

### JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES

AL-Bayati	LAA.	The	Immune	<b>Function</b>	of	Iraqi	Women's	on
Contrace	eptive	s intal	<b>(e</b> . ] Pharm	Biomed Sci <b>2</b> 015	; 05(05	5): 415-418		

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at: www.jpbms.info

Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Sciences (J Pharm Biomed Sci.), Member journal. Committee on Publication ethics (COPE) and Journal donation project (JDP).

### **Research article**

# The Immune Function of Iraqi Women's on Contraceptives intake

### AL- Bayati LAA\*

### Affiliation:

Department of Biology, College of Science, Babylon, Hilla P.O.Box 4. Iraq

The name of the department(s) and institution(s) to which the work should be attributed:

Department of Biology, College of Science, Babylon, Hilla P.O.Box.4. Iraq

### Address reprint requests to Lubna A. A. AL- Bayati.

Department of Biology, College of Science, Babylon, Hilla P.O.Box.4. Iraq or at dr.dahmoshi@yahoo.com

### **Article citation:**

**AL- Bayati LAA.** The Immune Function of Iraqi Women's on Contraceptives intake. *J Pharm Biomed Sci.* 2015; 05(05):385-393. Available at www.jpbms.info

**ABSTRACT:** The complement C3 and C4 fractions as well as the cytokines Interferon and Interleukine 6 were estimated in serum samples of women during contraceptive intake. These estimations were made in an attempt to evaluate them as a diagnostic battery for detection of immune status in these women's.

## The C3 concentration means were; $154.553 \pm 12.084$ , $149.816 \pm 10.423$ , $130.216 \pm 6.147$ , $159.966 \pm 8.583$ and a $8.125 \pm 21.570$ mg/dl for pills , depot injection, IUDC, nonusing and virgin controls, respectively.

In comparison C4 levels were ;  $42.825 \pm 4.293$ ,  $34.583 \pm 2.801$ ,  $32.466 \pm 3.688$ ,  $33.025 \pm 3.025$  and  $26.441 \pm 6.680$  mg/dl for pills, injection, IUDC, nonusing and virgin controls respectively. The interferone gama concentration were;  $55.169 \pm 8.962$ ,  $80.266 \pm 3.630$ ,  $66.171 \pm 3.973$ ,  $26.499 \pm 3.482$  and  $74.760 \pm 2.930$  pg/ml accordingly.

The interleukine 6 concentration means were found as; 28.399± 8.517 for pills, 36.380±8.63 for injection, 25.733± 3.899 for IUCD, 76.499± 3.482 for non using women and 91.219±5.001 pg/ml for virgin controls. Thus, they seems to be suitable as an assessment battery for immune status of women on contraception intake.

### **KEYWORDS:**

**Statement of Originality of work**: The manuscript has been read and approved by all the authors, the requirements for authorship have been met, and that each author believes that the manuscript represents honest and original work.

### INTRODUCTION

The functions of human immune system are prone to the effects of various stage of his life span. Among which, youths are of so potent immune function. Adulthood, however, are of relatively less potent functions than youths, life extremes like childhood and senescence are off marks weak immune functions<sup>1-4</sup>. Drug intake in addition may induce either lowering or augmentations of immune functions depending on structure-function relationships, concentration and the drug intake routes<sup>5-7</sup>. The present work was undertaken to assess; C3, C4, INF8-10 and IL6 levels among women using three different contraceptives modalities in comparison to the non using women's and virgin controls, as an attempt to evaluate them as a diagnostic battery for the estimation of an immune functions in these women's.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS DRUGS

Oral progesterone contraceptive pills (German made), progesterone depot injections and copper-progesterone incorporated intrauterine contraceptive device (INCD).

### **TEST AND CONTROL GROUPS:**

Five women groups each of 12 were the test and control groups<sup>10</sup>. Oral progesterone contraceptive pills, progesterone depot injection, copperprogesterone incorporated intrauterine device (IUCD), non using and virgin women groups table1. Subject's history and characteristics were made in table 2. The study was approved by institutional review board.

Fable 1. Women study groups.

Contraceptive Module group	No of subjects
Pills	12
Injection	12
Intrauterine	12
Women control	12
Virgin control	12

Table 2. The characteristics of the study groups

Features	Women control	Virgin control	Pills	Injection	IUCD
Age years	36-52	20-9	20-39	28-42	21-32
Weight kg	46-85	-	65-85	58-85	39-88
Length cm	150-172		150-165	150-165	148-167
No. of birth	1-7	-	2-6	2-5	1-4
Miscarriage	1	-	1-3	1-2	1
Occupation	w*&HK**		W&HK	W&HK	W&HK
Menstruation	DM*** & AM****	D & AM	D & AM	D & AM	D & AM
Duration of therapy	Х	Χ	2m-5y	5m-11y	1m-4y

<sup>\*</sup>W: working; \*\*HK: House kipper; \*\*\* D: during M; \*\*\*\* AM: after M.

