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#### Abstract

In this paper, the digraph valued function(digraph operator), namely the block line cut vertex digraph $B L C(D)$ of a digraph $D$ is defined, and the problem of reconstructing a digraph from its block line cut vertex digraph is presented. Outer planarity, maximal outer planarity, and minimally non-outer planarity properties of these digraphs are discussed.


## 1. Introduction

Notations and definitions not introduced here can be found in [2,3]. For a simple graph $G$ with vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$, detailed by V.R. Kulli et al.[5] gave the following definition. The block line cut vertex graph of $G$, written $B n(G)$, is the graph whose vertices are the edges, cut vertices, and blocks of $G$, with two vertices of $\operatorname{Bn}(G)$ adjacent whenever the corresponding members of $G$ are adjacent or incident, where the edges, cut vertices, and blocks of $G$ are called its members.

In this paper, we extend the definition of the block line cut vertex graph of a graph to a directed graph. M.Aigner [1] defines the line digraph of a digraph as follows. Let $D$ be a digraph with $n$ vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ and $m$ arcs, and $L(D)$ its associated line digraph with $n^{\prime}$ vertices and $m^{\prime}$ arcs. We immediately have $n^{\prime}=m$ and $m^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right) \cdot d^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Furthermore, the in-respectively out-degree of a vertex $v^{\prime}=\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ in $L(D)$ are $d^{-}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right), d^{+}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=d^{+}\left(v_{j}\right)$. Also, a digraph $D$ is said to be a line digraph if it is isomorphic to the line digraph of a certain digraph $H[7]$.

We need some concepts and notations on directed graphs. A directed graph(or just digraph ) $D$ consists of a finite non-empty set $V(D)$ of elements called vertices and a finite set $A(D)$ of ordered pair of distinct vertices called $\operatorname{arcs}$. Here, $V(D)$ is

[^0]the vertex set and $A(D)$ is the arc set of $D$. For an arc $(u, v)$ or $u v$ the first vertex $u$ is its tail and the second vertex $v$ is its head. The out-degree of a vertex $v$, written $d^{+}(v)$, is the number of arcs going out from $v$ and the in-degree of a vertex $v$, written $d^{-}(v)$, is the number of arcs coming into $v$. The total degree of a vertex $v$, written $t d(v)$, is the number of $\operatorname{arcs}$ incident with $v$, i.e., $t d(v)=d^{-}(v)+d^{+}(v)$. A vertex $v$ for which $d^{+}(v)=d^{-}(v)=0$ is called an isolate. A vertex $v$ is called a transmitter or a receiver according as $d^{+}(v)>0, d^{-}(v)=0$ or $d^{+}(v)=0, d^{-}(v)>0$. An out-star (in-star) in a digraph $D$ is a star in the underlying undirected graph of $D$ such that all arcs are directed out of (into) the center. The out-star and in-star of order $k$ is denoted by $S_{k}^{+}$and $S_{k}^{-}$, respectively.

A cut set of a digraph $D$ is defined as a minimal set of vertices whose removal increases the number of connected components of $D$. A cut set of size one is called a cut vertex. A block of a digraph $D$ is a maximal connected subdigraph $B$ of $D$ such that no vertex of $B$ is a cut vertex of $D$. A tournament is a digraph whose underlying graph is a complete graph. A tournament of order $n$ is denoted by $T_{n}$.

Since most of the results and definitions for undirected planar graphs are valid for planar digraphs also, the following definitions hold good for planar digraphs. A planar drawing of a digraph $D$ is a drawing of $D$ in which no two distinct arcs intersect. A digraph is said to be planar if it admits a planar drawing. If $D$ is a planar digraph, then the inner vertex number $i(D)$ of $D$ is the minimum number of vertices not belonging to the boundary of the exterior region in any embedding of $D$ in the plane. A digraph $D$ is an outerplanar if $i(D)=0$ and minimally non-outerplanar if $i(D)=1$ ([4]).

## 2. Definition of $\mathrm{BLC}(\mathrm{D})$ :

For a connected digraph $D$, the block line cut vertex digraph $Q=B L C(D)$ has vertex set $V(Q)=A(D) \cup C(D) \cup B(D)$ and the arc set

$$
A(Q)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a b: a, b \in A(D), \text { the head of } a \text { coincides with the tail of } b, \\
C d: C \in C(D), d \in A(D), \text { the tail of } d \text { is } C \\
d C: C \in C(D), d \in A(D), \text { the head of } d \text { is } C \\
B e: B \in B(D), e \in A(D) \text {, the arc } e \text { lie on block } B
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $C(D)$ is the cut vertex set and $B(D)$ is the block set of $D$.
For a connected digraph $D$ with $V(Q)=A(D) \cup C(D)$, the first three conditions of $A(Q)$ is the line cut vertex digraph of $D$ and denoted by $L C(D)$.

