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#### Abstract

The authors are professors of Chemical Engineering in the Faculty of Chemistry at the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM) and work in the so called Laboratory of Unit Operations. In this laboratory the students of the Chemical Engineering take practical courses in which they apply what they have learn in the theoretical courses. The experimental teaching is very important in the significant learning of the students of engineering. It foments the interactivity and the participation of the students, propitiating that they acquire knowledge, dexterities, habits and attitudes. In this work an experiment of flow of fluids is presented by means of which the students could acquire the significant learning when facing a classic experiment. Among the practical exercises with apparatus and equipments, the authors are interested in the field of unsteady state in fluid flow. This kind of flow is present, for example in the discharge of tanks. In this article we present some experiments that the students perform and which can be controlled automatically and related to the theoretical models.
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## INTRODUCTION

## Flow of Fluid in Transient State

The flow of fluid at the transient state can be found in the phenomenon of the unloading of tanks. We can take for example, a tank like the one that appears next, which has an orifice in its part inferior by which the water escapes.


Figure 1
Another experiment more complicated is the unloading of a tank that has a pipe in its base.

## Unloading of a Tank that has a Pipe in its Base

The system that is analyzed is the following one:


Figure 2

The tank unloads the liquid to the atmosphere.
For this case the matter balance would give:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{T}=-\frac{A_{T}}{A_{o}} \frac{d H}{d \theta} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Being $u_{T}$ the speed of the water coming out of the pipe, $A_{T}$ the cross-sectional area of the tank and $A_{o}$ the cross-sectional area of the unloading pipe. The energy balance would be for this case the following one, considering that there is potential energy, kinetic, of present pressure and friction:

$$
\Delta H g+\frac{\Delta u^{2}}{2}+\frac{\Delta P}{\rho}=-\frac{\sum F}{M}
$$

Making the simplifications pertinent we have left that:
$-H g+\frac{u_{T}^{2}}{2}=-f_{D} \frac{u_{T}^{2} L e}{2 D}$
Where $u_{T}$ is the average speed in the unloading pipe, Le is the equivalent length of the unloading, D is the diameter of the unloading pipe and $f_{D}$ is Darcy factor.

Making adjustments we will have:
$\frac{u_{T}^{2}}{2}\left(1+f_{D} \frac{L e}{D}\right)=H g$
Of where: $u_{T}=\sqrt{\frac{2 H g}{1+K}}$

Being $K=f_{D} \frac{L e}{D}$
Uniting (1) with (4)
$u_{T}=-\frac{A_{T}}{A_{o}} \frac{d H}{d \theta}=\sqrt{\frac{2 H g}{1+K}}$

Of where: $d \boldsymbol{\theta}=-\frac{A_{T}}{A o} \frac{d H}{\sqrt{\frac{2 H g}{1+K}}}$
$d \theta=-\frac{A_{T}}{A o \sqrt{\frac{2 g}{1+K}}} H^{-\frac{1}{2}} d H$

That upon integration gives us:


Figure 3

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\theta} d \theta=-\frac{A_{T}}{A_{o} \sqrt{\frac{2 g}{1+K}}} \int_{H i}^{H f} H^{-\frac{1}{2}} d H \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\frac{2 A_{T}}{A_{o} \sqrt{\frac{2 g}{1+K}}}\left(\sqrt{H_{i}}-\sqrt{H_{f}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a system similar to the following one, experiments of unloading of tanks took place. In a laboratory experiment it was let the water escape of a tank connected to a pipe obtaining the following data:

Table 1

| Height <br> Total Z <br> in cm. | Time $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ <br> in <br> Seconds | Total <br> Height Z <br> in cm. | Time $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ <br> in <br> Seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 273 | 0 | 235 | 146.7 |
| 271 | 7 | 233 | 154.2 |
| 269 | 14.57 | 231 | 162.3 |
| 267 | 22 | 229 | 170.8 |
| 265 | 29.7 | 227 | 180 |
| 263 | 36.9 | 225 | 188.8 |
| 261 | 44.7 | 223 | 197.4 |
| 259 | 52 | 221 | 208 |
| 257 | 60 | 219 | 217.2 |
| 255 | 67.7 | 217 | 227.6 |
| 253 | 75.3 | 215 | 237.7 |
| 251 | 83 |  |  |
| 249 | 90.8 |  |  |
| 247 | 99.3 |  |  |
| 245 | 106.6 |  |  |


| Table 1: Contd., |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 243 | 114 |  |  |
| 241 | 122.3 |  |  |
| 239 | 130.5 |  |  |
| 237 | 138.6 |  |  |



