# Study of new developments in birch reduction process and their applications for the synthesis and CNS depressant activity of 3-aminocyclohexa-1,4-diene-1-carboxylic acid and 3-[5-substituted 1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-yl]-2-styryl 5,8-dihydroquinazoline-4 (3H)-ones

Charbe N.B.<sup>1</sup>\*, Mehere A.P.<sup>2</sup>, Shende S.G.<sup>3</sup>, Mohod V.S.<sup>4</sup>, Gautam D.T.<sup>4</sup>, Madusudhan M.<sup>5</sup> and Chaitanya Ch<sup>6</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>\*Nalla Narshima Reddy Education Society Group of Institutions School of Pharmacy, Chowdariguda, Via Narapally, Dist - R.R., AP, 500 088, nitincharbe10@yahoo.com, 9052299798
<sup>2</sup>Sharad Pawar College of Pharmacy, Wanadongri Hingna Road, Nagpur, Maharashtra, 440001
<sup>3</sup>T.V.E.S Loksewak Madhukarao Chaudhari's College of Pharmacy, Faizpur, Jalgaon, Maharashtra.
<sup>4</sup>K.Y.D.S.C.T's College of Pharmacy, Sakegaon, Bhushawal, Maharashtra, 425201.
<sup>5</sup>Production Department, Bivis Ltd, Choutuppal, R.R District, A.P.
<sup>6</sup>Mother Teresa College of Pharmacy, Ghatkeser, Hyderabad, AP

**Abstract-** Meta nitro benzoic acid has been reduced to 3-aminocyclohexa-1,5-diene-1-carboxylic acid with three different Birch like reduction condition (Method I, II, III) to 3-aminocyclohexa-1,4-diene-1-carboxylic acid. 3-aminocyclohexa-1,4-diene-1-carboxylic acid is found to be structurally more super impossible on gama-amino butyric acid (GABA) and hence the synthesized compounds was tested for the CNS depressant and muscle relaxant activities. A series of new 3-[5-substituted phenyl-1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-yl]-2-styryl 5,8-dihydroquinazoline-4 (3H)-ones were synthesized and evaluated for anticonvulsant, sedative-hypnotic and CNS depression activities. Various derivatives of 3-[5-substituted phenyl-1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-yl]-2-styryl 5,8-dihydroquinazoline-4 (3H)-ones were examined in the maximal electroshock (MES) induced seizures and subcutaneous pentylenetetrazole (scPTZ) induced seizure models in mice. Rotorod method was employed to determine the neurotoxicity. Out of 9 compounds only 3 compounds showed anticonvulsant activity in one or more test models. All except compound (a) exhibited significant sedative-hypnotic activity via actophotometer screen. Forced swim pool method to determine CNS depressant activity resulted in some potent compounds. It can be concluded that synthesized compounds exhibited better sedative-hypnotic and CNS depressant activities than anticonvulsant activity.

#### Introduction

The Birch reduction has been a synthetically useful and powerful method for the partial reduction of aromatic and heteroaromatic rings for more than 60 years [1]. Numerous compounds have been subjected to reducing conditions that include alkali metal and ammonia with various modifications extensions and development of the Birch reduction [2]. The scope of the Birch reduction covers a variety of aromatic and heteroaromatic systems such as pyridines, indoles, furans and thiophenes [3]. Recently, we have been concerned with the reduction of organic compounds by various methods, which resembles to Birch reduction in terms of mechanism. Methods like use of Hydroxyl ions as an electron source in the presence of Ultra Violet light [4], THF (no ammonia) with lithium metal and catalytic amounts of naphthalene used as an electron source[5,6]. Indigenously developed method like use of ammonia gas instead of Liquid Ammonia with Methanol (Dried) can be satisfactorily useful for the reduction of aromatic compounds with varied yields. In the preceding paper we described three different Birch reduction processes for the reduction of Meta nitro benzoic acid by maintaining different Birch like conditions (Method I, II, III). We also have reduced anthranillic acid to 2-aminocyclohexa-1,4-diene-

1-carboxylic acid which is then converted into 3-[5-substituted 1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-yl]-2-styryl 5,8dihydroquinazoline-4 (3H)-ones which were then tested for Anticonvulsant activity, Behavioral and CNS studies. Attempts have not been made to reduce the Meta nitro benzoic acid till date by birch reduction. It can be hypothesized that after the reduction of the compound Meta nitro benzoic acid, the chemical moieties so obtained may have GABA agonist or antagonistic activities. Superimposibility of 3-aminocyclohexa-1.4-diene-1-carboxylic acid on GABA has promoted us to select compound Meta nitro benzoic acid for birch reduction by three different methods (I, II, III). Other most frequently and important encountered heterocycles in medicinal chemistry is guinazoline with wide applications including anticonvulsant, sedative, tranquilizer, analgesic, anesthetic, antimicrobial. anticancer. antihypertensive, antiinflammatory, diuretic and muscle relaxant properties [7-9]. Literature survey revealed that the presence of substituted aromatic ring at position 3 and methyl group at position 2 are necessary requirement for the central nervous system (CNS) depression and anticonvulsant activities. In spite of the fact that literally hundreds of guinazolinones related to 2-3-o-tolyl-4(3H)-quinazolinone methyl-(methagualone) have been synthesized and

