

Available online at <http://arjournal.org>

APPLIED RESEARCH JOURNAL

RESEARCH ARTICLE



ISSN: 2423-4796

Applied Research Journal

Vol.1, Issue, 3, pp.118-120, May, 2015

THE CHALLENGE OF AGRONOMISTS WORKING IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA (SSA): THE USE OF MINERAL FERTILIZERS HAS NOT BEEN ABLE TO SOLVE THE PROBLEM

^{1*}Edem, I. Dennis, ²M.E.Nkereuwem and ³P.I. Udounang

¹ Department of Soil Science and Land Resources Management, University of Uyo, P.M. B.1017, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.

² Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Forestry and wildlife, Federal University, Dutse, Jigawa State, Nigeria.

³ Department of Crop, Faculty of Agriculture, Akwa Ibom State University.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: 07, April, 2015

Final Accepted: 05, May, 2015

Published Online: 15, May, 2015

Key words:

Fertilizer, Eutrophication, Runoff, Ecosystem, Pollution, Nutrients.

ABSTRACT

Indiscriminate use of fertilizers has created a great menace because these products find their ways into the food chain therefore having direct implication on human health. The pollution of the lithosphere due to fertilizers is so widespread in rural areas that in an agricultural country like Nigeria, is almost impossible to control it. It has other ramification than other kinds of pollution. Therefore, attention needs to be paid considerable to mitigate this soil pollution, in the quest to increase the yield of crops and food production. Understanding the effect of modern agricultural technologies on the increase yield of crops and food production is important because it belies the primary justification of these technologies. Under natural conditions, low levels of nitrogen limit aquatic algae growth, especially in salty and blackish water, which inhibits N- fixation algae. Increase human input of nitrogen can remove this constraint. The resulting degradation of the aquatic ecosystem; particularly estuaries and coastal waters is undoubtedly the most widespread water quality problem induced by nitrogen pollution.

© Copy Right, ARJ, 2015. All rights reserved

1. INTRODUCTION

Food security is a major issue in tropical developing nations of the world as a result of unreliable rainfall, marginal soil fertility and low input level, causing declining crop yields [1]. Efforts aimed at obtaining high yield of crops necessitated the augmentation of the nutrient status [2] of the soil to meet the crop's requirements for optimum productivity and maintain soil fertility. Increasing the nutrient status of the soil is achieved by boosting the soil nutrient [3] content either with the use of inorganic fertilizers such as NPK or through the use of organic materials such as poultry manure, farm yard manure (FYM) or the use of compost. According to Diels *et al.*, [4], this extended practice in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) have left the environment impoverish.

The invention of chemical fertilizers (Haber--Bosch method) has allowed humans to raise soil productivity, higher than could be attained under the natural climax vegetation [5]. Many studies of various crops have shown significant yield advantages while applying inorganic fertilizers. However, excessive application of mineral fertilizers has been reported to have deleterious effects on crop growth and yield

*Corresponding author: Edem, I. Dennis, Email: dennis.edem@gmail.com

Department of Soil Science and Land Resources Management, University of Uyo, P.M. B.1017, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.

increase [6, 7]. Therefore, reliance on chemical fertilizers to increase crop yields has generally led to a decrease in soil organic matter and an increase in soil erosion [8].

2. EFFECT OF ACIDIFY FERTILIZER APPLICATION

The use of mineral fertilizers alone has not been able to solve the problems of crop production in the tropics due to the adverse side effects of continuous application of acidifying fertilizers (e.g. sulphate of ammonia) on acid soils as revealed from the work of Agboola *et al.* [6]. It was revealed that a research field subjected to 10 years of continuous maize and cowpea cropping and with regular use of NPK fertilizers resulted into a situation where about 75% soil organic matter was lost and exchangeable K reduced by 13% and P content was reduced to one half of the original value.