Clearly, $L C(D) \subseteq B L C(D)$, where $\subseteq$ is the subdigraph notation.

## 3. Decomposition and Reconstruction

One of the major challenges in the study of digraph operators is to reproduce the original digraph from the digraph operator, i.e., when is a digraph the block line cut vertex digraph of a certain digraph $D$ and is $D$ reconstructible from $B L C(D)$ ?

A digraph $D$ is a complete bipartite digraph if its vertex set can be partitioned into two sets $A, B$ in such a way that every arc has its initial vertex in $A$ and its terminal vertex in $B$ and any two vertices $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ are joined by an arc. An arc $(u, v)$ of $D$ is said to be an end arc if $u$ is the transmitter and $v$ is the receiver.

Let $D$ be a digraph with vertex set $V(D)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$, cut vertex set $C(D)=\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{r}\right\}$, and block set $B(D)=\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{s}\right\}$. We consider the following four cases.

Case 1: Let $v$ be a vertex of $D$ with $d_{D}^{-}(v)=\alpha$ and $d_{D}^{+}(v)=\beta$. Then $\alpha \operatorname{arcs}$ coming into $v$ and the $\beta$ arcs going out from $v$ give rise to a complete bipartite subdigraph with $\alpha$ tails and $\beta$ heads and $\alpha \cdot \beta$ arcs joining each tail with each head. This is the decomposition of $L(D)$ into mutually arc disjoint complete bipartite subdigraphs.

Case 2: Let $C$ be a cut vertex of $D$ with $d_{D}^{-}(C)=\alpha^{\prime}$. Then $\alpha^{\prime}$ arcs coming into $C$ give rise to a complete bipartite subdigraph with $\alpha^{\prime}$ tails and a single head(i.e., $C$ ) and $\alpha^{\prime}$ arcs joining each tail with $C$.

Case 3: Let $C$ be a cut vertex of $D$ with $d_{D}^{+}(C)=\beta^{\prime}$. Then $\beta^{\prime}$ arcs going out from $C$ give rise to a complete bipartite subdigraph with a single tail (i.e., $C$ ) and $\beta^{\prime}$ heads and $\beta^{\prime}$ arcs joining $C$ with each head.

Case 4: Let $B$ be a block of $D$. Then the arcs, say $\gamma$ that lie on $B$ give rise to a complete bipartite subdigraph with a single tail(i.e., $B$ ) and $\gamma$ heads and $\gamma$ arcs joining $B$ with each head.

Hence by all above cases, $H=B L C(D)$ is decomposed into mutually arcdisjoint complete bipartite subdigraphs with $V(H)=A(D) \cup C(D) \cup B(D)$ and arc sets (i) $\cup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \times Y_{i}$, where $X_{i}$ and $Y_{i}$ be the sets of in-coming and out-going arcs at $v_{i}$, respectively. (ii) $\cup_{j=1}^{r} \cup_{k=1}^{r} Z_{j}^{\prime} \times C_{k}$ such that $Z_{j}^{\prime} \times C_{k}=0$ for $j \neq k$, (iii) $\cup_{k=1}^{r} \cup_{j=1}^{r} C_{k} \times Z_{j}$ such that $C_{k} \times Z_{j}=0$ for $k \neq j$, where $Z_{j}^{\prime}$ and $Z_{j}$ be the sets of in-coming and out-going arcs at $C_{j}$, respectively. (iv) $\cup_{l=1}^{s} \cup_{l^{\prime}=1}^{s} B_{l} \times N_{l^{\prime}}$ such that $B_{l} \times N_{l^{\prime}}=0$ for $l \neq l^{\prime}$, where $N_{l^{\prime}}$ is the set of arcs that lie on $B_{l}$ of $D$.