tested for central nervous system (CNS) depression and anticonvulsant activities, none of the drugs currently in use contain the 4(3H)quinazolinone ring system. With this previous literature survey we tried to synthesis various analogous of 2-methyl-5,8-dihydroquinazolin-4(3H)-one [10]. Among the few reports in the literature our attention was drawn to the earlier discovery by Boltze et al. [11] andWolfe et al. [12] that modification of methyl group by some other chemical moiety yielded structural analogues with anticonvulsant activity. Medicinal chemists over the years have substituted different heterocyclic rings at position 3 of the 4(3H)-guinazolinone to get potent CNS acting drugs. 1,3,4-Thiadiazoles nucleus itself exhibits anticonvulsant, sedativehypnotic and CNS neurotoxicity activities [13]. In hope of getting synergistic response of 4(3H)guinazolinone nucleus itself, substitution of 1,3,4thiadiazoles nucleus at third position and chemically modifying second position of 4(3H)dihydroguinazolinone, the present paper reports on the synthesis, anticonvulsant, neurotoxicity, CNS depressant activity and behavioral study of 9 new 3-(1-3-4-thiadiazol-2-yl)- 2-styryl 5,8dihydroquinazoline-4 (3H)-ones.

#### Experimental

All the chemicals used in the synthesis were of analytical grade, procured from E-Merck (India) Limited, Mumbai; Loba Chemie Pvt.Ltd., Mumbai; S.D. Fine-Chem,Ltd.,Mumbai; Burgoynes and Co., Bombay; Thomas Baker(Chemicals) Ltd. Mumbai; Himedia Laboratories Pvt.Limited, Mumbai and were used without further purification. The solvents used were of spectroscopic grade. The elementary analysis was performed at University Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences; RTM University of Nagpur, Nagpur, India, Elementary analyses for C, H, N were within ±0.4% of theoretical values. The visible absorption spectrum was obtained on Shimadzu 1601 spectrophotometer. IR spectrum was recorded using KBr pellets on FTIR-Vector 22, Bruker, France spectrophotometer at Indian Bureau of Mines, Nagpur. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was taken on a Varian EM 390 spectrophotometer at Department of Chemistry, University of Pune, Pune, India. GCMS spectrum of the compound was recorded using DMSO as solvent on Shimazdu GCMA QP-2010 at Department of Chemistry, Institute of Science, Mumbai. The melting point of synthesized dye was determined by Veego's Precision Melting Point apparatus. The purity and homogeneity of compound was checked using thin layer chromatography technique.

### Reduction of *m*-nitrobenzoic acid by ammonia gas method (Method I)

In a three neck round bottom flask which was equipped with pressure equalizing funnel, 6.2 g

of sodium metal was added in 100ml of dry ethanol and flask was kept in the cooling mixture of crystalline calcium chloride and crust ice [14](practical vogal). Ammonia solution was heated on the heating mental at temp of 30°C to liberate the ammonia gas. The ammonia gas was then allowed to pass through the calcium chloride (Fused) guard tube to remove the moisture ammonia gas was then bubbled in the mixture of dry ethanol and sodium metal for 3 hr. A solution of 10 g of m-nitrobenzoic acid in 50 ml of dry ethanol was then added slowely from the pressure-equalizing funnel followed by 14.6g of ammonium chloride. In three neck round bottom flask ammonia gas was continuously passed through mixture for 4 hr. Ammonia was then evaporated and the residual material was dissolved in ice (500ml). After acidification with 10% HCl, the solution was extracted with four 100 ml portions of ether, the ether washed once with saturated sodium chloride solution dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated in vacuum. The remaining pale yellow oil was distilled at 96-98°C to give 3-aminocyclohexa-1,4-diene-1carboxylic acid.

## Reduction of *m*-nitrobenzoic acid by photochemical electron transfer method [4] (Method II)

The photoreduction of (I) was carried out in Pyrex vessels (>300 nm) with a 500 W high-pressure mercury lamp under an argon atmosphere at room temperature. The excitation of a 2-propanol solution of m-nitrobenzoic acid 1 (5 mM) and NaOH (200 mM) for 6 h exclusively afforded 5aminocyclohex-2-ene-carboxylic acid in 72% isolated yield. The efficiency of this photoreduction was highly dependent on both the nature of the substrate and the concentration of further. the use of KOH NaOH: or tetrabutylammonium hydroxide instead of NaOH resulted in a low yield of the reduction product fig. 1 [4].

### Reduction of *m*-nitrobenzoic acid by THF and naphthalene method (Method III) [5]

We also, discovered that the same reduction of m-nitrobenzoic acid could be performed in THF (no ammonia) with lithium metal and catalytic amounts of naphthalene used as an electron shuttle. We feel that removing the need for ammonia solvent will make the partial reduction reaction more practicable, especially on a large scale, and may also allow us to guench reduction reactions with sensitive electrophiles that would otherwise react with ammonia itself. Above three different reduction procedures has been carried out to check the practical yield of different modifications in Birch reduction. The compound selected for the birch reduction is m-nitrobenzoic acid as its was hypothise that the reduce product to m-nitrobenzoic acid i.e the 3-aminocyclohexa1,5-diene-1-carboxylic acid have structural similarity with GABA. The percentage yield of 3-aminocyclohexa-1,4-diene-1-carboxylic acid with three modifications is 84,72, 69 % respectively.



