

RIGHT REVERSE DERIVATIONS ON PRIME RINGS

C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY & K. HEMAVATHI

Department of Mathematics, S. V. University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper some results concerning to right reverse derivations on prime rings with char $\neq 2$ are presented. If R be a prime ring with a non zero right reverse derivation d and U be the left ideal of R then R is commutative.

KEYWORDS: Prime Ring, Derivation, Reverse Derivation

INTRODUCTION

Bresar and Vukman [1] have introduced the notion of a reverse derivation. The reverse derivations on semi prime rings have been studied by Samman and Alyamani [2].

PRELIMINARIES

Through out, R will represent a prime ring with char $\neq 2$. We write [x, y] for xy - yx. Recall that a ring R is called prime if aRb=0 implies a=0 or b=0. An additive mapping d from R into itself is called a derivation if d(xy)=d(x)y+xd(y) for all $x, y \in R$ and is called a reverse derivation if d(xy)=d(y)x+yd(x) for all $x, y \in R$.

MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1

Let R be a prime ring with char $\neq 2$, U a non-zero left ideal of R and d be a right reverse derivation of R. If U is non-commutative such that [x, d(x)] = 0 for all $x \in U$, then d = 0.

Proof

By linearizing the equation [d(x), x] = 0 which gives

[y, x]d(x) = 0, for all $x, y \in U$

We replace y by zy in equ.(1) and using (1), we get,

$$\Rightarrow$$
 [zy, x]d(x) = 0

 $\implies (z[y, x] + [z, x]y)d(x) = 0$

 \Rightarrow z[y, x]d(x) + [z, x]yd(x) = 0

 $\implies [z, x]y \ d(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U$

(2)

(1)

By writing y by yr, $r \in R$ in equation (2), we obtain,

 $\implies [z, x] \text{yr } d(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U \text{ and } r \in R.$

If we interchange r and y, then we get,

 \Rightarrow [z, x]ry d(x) = 0, for all x, y, z \in U and r \in R.

By primeness property, either [z, x] = 0 (or) d(x) = 0.

Since U is non-commutative, then d = 0.

Theorem 2

Let R be a prime ring with char $\neq 2$, U a left ideal of R and d be a non-zero right reverse derivation of R. If [d(y), d(x)] = [y, x] for all x, $y \in U$, then [x, d(x)] = 0 and hence R is commutative.

Proof

Given that [d(y), d(x)] = [y, x], for all $x, y \in U$

By taking yx instead of y in the hypothesis, then we get,

$$\Rightarrow$$
 [yx, x] = [d(yx), d(x)]

 $\implies y[x, x] + [y, x]x = [(d(x)y + d(y)x), d(x)]$

 $\implies [y, x]x = (d(x)y + d(y)x)d(x) - d(x)(d(x)y + d(y)x)$

 $\implies [y, x]x = d(x)yd(x) + d(y)x \ d(x) - d(x)d(x)y - d(x)d(y)x$

Adding and subtracting d(y)d(x)x

$$\Rightarrow [y, x]x = d(x)yd(x) + d(y)x d(x) - d(x)d(x)y - d(x)d(y)x + d(y)d(x)x - d(y)d(x)x$$

 $\implies [y, x]x = d(x)yd(x) - d(x)d(x)y + d(y)x d(x) - d(y)d(x)x + d(y)d(x)x - d(x)d(y)x$

$$\Rightarrow [y, x]x = d(x) [yd(x) - d(x)y] + d(y)[x d(x) - d(x)x] + [d(y)d(x) - d(x)d(y)]x$$

$$\Rightarrow [y, x]x = d(x)[y, d(x)] + d(y)[x, d(x)] + [d(y), d(x)]x$$

$$\Rightarrow [y, x]x = d(x)[y, d(x)] + d(y)[x, d(x)] + [y, x]x$$

$$\Rightarrow [y, x]x - [y, x]x = d(x)[y, d(x)] + d(y)[x, d(x)]$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x)[y, d(x)] + d(y)[x, d(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in U$$

We replace y by cy = yc, where $c \in Z$ and using equation (3), we get,

$$\Rightarrow$$
 d(x)[cy, d(x)] + d(cy)[x, d(x)] = 0

 $\implies d(x) (c[y, d(x)] + [c, d(x)]y) + (d(y)c + d(c)y)[x, d(x)] = 0$

 $\implies d(x)c[y, d(x)] + d(x)[c, d(x)]y + d(y)c[x, d(x)] + d(c)y[x, d(x)] = 0$

(3)

$$\Rightarrow -c d(y)[x, d(x)] + d(x)[c, d(x)]y + c d(y)[x, d(x)] + d(c)y[x, d(x)] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x)[c, d(x)]y + d(c)y[x, d(x)] = 0$$

 \Rightarrow d(c)y[x, d(x)] = 0, for all x, y \in U

Since $0 \neq d(c) \in Z$ and U is a left ideal of R, then we have, [x, d(x)] = 0, for all $x \in U$.

By using the similar procedure as in Theorem: 1, then, we get, either [z, x] = 0 (or) d(x) = 0.

Since d is non-zero, then [z, x] = 0.

Hence R is commutative.

Theorem 3

Let R be a prime ring with char $\neq 2$, U a left ideal of R and d be a non-zero right reverse derivation of R. If [d(y), d(x)] = 0, for all x, $y \in U$, then R is commutative.

Proof

Given that [d(y), d(x)] = 0, for all $x, y \in U$

By taking yx instead of y in the hypothesis, then we get,

- \Rightarrow [d(yx), d(x)] = 0
- \Rightarrow [(d(x)y + d(y)x), d(x)] = 0
- $\implies [d(x)y, d(x)] + [d(y)x, d(x)] = 0$
- $\implies d(x)[y, d(x)] + [d(x),d(x)]y + d(y)[x, d(x)] + [d(y),d(x)]x = 0$

 \Rightarrow d(x)[y, d(x)] + d(y)[x, d(x)] = 0, for all x, y \in U

(4)

The proof is now completed by using equation (3) of Theorem: 2.

Hence R is commutative.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Bresar and J. Vukman, on some additive mappings in rings with involution, Aequations math., 38(1989), 178-185.
- 2. M. Samman, N. Alyamani, Derivations and reverse derivations in semiprime rings, International Mathematical Forum, 2, No. 39(2007), 1895-1902.