# BOUNDARY EDGE DOMINATION IN GRAPHS 

Puttaswamy* and Mohammed Alatif


#### Abstract

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph, A subset $S$ of $E(G)$ is called a boundary edge dominating set if every edge of $E-S$ is edge boundary dominated by some edge of $S$. The minimum taken over all boundary edge dominating sets of a graph $G$ is called the boundary edge domination number of G and is denoted by $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)$. In this paper we introduce the edge boundary domination in graph. Exact values of some standard graphs are obtained and some other interesting results are established.


## 1. Introduction and Definitions

For graph-theoretical terminology and notations not defined here we follow Buck-ley [6], West [8] and Haynes et al.[7]. All graphs in this paper will be finite and undirected, without loops and multiple edges. As usual $n=|V|$ and $m=|E|$ denote the number of vertices and edges of a graph G, respectively. In general, we use $\langle X\rangle$ to denote the subgraph induced by the set of vertices $X . N(v)$ and $N[v]$ denote the open and closed neighbourhood of a vertex $v$, respectively. A set $D$ of vertices in a graph $G$ is a dominating set if every vertex in $V-D$ is adjacent to some vertex in $D$. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of $G$.
A line graph $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$ (also called an interchange graph or edge graph) of a simple graph G is obtained by associating a vertex with each edge of the graph and connecting two vertices with an edge if and only if the corresponding edges of $G$ have a vertex in common. For terminology and notations not specifically defined here we refer reader to $[\mathbf{1 1}]$.
Let $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. For

[^0]$i \neq j$, a vertex $v_{i}$ is a boundary vertex of $v_{j}$ if $d\left(v_{j} ; v_{t}\right) \leqslant d\left(v_{j} ; v_{i}\right)$ for all $v_{t} \in N\left(v_{i}\right)$ (see $[3,4]$ ).
A vertex $v$ is called a boundary neighbor of $u$ if $v$ is a nearest boundary of $u$. If $u \in V$, then the boundary neighbourhood of $u$ denoted by $N_{b}(u)$ is defined as $N_{b}(u)=\{v \in V: d(u, w) \leqslant d(u, v)$ for all $w \in N(u)\}$. The cardinality of $N_{b}(u)$ is denoted by $\operatorname{deg}_{b}(u)$ in $G$. The maximum and minimum boundary degree of a vertex in G are denoted respectively by $\Delta_{b}(G)$ and $\delta_{b}(G)$. That is $\Delta_{b}(G)=$ $\max _{u \in V}\left|N_{b}(u)\right|, \delta_{b}(G)=\min _{u \in V}\left|N_{b}(u)\right|$.
A vertex $u$ boundary dominate a vertex $v$ if $v$ is a boundary neighbor of $u$. A subset B of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})$ is called a boundary dominating set if every vertex of $V-B$ is boundary dominated by some vertex of B. The minimum taken over all boundary dominating sets of a graph G is called the boundary domination number of G and is denoted by $\gamma_{b}(G)$. [2]
The distance $d\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)$ between two edges in $E(G)$ is defined as the distance between the corresponding vertices $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$ in the line graph of $G$, or if $e_{i}=u v$ and $e_{j}=u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$, the distance between $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$ in $G$ is defined as follows:
$$
d\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=\min \left\{d\left(u, u^{\prime}\right), d\left(u, v^{\prime}\right), d\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), d\left(v, u^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
$$

The degree of an edge $e=u v$ of G is defined by $\operatorname{deg}(e)=\operatorname{deg} u+\operatorname{deg} v-2$.
The concept of edge domination was introduced by Mitchell and Hedetniemi [12]. A subset X of E is called an edge dominating set of G if every edge not in X is adjacent to some edge in X. The edge domination number $\gamma^{\prime}(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinality taken over all edge dominating sets of G . We need the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. [2]
a. For any path $P_{n}, n \geqslant 3, \gamma_{b}\left(P_{n}\right)=n-2$.
b. For any complete graph $K_{n}, n \geqslant 4, \gamma_{b}\left(K_{n}\right)=1$.