Fig. 1- Birch type reduction of Mm-nitro benzoic acid with three different method

#### The synthesis of 3-[1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-yl]-2styryl 5,8-dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones :

The synthesis of 3-[1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-yl]-2-styryl 5,8-dihydroquinazoline-4 (3H)-ones was accomplished as shown in Figs. 2 and 3. 2-methyl-3-(1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl)-5,8-

dihydroguinazolin-4(3H)-one were obtained by refluxing 2-methyl-5,8-dihydro-4H-3,1benzoxazin-4-one III [15] with the amine derivatives 4 according to Fig. 2. The amino derivative 4 [16,17] was obtained by oxidative cyclization of thiosemicarbazone III (obtained by condensation of aromatic aldehyde I and thiosemicarbazide II) in the presence of ferric chloride according to figure 3. 3-[1,3,4thiadiazole-2-yl]-2-styryl 5,8-dihydroguinazoline-4 (3H)-ones was synthesized by the steps mention in fig 2. In this method 3-[ 1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-yl]-2-styryl 5,8-dihydroquinazoline-4 (3H)-ones V was obtained by refluxing equimolar amount of 2methyl-3-(1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl)-5,8-

dihydroquinazolin-4(3*H*)-one and aromatic aldehyde in glacial acetic acid. The structures of the new compounds were elucidated by analytical and spectroscopic measurements. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was run throughout the reaction to optimize the reaction for purity and completion. The physical and chemical data for the newly synthesized compounds are presented in Table 4.

#### Pharmacology

The new derivatives obtained from the reaction sequence were injected intraperitoneally into mice and evaluated in the maximal electroshock (MES), subcutaneous pentylenetetrazole (scPTZ) and neurotoxicity screens, using doses of 30, 100 and 300 mg/kg at two different time intervals. Anticonvulsant evaluation of This the synthesized compounds was done by the anticonvulsant drug development (ADD) program protocol .These data are presented in Table 1. These compounds were also screened for their CNS behavioral activitv in mice usina actophotometer and Porsolt's swim pool test in rats and results are presented in Tables 2 and 3.



Fig. 2- Scheme for the synthesis of title compounds

$$RO+D + H_{1}N+C3H_{2} \xrightarrow{Sep1} RO+HN+C3H_{2} \xrightarrow{Fe^{++}} step2 \xrightarrow{N-N} R \xrightarrow{N-N}$$

1 2 3 Fig. 3- Scheme for the synthesis of 2-amino-5aryl-1,3,4-thiadiazoles

#### **Results and discussions**

Initial anticonvulsant activity and neurotoxicity data for the guinazolinone analogs are reported in Table 2, along with the literature data on phenytoin, carbamazepine, sodium valproate and phenobarbital [18, 19]. In this series all the guinazolinone analogs showed more potent sedative hypnotic and CNS depressant activities than anticonvulsant activity. In the earlier reports it was highlighted that the presence of electron rich atom/group attached at the para position of the aryl ring showed increased potency in the MES screen. Compounds a,1, 4,5,9 were found to exhibit anticonvulsant activity in MES screen, however, compound 4 showed potency similar to standard drug (phenytoin, carbamazepine) without any neurotoxicity. Compound 4 displayed activity in the MES screen after 0.5 h (100 mg/kg) and 4 h (100 mg/kg) while it was active at both 0.5 h (300 mg/kg) and 4 h (300 mg/kg) in the scPTZ test. This compound exhibited rapid onset of action and long duration of activity. Compounds 2, 3, 4,5, 6, 7, 8, and compound a did not show any activity in MES as well as in scPTZ after 0.5 and 4 h. compound 1 showed anticonvulsant activity st 0.5 and 4 h in both test model where as compound 9 showed anticonvulsant activity in scPTZ screen. Compounds 1 and 9 showed neurotoxicity after 0.5 h at 300 mg/kg body weight. Experimental

results indicated that our compound exhibited better sedative-hypnotic and CNS depressant activities as compared to anticonvulsant activity. All the compounds were screened for behavior study and CNS depressant activity. In the behavioral study using actophotometer scoring technique, compounds showed decrease in locomotor activity between 7% and 70% where 7% was the lowest and 70% was the maximal decrease in locomotor activity when compared to phenytoin as reported in Table 3. Compound 4 and 'a' was equipotent to phenytoin in decreasing % locomotor activity. All the compounds except 2.3.6 exhibited more than 50% decrease in locomotor activity (p < 0.02) after 1 h. Generally compounds possessing higher log p value showed higher decrease in locomotor activity. Bulkier compounds are more lipophilic and can cross blood brain barrier to exert their effect at CNS. In a similar study using swim pool test, the immobility time after administration of the test compounds were compared with carbamazepine (Table 4). Except for 3, 7 other tested compounds were found to exhibit potent CNS depressant activity ( p < 0.05) as indicated by increased immobility time. Present study explored that substitution of 1.3.4-thiadiazoles at third position and styryl moiety at second position of 5,8-dihydroguinazoline-4 (3H)-ones.

#### Chemistry

Synthesis of 2-amino 5-aryl 1'3'4'-thiadiazole

Synthesis of 2-amino 5-aryl 1'3'4'-thiadiazole was synthesized following two steps.

Step 1: Synthesis of thiosemicarbazones

Aromatic aldehyde I (0.2 M) in warm alcohol (300 mL) and thiosemicarbazide II (0.2 M) in warm water (300 mL) were mixed slowly with continuous stirring. The product separated immediately on cooling which was filtered with suction, dried and recrystallised in 75% ethanol to yield III. Physicochemical properties are presented in Table 4.