3. FERTILIZER UTILIZATION EFFICIENCY

The fertilizer not recovered by the crop does not disappear; rather, it ends up in the environment (the soil, Biological uptake, and Elluviation to lower soil horizons) [9]. One of the primary reasons why chemical fertilizers pollute the environment is that crops use them inefficiently. Less than half of the nitrogen fertilizers applied to the soil is recovered by crops in dry land cropping systems [10], such as rainfed maize. For wetland agriculture, such as paddy rice, the utilization efficiency is even lower, averaging 35% or less [3]. Although there are many reasons for this inefficiency, perhaps the most important is that crop-yield response to fertilizers typically obeys the law of diminishing returns.

At low levels of soil nutrient, it may increase grain yield by 25 to 50 kilograms, whereas at high nutrient levels, kilograms of fertilizer may only bring about 5 to 15 kilograms yield increase. Because farmers use fertilizers to achieve near-maximum yields, their fertilizer utilization efficiency is very low. As a result, much of the fertilizers remain unused by the plant.

Although the occurrence of extra fertilizer nutrients in the environment is not necessarily a problem, some of these compounds may become pollutants when too much nitrogen fertilizer (depending on crop) is applied [11] and [7]. Excess leads to an imbalance and the place or manner in which it is "wind up" can cause serious environmental problems. Environmental awareness should be part of fertilizer use. While some of the extra nitrogen remains in the soil (it is absorbed by microorganisms and subsequently converted into soil organic matter), some of it gets converted to gaseous forms that escape into the atmosphere. The rest is washed away or percolates down through the soil profile [10]. As long as the amount of nitrogen that enters the environment does little damage, the large quantities of nitrogen fertilizers that are a part of the modern agricultural package have caused residues of nitrogen fertilizers to become pollutants [12].

4. WATER QUALITY PROBLEM INDUCED BY NITROGEN POLLUTION

For instance, in Mexico, nutrient-rich (mainly N, but also P and Si) sediments carried by the runoff water from the farmland emptied into the water body [11] which sink to the bottom and stimulate explosive growth of algae forming a dense "tissue" at the water depth. In decomposing, this dead "tissue", favours microorganisms deplete the oxygen dissolved in the water (hypoxia - less than 2 to 3 O₂/L) to levels unable to sustain animal life [3]. Either through migration or death of fishes, shrimps, and other aquatic species. Concentration of N in Mississippi River (EUA) has tripled in the past 30 years, and this increase was attributed to human activities, especially those from agriculture.

Critical assessments suggest that only about 11% of N is delivered by the rest mainly from farmland runoff and manure [13]. Major efforts are required to help farmers and others improve their N use efficiency and reduce the transformation of valuable nutrient into a pollutant.

5. SURFACE WATER NUTRIENT ENRICHMENT

Even-though environmental scientists have traditionally noted human sewage effluents as the primary contributor of nutrients to surface water (phosphate detergents have been a particular problem). There is recent evidence indicating that in many farmlands, fertilizers contribute a substantial proportion of the total surface water nutrients enrichment. In a recent study of heavy metals from farmlands around Ikpa River Basin [10], it was reported that water quality problem induced by nitrogen pollution is very severe. Nitrates in runoff water flowing from agricultural farm resulted in the degradation of aquatic ecosystem of coastal water, thereby causing phytoplankton blooms that led to a significant decline in the estuary's fisheries. They further averred that nitrate-N content assessed in runoff water accounted for 24 % of the nutrients input delivered from farmland into the rivers and streams.

Other estimates [12, 14] have attributed 50 to 70% of all nutrients that reach surface water as derived from fertilizer and animal waste. In South Florida, Rietra *et al.*, [7] reported that nutrient-rich run off from sugarcane plantations caused a rapid change in the native plant of the Everglades. In summary, fertilizer nutrients appear to be responsible for a substantial portion of the nutrient “load” entering surface water in most cases. In addition, the movement of soluble nutrient (Nitrogen) compounds from the soils to the aquatic systems can disrupt the balance of those hyperspaces, leading to algae blooms, declining levels of dissolved oxygen, and subsequent death of fish and other species. Yet another way in which nitrogen from fertilizer application links soils to the wider environment is the ozone-destroying and climate-forcing action of nitrous oxide gas generated in the soil.