Theorem 1.2. [10] For any $(n, m)$-graph $G, \gamma^{\prime}(G) \leqslant m-\Delta^{\prime}(G)$, where $\Delta^{\prime}(G)$ denotes the maximum degree of an edge in $G$.

Theorem 1.3. [5] Edge - eccentric graph of a complete graph $K_{n}$ is a regular graph with regularity $\frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2}$.

Theorem 1.4. [1] If $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$ and if none of the three graphs $F_{1}, F_{2}$, and $F_{3}$ depicted in Fig. 2 are induced subgraphs of $G$, then $\operatorname{diam}(L(G)) \leqslant 2$.


Figure 1. The graphs mentioned in Theorem 1.4

## 2. Results

Let $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a graph and $f, e$ be any two edges in $E$. Then $f$ and $e$ are adjacent if they have one end vertex in common.

Definition 2.1. An edge $e=u v \in E$ is said to be a boundary edge of $f$ if $d(e, g) \leqslant d(e, f)$ for all $g \in N^{\prime}(e)$. An edge $g$ is a boundary neighbor of an edge $f$ if $g$ is a nearest boundary of $f$. Two edges $f$ and $e$ are boundary adjacent if $f$ adjacent to $e$ and there exist another edge $g$ adjacent to both $f$ and $e$.

Definition 2.2. A set $S$ of edges is called a boundary edge dominating set if every edge of $E-S$ is boundary edge dominated by some edge of $S$. The minimum taken over all edge boundary dominating sets of a graph G is called the boundary edge domination number of G and is denoted by $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)$.

The boundary edge neighbourhood of $e$ denoted by $N_{b}^{\prime}(e)$ is defined as $N_{b}^{\prime}(e)=$ $\left\{f \in E(G): d(e, g) \leqslant d(e, f)\right.$ for all $\left.g \in N^{\prime}(e)\right\}$. The cardinality of $N_{b}^{\prime}(e)$ is denoted by $\operatorname{deg}_{b}(e)$ in $G$. The maximum and minimum boundary degree of an edge in G are denoted respectively by $\Delta_{b}^{\prime}(G)$ and $\delta_{b}^{\prime}(G)$. That is $\Delta_{b}^{\prime}(G)=\max _{e \in E}\left|N_{b}^{\prime}(e)\right|$ and $\delta_{b}^{\prime}(G)=\min _{e \in E}\left|N_{b}^{\prime}(e)\right|$.

A boundary edge dominating set $S$ is minimal if for any edge $f \in S, S-\{f\}$ is not boundary edge dominating set of $G$. A subset $S$ of $E$ is called boundary edge independent set, if for any $f \in S, f \notin N_{b}^{\prime}(g)$, for all $g \in S-\{f\}$. If an edge $f \in E$ be such that $N_{b}^{\prime}(f)=\phi$ then j is in any boundary edge dominating set. Such edges are called boundary-isolated. The minimum boundary edge dominating set denoted by $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)$-set.

An edge dominating set $X$ is called an independent boundary edge dominating set if no two edges in $X$ are boundary-adjacent. The independent boundary edge domination number $\gamma_{b i}^{\prime}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality taken over all independent boundary edge dominating sets of G. For a real number $x ;\lfloor x\rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to $x$ and $\lceil x\rceil$ denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to $x$.

In Figure 2, $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$, and $E(G)=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$. The minimum boundary dominating set is $B=\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$. Therefore $\gamma_{b}(G)=2$. The minimal edge dominating sets are $\{2,5\},\{3,6\},\{4,6\},\{1,4\},\{1,3,5\}$. Therefore $\gamma^{\prime}(G)=2$.
The minimum boundary edge dominating sets are $\{1,2,6\},\{1,5,4\},\{2,3,4\},\{4,5,6\}$. Therefore $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)=3$.
From the definition of line graph and the boundary edge domination the following Proposition is immediate.