Step-2: Synthesis of 2-amino-5-aryl 1'3'4'thiadiazoles

Thiosemicarbazone III (0.05 M) was suspended in 300 ml warm water; FeCl3 (0.15 M) in 300 ml water was added quantitatively, slowly with constant stirring. The contents were heated at 80-90 °C for 45 min. Solution was filtered hot and then citric acid (0.11 M) and sodium citrate (0.05 M) were added. The resulting mixture was divided into 4 parts and each part was neutralized separately with ammonia (10%). The required amine separated out, filtered with suction, dried and recrystallised with appropriate solvent.

# Synthesis of 2-methyl-3-(5-substituted-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl)-5,8-dihydroquinazolin-4(3*H*)-one

Anthranilic acid 1 (0.01 M) was reduced using Birch reduction condition to 2-aminocyclohexa-1,4-diene-1-carboxylic acid. 2-aminocyclohexa-1,4-diene-1-carboxylic acid and acetic anhydride were re-fluxed under anhydrous condition for 4 h. Excess of acetic anhydride was distilled off under reduced pressure. To the mixture obtained, amines 4 (0.01 M) in glacial acetic acid was added and refluxed for 4 h. Obtained reaction mixture was poured into crushed ice and left overnight. The solid, which separated out, was filtered, washed thoroughly with cold distilled water, dried and recrystallised from hot ethanol.

#### Synthesis of title compound

The title compounds were synthesized by following the procedure reported earlier by [40-44]. A solution of 4 (0.01 M) and benzaldehyde (0.01 M) were reacted with glacial acetic acid (10 ml) and refluxed for 12 h. The solid 4 which separated out was filtered with suction and recrystallised from dimethylformamide to give pure compound. The physical data of the styryl 5,8-diquinazolinone are given in Table 4.

The IR spectra, <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the title compounds are as follows:

1. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1693 (C=O), 1650 (C=C) Alkene, 1530 (C=N), 1317 (CN), 688 (CS); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (300 MHz, δ) 168 (C-4), 162.4 (C-2), 112 (C-11), 136 (C-12), 136.5 (C-1), 135 (C-18); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz,  $\delta$ ) 5.16 (d, 1H, olefinic CH, J =15.2 Hz), 6.6-7.92 (a set of signals, 12H, aromatic protons and olefinic CH). 13C NMR (300 MHz, d) 168 (C-4), 162.4 (C-2), 112 (C-11), 136 (C-12), 136.5 (C-100), 135 (C-13), 112 (Cx of styryl group), 122.1 (C8 of 4(3H) quinazolinone ring), 126.9 (C10 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ), 127.0 (C2" and C<sub>6</sub>" of phenyl ring of 1.3,4-thiadiazole ring), 127.1 (C6 of dihydroguinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 127.6 (Cb of phenyl at C-2 of dihydroguinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 128.5 (C4 of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 129.4 (C3" and C5" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 130.2 (Ca of phenyl ring at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)ones ring), 136 (Cy of styryl group), 147.8 (C9 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring).

2. IR (cm<sup>-</sup>1) 1700 (C=O), 1611 (C=C) Alkene, 1520 (C=N), 1313 (CN), 675 (CS);  $^{13}$ C NMR (300 MHz,  $\delta$ ) 161 (C-2), 161.5 (C-4), 114.7 (C-11), 136 (C-12), 136.5 (C<sup>-N</sup>), 134 (C-13), 55.4 (C<sub>A</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz,  $\delta$ ) 3.73 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.74 (d, 1H, olefinic CH,

J = 15.5 Hz), 6.83-8.00 (a set of signals, 13H, aromatic protons and olefinic CH13C NMR (300 MHz, d) 168 (C-4), 162.4 (C-2), 114 (C-11), 138 (C-12), 136 (C-100), 135 (C-13), 112 (Cx of styryl group), 121.1 (C8 of 4(3H) quinazolinone ring), 126.9 (C10 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ), 126.0 (C2" and C<sub>6</sub>" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4thiadiazole ring), 126.1 (C6 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 127.6 (Cb of phenyl at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)ones ring), 128.5 (C4 of phenyl ring of 1,3,4thiadiazole ring), 128.4 (C3" and C<sub>5</sub>" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 130.2 (Ca of phenyl ring at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 136 (Cy of styryl group), 146.8 (C9 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring).

3. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1691 (C=O), 1640 (C=C) Alkene, 1530 (C=N), 1275 (CN), 773 (CS);  $^{13}$ C NMR ( $\delta$ ) 165 (C-2), 168 (C-4), 112 (C-11), 136 (C-12), 128 (C-12), 129 (C-12), 139 ( 133.5 (C-100), 134.9 (C-13), 21.4 (CA); 1H NMR (300 MHz, d) 2.35 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.84 (d, 1H, olefinic CH, J = 15.2 Hz), 6.12-7.85 (a set of signals, 13H, aromatic protons and olefinic CH). 13C NMR (300 MHz, d) 168 (C-4), 162.4 (C-2), 112 (C-11), 136 (C-12), 136.5 (C-100), 135 (C-13), 112 (Cx of styryl group), 122.1 (C8 of 4(3H) 126.9 quinazolinone ring), (C10 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ), 127.0 (C2" and C<sub>6</sub>" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 127.1 (C6 of dihydroguinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 127.6 (Cb of phenyl at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 128.5 (C4 of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 129.4 (C3" and C5" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 130.2 (Ca of phenyl ring at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)ones ring), 136 (Cy of styryl group), 147.8 (C9 of dihydroguinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring).

4. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1700 (C=O), 1668 (C=C) Alkene, 1591 (C=N), 1326 (CN), 752 (CS); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (δ) 165 (C-2), 168 (C-4), 112 (C-11), 136.4 (C-12), 134.6 (C-100), 135 (C-13); 1H NMR (300 MHz, d) 5.52 (d, 1H, olefinic CH, J =15.2 Hz), 6.80-7.48 (a set of signals, 13H, aromatic protons and olefinic CH). 13C NMR (300 MHz, d) 168 (C-4), 162.4 (C-2), 112 (C-11), 136 (C-12), 136.5 (C-100), 135 (C-13), 112 (Cx of styryl group), 122.1 (C8 of 4(3H) quinazolinone ring), 126.9 (C10 of dihydroguinazoline-4(3H)-ones ), 127.0 (C2" and C<sub>6</sub>" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 127.1 (C6 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 127.6 (Cb of phenyl at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 128.5 (C4 of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 129.4 (C3" and C5" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 130.2 (Ca of phenyl ring at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)ones ring), 136 (Cy of styryl group), 147.8 (C9 of dihvdroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring).

5. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1700 (C=O), 1614 (C=C) Alkene, 1555 (C=N), 1326 (CN), 760 (CS); <sup>13</sup>C NMR ( $\delta$ ) 160.2 (C-2), 168.8 (C-4), 112 (C-11), 136 (C-12), 137.9 (C-100), 134 (C-13); 1H NMR (300 MHz, d) 5.12 (d, 1H, olefinic CH, J =15.4 Hz), 6.41-7.49 (a set of signals, 13H, aromatic protons and olefinic CH). 13C NMR (300 MHz, d) 168 (C-4), 162.4 (C-2), 112 (C-11), 136 (C-12), 136.5 (C-100), 135 (C-13), 112 (Cx of styryl group), 122.1 (C8 of 4(3H) quinazolinone ring), 126.9 (C10 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ), 127.0 (C2" and

 $C_6$ " of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 127.1 (C6 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 127.6 (Cb of phenyl at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 128.5 (C4 of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 129.4 (C3" and C<sub>5</sub>" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 130.2 (Ca of phenyl ring at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 136 (Cy of styryl group), 147.8 (C9 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring).

134 (C-100), 134 (C-13), 125 (CA), 130 (CB); 1H NMR (300 MHz, d) 5.60 (d, 1H, olefinic CH, J 1/4 15.6 Hz), 6.99 (d, 2H, olefinic CH), 6.78-8.01 (a set of signals, 14H, aromatic protons and olefinic CH). 13C NMR (300 MHz, d) 169 (C-4), 164.4 (C-2), 115 (C-11), 137 (C-12), 137.5 (C-100), 136 (C-13), 113 (Cx of styryl group), 122.1 (C8 of 4(3H) quinazolinone ring), 126.9 (C10 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ), 127.0 (C2" and C<sub>6</sub>" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 127.1 (C6 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 128.6 (Cb of phenyl at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 128.5 (C4 of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 128.4 (C3" and C5" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 130.2 (Ca of phenyl ring at C-2 of dihydroguinazoline-4(3H)ones ring), 140 (Cy of styryl group), 147.8 (C9 of dihydroguinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring).

7.IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1700 (C=O), 1620 (C=C) Alkene, 1542 (C=N), 1274 (CN), 136.5 (C-100), 134.5 (C-13), 56 (CA); 1H NMR (300 MHz, d) 3.84 (s, 3H, CH3), 5.82 (d, 1H, olefinic CH, J =14.4 Hz), 6.72-7.94 (a set of signals, 13H, aromatic protons and olefinic CH). 13C NMR (300 MHz, d) 169 (C-4), 163.4 (C-2), 114 (C-11), 138 (C-12), 138.5 (C-100), 136 (C-13), 114 (Cx of styryl group), 123.1 (C8 of 4(3H) guinazolinone ring), 126.9 (C10 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ), 130.0 (C2" and C<sub>6</sub>" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 127.1 (C6 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 127.6 (Cb of phenyl at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 128.5 (C4 of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 130.4 (C3" and C5" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 130.2 (Ca of phenyl ring at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)ones ring), 139 (Cy of styryl group), 147.8 (C9 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring).

8. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1739 (C=O), 1642 (C=C) Alkene, 1542 (C=N), 1334 (CN), 759 (CS); <sup>13</sup>C NMR ( $\delta$ ) 167 (C-2), 168.6 (C-4), 112 (C-11), 136 (C-12), 128.8 (C-100), 131.4 (C-13), 55.5 (CA), 56 (CB); 1H NMR (300 MHz, d) 3.63 (s, 3H, CH3), 3.72 (s, 3H, CH3), 5.76 (d, 1H, olefinic CH, J = 15.0 Hz), 6.60-7.86 (a set of signals, 12H, aromatic protons and olefinic CH). 13C NMR (300 MHz, d) 169 (C-4), 162.4 (C-2), 117 (C-11), 139 (C-12), 140.5 (C-100), 136 (C-13), 113 (Cx of styryl group), 122.1

(C8 of 4(3H) quinazolinone ring), 126.9 (C10 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ), 129.0 (C2" and C\_6" of phenyl

ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 127.1 (C6 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 127.6 (Cb of phenyl at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 128.5 (C4 of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 130.4 (C3" and C<sub>5</sub>"of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 132.2 (Ca of phenyl ring at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 136 (Cy of styryl group), 149.8 (C9 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring).

