

JAVA BASED XSD, XML AND WSDL VALIDATION TOOL

MARMIK PANDYA, SIDDHARTH SHAH & ANUP PANDEY

Auto & Auro BU, L&T Infotech Ltd., Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

With XML (eXtensible Markup Language) becoming the standard for representing and exchanging data on the Internet, the problem of validation of XML data when updated has attracte more and more attentions. The traditional validation processes used to take hours with high risk of having to miss many checks and hence error prone.

Our paper presents an automated validation tool, based on Java, which provides an easier and faster way to validate the XSD and WSDL files based on the company standards of Larsen & Toubro Infotech.

KEYWORDS: XML, WSDL, XSD, SOAP, MVC, JAXB, SAXParser

INTRODUCTION

In the modern era of communication, web services have taken an upper hand over all other means of communication between any two electronic devices over the internet. Web services being a popular and convenient way of communication follow SOAP standards for their implementation worldwide, making it platform independent, generic and hence reusable.

To be able to reuse such a varied functionality as much as possible there is an urge of a standards to be followed across the applications or parties involved in communication.

Standardization means having more rules and hence adding more work in the implementation of the web services. Thus in order to NOT to divert the aim of having more reusable and structured web service on the Internet we try to automate the task of standardization in the first step.

This tool validates XSD and WSDL as per the predefined standards. The tool is developed in Java which takes a set of WSDL and XSD files used for designing a web service as an input; performs read (unmarshal) and write (marshal) operations in the XML file; validate tags (automatically as well as manually) and generates a report of the validation performed which can be saved at any location in your computer in Excel spreadsheet format.

SYSTEM DESIGN

As per the scope of the project, the system follows this architecture:



Figure 1: Architectural Representation of the System

Use-Case View Model

It depicts the use-case or scenarios from the project architecture:



Figure 2: Use-Case Diagram

Sequence Diagram

The following sequence diagram shows detailed view of how reading (unmarshalling) and writing (marshalling) takes place:

Impact Factor (JCC): 3.1323



Figure 3: Sequence Diagram

IMPLEMENTATION

The code implementation of the tool follows Object Oriented Approach which makes the components of the tool are modular as well as reusable. Since, the tool performs three different activities on the set of files, the implementation of each were independent.

Implementation of this project involves creating the database for the login, creating the User Interface for the system, writing code for processing user requests & generating and printing report after validating. The project has been designed in such a way so as to create a simple, user-friendly GUI, although it involves complex backend programming.

MARSHALLING

Marshalling is done here in order to follow 'write' operations. It is done so as to write tag values into an XML file. This process converts Java objects into XML. The tag values submitted by user through the tool UI is parsed into Java objects using SAXParser, and JAXB writes them off into an XML file.

UNMARSHALLING

Unmarshalling is done here in order to follow 'read' operations. It is done so as to read tag values from an XML file. This process converts XML values into Java objects. The tool retrieves the tag values of the tag names submitted by user through the tool UI. The tag names submitted is parsed into Java objects first using SAXParser which is binded into XML object using JAXB. A code for searching that XML object in the referenced XML file has been implemented. As soon as the queried XML object is found, the tool retreieves the tag attributes or values of the tag names searched by the user. It then rebinds the XML objects into Java objects using JAXB and is returned to the user using display.

Validation means to check if the generated XSD file of a web service follows proper standards or standard. Most used standards for web service is SOAP. The standards has been set as per the requirements of the Auto & Auro BU of L&T InfoTech

Let's see a sample XSD file, which is referenced for validation for the demo version of the tool:

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>

<xs:schema id="ConnectedAdministrationService"

targetNamespace="http://xmlns.sample.com/fleetmanagement/schema/ConnectedAdministrationService/v1"elementForm Default="qualified" xmlns="http://xmlns.sample.com/fleetmanagement/schema/ConnectedAdministrationService/v1"

xmlns:tns="http://xmlns.sample.com/fleetmanagement/schema/ConnectedAdministrationService/v1"

xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"

attributeFormDefault="qualified" version="1.0">

<xs:element name="GetStaffRequest">

<xs:complexType>

<xs:sequence>

<xs:element minOccurs="1" name="staffRef" type="tns:StaffRef"/>

</xs:sequence>

</xs:complexType>

</xs:element>

<xs:element name="GetStaffResponse">

<xs:complexType>

<xs:sequence>

<xs:element minOccurs="0" name="staff" type="tns:Staff" />

</xs:sequence>

</xs:complexType>

</xs:element>

<xs:element name="PingRequest">

<xs:complexType>

Java Based XSD, XML and WSDL Validation Tool

<xs:sequence>

<xs:element minOccurs="1" name="executionProcess" type="tns:PingType" />

<xs:element minOccurs="1" nillable="true" name="responseTimeMax" type="xs:int" />

