Sea Out of the Control of the Contro

International Journal of Education and Applied Sciences

Volume 1, Number 2, 113-120, July 2014 http://www.injoeas.com ISSN: 2345-6728



The effect of Organizational Intelligence and Organizational Learning on Performance of staff in Ministry of Petroleum

Parinaz Banisi*a, Neda Ostadali b

- ^a Assistant professor, Department of educational sciences, Rudehen Azad University, Rudehen, Iran
- ^b Department of Educational Science, Master Branch of Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

Article history:
Received28May 2014
Received in revised form 11June 2014
Accepted20June 2014

Keywords: Organizational Intelligence Organizational Learning Performance Ministry of Petroleum

Abstract

Introduction: The aim of the current study is to determine the effect of Organizational Intelligence and Organizational Learning on performance of staff in Ministry of Petroleum.

Materials and Method: The population is all the Petroleum ministry staff that they were about 5,000 people. The sampling method was systematic random sampling and the sample size of 356 subjects. Research, was descriptive. Three questionnaires, Organizational Intelligent (Albrecht, 2003), Organizational Learning (Weick and Leon, 1995) and a researcher made questionnaire has been used for collecting the information. To data analysis normality K-S Test, Kruskal-Wallis and X2 Test were used.

Results: Finding revealed that There is significant positive relationship between Organizational Intelligence ,Organizational learning and performance of staff in Ministry of Petroleum; Also fading reveals that Status of staff Organizational Intelligence and Organizational Learning in Ministry of Petroleum was moderate to high

Conclusion: Those staffs that have high Organizational Intelligence and learning and performance have the capability of an organization to comprehend and conclude knowledge relevant to its business purpose an ability to make sense of complex situations and act effectively. Also they have an ability to interpret and act upon relevant events and signals in the environment. Additionally the have the ability to develop, share and use knowledge relevant to its business purpose and ability to reflect and learn from new experience.

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: P_Banisi@yahoo.com

1. Introduction

Today, Organizational Learning has been taken attention increasingly among Organization to gain a competition advantage, innovation effectiveness of interest, and various researchers have analyzed it by different approaches. We can call such approaches as psychology approach, social study approach and Organizational Theory. In 1900, the concept of Organizational Learning had been formed when Fredric Talor raised the subject of transferring learning to other staff (Yung and his colleagues, 1999). Albert Richard Siret and Jims March in 1999 were the first ones who put together the concepts of learning as an organized phenomenon (cited in Taheri Rouzbahani et al., 2013). Argris and Schon (1987, cited in Graham, 2006) defined learning as a way of error detection Dodgson correction. defined Organizational Learning as a way that Organizations created completely and organized to develop the normal flow of work in relation to knowledge and activities, as well as improve the efficiency of the organization through labor board skills (cited in Lamsa, 2008).

Regarding to Organizational Learning, there are three various but related levels in management topics. First level is individual learning which refers to changing skills, insights, knowledge, attitudes and values; it will be acquired by technology-based training and observation of self-study. Second level is group learning that refers to improving knowledge, skills and competencies which can be especially obtained by group working. The third level is organizational learning. This level indicates of intellectual capabilities and productivity, results in continuous improvement throughout the organization is achieved. Learning system not only provides the best chance for survival, but also marks the success (Marquardt, 2002).

In researcher point of view, the Organizational Learning has different types. Including Argyris and Schön (1996) that has introduced three levels of Organizational Learning: single-loop learning, double-loop learning and learning tricycle. Marquardt (2002) has introduced four types of Organizational Learning such as adaptive,

predictive and prospective learning. Fayul and Laylz (1985, cited in Gorelick, 2005) also have referred to two types learning. Low level and high level learning. Regarding to these prospective, characteristics of Organizational Learning are complex, unplanned, conscious, purposeful, continuous, involving and growing dynamic, processes, which are influenced by the used cultural resources.