ObSERVATION 1. For any graph $G$, we have, $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)=\gamma_{b}(L(G))$
The boundary edge domination number of some standard graphs are given below.


Figure 2. G and L(G)

Theorem 2.1. For any path $P_{n}, n \geqslant 3, \gamma_{b}^{\prime}\left(P_{n}\right)=n-3$.
Proof. Let $G \cong P_{n}, n \geqslant 3$, by Theorem 1.1(a), we have $\gamma_{b}\left(P_{n}\right)=n-2$. since $L\left(P_{n}\right)=P_{n-1}$, then $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}\left(P_{n}\right)=\gamma_{b}\left(P_{n-1}\right)=n-3$.


Figure 3. $C_{5}$ and $C_{6}$

Example 2.1. In $C_{5},\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{4}\right\},\left\{v_{3}, v_{5}\right\}$ are dominating sets and $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$, $\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{3}, v_{4}\right\},\left\{v_{4}, v_{5}\right\}$ are boundary dominating sets. Therefore $\gamma\left(C_{5}\right)=2$ and $\gamma_{b}\left(C_{5}\right)=2$.
In $C_{6},\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{5}\right\},\left\{v_{3}, v_{6}\right\}$ are dominating sets and $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$, $\left\{v_{4}, v_{5}\right\},\left\{v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$ are boundary dominating sets. Therefore $\gamma\left(C_{6}\right)=2$ and $\gamma_{b}\left(C_{6}\right)=$ 2.

Theorem 2.2. For any cycle $C_{n}, n \geqslant 4, \gamma_{b}\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$.

Proof. Every cycle $C_{n}$ have $n$ vertices and $m=n$ edges in which each vertex is of degree 2. That is each vertex dominates two vertices and in any odd cycle $C_{2 m+1}, m \geqslant 2, \operatorname{deg}(v)=\operatorname{deg}_{b}(v)=2$ for all $v \in V\left(C_{2 m+1}\right)$, then we have two cases Case1: $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.
Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be the vertices of $C_{n}$. If $v_{1} \in B_{1}$, then $v_{2}, v_{n} \notin N_{b}\left(v_{1}\right)$. It is clear that $d\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \leqslant d\left(v_{1}, v_{3}\right)$ and $d\left(v_{1}, v_{n}\right) \leqslant d\left(v_{1}, v_{n-1}\right)$. So $v_{3}, v_{n-1} \in N_{b}\left(v_{1}\right)$. If $v_{4} \in B_{1}$, then $v_{3}, v_{5} \notin N_{b}\left(v_{4}\right)$. and $v_{2}, v_{6} \in N_{b}\left(v_{4}\right)$.
Similarly we can proceed up to all the $n$ vertices. Finally we get the minimum boundary dominating set is $B_{1}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}, \ldots, v_{n-5}, v_{n-2}\right\}$.
Case 2: $n \equiv 1,2(\bmod 3)$.
If $v_{1} \in B_{2}$, then $v_{2}, v_{n} \notin N_{b}\left(v_{1}\right)$. it is clear that $d\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \leqslant d\left(v_{1}, v_{3}\right)$ and $d\left(v_{1}, v_{n}\right) \leqslant$ $d\left(v_{1}, v_{n-1}\right)$. So $v_{3}, v_{n-1} \in N_{b}\left(v_{1}\right)$. If $v_{4} \in B_{2}$, then $v_{3}, v_{5} \notin N_{b}\left(v_{4}\right)$. and $v_{2}, v_{6} \in$ $N_{b}\left(v_{4}\right)$. Similarly we can proceed up to all the $n$ vertices. Finally we get the minimum boundary dominating set is $B_{2}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}, \ldots, v_{n-3}, v_{n}\right\}$.
Therefore the minimum boundary dominating set of $C_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B= \begin{cases}B_{1} & =\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}, \ldots v_{n-5}, v_{n-2}\right\} \\
B_{2} & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3), \\
\left.|B|, v_{4}, \ldots, v_{n-3}, v_{n}\right\} & \text { if } n \equiv 1,2(\bmod 3) .\end{cases} \\
&|B| \begin{array}{ll}
\left|B_{1}\right|=\frac{n-3}{3}+1 & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3), \\
\left|B_{2}\right|=\frac{n-1}{3}+1 & \text { if } n \equiv 1,2(\bmod 3) .
\end{array} \\
&= \begin{cases}\frac{n-3}{3}+1 & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3), \\
\frac{n-1}{3}+1 & \text { if } n \equiv 1,2(\bmod 3) .\end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases}\frac{n}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3), \\
\frac{n}{3}+\frac{2}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 1,2(\bmod 3) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\gamma_{b}\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$.
Theorem 2.3. For any cycle $C_{n}, n \geqslant 4, \gamma_{b}^{\prime}\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$.
Proof. It can be easily observed that $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$.
From the definition of line graph we have $L\left(C_{n}\right)$ is $C_{n}$, and by Theorem 2.2, then $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}\left(C_{n}\right)=\gamma_{b}\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$.