Figure 4
In the shown case, the length of straight tube is of $3,72 \mathrm{~m}$, the equivalent length of the accessories is of $4,6 \mathrm{~m}$. The diameter of the pipe is of $2,093 \mathrm{~cm}$., reason why $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}=f_{D} \frac{L}{D}=12.72$. The area of the tank is of $0,255 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and the cross-sectional area of the pipe is of $3,439 \mathrm{xs} 10-4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Therefore the equation is for our equal case a:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=1240\left(\sqrt{Z_{A 1}}-\sqrt{Z_{A 2}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the previous equation to the height data it is obtained that:
Table 2

| Real Time <br> in sec. | ZA m | Increment <br> of Time | Total Calculated <br> Time in sec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2.73 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 2.71 | 7.51861708 | 7.51861708 |
| 14.57 | 2.69 | 7.54641262 | 15.0650297 |
| 22 | 2.67 | 7.57451873 | 22.6395484 |
| 29.7 | 2.65 | 7.60294124 | 30.2424897 |
| 36.7 | 2.63 | 7.63168613 | 37.8741758 |
| 44.7 | 2.61 | 7.66075953 | 45.5349353 |
| 52 | 2.59 | 7.69016776 | 53.2251031 |
| 60 | 2.57 | 7.7199173 | 60.9450204 |
| 67.7 | 2.55 | 7.75001478 | 68.6950352 |
| 75.3 | 2.53 | 7.78046706 | 76.4755022 |
| 83 | 2.51 | 7.81128115 | 84.2867834 |
| 90.8 | 2.49 | 7.84246428 | 92.1292477 |
| 99.3 | 2.47 | 7.87402388 | 100.003272 |
| 106.6 | 2.45 | 7.90596757 | 107.909239 |
| 114 | 2.43 | 7.93830322 | 115.847542 |
| 122.3 | 2.41 | 7.97103891 | 123.818581 |
| 130.5 | 2.39 | 8.00418295 | 131.822764 |
| 138.6 | 2.37 | 8.03774391 | 139.860508 |


| Table 2: Contd., |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 146.7 2.35 8.0717306 147.932239 <br> 154.2 2.33 8.10615209 156.038391 <br> 162.3 2.31 8.14101774 164.179409 <br> 170.8 2.29 8.17633719 172.355746 <br> 180 2.27 8.21212036 180.567866 <br> 188.8 2.25 8.24837749 188.816244 <br> 197.4 2.23 8.28511914 197.101363 <br> 208 2.21 8.32235619 205.423719 <br> 217.2 2.19 8.36009989 213.783819 <br> 227.6 2.17 8.39836181 222.182181 |  |  |  |

Which agrees rather well with the experimental data. The discrepancy in the last data must be due to the eddy appearance that alters the flow of fluid.

But what would happen if we use a cylindrical tank, in an horizontal position as the one presented in the following figure?

## Experiment 2



Figure 5
Performing an experiment in the above tank we found the following data:
Table 3

| Liters | Time in <br> Seconds | Height in <br> cm. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 0 | 164 |
| 200 | 38 | 158.5 |
| 300 | 75 | 153.5 |
| 400 | 116 | 149.2 |
| 500 | 158 | 145 |
| 600 | 195 | 141.3 |
| 700 | 234 | 137.7 |
| 800 | 271 | 134.5 |
| 900 | 309 | 131.2 |
| 1000 | 347 | 127.9 |
| 1100 | 389 | 124.5 |
| 1200 | 427 | 121.1 |
| 1300 | 468 | 117.4 |
| 1400 | 516 | 113.6 |
| 1500 | 556 | 110 |


| Table 3: Contd., |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1600 596 106.3 <br> 1700 640 102.7 <br> 1800 685 98.8 <br> 1900 727 94.6 <br> 2000 771 90.1 <br> 2100 816 84.3 |  |  |

From the above table we constructed the following graphics:
descarga del tanque


Figure 6
How to interpret these data?


Figure 7
In this case the energy balance would give an equation like number 4
$u_{B}=\sqrt{\frac{H_{A} 2 g}{\left(1+\frac{f_{D} L e}{D}\right)}}$
And the material balance would give:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{\Lambda}=A_{A}\left(\frac{-d H}{d \theta}\right)=A_{s} u_{s} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we make 1 equal to 4 we would obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{A}\left(\frac{-d H_{A}}{d \theta}\right)=A_{s} \sqrt{\frac{H_{A} 2 g}{\left(1+\frac{f_{D} L e}{D}\right)}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

But in this case the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}$ area varies with the height H . Since: $A_{A}=l \times L$
1 is the cord and $L$ is the length of the cylinder.


