HortFlora Research Spectrum, 2(3): 262-264 (July-Sept. 2013)



# Reserved Spectra

## EFFECT OF BIO-FERTILIZERS ON YIELD AND ECONOMIC TRAITS OF POTATO AT TWO FERTILITY LEVELS

#### U.N.Singh\*

L B S Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Gopalgram, Gonda (U.P.) \*E-mail: unsingh7777@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** A field experiment was conducted for two consecutive years to assess the efficiency of bio-fertilizers at two fertility levels on yield and economy of potato crop. Results revealed that application 100% recommended dose of nitrogen and phosphorus + *Azotobacter* and *Phosphobacteria* gave the maximum tuber yield which was closely fallowed by application of 75% recommended dose of nitrogen and phosphorus + *Azotobacteria*. However, both the treatments gave considerable net return indicating 25% saving of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers. Among both the inoculants *Bacillus cereus* was found better than *Bacillus subtillis* in potato yield.

Keywords: Potato, biofertilizer, inorganic fertilizer, tuber yield, economy.

To enhance the quick source of food production and productivity to provide stable food for increasing population of world, the potato is a best suited crop. It is cultivated in the world in an area of 18.64 million hectares with annual production of 321.0 million tones. India stands fourth in area (1.3 million hectares) and third (23.6 million tones) in world production. Potato is a low caloric, wholesome food and richest source of energy and other essential elements (Shekhawat and Dahiya, 7). It is short duration crop and more chemically expensive crop. Due to increase cost of inorganic fertilizer and their detrimental effects on soil fertility and tuber quality, supplementing the nutrients through organic sources i.e. bio-fertilizers have become imperative to sustain production (Sunaina et al., 10).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during rabi season of 2005-06 and 2006-07 at experimental field of L B S Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Gopalgram, Gonda (U.P.) with potato cv. Kufri Ashoka. The trial was laid out in randomized block design with four replications. The treatments comprising of seed tuber without any treatment ( $T_1$ ), seed inoculation with *Azotobacter* and *Phosphobacteria* ( $T_2$ ), inoculation with *Bacillus cereus* ( $T_3$ ) and *Bacillus subtillis* ( $T_4$ ) were tested at two fertility levels i.e.  $F_1$ -100% and  $F_2$ -75% of recommended dose of nitrogen and phosphorus with 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O. The recommended dose of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash for potato was 150:80:100 kg/ha (RDF). Nitrogen, phosphorus and potash were applied through urea, single supper phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. Half of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus and potash were applied as basal dose, one day before planting in row, and rest of nitrogen was top dressed after one month of planting at the time of earthing up. The recommended cultural operations and plant protection measures were followed throughout the experiment.

Inoculation of potato tuber cv. Kufri Ashoka was done with Azotobacter and Phosphobacteria. For inoculation 200 g inoculants of each of Azotobacter and Phosphobacteria were mixed in 1ltr of 10% jaggery solution to make a slurry of biofertilizer. This slurry of biofertilizer was poured on the tubers and mixed thoroughly in order to form uniform coating of biofertilizer on the tubers. Treated tubers were air dried in shade and sown on the same day. Inoculation with Bacillus cereus and Bacillus subtillis was done by suspending the dried inoculants separately in 40 ltrs of water containing 2 kg jaggery followed by dipping the tubers in this suspension for 30 minutes. The seed tubers were planted on raised beds at a spacing of 60 x 20 cm with a seed rate of 26q/ha. Harvested tubers were

classified in A, B, C and D grade tubers according to their diameter. Average data was analysed statistically.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results (Table 1) revealed that tuber treatment with Azotobacter + Phosphobacteria along with 100% RDF  $(F_1T_2)$  resulted in significantly the maximum tuber yield per hill (617.33 g), number of tubers per plot (850), tuber yield per plot (35.38 q) and total tuber yield (314.0 q/ha) which was 32.18% higher than  $F_2$   $T_1$  and 26.97 higher than  $F_1T_1$  *i.e.* control. Inoculation with Bacillus cereus and Bacillus subtillis were comparable to tuber treatment with Azotobacter + Phosphobacteria at both the fertility levels. The increase in yield attributes could be because of secretion of certain growth promoting substances by the microbial inoculants, which in turn plant nutrient uptake and thereby enhanced growth attributes in F1 T2 treatment as compared to the other treatments. The results are in agreement with Singh and Raghav (9) and Pandey and Kumar (5) who also reported that secretion of plant growth promoting substances like IAA, gibberellins, cytokinin etc. by Azotobacter in addition to the fixation atmospheric nitrogen resulted in promotion of growth of plant and tuber.

bio-fertilizer treatments gave more yields at higher fertility level than at low fertility level. The grade wise number and yield of tubers were significantly affected by the seed tuber treatment with Azotobacter + Phosphobacteria. Maximum number of 'A' and 'B' grade tubers per hill and per plot were obtained by seed tuber treatment with Azotobacter +Phosphobacteria. Treatment of tubers with Bacillus cereus gave significantly higher number of yield of 'C' grade tubers per hill and per plot. Number and yield of 'D' grade tubers per plot was significantly higher in control treatment. Above findings clearly showed that number and mean weight of tubers of different grade may be dependent of effect of biofertilizer treatment. The supply of nitrogen and phoshphorus with Azotobacter + Phosphobacteria increased the size as well as number of tubers significantly. These results are in close conformity with the finding of Frommel et al. (1), Hooda and Pandita (3) and Singh (8).

