# HEPATIC ENCEPHALOPATHY AND MANAGEMENT CHALLENGES IN PALLIATIVE CARE

#### Giulia-Anna Perri<sup>1,\*</sup>, Houman Khosravani<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Palliative Care, Baycrest Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada Department of Family and Community Medicine, Division of Palliative Care, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada <sup>2</sup>Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada

## \*Corresponding Author:

## Email: gperri@baycrest.org

## Case

Mr. Z is a 68 year old male with end stage liver disease (ESLD) secondary to hepatitis C and alcoholism and lives in the community with his wife and 2 sons.

Mr. Z has many complications related to his ESLD including ascites, esophageal varices and hepatocellular carcinoma. Mr. Z was hospitalized 3 months ago for his first episode of an acute confusional state and was diagnosed with hepatic encephalopathy precipitated by an aspiration pneumonia and dehydration. Mr. Z also has a past medical history of hypertension, coronary artery disease, chronic lower back pain and depression. His current medication list includes aspirin 81mg once daily, furosemide 100mg once daily, spironolactone 40mg once daily, nadolol 40mg once daily, lactulose 30ml three times daily, hydromorphone contin 3mg twice daily, hydromorphone 0.5mg as a q4h prn and citalopram 20mg once daily. He is an ex-smoker and stopped consuming alcohol since his last hospitalization. He is not a liver transplant candidate.

Post-hospitalization, Mr. Z returned home at his baseline cognition however functionally, he declined and is currently at a PPS of 30% (Table 1). (1) The focus of his care is on comfort and no life prolongation - Mr. Z's wish is to remain home as his disease progresses. Palliative Home Services are involved to help support and manage symptoms for Mr. Z and his family.

Over the course of the last 3 days, Mr. Z experienced increasing lower abdominal and back pain requiring multiple breakthroughs of hydromorphone and he has become more confused, agitated and somnolent. He is inconsistent in taking his oral medications and his last bowel movement was 2 days ago despite Mrs. Z increasing the lactulose regimen from three to four times a day. Mrs. Z is now distressed over increasing symptom burden and care needs and is not coping well at home.

## Introduction

Hepatic Encephalopathy (HE) is a common and distressing complication associated with chronic liver disease and is defined as a complex neuropsychiatric syndrome marked by personality changes, intellectual impairment, and an altered level of consciousness. The clinical manifestations of HE are varied and can range from subclinical alterations identified only on psychometric testing to coma. The pathophysiology of HE is not exactly defined but is most widely associated with hepatocyte loss, dysfunction and portosystemic shunting. These processes allow for nitrogenous substances to accumulate in the brain, ultimately adversely affecting brain function by altering neurotransmisions that affect consciousness and behavior. (2)

HE is a feature of decompensated liver disease and develops in 50% of patients with cirrhosis. (3) Once developed, hepatic encephalopathy is associated with increased morbidity and a 1-year mortality of 60%. (4) As a more common nonmalignant disease to manage in the geriatric population, it is important for the clinician to appreciate the unique challenges that are associated with the management of HE in the palliative care setting as both symptom burden and goals of care need to be balanced in the context of a shortened and sometimes unpredictable prognosis.

#### Palliative Care Perspectives on the Diagnosis and Classification of Hepatic Encephalopathy

In the palliative care setting, the diagnosis and classification of Hepatic Encephalopathy (HE) remain important as both help in appreciating subsequent overall disease management.

A specific diagnostic marker of HE does not exist. Although many neurotoxins have been implicated, the most characterized neurotoxin associated with the pathogenesis of HE is serum ammonia. However, an elevated serum ammonia is not required to make the diagnosis of HE and does not aid in staging or prognosticating. (5) If a patient with HE has an elevated serum ammonia level, it does not exclude co-existent medical conditions that may explain abnormal mental status. HE thus remains a diagnosis of exclusion and this should be thoughtfully communicated in the palliative care setting, especially if burdensome investigations are not part of the patient's overall goal of care.

HE resulting from cirrhosis is classified according to the severity of clinical manifestations,

time course and precipitating factors.(6) The West Haven Criteria (WHC) is the gold standard for staging the clinical severity of HE and ranges from stage I to IV (Table 2). Stage I or minimal HE describes patients with no clinical symptoms but subtle findings on neurophysiological and neuropsychometric testing these patients present with unique challenges, one of which is the implication for fitness to drive. The guidelines from the International Society for Hepatic Encephalopathy (ISHEN) and Nitrogen Metabolism have combined the terms minimal HE and grade 1 encephalopathy and changed the name to covert hepatic encephalopathy to describe these patients. (7) Overt HE, which is the focus of this review, is used to describe patients who demonstrate disorientation or asterixis, encompassing patients within stages 2-4 of the WHC. HE is subcategorized as being episodic, recurrent, or persistent. Episodic hepatic encephalopathy is further subdivided based on whether it developed spontaneously or whether a single or multiple precipitants were identified and persistent hepatic encephalopathy is subdivided based on its severity (mild, severe, or treatment-dependent).