</xs:sequence>

</xs:complexType>

</xs:element>

<xs:element name="PingResponse">

<xs:complexType>

<xs:sequence>

```
<xs:element minOccurs="1" name="resultCode" type="tns:PingResultCode" />
```

<rs:element minOccurs="1" nillable="true" name="resultDescription" type="xs:string" />

<xs:element minOccurs="1" nillable="true" name="responseTimeActual" type="xs:int" />

<xs:element minOccurs="1" name="serviceVersion" type="xs:string" />

</xs:sequence>

</xs:complexType>

</xs:element>

</xs:schema>

The validation checks of this XSD file has been done as per the functionalities stated in the Functional Requirements (*see Section 3.2*). The validation report follows a color coding for the understanding of the user: 'Green' for correct validation, 'Red' for incorrect validation, and 'Yellow' for warning. The report generated after the validation of this XSD file.

Functional Requirements

The functional requirements for the validation of the XSD are:

• Namespace Structure

The given Namespace structure is http://xmlns.sample.com/[domain]/[type]/[object]/v[version]

• Functionalities

checkNamespaceStructure for ConnectedAdministrationService_v1.0.wsdl checkNamespaceStructure for fleetmanagement_connectedadministrationservice_v1.0.xsd

Namespace Prefix Character Check

Rules for prefix: - Always use lower case - a-z, for first letter - a-z, 0-9, (period) and - (hyphens) for the rest

• Functionalities

checkNamespacePrefixStructure for ConnectedAdministrationService_v1.0.wsdl

checkNamespaceStructure for fleetmanagement_connectedadministrationservice_v1.0.xsd

Namespace Pefix Length Check

The recommendation is to use between 3 and 5 letters for the prefix

• Functionalities

checkNamespacePrefixLength for ConnectedAdministrationService_v1.0.wsdl

checkNamespacePrefixLength for fleetmanagement_connectedadministrationservice_v1.0.xsd

Namespace Version Check

The version of the object, a letter v followed by a number. The number is the major version. e.g. v2

• Functionalities

checkNamespaceVersion for ConnectedAdministrationService_v1.0.wsdl

checkNamespaceVersion for fleetmanagement_connectedadministrationservice_v1.0.xsd

Type Check Namespace

Type can be one of the following: -Schema for XML -Contract for WSDL

• Functionalities

checkNamespaceType for ConnectedAdministrationService_v1.0.wsdl

checkNamespaceType for fleetmanagement_connectedadministrationservice_v1.0.xsd

Target Namespace Check

Target namespace is there when there are user defined schemas. UseTarget namespace attribute with following attributes: elementFormDefault, attributeFormDefault

• Functionalities

checkTargetNamespace for fleetmanagement_connectedadministrationservice_v1.0.xsd

Some functions are to be manually checked. They are:

- Backward Compatibility: Contracts should be backward compatible
- Attachments: Attachments Profile Version 1.0 is the foundation when using attachments in messages
- URL Check: URL should not resolve in an actual network address and also no document should be present on that address

Impact Factor (JCC): 3.1323

14

- Basic Profile: Service must be defined according to WS-I Basic Profile 1.1
- Documentation and CommentCheck: Check if xsd:documentation or wsdl:documentation elements are used for comments

RESULTS

After successful implementation of the validation tool, the results have been observed and recorded for possible further future work.