Nowadays, Organizations are able to raise that all of its employees for their ability to work, the role of manager is to provide a suitable environment for the learning process.

Organizational Learning is formed based on individual learning and it's shared the Organizational policies, standard operating procedure and cultural norms to the other member of organization.

It should be noted that, in spite of challenges that the organizations facing with, it's essential to pay attention to the intelligence process in order to gain performance and growth through the promotion of study, improving strategic vision, shared destiny, a desire to change, mentality, unity and agreement, application of knowledge and intelligence that they are aspects of the performance pressure (Jamalzadeh, 2009).

(2005)defines Organizational Simic the Intelligence as: "the thinking ability of an organization in order to solve the organizational problems" from his point of view, the intelligence is just the collection of information, experience, knowledge and understanding of issues. Halal (2006) believes that "Organizational Intelligence is the capacity of an organization for knowledge creation, and used strategic application in order to get compatible with their surroundings. Albrecht (2003), the author and designer of Organizational Intelligence in order to succeed in business, refers to have three factors: smart people, smart group and smart organizations. He declares that when the smart people gather in an organization, moves towards idle and stupor. He uses Organizational Intelligence in order to responding and preventing stupor group (Abzari et al., 2006). Albrecht (2003) is provided the definition of intelligence as:

"Organizational Intelligence means the capacity of an organization to gathering information and understanding the nature of the environment and converting data into knowledge".

Today, we can confidently declare that the identification and application of Organizational Intelligence can improve competitive strength in an organization and distinguish it from other organizations. Organizational Intelligence organizes the ability of control positive and negative changes for achieve success, and having three factors, such as smart people, smart group, and smart organization are essential. In smart organization, all members do their tasks correctly because they are believed in credibility of purposes and they do reasonable balanced between individual and organizational expectations (Faghihi, 2009).

Different researches has been done in the world especially in Iran, in order to study organizational Learning and Organizational Intelligence, such as Mirghafari, who research as "framework of strategic conceptual creating a learning organization by using a simplified intelligence" came to the conclusions that variety of tools introduce for organizations to create, collect, transfer, optimal use of information and implementation of learning organization. Organizational Intelligence is one of these tools that with capabilities and efficient applications and benefit many potential advantages to organization. Asadi and his colleagues (2009) in a study entitled "the relation between Organizational Learning and performance in physical education" researched the following results" continuous learning will caused to increase organizational performance and their increased Organizational Learning will be increased their performance. Herrera (2007) in a research "Assessing Organizational Learning and its effect on the performance of organizational takes" came to the conclusions that continuous learning is the most effective in organizational performance staff.

The present study investigates the effects of Organizational Intelligence and Organizational Learning on the staff of Petroleum Ministry, aims to address the following questions:

1. Does the Organizational Intelligence have impact on staff performance of Petroleum Ministry staff?

- 2. Does the Organizational Learning have impact on staff performance of Petroleum Ministry staff?
- 3. How is the status of the Organizational Intelligence of Petroleum Ministry staff?
- 4. How is the status of the Organizational Learning of Petroleum Ministry staff?
- 5. How is the status of the performance of Petroleum Ministry staff?

2. Method

The research method in the present study is descriptive and correlational method using nonparametric statistic. At the First step the number of all staff members of Petroleum Ministry was extracted and according to the list and through systematic random sampling 400 people were selected, 20 people were not satisfied to participated in the study and 24 in complete questionnaire were deleted and finally 356 completed questionnaire were remained.

2.1. Participants

The population in this study constitutes all the staff members of Petroleum Ministry, whose number is about 5000. Employing systematic random sampling, 356 subjects are determined as sample.

2.2. Measurement

Organizational Intelligence questionnaire (Albrecht, 2003) which has six components include: strategic vision, shared destiny, a desire to change, unity and agreement and the application of knowledge and performance were employed for assessing Organizational Intelligence.