## Palliative Care Perspectives on the Management of Hepatic Encephalopathy

Anticipating and managing precipitating causes, reducing gut-derived nitrogenous products, and instituting concurrent supportive care are the cornerstone of management of HE.

The most common precipitants of HE in end stage liver disease include infections, gastrointestinal bleeding, electrolytes disorders, hypovolemia, constipation, and psychotropic medications.(5) Multiple precipitants to any one episode of HE may coexist and the symptoms of HE are thought to be mostly reversible if the precipitant(s) are identified and managed - this may not necessarily be the case though in a palliative care setting. Unique to palliative care is that it may be challenging to identify and manage possible precipitants of HE if certain investigations and interventions are not within a patient's goals of care. Even if possible precipitant(s) are identified, care to further avoid those precipitants, either individually or collectively, may not be possible especially if the main goal of care is comfort. This concept needs to be carefully explained to patients and their caregivers, ideally in advance of any crisis. With or without the search for and management of precipitating factors in the palliative care setting, measures to reduce the nitrogenous load from the gut must be implemented with the understanding that the symptoms can be managed, without the identification and reversal of the precipitant(s).

Traditionally, non-absorbable disaccharides such as lactulose and lactitol, are considered first-line in the management of HE. (5) Non-absorbable disaccharides work by reducing gut pH, and by interfering with the uptake of glutamine in the gut thus reducing both the synthesis and absorption of ammonia. The more common of the non-absorbable disaccharides, lactulose, is typically dosed at 15-45 mL every 8-12 hours, and titrated up with the aim of having 2-3 soft stools per day.(5) Common side effects include bloating, abdominal cramps, and diarrhea. Poor patient compliance with an oral lactulose regimen in patients receiving palliative care needs to be considered. As well, attention must also be taken to avoid diarrhea and possible subsequent perianal skin irritation, dehydration, hyponatremia and worsening of HE while titrating lactulose. If the patient is on an oral lactulose regimen and develops an altered mental status, discussions regarding the risk and management of aspiration need to be discussed. Circumstance may arise where a patient is not able to safely swallow oral lactulose - in such cases, lactulose can be administered via NG or as a rectal enema (300 ml in 1 L of water retained for 1 hour with the patient in the Trendelenburg position).

The administration of empiric lactulose may be associated with improvement in mental status within 24-48 h of initiation if the precipitant(s) to HE are concomitantly being addressed. If there is a lack of response to lactulose by 72 h of treatment, other possibilities need to be explored including other causes of encephalopathy and precipitating factors that may have been missed or inadequately managed.(7) Patients and their caregivers need to be educated about the use of lactulose and warned about side effects of aggressive use and a possible lack of response.

As a second-line, various antibiotics have been used in managing HE by decreasing the intestinal load gut bacteria that produce ammonia. Antibiotics are traditionally considered for patients who cannot tolerate or who respond poorly to disaccharide monotherapy. (8) Antibiotics such as neomycin and metronidazole are no longer widely used due to limited evidence on efficacy and risks of nephrotoxicity, ototoxicity and peripheral neurotoxicity respectively.(5) Rifaximin is a gut-specific, semisynthetic, nonabsorbable oral antibiotic, offering minimal systemic absorption and few side effects. It is dosed at 550mg twice daily by mouth. When added to lactulose, rifaximin has been shown to reduce the risk of recurrence of HE from 46% to 21%. (9)

High-animal protein dietary intake has been implicated in the past as worsening serum ammonia levels, precipitating and worsening the symptoms of HE. As a strategy to maintain low serum ammonia levels, patients with cirrhosis and HE were often protein-restricted – this practice is no longer advocated as protein restriction does not improve HE and may be harmful considering many patients receiving palliative care with end-stage cirrhosis have protein-calorie malnutrition. (10) Daily protein intake should be 1.2-1.5 g/kg/day (5).

## Symptom control issues at the end of life

It is prudent to anticipate multiple symptoms in patients with hepatic encephalopathy in the context of end stage liver disease and palliative care. As the disease naturally progresses, patients may experience multiple distressing symptoms that undoubtedly affect quality of life. Medications classes such as opioids, anticholinergics, benzodiazepines and neuroleptics, should be used with caution, but may not be totally avoidable if the patient's goals are for comfort.