6. CONCLUSION

Years of research and practice have repeatedly demonstrated that, more money and effort are spent on the management of soil nutrients than efficient soil conservation that guarantees food security. And for good reason. But one thing is certain, deficiencies or excesses of nutrient have major impacts on health and productivity of the world’s ecosystem. I therefore conclude that, fertilizer applications in agricultural farmlands can be boon or a curse to the society. If not properly handled it is health hazard.

Clearly, soil processes are central to the global nutrient cycle and transport. The ecological, financial and environmental stake could not be higher. The challenge of agronomists working in this region is not to block this necessary evil, but to apply their science and the experiences gained to find ecologically acceptable ways in which this modern input can be integrated in soil conservation measures that guarantee sustainable and profitable farming for economic development.

7. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We hereby declares that, there is no conflict of interest.

8. REFERENCE

- [1]. Andraski, T.W., L.G. Bundy, and K.C. Kilian. 2003. Manure history and long-term tillage effects on soil properties and phosphorus losses in runoff. *J. Environ. Qual.* 32:1782-1789.
- [2]. Breuer, L., Huisman, J.A. Keller, T. & Frede, H.G. 2006. Impact of a conversion from cropland to grassland on C and N storage and related soil properties: Analysis of a 60-year chronosequence. *Geoderma* 133: 6–18
- [3]. Brady, N. C. and R. C. Weil, 2013. *The nature and properties of soils*. The 14th Edition, Pearson Education, Inc.
- [4]. Diels, J., Vanlauwe, B., Van der Meersh, M.K, Sanginga, N., and Merck, R.J. 2004. Long-term soil organic carbon ¹³C data and dynamics in a sub humid tropical climate: modeling with RothC. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 36: 1739–1750.
- [5]. Lehmann, J., Gaunt, J., and Rondon, M. 2006. Bio-char sequestration in terrestrial ecosystems: A review, *Mitig. Adapt. Strat. Gl. Chn.*, 11: 403–427.
- [6]. Agboola, A. A. , G. O. Obigbesan and A. A. A. Fayemi, 1975. Interrelation between organic and mineral fertilizer in the tropical rainforest of Western Nigeria. In *organic material fertilizers Bulletin*, 27:337-351.
- [7]. Rietra, R., T. Hiemstra, and W.H. Van Riemsdijk. 2001. Interaction between calcium and phosphate adsorption on goethite. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 35:3369-3374.
- [8]. Dorna, J. W., M. Sarranotnio and M. Allebig, 1999. Soil health and sustainability. *Advances in Agronomy*, 56: 22-45
- [9]. Andraski, T.W., and L.G. Bundy. 2003. Relationships between phosphorus levels in soil and in runoff from corn production systems. *J. Environ. Qual.* 32:310-316.
- [10]. Edem, I. D, N.M. John and I. Andronache, 2015. *Fertilizer and the Environment: a Perspective from Niger Delta, Nigeria*.
- [11]. Eghball, B., G. D. Binford, and D. D. Baltensperger. 1996. Phosphorus movement and adsorption in a soil receiving long-term manure and fertilizer application. *J. environment Qual.*, 25:1339-1343.
- [12]. USDA, 1987. Improving soil with organic wastes report in response t section 1461 of the FAO act of 1977 p : 95-173.
- [13]. Cheng, C.H, Lehmann, J., Thies, J., Burton S.D., and Engelhard M.H., 2006. Oxidation of black carbon by biotic and abiotic processes. *Org. Geochem.*, 37: 1477–1488.
- [14]. Islami, T., Guritno, B., Basuki, N., and Suryanto, A. 2011. Biochar for sustaining productivity of cassava based cropping systems in the degraded lands of East Java, Indonesia. *J. Trop. Agric.* 49: 31–39.