Organizational Learning questionnaire (Vic and Leon, 1995) which consists of 20 questions based on five Likert Scales and five components include vision-based leadership, planning / evaluation, acquisition and dissemination of information, creativity, and pragmatism was employed for assessing Organizational Learning. Concerning performance questionnaire, it's assessed through developed researcher's questionnaire which is contained 23 questions about the performance of the employees. The components of this questionnaire include: regulation and discipline, good behavior and deal effectively with clients,

working diligently, flexibility, reliability and dandy shy. In the present study, in order to access validity and reliability of these questionnaires it's used some scientists' comments in validity method of content and it confirmed by supervisor and consultant and for determining reliability of these questionnaires Cronbach's Alpha Method was employed which was (0/72, 0/81, and 0/90) in all three variables.

3. Results

Question 1: Does the Organizational Intelligence have impact on staff performance of Petroleum Ministry staff? The quality of calculated X^2 in meaningful level

0.05 with release degree 2 is more than critical quantity X^2 (5.99). So, with the insurance of %95, we concluded that Organizational Intelligence have impact on performance of Petroleum Ministry staff, and the average number in all components especially in performance component shows that all people who have above-intermediate Organizational Intelligence, their performance in compare other staff in Organization is higher. So, there is positive and meaningful relation between Organizational Intelligence and staff performance.

Table1. Kruskal-Wallis Test for comparing the effect of Organizational Intelligence on the performance of Petroleum Ministry staff.

component	N	Average No.	\mathbf{X}^2	df	Sig.	component	N	Average No.	\mathbf{X}^2	df	Sig.
Official	90	152.35					90	144.81			
Regulation	245	189.59	9.289	2	0.010	Reliability	245	209	11.885	2	0.003
discipline	21	161.14				•	21	170.16			
Good behaviour with clients	90	132	5.882	2	0.050	Dandy shy	90	138.28	18.700	2	
	245	191.93					245	191.37			0.000
	21	177.55					21	200.69			
Warling dali	90	150		2	0.000	Conoral	90	148.71			
Working deli	245	220	20.157			General performance	245	183	32.492	2	0.003
gently	21	165.69					21				
Flexibility	90	128.36		2							
	245	197.49	29.864		0.000						
	21	171.86									

Note1: Responses Levels: Low, average, high

Note 2: N, X², df, and sig. stands for number. X² test and significance respectively

Question 2: Does the Organizational Learning have impact on staff performance of Petroleum Ministry staff?

The quality of calculated X2 in meaningful level 0.05 with release degree 2 is more than critical quantity X2 (5.99). So, with the insurance of %95, we concluded that Organizational Learning have impact on performance of Petroleum Ministry

staff, and the average number in all components especially in performance component shows that all people who have above-intermediate Organizational Learning, their performance in compare other staff in Organization is higher. So, there is a positive and meaningful relation between Organizational Learning and staff performance.

Table2. Kruskal-Wallis Test for comparing the effect of Organizational Learning on the performance of Petroleum Ministry staff.

component	N	Average No.	\mathbf{X}^2	df	Sig.	component	N	Average No.	\mathbf{X}^2	df	Sig.
Official	79	155.68					79	155.78			
Regulation	261	186.68	6.213	2	0.045	Reliability	261	170.51	9.593	2	0.008
discipline	16	157.69				-	16	209.49			
Good behaviour with clients	79	119.72	6.621	2	0.036	Dandy shy	79	146.87	9.669		
	261	178					261	187.44		2	0.008
	16	191.96					16	188.84			
Working doli	79	158.56	15.919	2		General	79	148.44	33.457	2	,
Working deli	261	218.99					261	180.42			0.000
gently	16	167.47				performance	16	178.23			
Flexibility	79	130.87									,
	261	193.57	22.754	2	0.000						
	16	167.78									

Note 1: Responses Levels: Low, average, high

Note 2: N, X², df, and sig. stands for number. X² test and significance respectively

Question 3: How is the statue of the Organizational Intelligence of Petroleum Ministry staff?