Theorem 2.4. For a complete graph $K_{n}$ with $n \geqslant 4$ vertices, $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}\left(K_{n}\right)=3$.
Proof. Let $G \cong L\left(K_{n}\right), \operatorname{diam}\left(K_{n}\right)=1$. By Theorem 1.4, $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$. If $n=3$, then $G=K_{3}$ and $\operatorname{diam}(G)=\operatorname{diam}\left(K_{3}\right)=1$.
If $n \geqslant 4$, then $G$ is the strongly regular graph with parameters $\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}, 2(n-2), n-\right.$ $2,4)$. which is graph of diameter 2 .
suppose $v \in V(G)$, then there exist $\frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2}$ boundary neighbor vertices of $v$. and $2(n-2)$ are adjacent vertices to $v$. If a vertex $u$ is adjacent $v$ then there exist $n-2$ vertices are common between $v$ and $u$, which contain $n-3$ vertices are boundary neighbors of $u$. Now we take a vertex $w$ which is adjacent to both $v$ and $u$, then there exist $n-3$ vertices are boundary neighbors of $w$ and are adjacent to both $v$ and $u$ together.
since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|N_{b}(v) \cup N_{b}(u) \cup N_{b}(w) \cup\{v, u, w\}\right|=\frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2}+2(n-3)+3 \\
& =\frac{n(n-1)}{2}=|V(G)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the boundary dominating set is $S=\{v, u, w\}$. Which contained any complete $K_{3}$ in $G$. Hence $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}\left(K_{n}\right)=3$.

Theorem 2.5. For a complete bipartite graph $K_{m, n}, 2 \leqslant m \leqslant n, \gamma_{b}^{\prime}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=$ $m$.

Definition 2.3. $B_{m, n}$ is the bistar obtained from two disjoint copies of $K_{1, m}$ and $K_{1, n}$ by joining the centre vertices through an edge.

In a bistar there are $m+n+2$ vertices and $m+n+1$ edges, there are totally $m+n$ pendant vertices and 2 centre vertices. The degree of the pendant vertices are 1 and the degree of the central vertices are $m+1$ and $n+1$ obtained from both the $m$ and $n$ edges of $K_{1, m}$ and $K_{1, n}$ respectively and the common edge of the centres [9].


Figure 4. $B_{3,4}$ and $L\left(B_{3,4}\right)$

Example 2.2. Let $G$ be the bistar graph $B_{3,4}$ and $L\left(B_{3,4}\right)$ in the Figure 4, $V(G)=\left\{v, u, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$. The minimum boundary dominating set is $B=\{u, v\}$ Therefore $\gamma_{b}(G)=2$. The minimum boundary edge dominating set is $\{4\}$. Therefore $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)=1$.