Conversely, let $H$ be a digraph of the type described above. It should be noted that the subdigraphs obtained by Case 2, Case 3 and Case 4 are used to identify the cut vertex(es) and blocks of $D$. So, we first reconstruct $D$ without cut vertex(es) and blocks. For that, let us denote each of the complete bipartite subdigraphs obtained by Case 1 by $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{p}$. Let $V(D)=\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}, t_{p+1}\right\}$. On the other hand, if $D$ has end arcs, then $V(D)=\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}, t_{p+1}, t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots\right\}$, where $t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots$ are the vertices corresponding to end $\operatorname{arcs} e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots$ of $D$, respectively. The arcs of $D$ are obtained by the following procedure. For each vertex $v \in L(D)$, we draw an arc, say $a_{v}$ to $D$ as follows.
Step 1: If $d_{L(D)}^{+}(v)>0, d_{L(D)}^{-}(v)=0$, then $a_{v}=\left(t_{0}, t_{i}\right)$, where $i$ is the index (or base) of $T_{i}$ such that $v \in X_{i}$;
Step 2: If $d_{L(D)}^{+}(v)=0, d_{L(D)}^{-}(v)>0$, then $a_{v}=\left(t_{j}, t_{p+1}\right)$, where $j$ is the index of $T_{j}$ such that $v \in Y_{j}$;

Step 3: If $d_{L(D)}^{+}(v)>0, d_{L(D)}^{-}(v)>0$, then $a_{v}=\left(t_{i}, t_{j}\right)$, where $i$ and $j$ are the indices of $T_{i}$ and $T_{j}$ such that $v \in X_{j} \cap Y_{i}$.

If $D$ has an end arc, then the corresponding vertex in $L(D)$ is an isolate. Then, Step 4: Let $e_{1}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}$ be an arc and end arc of $D$, respectively, and let $v$ be a vertex of $e_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $d^{-}(v)>0, d^{+}(v)=0$. Then $a_{v}=\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{p+1}\right)$.
Step 5: Let $e_{1}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}$ be an arc and end arc of $D$, respectively, and let $v$ be a vertex of $e_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $d^{+}(v)>0, d^{-}(v)=0$. Then $a_{v}=\left(t_{0}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

We now mark the cut vertices of $D$ as follows. From Case 2 and Case 3, we observe that for every cut vertex $C$, there exists at most two complete bipartite subdigraphs, one containing $C$ as the tail, and other as head. Let it be $C_{j}^{\prime}$ and $C_{j}^{\prime \prime}$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$ such that $C_{j}^{\prime}$ contains $C$ as the tail and $C_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ contains $C$ as the head. If the heads of $C_{j}^{\prime}$ and tails of $C_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ are the heads and tails of a single $T_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p$, then the vertex $t_{i}$ is a cut vertex in $D$, where $i$ is the index of $T_{i}$. If the (original digraph) $D$ has an end arc, then a vertex of an end arc whose total degree at least two is a cut vertex in the reconstruction.

Finally, we mark the blocks of $D$ as follows. Let us denote each of the complete bipartite subdigraphs obtained by Case 4 by $B_{1}^{\prime}, B_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, B_{s}^{\prime}$. Now, for all $l$ such that $1 \leqslant l \leqslant s$, if all heads of $B_{l}^{\prime}$ are the tails(or heads) of some $T_{i}$, then the arcs joining the vertices $t_{i}$ forms a block in the reconstruction, where $i$ is the indices of $T_{i}$. Furthermore, an arc whose one of the end vertices having total degree one is a block. The digraph $D$ thus constructed apparently has $H$ as its block line cut vertex digraph. Hence we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.1. $H$ is the block line cut vertex digraph of a certain digraph $D$ if and only if $V(H)=A(D) \cup C(D) \cup B(D)$ and arc sets $A(H)$ equals : $(i) \cup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \times Y_{i}$, (ii) $\cup_{j=1}^{r} \cup_{k=1}^{r} Z_{j}^{\prime} \times C_{k}$ such that $Z_{j}^{\prime} \times C_{k}=0$ for $j \neq k$, (iii) $\cup_{k=1}^{r} \cup_{j=1}^{r} C_{k} \times Z_{j}$ such that $C_{k} \times Z_{j}=0$ for $k \neq j$, (iv) $\cup_{l=1}^{s} \cup_{l^{\prime}=1}^{s} B_{l} \times N_{l^{\prime}}$ such that $B_{l} \times N_{l^{\prime}}=0$ for $l \neq l^{\prime}$.

The following existing theorems are required to prove further results:
Theorem A ([2]): Every maximal outerplanar graph $G$ with $n$ vertices has $(2 n-3)$ edges.
Theorem B ([3]): A directed multi digraph $D$ is Eulerian if and only if $D$ is connected and $d_{D}^{-}(v)=d_{D}^{+}(v)$, for every vertex $v \in D$.

## 4. Properties of the block line cut vertex digraph

In this section, we establish some basic relationships between a digraph and its block line cut vertex digraph. The first Theorem is clear, and we omit the proof.