Opioids and their metabolites may contribute to a worsening of symptoms of HE, but the clinician must keep in mind that under treated pain may increase confusion in the patient with HE. The severity of pain and goals of care should guide opioid use. If pain is an active issue for any given patient with HE, there are many strategies that may help in trying to achieve adequate pain control while minimizing the risk for precipitating or worsening symptoms of HE. Some strategies include switching from long-acting opioid preparations to a q4h around the clock schedule and monitoring breakthrough use, enabling the clinician to titrate the opioid more carefully. If some cases, a 10-25% reduction in total daily dosing or opioid rotation using equianalgesic dosing and a 25-50% reduction for incomplete cross-tolerance may be helpful. Because of the risk of metabolite accumulation and risk of neurotoxicity with morphine, especially in the context of compromised renal function, hydromorphone and fentanyl are better alternatives.(11)

If present, agitation in patients with HE may resolve with the above-mentioned approaches. Medications that depress central nervous system function, especially benzodiazepines, should be avoided or used with caution as they can worsen symptoms of HE.(12)

## **Case Re-visited**

The Palliative Care team visited with Mr. Z the following day. When seen, Mr. Z was disoriented and restless. His vitals included a pulse of 110, a blood pressure of 103/80, a temperature of 38.5C, a respiratory rate of 26 and an O2 sat of 90% on room air. Mr. Z was audibly congested. He had dry mucous membranes and Mrs. Z had noted that he was voiding less. Mr. Z had significant ascites and his abdomen was generally tender to touch.

Goals of care, symptom burden and prognosis were all re-visited. Of prime importance was the known desire for Mr. Z to die comfortably at home and to avoid further investigations or interventions. Mr. Z was diagnosed with a recurrence of HE with likely several precipitants, including dehydration, constipation and suspected spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. The increased use of opioids was likely another contributing factor.

Carefully discussed was the fact that not all precipitants may be identified or reversed and in light of Mr. Z's previously stated goals of care, hydration and empiric antibiotics were not initiated. Mr. Z's hydromorphone contin was discontinued and subcutaneous hydromorphone was started at a reduced dose of 0.3mg scheduled q4h around the clock. Mr. Z was given a fleet enema which produced a large bowel movement. Lactulose enemas were ordered TID as Mr. Z was too somnolent to take oral lactulose. For his agitation, a low dose of haldol at 0.25mg sc q8h scheduled and q4h prn was started. Glycopyrolate at 0.4mg sc q4h prn was also ordered for terminal respiratory secretions. Mr. Z's symptoms of pain and agitation improved but despite these changes, he became more somnolent. All his medications by mouth were stopped other than furosemide which was switched to the sub-cutaneous route. Mrs. Z was counselled for what expect over the ensuing days. Mr. Z died peacefully at home the next day.

# Conclusion

Hepatic encephalopathy is a common complication of end stage liver disease that can occur simultaneously with other complications of ESLD and in the elderly, within the context of multiple comorbidities. Once developed, it signals a poor prognosis for patients receiving palliative care. Although thought to be reversible, for patients whose primary goals of care are for comfort, the precipitants and overall management of HE may prove to be challenging as medication classes required to provide symptom control may precipitate or worsen hepatic encephalopathy. Guided by the patient's goals of care, families should be counselled about what to expect in terms of symptom burden, management strategies and prognosis.

Table 1: Palliative Performance Scale (PPSv2) version 2 (1)					
PPS	Ambulation	Activity & Evidence of	Self-Care	Intake	Conscious Level
Level		Disease			
100%	Full	Normal activity & work	Full	Normal	Full
		No evidence of disease			
90%	Full	Normal activity & work	Full	Normal	Full
		Some evidence of disease			
80%	Full	Normal activity & Effort	Full	Normal or reduced	Full
		Some evidence of disease			
70%	Reduced	Unable Normal Job/Work	Full	Normal or reduced	Full
		Significant disease			
60%	Reduced	Unable hobby/house work	Occasional assistance	Normal or reduced	Full or Confusion
		Significant disease	necessary		
50%	Mainly	Unable to do any work	Considerable	Normal or reduced	Full or Confusion
	Sit/Lie	Extensive disease	assistance required		
40%	Mainly in	Unable to do most activity	Mainly assistance	Normal or reduced	Full or Drowsy
	Bed	Extensive disease			+/- Confusion
30%	Totally Bed	Unable to do any activity	Total Care	Normal or reduced	Full or Drowsy
	Bound	Extensive disease			+/- Confusion
20%	Totally Bed	Unable to do any activity	Total Care	Minimal to sips	Full or Drowsy
	Bound	Extensive disease		-	+/- Confusion
10%	Totally Bed	Unable to do any activity	Total Care	Mouth care only	Drowsy or Coma
	Bound	Extensive disease			+/- Confusion
0%	Death	-	-	-	-

 Table 1: Palliative Performance Scale (PPSv2) version 2 (1)