9.IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1701 (C=O), 1637 (C=C) Alkene, 1530 (C=N), 1267 (CN), 774 (CS); <sup>13</sup>C NMR ( $\overline{o}$ ) 165 (C-2), 168 (C-4), 112 (C-11), 136 (C-12), 133.5 (C-100), 131.4 (C-13), 21.4 (CA), 56 (CB); 1H NMR (300 MHz, d) 2.32 (s, 3H, CH3), 3.74 (s, 3H, CH3), 5.85 (d, 1H, olefinic CH, J =15.2 Hz), 6.74-7.10 (a set of signals, 12H, aromatic protons and olefinic CH). 13C NMR (300 MHz, d) 168 (C-4), 169.4 (C-2), 117 (C-11), 141 (C-12), 139.5 (C-100), 138 (C-13), 112 (Cx of styryl group), 122.1 (C8 of 4(3H) quinazolinone ring), 126.9 (C10 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ), 129.0 (C2" and C<sub>6</sub>" of phenyl

ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 129.1 (C6 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 127.6 (Cb of phenyl at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 128.5 (C4 of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 129.4 (C3" and C<sub>5</sub>" of phenyl ring of 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring), 130.2 (Ca of phenyl ring at C-2 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring), 139 (Cy of styryl group), 150.8 (C9 of dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones ring).



#### Pharmacology

The anticonvulsant evaluation was undertaken using reported procedure [45-49]. Male albino mice (CF-1 strain or swiss, 18e25 g) and rats (SpragueeDawley or Wistar, 100e150 g) were used as experimental animals. The tested compounds were suspended in polyethylene glycol 400.

#### Anticonvulsant screening

Initially all the compounds were administered i.p. in a volume of 0.01 ml/g body weight for mice and 0.004 ml/g body weight for rats at doses of 30, 100, 300 mg/kg to one to four animals. Activity was established using the MES and scPTZ test and these data are presented in Table 1.

#### Neurotoxicity screening

Minimal motor impairment was measured in mice by the rotorod test. The mice were trained to stay on an accelerating rotorod that rotated at six revolutions per minute. The rod diameter was 3.2 cm. Neurotoxicity was indicated by the inability of the animal to maintain equilibrium on the rod for at least 1 min in each of the three trials.

#### Behavioral testing

The titled compounds (100 mg/kg) were screened for their behavioral effect using actophotometer [20]at 30 min and 1 h after drug administration. The behavior of animals inside the photocell was recorded as a digital score. Increased scores suggest good behavioral activity. The activity of the compounds were at maximum at 1 h, therefore, the activity values at 1 h were used to calculate % decrease in locomotor activity. The control group animals were administered PEG 400. The observations are tabulated as Table 2.

#### **CNS** depressant activity

The forced swim pool method described earlier [21] was followed. Wistar rats were placed in chamber (diameter 45 cm, height 20 cm) containing water up to a height of 15 cm at 25 2 C. Two swim sessions were conducted an initial 15 min pretest, followed by a 5 min test session 24 h later. The animals were drug administrated (100 mg/kg) the test compound i.p. 30 min before the test session. The period of immobility (passive floating without struggling, making only those movements which are necessary to keep its head above the surface of water) during the 5 min test period was measured. The results are presented in Table 3.

#### References

- [1] Birch A.J. and Smith H. (1950) *Rev. Chem. Soc.* (69)4, 553.
- [2] Donohoe T.J. Garg R. and Stevenson C.A (1996) *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, (7) 2, 317-344.
- [3] Birch A.J and. Slobbe J (1976) Heterocycles 5(2), 905.
- [4] Yoshimi Y., Ishise A., Oda H. and Moriguchi Y. (2000) *Tetrahedron Letters* 49, 1331–1334.
- [5] Donohoe T.J., Harji R.R. and Cousins R.C. (2000) Tetrahedron Letters 41, 1331– 1334.

- [6] Costanzo M.J., Patel M.N., Petersen K.A and Vogt P.F. (2009) 13<sup>th</sup> Annual Green Chemistry and Engineering Conference. 36, 1105.
- [7] Armarego W.L.F. (1979) Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 24, 1-62.
- [8] Fisnerova L., Brunova B., Kocfeldova Z., Tikalova J. and Maturova E. (1991) *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* 56, 2373-2381.
- [9] Saxena S., Verma M., Saxena A.K. and Shanker K. (1991) *Indian J. Pharm. Sci.* 53,48-52.
- [10] GravierD., Dupin J.P., Casadebaig F., Hou G., Boisseau M. and Bernard H. (1992) *Pharmazie*. 47, 91-94.
- [11] Boltze K.H., Dell H.D., Lehwald H., Loranz D. and Ruberg-schweer M. (1963) *Arzneim.-Forsch./Drug Res.* 13, 688-692.
- [12] Wolfe J.F., Rathman T.L., Sleevi M.C., Campbell J.A. and Greenwood T.D. (1990) J. Med. Chem. 33, 161-166.
- [13] Jain S.K. and Mishra P. (2000) Asian J. Chem. 12, 1341-1343.