Figure 8
$l=2 \sqrt{r^{2}-d^{2}}$
$\mathrm{d}=$ height of liquid above the tank diameter $H_{A}-H_{T}-r$

Thus uniting with 56 and 7 then:
$L \times 2 \sqrt{r^{2}-\left[H_{A}-\left(H_{T}+r\right)\right]^{2}}\left(\frac{-d H_{A}}{d \theta}\right)=A_{B} \sqrt{\frac{2 g H_{A}}{1+\frac{f_{D} L e}{D}}}$

And:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \theta=-\frac{L \times 2 \sqrt{r^{2}-\left[H_{A}-\left(H_{T}+r\right)\right]^{2}}}{A_{B}} \sqrt{\frac{1+\frac{f_{D} L e}{D}}{2 g} H_{A}{ }^{\frac{-1}{2}} d H_{A}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is not easy to integrate. However, equation (16) can be set as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \theta=-K \sqrt{r^{2}-\left[H_{A}-\left(H_{T}+r\right)\right]^{2}} H_{A}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta H_{A}  \tag{17}\\
& \mathrm{~K}=\frac{L \times 2}{A_{s}} \sqrt{\frac{1+\frac{f_{\mathrm{s}} L e}{D}}{2 g}}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that to find the download time we must integrate from $\mathrm{r}+2 \mathrm{HT}$ which is the original Ha until the Ha final which is just HT. Therefore to obtain partial discharge times we have to integrate (numerically) from the fractions of the original height ( $\mathrm{HT}+2 \mathrm{r}$ ).

In the case at hand:

System equivalent length $\mathrm{Le}=9.26 \mathrm{~m}$
Diameter of discharge pipe 1.5 inches, $\mathrm{Cd} 40=0.04089 \mathrm{~m}$.
The pipe roughness $\frac{\varepsilon}{D}=0.0015$

Friction factor at full turbulence $f_{D}=0.023$

Tank length $\mathrm{L}=3 \mathrm{~m}$
Discharge pipe area $\mathrm{AB}=0.0013125 \mathrm{~m} 2$.
Therefore $\mathrm{K}=2572$
And the equation (10) for our system is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \theta=-2572 \sqrt{r^{2}-\left[H_{A}-\left(H_{T}+r\right)\right]^{2}} H_{A}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta H_{A} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the above equation can be calculated the increments of time, depending on the height.
Table 4

| Height <br> in cm. | T Real <br> Time | Increment <br> of H | Increment <br> of Time | Calculated <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 164 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 158.5 | 38 | -0.055 | 42.564832 | 42.56 |
| 153.5 | 75 | -0.05 | 43.3299263 | 85.8947583 |
| 149.2 | 116 | -0.043 | 40.2082381 | 126.102996 |
| 145 | 158 | -0.042 | 41.5128754 | 167.615872 |
| 141.3 | 195 | -0.037 | 38.1434457 | 205.759317 |
| 137.7 | 234 | -0.036 | 38.3232733 | 244.082591 |
| 134.5 | 271 | -0.032 | 34.9171332 | 278.999724 |
| 131.2 | 309 | -0.033 | 36.7098687 | 315.709593 |
| 127.9 | 347 | -0.033 | 37.2829204 | 352.992513 |
| 124.5 | 389 | -0.034 | 38.8604803 | 391.852993 |
| 121.1 | 427 | -0.034 | 39.1521766 | 431.00517 |
| 117.4 | 468 | -0.037 | 42.7361497 | 473.74132 |
| 113.6 | 516 | -0.038 | 43.7821277 | 517.523447 |
| 110 | 556 | -0.036 | 41.1125223 | 558.63597 |
| 106.3 | 596 | -0.037 | 41.5861421 | 600.222112 |
| 102.7 | 640 | -0.036 | 39.4787639 | 639.700876 |
| 98.8 | 685 | -0.039 | 41.2272956 | 680.928171 |
| 94.6 | 727 | -0.042 | 41.9269788 | 722.85515 |
| 90.1 | 771 | -0.045 | 40.9628292 | 763.817979 |
| 84.3 | 816 | -0.058 | 43.8297489 | 807.647728 |

## Descarga del tanque



Figure 9
Those experiments could be controlled and monitored by means of new control devises provided by the company Emerson.

## CONCLUSIONS OR RESULTS

The experiments were performed with simple equipment that could be monitored by means of control devises as level and flow control, provided by a company. The data were plotted in a computer. After these, the students had to predict the behavior observed in the experiments by means of their knowledge in flow of fluids. They developed the appropriated equations for each case, and later compared the predicted with the experimental data. They found a very good agreement, between the theory and the experiments.
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