## Table 2: The West Haven criteria of altered mental state in HE (7)

Stage	Description		
Stage 0	• Lack of detectable changes in personality or behavior		
Stage 1	Trivial lack of awareness		
	Euphoria or anxiety		
	Shortened attention span		
	<ul> <li>Impairment of addition or subtraction</li> </ul>		
	Altered sleep rhythm		
Stage 2	• Lethargy or apathy		
	Disorientation for time		
	Obvious personality change		
	Inappropriate behavior		
	• Dyspraxia		
	Asterixis		
Stage 3	Somnolence to semistupor		
	Responsive to stimuli		
	Confused		
	Gross disorientation		
	Bizarre behavior		
Stage 4	• Coma		

## References

- 1. Palliative Performance Scale (PPSv2) version 2. copyright Victoria Hospice Society. Medical Care of the Dying, 4th ed., p.120.
- Ferenci P, Lockwood A, Mullen K, Tarter R, Weissenborn K, Blei AT. Hepatic encephalopathydefinition, nomenclature, diagnosis, and quantification: final report of the working party at the 11th World Congresses of Gastroenterology, Vienna, 1998. Hepatology. 2002 Mar;35(3):716-21. PubMed PMID: 11870389. Epub 2002/03/01. eng.
- Bustamante J, Rimola A, Ventura PJ, et al. Prognostic significance of hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis. J Hepaol. 1999; 30(5): 890-895.
- 4. Waghray, A, Waghray, N, Kanna, S, Mullen, K. Optimal treatment of hepatic encephalopathy. Minerva

Gastroenterologica e Dietologica, Volume 60, Issue 1, March 2014, Pages 55-70.

- Vilstrup H, Amodio P, Bajaj J, Cordoba J, Ferenci P, Mullen KD, et al. Hepatic encephalopathy in chronic liver disease: 2014 Practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the European Association for the Study of the Liver. Hepatology. 2014 Aug;60(2):715-35. PubMed PMID: 25042402. Epub 2014/07/22. eng.
- Ferenci P, Lockwood A, Mullen K, Tarter R, Weissenborn K, Blei AT. Hepatic encephalopathydefinition, nomenclature, diagnosis, and quantification: final report of the working party at the 11th World Congresses of Gastroenterology, Vienna, 1998. Hepatology. 2002 Mar;35(3):716-21. PubMed PMID: 11870389. Epub 2002/03/01. eng.

- Bajaj JS, Cordoba J, Mullen KD, Amodio P, Shawcross DL, Butterworth RF, Morgan MY; International Society for Hepatic Encephalopathy and Nitrogen Metabolism (ISHEN). Review article: the design of clinical trials in hepatic encephalopathy—an International Society for Hepatic Encephalopathy and Nitrogen Metabolism (ISHEN)
- Consensus statement. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2011 Apr;33(7):739-47. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011. 04590.x. Epub 2011 Feb 9.
- Als-Nielsen B, Gluud LL, Gluud C. Nonabsorbable disaccharides for hepatic encephalopathy. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2004 (2): CD003044. PubMed PMID: 15106187. Epub 2004/04/24. eng.
- Kimer N, Krag A, Moller S, Bendtsen F, Gluud LL. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the effects of rifaximin in hepatic encephalopathy. Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics. 2014 Jul;40(2):123-32. PubMed PMID: 24849268. Epub 2014/05/23. eng.
- Córdoba J, López-Hellín J, Planas M, Sabín P, Sanpedro F, Castro F, Esteban R, Guardia J. Normal protein diet for episodic hepatic encephalopathy: results of a randomized study. J Hepatol. 2004 Jul;41(1):38-43.
- Natasha Chandok, and Kymberly D. S. Watt. Pain Management in the Cirrhotic Patient: The Clinical Challenge. Mayo Clin Proc. 2010 May; 85(5): 451–458. PMCID: PMC2861975
- Prabhakar S, Bhatia R. Management of agitation and convulsions in hepatic encephalopathy. Indian journal of gastroenterology : official journal of the Indian Society of Gastroenterology. 2003 Dec;22 Suppl 2:S54-8. PubMed PMID: 15025257. Epub 2004/03/18. eng.

**Date of Acceptance:** 12<sup>th</sup> Sept., 2015

Plagiarism Check Date: 19th Sept., 2015

**Peer Reviewed by Three editors blindly:** 29<sup>th</sup> Sept., 2015

**Reviewer's Comment Send to author:** 27<sup>th</sup> Sept., 2015

**Comment Incorporated and Revert by Author:** 10<sup>th</sup> October 1, 2015

Send For CRC: 12<sup>th</sup> October, 2015

**Conflict of Interest:** Authors of a Paper had no conflict neither financially nor academically

**Citation:** Giulia-Anna Perri, Houman Khosravani Hepatic Encephalopathy and Management Challenges in Palliative Care. Annals of Geriatric Education and Medical Sciences'' Volume-2, Issue-1, Jan-June, 2015 www.agems.in