The increase in total yield may be due to appropriate supply of nutrients i.e. 100 per cent nitrogen and phosphorus and additional supply by *Azotobacter* + *Phosphobacteria*. Improved vegetative growth of potato and higher emergence per cent resulted in maximum photosynthesis and better translocation of photosynthates to tubers. The results are inconsonance with findings reported

It is evident from the data (Table 1) that all the

 Table 1 : Effect of bio-fertilizers on yield and economic attributes of potato at two fertility levels (Pooled means of two years).

Treatment	No. of tubers/ hill	Tuber yield /hill (g)	No. of tubers/ plot	Tuber yield/ plot (kg)	Tuber yield/ha (q)	Cost of cultivatio n (Rs.)	Net Return (Rs.)	B : C Ratio
$F_1T_1$	8.005	417.61	706.5	32.02	247.23	46230.14	58930.25	1.27
$F_1T_2$	10.40	617.33	850.0	40.67	313.99	46530.14	87151.11	1.87
$F_1T_3$	10.48	514.53	823.0	38.74	299.07	46560.14	80695.11	1.73
$F_1T_4$	9.52	515.80	755.0	33.66	259.85	46560.14	67352.61	1.44
$F_2T_1$	8.43	408.07	687.5	30.76	237.50	45243.97	57155.53	1.25
$F_2T_2$	10.37	557.18	790.0	40.07	309.38	45543.97	86197.78	1.89
$F_2T_3$	9.86	482.23	721.0	33.45	258.23	45573.97	64355.28	1.40
$F_2T_4$	8.37	440.80	744.0	32.02	247.11	45573.97	59558.03	1.31
CD (P=0.05)	0.52	1.98	5.31	1.20	9.25			

by Ghosh and Das (2), Mahendran and Kumar (4), Sunaina *et al.* (10) and Saikai and Deka (6).

The cost of cultivation increased with increasing fertility levels and bio-fertilizer treatment which is directly associated with the cost of fertilizer and bio fertilizer treatments. Treatment with 100 per cent nitrogen and phosphorus along with Azotobacter + Phosphobacteria  $(F_1T_2)$  gave higher net return by 81 per cent over other treatments. Whereas, 75 per cent nitrogen and phosphorus along with tuber treatment with Azotobacter + Phosphobacteria exhibited the maximum B:C ratio and it was found more economical and remunerative for potato cultivation. Similar results have also been reported by Singh (8) and Yadav et al. (11).

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Frommel, M.I. Nowak, J. and Lazarovits, G. (1993). Treatment of potato tuber with a growth promoting *Pseudomonas sp.* on plant growth and bacterium distribution in the rhizosphere. *Plant and Soil*, **150** (1):51-20.
- Ghosh, D.C. and Das, A.K. (1998). Effect of bio-fertilizers and growth regulators on growth and productivity of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) *Indian Agricult.*, **42** (2): 109-113.
- 3. Hooda, R.S. and Pandita, M.L. (1981). Soaking of seed potatoes in phosphate solution to economies on the fertilizer input. *J. Indian Potato Assoc.*, **8** (2):52-59.
- 4. Mahendran, P.P. and Kumar, N. (1998). Effect

of bio-fertilizers on tuber yield and certain quality parameters of potato cv. Kufri Jyoti. *South Indian Hort.*, **46**(1-2): 97-98.

- Pandey, A. and Kumar, S. (1989). Potential of *Azotobacters* and *Azospirilla* as biofertilizers for upland agriculture, A review. *J. Sci. Indust. Res.*, 48: 134-144.
- Saikai, Mrinal and Deka, Arijumala (2006). Yield maximization of potato by the use of bio-fertilizer and two level of N and P fertilizers. *Potato J.*, **33** (3-4):170-172.
- Shekhawat, G.S. and Dahiya, P.S. (2000). A neglected major food crop. *The Hindu survey of Indian Agriculture* 2000 (Annual), Chennai. pp. 73-76.
- Singh, Kamala (2000). Effect of inoculation with *Azotobactor* and phosphorus bacteria on potato in north eastern hill. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 70(6):385-386.
- 9. Singh, N.P. and Raghav, Manoj (2000). Response of potato to nitrogen and potassium fertilization under U.P. tarai condition. *J. Indian Potato Assoc.*, **27**(1):47-48.
- Sunaina, V., Kishore, V. and Shekhawat, G.S. (2001). Impact of plant growth promoting *Bacillus* bioagents on potato yield in field plot experiments. *J. Indian Potato Assoc.*, 28 (1): 107-108.
- Yadav, K.S., Singh, Suneja, Sunita, S. Malik, Y.S., Nehra, B.K. and Narula, N. (2003). Nitrogen economy through the use of *Azotobacter* bio-fertilizeer in potato. *J. Indian Potato Assoc.*, **30** (1/2):81-82.