#### SEROLOGY

From each of the test and control groups Table1, 5mL of blood was collected without anticoagulant in plain tubes. Sera were saved in aliquot of 500 L in appendroff tubes at -18C° till use¹¹. 5µL amounts from both test and control women sera were applied separately in to anti C3, anti C4 single radio immune diffusion plates¹². Precipitation rings were measured to the nearest mm and compared to their equivalent concentration values in the leaflet of manufacture. The INF and IL6 were assayed using Elisa techniques, following the manufacturer or instructions. Statistical intergroup differences were measured through LSD test.

#### **COMPLEMENT**

The progesterone contraceptive pills were showing C3 concentration mean 154.533±12.087 mg /dL. As compared to those non-contraceptive using women 159.966±8.583mg/dL and virgin control as 98.125±21.57 mg/dL. The progesterone depot injection was showing 149.896 ± 10.423 mg/dL as compared to non-using women 159.966 ± 8.587 mg/dL and virgin control as 98.125 ± 21.57mg/dL. While, the intrauterine contraceptive progesterone device have shown  $130.21 \pm 6.147 \text{ mg/dL}$  as compared to  $159.966 \pm$ 8.583 mg/dL and  $98.125 \pm 21.570 \text{ mg/dL}$ respectively in non-contraceptive using and virgin control (Table 3).

### **RESULTS**

Table 3. The complement C3 concentrations levels among women test and control groups

Test and control groups	C3 concentration mg/dL				
	Mean	SE	SD		
Pills	145.533	12.087	41.873		
Injection	145.816	10.423	36.107		
IUCD	130.216	6.147	21.299		
Women control	159.461	8.583	29735		
Virgin control	98.125	21.510	74.723		
LSDc3 for comparison be	LSDc3 for comparison between the five groups were 1.671 significant 0.05				

The mean C4 concentrations for pills, injection and intrauterine device were 42.825  $\pm$  4.293, 34.5833  $\pm$  2.861 and 32.466  $\pm$  3.688 mg/dL respectively as compared to the non contraceptive women's and virgin controls which as 33.0250mg/dL and 26.441  $\pm$  6.680 mg/dl respectively (Table 4).

Thus, gravidation alone increases C3 & C4 levels as compared to virgin control. However, gravidation–contraceptive decreased C3 concentrations and equivocate gravidation alone in C4 concentration means Table 3 & 4.

Table 4. The complement C4 concentrations levels among women test and control groups

Test and control groups	C4 concentration mg/dL			
	Mean	SE	SD	
Pills	42.825	4.293	14.873	
Injection	34.583	2.401	9.704	
IUCD	32.466	3.688	12.775	
Women control	33.0250	3.478	12.048	
Virgin control	26.441	6.680	23.570	
LSDc3 for comparison between the five groups were 1.671 significant 0.05				

Cytokines pills and IUCD contraceptive were showing  $55.159 \pm 8.9$ ,  $66.171 \pm 3.2482$  pg/ml respectively, while, injection depot contraceptive have shown  $80.266 \pm 3.630$  pg.ml of INF as

compared to non contraceptive and virgin controls as  $76.499 \pm 3.482$  pg/ml and  $74.760 \pm 2.930$  pg/ml respectively Table 5.

Table 5. The INF  $\gamma$  contration levels among test and control groups.

Test and control groups	INF $\gamma$ concentration pg/ml			
	Mean	SE	SD	
Pills	55.169	8.962	28.340	
Injection	80.266	3.630	11.481	
IUCD	66.171	3.973	12.565	
Women control	76.499	3.482	11.013	
Virgin control	74.760	2.930	90.260	
LSD INF $\gamma$ between the five	SD INF $\gamma$ between the five group was 1.684 significant at 0.05			

The IL6 concentration means were, 28.399  $\pm$  8.517, 36.380 $\pm$  8.863, 25.733  $\pm$  3.879, 76.499  $\pm$  3.494 and 91.219  $\pm$  5.001 for pills, injection, IUCD, women, and virgin control respectively (Table 6).

Thus, contraceptives were of suppressive nature on INF and IL6 levels as compared to controls (Table 5 & 6).

Table 6. The The IL6 contration levels among test and control groups.

