Some fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces

Priyanka Nigam* and Neeraj Malviya* NRI Institute of Information Science and Technology, Bhopal, (MP)

(Received 12 Jan., 2011, Accepted 14 Feb., 2011)

ABSTRACT : The Objective of this paper is to obtain some common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces.

Keywords : Occasionally weakly compatible mappings, fuzzy metric space.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy set was defined by Zadeh [28]. Kramosil and Michalek [16] introduced fuzzy metric space, George and Veermani [8] modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continous t-norms. Many reserchers have obtained common fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying different types of commutativity conditions. Vasuki [27] proved fixed point theorems for R-weakly commutating mappings. Pant [20, 21, 22] introduced the new concept reciprocally continous mappings and established some common fixed point theorems. Balasubramaniam et al. [6], have that shown Rhoades [24] open problem on the existence of contractive definition which generates a fixed point but does not force the mappings to be continuous at the fixed point, posses an affirmative answer. Pant and Jha [22] obtained some anologus results proved by Balasubramanium et al. Recent literature on fixed point in fuzzy metric space can be viewed in [1, 2, 3, 4, 11, 18, 26].

This paper presents some common fixed point theorem for more general commutative condition *i.e.* occasionally weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric space.

II. PERLIMINARY NOTES

Definiton 1. [28] A fuzzy set A in X is a function with domain X and values in [0, 1].

Definition 2. [25] A binary operation* : $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ $\rightarrow [0,1]$ is a continuous t-norms if * is satisfying conditions:

- (i) * is an commutative and associative ;
- (ii) * is continuous ;
- (*iii*) a*1 = a for all $a \in [0,1]$;
- (iv) $a*b \leq c*d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and b < q and $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$.

Definitions 3. [8] A 3-tuple (X, M, *) is said to be a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the

following conditions, for all x, y, $z \in X$, s, t > 0,

- (*i*) M(x, y, t) > 0;
- (*ii*) M(x, y, t) = 1 if and only if x = y;
- (*iii*) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t);
- (*iv*) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t+s);$
- (v) $M(x, y, *) : (0, \infty) \to (0, 1]$ is continuous.

Then M is called a fuzzy metric on X. Then M (x, y, t) denotes the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t.

Example 4. (Induced fuzzy metric [8]) Let (X,d) be a metric space. Denote a * b = ab for all $a, b \in [0,1]$ and *let* M_d be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0,\infty)$ defined as follows :

$$M_d = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$$

Then (X, M_d^*) is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric induced by a metric d as the standard intuitionistic fuzzy metric.

Definition 5. [8]: Let (X, M, *) be fuzzy metric space. Then

(a) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to converges to x in X if for each $\epsilon > 0$ and each t > 0, there exist $n_0 \in N$ such that $M(x_n, x, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

(b) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Cauchy if for each $\epsilon > 0$ and each t > 0, there exist $n_0 \epsilon N$ such that $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n, m \ge n_0$.

(c) A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convegent is said to be complete.

Definition 6. [27] A pair of self-mappings (f, g) of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is said to be

(*i*) weakly commuting if M(fgx, gfx, t) > M(fx, gx, t) for all $x \in X$ and t > 0.

(*ii*) R-weakly commuting if there exist some R > 0 such

that
$$M(fgx, gfx, t) \ge M(fx, gx, \frac{t}{R})$$
 for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Definition 7. [12]: Two self mappings f and g of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) are called compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) = 1$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = x$ for some x in X.

Definition 8. [6]: Two self maps f and g of a fuzzy space (X, M^*) are called reciprocally continuous on X if $\lim_{n\to\infty} fgx_n = fx$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} gfx_n = gx$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = x$ for some x in X.

Lemma 9. Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space. If there exists $q \in (0,1)$, such that $M(x, y, qt) \ge (M(x, y, t))$ for all $x, y \in X$ and t>0, then x = y.

Definition 10. Let X be a set, f, g selfmaps of X. A point x in X is called a coincidence point of f and g iff fx = gx. We shall call w = fx = gx a point of coincidence of f and g.