Theorem 4.1. Let $D$ be a digraph with vertex set $V(D)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$, cut vertex set $C(D)=\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{r}\right\}$, and block set $B(D)=\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{s}\right\}$. Then
the order and size of $B L C(D)$ are $m+\sum_{j=1}^{r} C_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{s} B_{k}$ and $m+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right) \cdot d^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left\{d^{-}\left(C_{j}\right)+d^{+}\left(C_{j}\right)\right\}$, respectively, where $m$ is the size of $D$.

Theorem 4.2. The block line cut vertex digraph $B L C(D)$ of a digraph $D$ is always non-Eulerian.

Proof. For every block vertex $B \in B L C(D), d_{B L C(D)}^{-}(B)=0, d_{B L C(D)}^{+}(B)>$ 0 . Hence $d_{B L C(D)}^{-}(B) \neq d_{B L C(D)}^{+}(B)$. By Theorem $[\mathrm{B}], B L C(D)$ is non-Eulerian.

Theorem 4.3. For a connected digraph $D, B L C(D)$ is an outerplanar if
(a) $D$ is a directed path $\overrightarrow{P_{n}}$ on $n \geqslant 3$ vertices.
(b) $D$ is an in-star (out-star) of order $n \geqslant 3$.

Proof. Case 1: Suppose $D$ is a directed path $\vec{P}_{n}$ on $n \geqslant 3$ vertices. Then every block of $B L C(D)$ is either $T_{2}$ or $T_{3}$ such that $i(B L C(D))=0$. Thus, $B L C(D)$ is an outerplanar.
Case 2: Suppose $D$ is an in-star(out-star) with vertex set $V(D)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and arc set $A(D)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-1}\right\}, n \geqslant 3$. Then $L(D)$ is totally disconnected of order $(n-1)$. The number of cut vertex of $D$ is exactly one. Then $L C(D)$ is an in-star(out-star) of order $n$ such that the center of $L C(D)$ is the cut vertex of $D$. Finally, since every arc of an in-star(out-star) is a block, the arcs incident out of corresponding block vertices reaches the vertices of $L(D)$ gives $B L C(D)$ such that $i(B L C(D))=0$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.4. For any connected digraph $D, B L C(D)$ is not maximal outerplanar.

Proof. We prove this by the method of contradiction. Suppose $B L C(D)$ is maximal outerplanar. We consider the following two cases.
Case 1: Let $D$ be a directed path on $n \geqslant 3$ vertices. By Theorem 4.1, the order and size of $B L C(D)$ are $3 \phi+2$ and $4 \phi+1$, respectively, where $\phi=(n-2), n \geqslant 3$. But, $4 \phi+1<6 \phi+1=2(3 \phi+2)-3$. By Theorem $[\mathrm{A}], B L C(D)$ is not maximal outerplanar, a contradiction.
Case 2: Let $D$ be an in-star(out-star) of order $n \geqslant 3$. By Theorem 4.1, the order and size of $B L C(D)$ are $2 \phi+3$ and $2 \phi+2$, respectively, where $\phi=(n-2), n \geqslant 3$. But, $2 \phi+2<4 \phi+3=2(2 \phi+3)-3$. By Theorem[A], $B L C(D)$ is not maximal outerplanar, a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.5. For a digraph $D=C_{3} \cup\{e\}$, i.e., the 3-directed cycle with a pendant arc, $B L C(D)$ is minimally non-outerplanar.

Proof. Suppose $D=C_{3} \cup\{e\}$. Let $V(D)=\{a, b, c, d\}$ and

$$
A(D)=\{(a, b),(b, c),(c, a),(c, d)\} .
$$

Then $A(L(D))=\{(a b, b c),(b c, c a),(b c, c d),(c a, a b)\}$. Now, $c$ is the cut vertex of $D$ such that $c$ is the tail of $\operatorname{arcs} T=\{(c, a),(c, d)\}$ and head of an $\operatorname{arc} H=(b, c)$. Then the arcs incident into $c$ from the vertices corresponding to $H$, and the arcs incident out of $c$ reaches the vertices corresponding to arcs of $T$ in $L(D)$ gives $L C(D)$ such that $i(L C(D))=0$. Let $B_{1}=\{(a, b),(b, c),(c, a)\}$ and $B_{2}=(c, d)$ be two blocks of $D$. Then the arcs incident out of block vertices $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ in $L C(D)$ gives $B L C(D)$ such that $i(B L C(D))=1$. Hence $B L C(D)$ is minimally non-outerplanar.
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