- [14] Furniss B.S., Hannaford A.J., Smith P.W.G. and Tatchell A.R. (2008) Vogal's textbook of Practical Chemistry. 5<sup>th</sup> edn, 78-79.
- [15] Yoshimi Y., Higuchi M., Itou T. and Hatanaka M. (2004) *Chem. Lett.* 1196.
- [16] Yoshimi Y., Itou T. and Hatanaka M. (2006) Tetrahedron Lett. 47, 3257.
- [17] Jatav V., Jain S.K., Kashaw S.K. and Mishra P. (2006) Indian J. Pharm. Sci. 7360-362.
- [18] Dimmock J.R., Puthucode R.N., Smith J.M., Hetherington M., Quail J.W., Pugazhenthi U., Lechler T. and Stables J.P. (1996) *J. Med. Chem.* 39,3984-3997.
- [19] Flaherty P.T., Greenwood T.D., Manhein A.L. and Wolfe J.F. (1996) *J. Med. Chem.*39,1509-1513.
- [20] Boissoer J.R. and Simon P. (1965) Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn.Ther. 158, 212-214.
- [21] Porsolt R.D., Anton G., Blanet N. and Jalbre M. (1978) Eur. J. Pharm. 47, 379-386.

| Intraperitoneal injection in mice <sup>a</sup> |            |     |              |                  |                      |     |
|--|------------|-----|--------------|------------------|----------------------|-----|
| Derivative no                                  | MES screen |     | scPTZ screen |                  | Neurotoxicity screen |     |
|  | 0.5 h      | 4 h | 0.5 h        | 4 h              | 0.5 h                | 4 h |
| 1  | 100        | 300 | 300          | 300 <sup>b</sup> | -                    | -   |
| 2  | -          | -   | -            | -                | 300                  | -   |
| 3  | -          | -   | -            | -                | 300                  | -   |
| 4  | -          | -   | -            | -                | -                    | -   |
| 5  | -          | -   | -            | -                | 300                  | -   |
| 6  | -          | -   | -            | -                | 300 <sup>°</sup>     | -   |
| 7  | -          | -   | -            | -                | 100                  | -   |
| 8  | -          | -   | -            | -                | 300                  | -   |
| 9  | -          | -   | -            | 300              | -                    | -   |
| а  | 100        | 100 | 300          | 300              | -                    | -   |
| Phenytoin <sup>a</sup>                         | 30         | 30  |              |                  | 100                  | 100 |
| Carbamazepine <sup>a</sup>                     | 30         | 100 | 100          | 300              | 100                  | 300 |
| Sodium vaporate <sup>d</sup>                   | -          | -   | 300          | -                | -                    | -   |
| Phenobarbitals <sup>d</sup>                    | 100        | 30  | 30           | 300              | 100                  | 300 |

Table 1- Anticonvulsant activity and minimal motor impairment of 3-[5-substituted 1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-yl]-2-styryl dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones and compound

a: Doses of 30, 100 and 300 mg/kg were administered. The values in the table indicate the minimum dose whereby bioactivity was demonstrated in half or more of the mice. The animals were examined 0.5 and 4 h after the injections were made; the symbol (e) indicates the absence of activity at maximum dose administered (300 mg/kg).

b: Died during test at 300 mg/kg without seizure.

c: Neurotoxicity at 100 mg/kg (0.25 h, 1 h).

d: Data from Refs. [9-11].

Table 2- Behavioral study of 3-[5-substituted 1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-yl]-2-styryl dihydroquinazoline-4(3H)-ones and compound

|                            | Activity score <sup>b</sup> |                       |                       |    |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----|
| Derivative no <sup>a</sup> | Control                     | Post treatment        | % Inhibition          |    |
| Derivative no              | (24 h prior)                | 0.5 h After           | 1 h After             |    |
| 1                          | 579.32 <u>+</u> 20.78       | 492.31 <u>+</u> 26.78 | 269.75 <u>+</u> 11.69 | 53 |
| 2                          | 406.04 <u>+</u> 11.26       | 381.82 <u>+</u> 9.69  | 246.36 <u>+</u> 11.89 | 39 |
| 3                          | 472.24 <u>+</u> 10.42       | 541.70 <u>+</u> 19.92 | 437.50 <u>+</u> 21.11 | 7  |
| 4                          | 518.10 <u>+</u> 9.97        | 357.62 <u>+</u> 11.30 | 250.04 <u>+</u> 12.49 | 52 |
| 5                          | 554.16 <u>+</u> 10.62       | 374.57 <u>+</u> 10.60 | 265.72 <u>+</u> 12.70 | 52 |
| 6                          | 549.87 <u>+</u> 17.52       | 399.87 <u>+</u> 2.21  | 499.92 <u>+</u> 09.93 | 9  |
| 7                          | 487.63 <u>+</u> 9.21        | 431.24 <u>+</u> 9.12  | 222.34 <u>+</u> 11.92 | 54 |
| 8                          | 482.92 <u>+</u> 181.24      | 333.13 <u>+</u> 9.29  | 195.30 <u>+</u> 14.00 | 60 |
| 9                          | 408.96 <u>+</u> 21.98       | 336.46 <u>+</u> 4.98  | 152.10 <u>+</u> 16.18 | 63 |
| а                          | 536.39_21.12                | 241.01_09.32          | 154.08_28.18          | 69 |
| Phenytoin <sup>c</sup>     | 546.40_31.12                | 251.02 _ 12.32        | 164.10_30.11          | 70 |

a: The compounds were tested at a dose of 100 mg/kg i.p.

