of Gremlin and Notch signalling in diabetic nephropathy. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2008; 1782(1): 10-21.
- Barrett T, Suzek TO, Troup DB, Wilhite SE, Ngau WC, Ledoux P, et al. NCBI GEO: mining millions of expression profiles--database and tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005; 33(Database issue): D562-566.
- Bindea G, Galon J, Mlecnik B. CluePedia Cytoscape plugin: pathway insights using integrated experimental and in silico data. Bioinformatics. 2013; 29(5): 661-663.
- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Res. 2003; 13(11): 2498-2504.
- Bindea G, Mlecnik B, Hackl H, Charoentong P, Tosolini M, Kirilovsky A, et al. ClueGO: a Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene ontology and pathway annotation

networks. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25(8): 1091-1093.

- Brennan EP, Morine MJ, Walsh DW, Roxburgh SA, Lindenmeyer MT, Brazil DP, et al. Next-generation sequencing identifies TGF-beta1-associated gene expression profiles in renal epithelial cells reiterated in human diabetic nephropathy. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2012; 1822(4): 589-599.
- He X, Zhang J. Why do hubs tend to be essential in protein networks? PLoS Genet. 2006; 2(6): e88.
- Raman K, Damaraju N, Joshi GK. The organisational structure of protein networks: revisiting the centrality-lethality hypothesis. Syst Synth Biol. 2014; 8(1): 73-81.
- Ozgur A, Vu T, Erkan G, Radev DR. Identifying gene-disease associations using centrality on a literature mined gene-interaction network. Bioinformatics. 2008; 24(13): i277-285.
- Abedi M, Gheisari Y. Nodes with high centrality in protein interaction networks are responsible for driving signaling pathways in diabetic nephropathy. PeerJ. 2015; 3: e1284.
- Wang W, Huang XR, Li AG, Liu F, Li JH, Truong LD, et al. Signaling mechanism of TGF-beta1 in prevention of renal inflammation: role of Smad7. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2005; 16(5): 1371-1383.
- Sharma S, Sirin Y, Susztak K. The story of Notch and chronic kidney disease. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2011; 20(1): 56-61.
- Misseri R, Meldrum DR, Dinarello CA, Dagher P, Hile KL, Rink RC, et al. TNF-alpha mediates obstruction-induced renal tubular cell apoptosis and proapoptotic signaling. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2005; 288(2): F406-411.
- Samarakoon R, Overstreet JM, Higgins SP, Higgins PJ. TGF-beta1 --> SMAD/p53/USF2 --> PAI-1 transcriptional axis in ureteral obstruction-induced renal fibrosis. Cell Tissue Res. 2012; 347(1): 117-128.
- Papadantonakis N, Matsuura S, Ravid K. Megakaryocyte pathology and bone marrow fibrosis: the lysyl oxidase connection. Blood. 2012; 120(9): 1774-1781.
- Thachil J. The lung megakaryocytes and pulmonary fibrosis in systemic sclerosis. Med Hypotheses. 2009; 72(3): 291-293.
- Cripe LD, Hromas R. Malignant disorders of megakaryocytes. Semin Hematol. 1998; 35(3): 200-209.