Definition 11. [13] A pair of maps S and T is called weakly compatible pair if they commute at coincidence points.

Definition 12. Two self maps f and g of a set X are occasionally weakly compatible *(owc)* iff there is a point x in X which is a coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

A. A-Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad [5] shown that occasionally weakly is weakly compatible but converse is not true.

Example 13. [5] Let R be the usual metric space. Define S, T: $T \rightarrow R$ by Sx = 2x and $Tx = x^2$ for all $x \in R$. Then Sx = Tx for x = 0,2 but ST0 = TS0, and $ST2 \neq TS2$. S and T are occsionally weakly compatible self maps but not weakly compatible.

Lemma 14. [14] Let X be a set, f, g owc self maps X. If f and g have a unique point of concidence, w = fx = gx, then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g.

III. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let (X, M, *) be a complete fuzzy metric space and A, B, S and T be self-mappings of X. Let the pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ be owc. if there exists $q \in (0,1)$ such that $M(Ax, By,qt) \ge \alpha_1 M(Sx, Ty,t) + \alpha_2 M(Ax,Ty,t)$ $+ \alpha_3 M(By, Sx,t) \qquad \dots (1)$

for all x, $y \in X$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 > 0$ and $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) > 1$ then there exist a unique point $w \in X$ such that Aw = Sw = w and unique point $z \in X$ such that Bz = Tz = z. Moreover, z = w, so that there is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

Proof : Let the pars $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ be owc, so there is a point $x, y \in X$ such that Ax = Sx and By = Ty. We claim that Ax = By. If not, by inequality (1)

 $M(Ax, By, qt) \ge \alpha_1 M(Sx, Ty, t) + \alpha_2 M(Ax, Ty, t) + \alpha_3 M(By, Sx, t)$

$$= \alpha_1 M(Ax, By, t) + \alpha_2 M(Ax, By, t) + \alpha_3 M(By, Ax, t)$$

= $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) M(Ax, By, t)$

A contradicition, since $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) > 1$. Therefore Ax = By, *i.e.* Ax = Sx = By = Ty. Suppose that there is another point *z* such that Az = Sz then by (1) we have Az = Sz = By = Ty, so Ax = Az and w = Ax = Sx is the unique point of coincidence of *A* and *S*. By Lemma 2.14 *w* is the only common fixed point of *A* and *S i.e.* w = Aw = Sw. Similarly there is a unique point $z \in X$ such that z = Bz = Tz.

Assume that
$$w \neq z$$
. We have

$$M(w,z,qt) = M(Aw, Bz,qt)$$

$$\geq \alpha_1 M(Sw,Tz,t) + \alpha_2 M(Aw,Tz,t) + \alpha_3 M(Bz, Sw,t)$$

$$= \alpha_1 M(w, z,t) + \alpha_2 M(w, z,t) + \alpha_3 M(z, w,t)$$

$$= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) M(w, z,t)$$

a contradiction, since $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) > 1$. Therefore we have z = w by Lemma 2.14 *z* is the common fixed point of *A*, *B*, *S* and *T*. The uniqueness of the fixed point holds from (1).

Theorem 2. Let (X, M, *) be a complete fuzzy metric space and A, B, S and T be selfmappings of X. Let the pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ be owc. If there exists $q \in (0,1)$ such that

$$M(Ax,By,qt) \ge \alpha \min\{M(Sz,Ty,t), M(Sx,Ax,t)\}$$

+ $\beta \min\{M(By, Ty, t), M(Ax, Ty, t)\}$
+ $\gamma M(By, Sx, t)$... (2)

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$, $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) > 1$ then there exist a unique point $w \in X$ such that Aw = Sw = wand a unique point $z \in X$ such that Bz = Tz = z. Moreover, z = w, so that it is a unique common fixed point of A, B, Sand T.