ThEOREM 2.6. For any graph $G, \gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)=1$ if and only if $G \cong K_{1, n}$ or $B_{m, n}$
Proof. Suppose that $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)=1$, Let $S$ denote the set of all boundary edge dominating of $G$ such that $|S|=1$, we have $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)=\gamma_{b}(L(G))$, then $\gamma_{b}(L(G))=$ 1. From Theorem 1.1.(b), $\gamma_{b}\left(K_{n}\right)=1$, so $L(G)=K_{n}$. Since the line graph of $K_{1, n}, n \geqslant 3$ is $K_{n}$ and the line graph of the bistar $B_{m, n}$ is the one point say $e$ union of 2 complete graphs $K_{m}$ and $K_{n}$. Therefor $G \cong K_{1, n}$ or $B_{m, n}$.

Conversely, suppose $G \cong K_{1, n}$ or $B_{m, n}$ the line graph of $K_{1, n}, n \geqslant 3$ is $K_{n}$, and the line graph of the bistar $B_{m, n}$ is the one point say $e$ union of 2 complete graphs $K_{m}$ and $K_{n}$. Hence $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)=\gamma_{b}\left(K_{n}\right)=1$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $e$ be an edge of a connected graph $G$. Then $E-N_{b}^{\prime}(e)$ is a boundary edge dominating set for $G$.

Theorem 2.7. If $G$ is a connected graph of size $m \geqslant 3$, then $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant m-$ $\Delta_{b}^{\prime}(G)$.

Proof. Let $e$ be an edge of a connected graph G. Then by the above proposition, $E-N_{b}^{\prime}(e)$ is a boundary edge dominating set for G . $\left(\left|N_{b}^{\prime}(e)\right|=\Delta_{b}^{\prime}(e)\right)$. But $\left|N_{b}^{\prime}(e)\right| \geqslant 1$. Thus $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant m-1$. Suppose $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)=m-1$. Then there exists a unique edge $e^{*}$ in G such that $e^{*}$ is a boundary edge neighbour of every edge of $E-\left\{e^{*}\right\}$, this is a contradiction to the fact that in a graph there exist at least two boundary edges. Thus $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant m-2$. Hence $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant m-\Delta_{b}^{\prime}(G)$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $G$ and $\bar{G}$ be connected complementary graphes. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)+\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(\bar{G}) \leqslant n \\
& \gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G) \cdot \gamma_{b}^{\prime}(\bar{G}) \leqslant 3(n-3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. If $G \cong L\left(K_{n}\right)$, then $G$ is the strongly regular graph with parameters $\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}, 2(n-2), n-2,4\right)$. which is graph of $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}\left(K_{n}\right)=3$. And $\bar{G}$ also the strongly regular graph of parameters $\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}, \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}, \frac{(n-4)(n-5)}{2}, \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{2}\right)$. suppose $v \in \bar{G}$ then $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}$, and there exits $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}-\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}$ are boundary neighbor vertices of $v$, and there exits $\frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{2}$ are common vertices between $v$ and any vertex $u$ is not adjacent to $v$. Similarly we can proceed up to all the $n$ vertices. Finally we get a boundary edge domination of $\bar{G}$ is $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(\bar{G})=\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}-$ $\frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{2}=n-3$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G)+\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(\bar{G}) \leqslant n . \\
& \gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G) \cdot \gamma_{b}^{\prime}(\bar{G}) \leqslant 3(n-3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.9. For any $(n, m)$-graph $G, \gamma^{\prime}(G)+\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant m+1$.
Proof. Let $e \in E$, then $N^{\prime}(e) \cup N_{b}^{\prime}(e) \cup\{e\}=E,\left|N^{\prime}(e)\right|+\left|N_{b}^{\prime}(e)\right|+1=m$ and $\Delta^{\prime}(G)+\Delta_{b}^{\prime}(G)+1=m$. But we have $\gamma^{\prime}(G) \leqslant m-\Delta^{\prime}(G)$ and $\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant m-\Delta_{b}^{\prime}(G)$. Therefor $\gamma^{\prime}(G)+\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 2 m-\left(\Delta^{\prime}(G)+\Delta_{b}^{\prime}(G)\right)=2 m-m+1=m+1$.
Hence $\gamma^{\prime}(G)+\gamma_{b}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant m+1$.
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