b: Each score represents the means \_ SEM of six mice significantly different from the control score at p < 0.05 and NS at p > 0.05 denotes not significant

(Student's t-test).

c: Tested at 30 mg/kg p.o.

| Derivative no <sup>a</sup> | e no <sup>a</sup> Immobility time <sup>b</sup> (s) |                               |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                            | Control (24 h prior)                               | Post treatment (60 min after) |  |  |  |  |
| PEG                        | 184.37 <u>+</u> 13.15                              | 181 .41 <u>+</u> 11.54        |  |  |  |  |
| 1                          | 165.33 <u>+</u> 10.83                              | 210.21 <u>+</u> 10.71         |  |  |  |  |
| 2                          | 107.22 <u>+</u> 7.14                               | 145.41 <u>+</u> 11. 49        |  |  |  |  |
| 3                          | 163.62 <u>+</u> 11.19                              | 152.00 <u>+</u> 09.76         |  |  |  |  |
| 4                          | 98.71 <u>+</u> 13.92                               | 193.30 <u>+</u> 15.33         |  |  |  |  |
| 5                          | 119.20 <u>+</u> 11.31                              | 187.60 <u>+</u> 11.84         |  |  |  |  |
| 6                          | 115.63 <u>+</u> 9.73                               | 162.92 <u>+</u> 12.72         |  |  |  |  |
| 7                          | 124.43 <u>+</u> 7.39                               | 120.20 <u>+</u> 11.91         |  |  |  |  |
| 8                          | 67.86 <u>+</u> 12.44                               | 121.60 <u>+</u> 11.73         |  |  |  |  |
| 9                          | 197.20 <u>+</u> 11.69                              | 218.22 <u>+</u> 11.13         |  |  |  |  |
| a                          | 102.16 <u>+</u> 13.19                              | 198.34 <u>+</u> 11.73         |  |  |  |  |
| Carbamazepine <sup>c</sup> | 138.82 <u>+</u> 15.09                              | 240.30 <u>+</u> 14.10         |  |  |  |  |

Table 3- CNS study on 3-[5-substituted 1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-yl]-2-styryl quinazoline-4(3H)-ones by forced swim pool test

a The compounds were tested at a dose of 100 mg/kg (oral).

b Each value represents the means \_ SEM of six rats significantly different from the control at p < 0.05 and NS denotes not significant at p < 0.05 (Student's t-test).

c Tested at 30 mg/kg (i.p.).

| 1000 | Table 4- Physical data of 3- | [5-substituted 1.3.4-thiadiazole-2-) | -vl]-2-stvrvl 5.8-dił | nvdroguinazoline-4(3H)-one |
|------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
|------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

| Code<br>No. | Ar  | R  | Yield<br>(%) | M.p.<br>( <sup>0</sup> C) | Molecular<br>formula  | Molecular<br>weight        | Rf   | Log<br>p <sup>b</sup> |
|-------------|---|--|--------------|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|------|-----------------------|
| 1           | <i>p</i> -<br>CIC <sub>6</sub> H₄             | $-C_6H_5$  | 53           | 199                       | C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>17</sub> CIN <sub>4</sub> OS               | 446.951                    | 0.62 | 3.67                  |
| 2           | <i>p</i> -<br>CIC <sub>6</sub> H₄             | p-OCH₃C <sub>6</sub> H₄<br>C <sub>A</sub>        | 39           | 202                       | $C_{25}H_{21}CIN_4O_2S$   | 476.97784                  | 0.68 | 3.77                  |
| 3           | <i>p</i> -<br>CIC <sub>6</sub> H₄             | p-CH <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>  | 53           | 210                       | C <sub>25</sub> H <sub>21</sub> CIN <sub>4</sub> OS               | 460.97844                  | 0.73 | 4.11                  |
| 4           | <i>p</i> -<br>CIC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> | p-CIC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>                | 45           | 225                       | C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>18</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> OS | Formula Weight = 481.39692 | 0.81 | 4.33                  |
| 5           | <i>p</i> -<br>CIC <sub>6</sub> H₄             | m- CIC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>               | 40           | 224                       | $C_{24}H_{18}CI_2N_4OS$   | 481.39692                  | 0.80 | 4.33                  |
| 6           | <i>p</i> -<br>CIC <sub>6</sub> H₄             | -<br>CH=CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>          | 31           | 209                       | $C_{26}H_{21}CIN_4OS$   | 472.98914                  | 0.56 | 3.82                  |
| 7           | <i>m</i> -<br>CIC₀H₄                          | $-C_6H_5$  | 36           | 237                       | C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>17</sub> CIN <sub>4</sub> OS               | 446.951                    | 0.67 | 3.51                  |
| 8           | <i>m</i> -<br>CIC <sub>6</sub> H₄             | p-OCH <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> | 31           | 229                       | $C_{25}H_{21}CIN_4O_2S$   | 476.97784                  | 0.61 | 3.69                  |
| 9           | <i>m</i> -<br>CIC <sub>6</sub> H₄             | p-CH <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>  | 34           | 226                       | $C_{25}H_{21}CIN_4OS$   | 460.97844                  | 0.60 | 4.08                  |