Proof: Let the pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ be owc, so there are points $x, y \in X$ such that Ax = Sx and By = Ty. We claim that Ax = By. If not, by inequality (2)

$$\begin{split} M(Ax, By, qt) &\geq \alpha \min\{M(Sx, y, t), M(Sx, Ax, t)\} \\ &+ \beta \min\{M(By, Ty, t), M(Ax, Ty, t)\} \\ &+ \gamma M(By, Sx, t) \\ &= \alpha \min\{M(Ax, By, t), M(Ax, Ax, t)\} \\ &+ \beta \min\{M(By, By, t), M(Ax, By, t) \\ &+ \gamma M (By, Ax, t) \\ &= \alpha \min\{M(Ax, By, t), 1\} + \beta \min\{1, M(Ax, By, t)\} \\ &\gamma M(Ax, By, t) \end{split}$$

$$= \alpha M(Ax, By,t) + \beta M(Ax, By,t) + \gamma M(Ax, By,t)$$
$$= (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) M(Ax, By, t)$$

a contradiction, since $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)>1$. Therefore Ax = By, *i.e.* Ax = Sx = By = Ty. Suppose that there is another point *z* such that Az = Sz then by (2) we have Az = Sz = By = Ty, so Ax = Az and w = Ax = Sx is the unique point of coincidence of *A* and *S*. By Lemma 14 *w* is the only common fixed point of *A* and *S i.e.* w = Aw = Sw. Similarly there is a unique point $z \in X$ such that z = Bz = Tz.

Assume that $w \neq z$. We have

$$M(w,z,qt) = M(Aw,Bz,qt)$$

$$\geq \alpha \min\{M(Sw, Tz, t), M(Sw, Aw, t)\}$$

$$+ \beta \min\{M(Bz, Tz, t), M(Aw, Tz, t)\}$$

$$+ \gamma M(Bz, Sw,t)$$

$$= \alpha \min\{M(w, z, t), M(w, w, t)\} + \beta \min\{M_{(z,z,t)}, M(w,z,t) + \gamma M(z,w,t)\}$$

$$= \alpha \min\{M(w, z, t), 1\} + \beta \min\{1, M(w, z, t)\}$$

$$+ \gamma M(z, w, t)$$

$$= \alpha M(w, z, t) + \beta M(w, z, t) + \gamma M(w, z, t)$$

$$= (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) M(w, z, t)$$

a contradicition, since $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) > 1$. Therefore z = w by Lemma 14 z = w is the common fixed point of *A*, *B*, *S* and *T*.

Therefore the unqueness of the fixed point holds form (2).

Theorem 3. Let (X, M, *) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let *A* and *S* be selfmapping of *X*. Let the *A* and *S* are owc. If there exists $q \in (0,1)$ for all $x, y, \in X$ and t > 0

$$\begin{split} M(Sx, Sy, qt) &\leq \alpha_1 M(Az, Ay, t) + \alpha_2 M(Sx, Ay, t) \\ &+ \alpha_3 M(Sy, Ax, t) + \alpha_4 M(Ax, Sy, t) \qquad \dots (3) \end{split}$$

for all *x*, $y \in X$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 > 0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 > 1$. Then *A* and *S* have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let the pair $\{A, S\}$ be owc, so there exist a points $x \in X$ such that Ax = Sx. Suppose that there exist another point $y \in X$ for which Ay = Sy. We claim that Sx = Sy. If not, by inequality (3)

$$\begin{split} M(Sx,Sy,qt) &\geq \alpha_1 M(Ax,Ay,t) + \alpha_2 M(Sx,Ay,t) + \alpha_3 M(Sy,Ax,t) \\ &+ \alpha_4 M(Ax,Sy,t) \\ &= \alpha_1 M(Sx,Sy,t) + \alpha_2 M(Sx,Sy,t) + \alpha_3 M(Sy,Sx,t) \\ &+ \alpha_4 M(Sx,Sy,t) \\ &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) M(Sx, Sy, t) \end{split}$$

a contraction, since $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) > 1$. Therefore Sx = Sy. Therefore Ax = Ay and Ax is unique. From Lemma 2.14, A and S have a unique fixed point.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorem for a pair of occasionally weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric space by generalizing the condition of Theorem 1 and Theorem 8 of Aage [1].