Validation

The inputs for validation are the tag name and attribute name to be validated automatically. For the validation checks that cannot be performed automatically, checkboxes has been provided for the user to check them manually.

| · · · · | localhost:8080/test/faces/sax.xhtml |
|--|---|
| 📙 Apps 📄 Mario | 🗋 Best video downloa 🚺 Metallica - The Unfo |
| Validation | |
| Enter Tag Name | |
| xs:schema | |
| Enter Attribute Na | me |
| xmins | |
| | |
| 2015-0230 | 22 NA |
| Backward Compa | ability : 🗭 |
| Backward Compa Attachments : | abbility: 🗵 |
| Backward Compa Attachments : □ URL Check : ☑ | ability : 🗭 |
| Backward Compa Attachments : URL Check : Basic Profile : □ | tibility: 🗭 |

Figure 4: Validation Input

After the values has been submitted, the tool generates a report made in CSS3 which show the validation checks graphically.

Marmik Pandya, Siddharth Shah & Anup Pandey

| C fi |) localhost 5000/test/faces/table.uhtml) Eestvides downlos D Metallos - The Write | | 🖸 |
|--|--|---|---|
| | | | |
| alidation | Rule | Check | flertmanagement_connectedadministrationservice_v1.0 xsd |
| SameSpace Inucture | Common sample Namespace structure. http://mins.sample.com.[domain][type][object]/v[version] | checkNamespaceStructure for foretranagement_connectedadministrationservice_v1.0 xid | Cerest Nanopare studies |
| lameSpace Vefix Daracter Dack | Rules for prefix: - Always use lower case - a-a, for first letter - a-a, 0-9, . (period) and - (hyphens) for the rest | NameSpace Prefix Character Check for fleetmanagement_connectedadaiaintrationservice_v1.0 xud | - ox |
| SameSpace Vefix Length Theok | The recommendation is to use between 3 and 5 letters for the prefix | NameSpace Perix Length Check for feetmanagement_connectedadministrationservice_v1.0 xsd | No prefix |
| SameSpace Tersion Theck | The namespace should contain the major version | NameSpace Version Check for feetmanagement_connectedadministrationservice_v1.0 xud | (Version is v) |
| ype Check GaneSpace | Type can be one of the following -Schema for XML - Contract for WSDL | checkNamespaceType for fleetmanagement_connectedadministrationservice_v10xid | Type of decision is 1550. |
| arget CameSpace | Target namespace is there when there are user defind schemat. Use Target namespace attribute with following | checkTarpetNamespace for | - 00) |

Figure 5: Validation Report as Generated in Browser

Manual Checks true

| Validation | Rule | Check | fleetmanagement_connectedadministrationservice_v1.0.xsd |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| Backward Compatibility | Contracts should be backward compatible | Checked Manually | OK |
| Attachments | Attachments Profile Version 1.0 is the foundation when using attachments in messages | Checked Manually | Not OK |
| URL Check | xML documents is encoded in UTF8 (XML, XML Scheman, WSDL etc) | Checked Manualy | OK |
| Banic Profile | Service must be defined according to WS-1 Bunic Profile 1.1 | Checked Manually | Not OK |
| Documentation and CommentCheck | Check if used documentation or well documentation elements are used for comments | Checked Manually | Not OK |

Figure 6: Validation Report of the Manual Checks

FUTURE SCOPE

The tool can be developed for larger scope working. Some of which can be suggested as:

- To add 'browse' functionality to add files from the system in .zip format rather than through referenced path.
- To be able to host the tool on live server.
- To add AGUD (Add-Get-Update-Delete) functionalities.
- To be able to download and save the report in more portable and compatible formats, like .pdf.

CONCLUSIONS

Several mechanisms and XML APIs can be used to parse and validate XSD file like Validate using internal DTD, Validate using internal XSD, Validate using external Schema. The mechanism can mostly stay the same for these different APIs.

We have developed a system that validates a XSD file thus removing all the error in the file and making the web service run smoothly as well as saving a lot of time, as doing the same activity takes hours with high risk of having to miss many checks and hence error prone.

REFERENCES

- 1. G. Sudha Sadasivam "Middleware Enterprise and Integration Technologies", Wiley India edition
- 2. http://www.oracle.com/technetwork/java/javaee/javaserverfaces-139869.html
- 3. http://docs.oracle.com/javaee/5/tutorial/doc/bnapk.html
- 4. http://docs.oracle.com/javaee/5/tutorial/doc/bnapl.html
- 5. http://docs.oracle.com/javaee/5/tutorial/doc/bnaty.html
- 6. http://www.jsftutorials.net/