Test and control groups	IL6 concentration pg/ml			
	Mean	SE	SD	
Pills	28.399	8.517	26.934	
Injection	36.380	8.863	28.028	
IUCD	25.733	3.879	12.268	
Women control	76.499	3.482	11.013	
Virgin control	91.219	5.001	15.814	
LSD IL6 between the five group	o was 1.684 signific	ant at 0.05		

### **BIOMETERY**

The LSD statistical analyses for the intergroup differences were found to be significant (Table 3-6).

### DISCUSSION

The complement components C3, and C4 and the cytokines INF and IL6 have been considered as markers for the immune status of pregnant women<sup>7,13-18</sup> though information are evident about the immune status of gravida women using contraceptives.

Thus the present work was aimed at using C3, C4, INF and IL6 as a marker for immune status of gravida women using contraceptives.

Thus the present work was aimed at using C3, C4, INF and IL6 as a marker for immune status of gravida women using contraceptives. Such status was checked for women having various forms of contraceptives like pills, depot injection and intrauterine device. Generally, contraceptive therapy was found to be inhibitor<sup>10</sup> for INF and IL6. While were being with variable increasing effects on complement C3 & C4 levels (Table 3-6)

Biometric analysis indicated that there were intergroup statistical significant differences.

Results in table 2-6 shows C3, C4, INF and IL6 are collectively can be of use as a diagnostic battery of markers for judging the immune status of women using contraceptives.

### Acknowledgments

The author wish to thank Dr. Ismma kadum AL saraj, department of obstetrics and gynaecology, College of medicine, University of Babylon for the help extended during conduction of the present work.

#### REFERENCES

1.Finch CE, Kirkwood TBL , 2000, chance Development and Aging, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 3-111.

2.Clark W R, 1999, A Means to An End , The biological Basrs of aging and Death, Oxford University press, Oxford, 3-59. 3.Siegrist C-A, Aspinall R. B cell responses to vaccination at the extremes of age, Nature Reviews Immunology,2009;9: 185-194.

4.Shnawa IMS, 2014, Immunology of Ageing and Longevity IISTE publications, USA.

5.Salaman J R, Sampson D, 1950. Clinical Immuosuppression, Grume and Stratton, New York.

6.Parslow T G, Stites D P, Terr AI, Imbodca JB, 2001, Medical Immunology 10<sup>th</sup> ed. Large Medical Books, McGraw-Hill, New York, 714-760.

7.Andrcani D, Bompiani G, DiMario U, Faulk WP, Galluzzo A, 1996, Immunobiology of Normal and Diabetic pregnancy, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

8.Theze J, 1998, The cytokine network and Immune Functions, Oxford University Press.

9.Cruse J M, Lewis RE, 1993. Complement today Karger, New York

10.Ildgruben A K, Sjaberg I M, Marie-Louise K C. Influences of Hormoral contraceptives on the immune cells and thickness of humane vaginal epithelium, Obest Gynecol 2003;102 (3): 571-582.

11.Stevens C D, 2010, Clinical Immunology and serology: A laboratory perspective 3rd ed. F. A. Davis, philadelphia.

12.Mancini G, Carbonara A O, Here mans J F. Immunochemical quantation of antigen by single radial Immunodiffusion , Immunochem1995;2:235-245.

13.Mahdi B M. Role of some cytokine on reproduction, Med. East Fertil. Soc J 2001;16(5):220-223.

14.Red J. G, Simpson N A, Walker R G, Ecomidon O, Shillito J, Gooi H C, Duffy S R, Walker JJ. The carriage of Proinflammatary cytokine gene polymorphism in recurrent pregnancy loss. Am. Rep. Immunol 2001; 45:35-40.

15.Ñasu K, Mastasiu N, Narahara H, Tanka Y, Miyakama I. Effect of interferon gamma on cytokine production by endometrial Stromal cells, Human reproduction 1998;13(9): 2598-2601.

16.Adashi E Y. Cytokine mediated regulation of ovarian function. Endocrinol 1989;124: 2043-2045.

17.Spron M B, Roberts A B, 1988, Peptide growth factors are multifunctional Nature 332: 217-219.

18.Clark D A, 1990. Lyrnphokines and cytokines affecting reproductive outcome in Andreani D Bompiani G, DiMario U, Faulk N P, Galluzzt A, Immunobiolgy of Normal and diabetic pregnancy, John Wiley and sons New York, 79-90.

**Competing interest / Conflict of interest:** The author(s) have no competing interests for financial support, publication of this research, patents and royalties through this collaborative research. All authors were equally involved in discussed research work. There is no financial conflict with the subject matter discussed in the manuscript.

**Disclaimer:** Any views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of Defense.

**Copyright** © 2015 AL-Bayati LAA. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.