ACKNOWLEDEMENT: The authors are thankful to Prof. S. S. Pagey [Institute for excellence in Higher Education, Bhopal (M.P.)] for constnat encouragement and helpful discussion in the presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- C.T. Aage J.N. Salunke, "On Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces", *International Journal and Applied Mathematics*, 2(3), pp 123-13 (2010).
- [2] C.T. Aage, J.N. Salunke, "Common Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces". *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathmatics.* 56(2): 155-164 (2009).
- [3] C.T. Aage, J.N. Salunke, "Some Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces". International Journal of Pure and

Applied mathematics, 56(3): 311-320 (2009).

- [4] C.T. Aage, J.N. Salunke, "On Fixed point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces Using A Control Function". *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, 6(2):, 50-57 (2010).
- [5] A. Al-Thagafi and Naseer Shazad," Generalized I-Nonexpansice Selfmaps and Invariant Approximations, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series May, 24: 867-876 (2008).
- [6] P. Balasubramaniam, S. Muralishankar, R.P. Pant, "Common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space", J. *fuzzy Math*, **10**(2): 379-384 (2002).
- [7] Y.J. Cho, H.K. Pathak, S.M. Kang, J.S. Jung, "Common Fixed points of compatibility maps of type (A) on fuzzy Metric Spaces", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **93**: 99-111 (1998).
- [8] A.George, P. Veeramani, "On some result in Fuzzy metric spaces", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 64: 195-399 (1994).
- [9] M. Grabiec," Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27: 385-389 (1988).
- [10] O. Hadzic," Common Fixed Points Theorems for families of mapping in complete metric space", *Math. Japan.* 29: 127-134 (1984).
- [11] Mohd. Imdad and Javid Ali," Some Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces", *Mathematical Communications* 11: 153-163 (2006).
- [12] G. Jungck," Compatible mappings and common fixed points(2)", International. J. Math. Sci. 285-288 (1988).
- [13] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhodes," Fixed Point for Set Valued functions without Continuity", *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 29(3): 771-779 (1998).
- [14] G.Jungk and B.E. Rhoades," Fixed Point Theorems for Occasionally Weakly Compatible Mappings", *Fixed Point Theory*, 7(2): 287-296 (2006).
- [15] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades," Fixed Point Theorems for Occasionally Weakly compatible Mappings", *Erratum, Fixed Point Theory*, 9(1): 383-384 (2008).
- [16] O. Kramosil and J. Michalek," Fuzzy metric and Statistical metric spaces", *Kybernetika*, **11**: 326-334 (1975).
- [17] S. Kutukcu,"A fixed point theorem for contractive type mappings in Menger spaces", Am. J. App. Sci. 4(6): 371-373 (2007).
- [18] Servet Kutukcu, Sushil Sharma and Hanifi Tokgoz," A Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces", Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 1(18): 861-872 (2007).
- [19] S.N. Mishra," Common fixed points of compatible mappings in Pm-spaces", *Math. Japan.* 36: 283-289 (1991).
- [20] R.P. Pant," Common fixed points of four mappings", Bull, Cal. Math. Soc. 90: 281-286 (1998).
- [21] R.P. Pant," Common fixed point theorems for contractive maps", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 226: 251-258 (1998).
- [22] R.P. Pant, K. Jha," A remark on common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space", J. Fuzzy Math. 12(2): 433-437 (2004).
- [23] H.K. Pathak and Prachi Singh," Common Fixed Point Theorem for Weakly Compatible Mapping", *International Mathematical Forum*, 2(57): 2831-2839 (2007).
- [24] B.E. Rhoades," Contractive definitions and continuity", Contemporary Math. 72: 233-245 (1988).
- [25] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar," Statistical metric spaces", *Pacific J. Math.* 10: 313-334 (1960).
- [26] Seong Hoon Cho," On common fixed point in fuzzy metric space", Int. Math. Forum, 1(10): 471-479 (2006).
- [27] R. Vasuki, Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 30: 419-423 (1999).
- [28] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control 8: 338-353 (1965).