The quality of calculated X²with the release degree of 4 and in meaningful level 0.05 is higher

than critical quality (9.448); so consequently with insurance of %95, the Organizational Intelligence statue of Petroleum Ministry staff is above – intermediate.

Table3. The result of X^2 Test related the question 3 of study

component	Frequency	\mathbf{X}^2	df	Sig	component	Frequency	\mathbf{X}^2	df	Sig
	9					10			
	94					74			
Strategic vision	159	233	4	0.000	Unity & agreement	176	271	4	0.000
	88					90			
	6					6			
Shared destiny	1					4			
	95		4	0.000		77			
	172	283			Application of knowledge	196	333	4	0.000
	82					68			
	6					11			
	2					2.00			
desire to	84			0.000	Organizational Intelligence (general)	3.00			
	202	381	4			4.00	606	4	0.000
change	68					5.00			
	0					10			
	4								
mentality	94								
	200	375	4	0.000					
	57								
	1								

Note 1: Responses Levels: Completely rejected, not completely rejected, not completely accepted, accepted, completely accepted Note 2: N, X^2 , df, and sig. stands for number. X^2 test and significance respectively

Question 3: How is the statue of the Organizational Learning of Petroleum Ministry staff?

The quality of calculated X^2 with the release degree of 4 and in meaningful level 0.05 is higher

Than critical quality (9.448); so consequently with insurance of %95, the Organizational Learning

statue of Petroleum Ministry staff is above – intermediate.

Table4. The result of X^2 Test related the question 4 of study.

component	Frequency	\mathbf{X}^2	df	Sig	component	Frequency	\mathbf{X}^2	df	Sig
	6 89	360		.000		7 73			
Vision – based leadership	198 62 1		4		creativity	202 68 6	358	4	.000
Planning /evaluation	6 87 172 89 2	277	4	.000	pragmatism	20 76 172 80 8	237	4	.000
Acquisition & dissemination of information	3 88 201 61 3	372	4	.000	Organizational Learning (general)	0 79 261 16 0	692	4	.000

Note: Responses Levels: Completely rejected, not completely rejected, not completely accepted, accepted, accepted, accepted, **Note 2:** N, X^2 , df, and sig. stands for number. X^2 test and significance respectively

Question 4: How is the statue of the Performance of Petroleum Ministry staff?

The quality of calculated X^2 with the release degree of 4 and in meaningful level 0.05 is higher

than critical quality (9.448); so consequently with insurance of %95, the Performance statue of Petroleum Ministry staff is above – intermediate.

Table 5: The result of X^2 Test related the question 5 of study.

component	Frequency	\mathbf{X}^2	df	Sig	component	Frequency	\mathbf{X}^2	df	Sig
	1					9			
Official Regulation	85	551		0.000	reliability	86			
discipline	237		4			187	309	4	0.000
	31					70			
	1					4			
	0				•	4			
Good behaviour with	63					99			
	231	501	4	0.000	Dandy shy	217	460	4	0.000
clients	59					35			
	2					1			
	1					1			
	97			0.000	Performance	76			
Working diligently	219	473	4		(general)	263	699	4	0.000
Working diligently	38					15			
	0					1			
	5				•				
flexibility	94								
пехівінсу	183	313	4	0.000					
	73								
	1								

Note: Responses Levels: Very Low, Low, Average, High, Very High

Note 2: N, X², df, and sig. stands for number. X² test and significance respectively

4. Discussion

Today is speaking about various kinds of intelligence and Organizational Intelligence means acquisition of knowledge and information from all factors which can influenced on Organizational performance. Another component which effects on Organizational performance is Organizational Learning that it can be said: it's continues process the facilities, that increases knowledge and Organizational capabilities, and improves Organizational performance (García-Morales et al., 2006). The study results show that regarding to the Organizational Learning and Intelligence components it can be said that, all components of Organizational Intelligence and Learning are good determiners for organization performance. And it's used these components in order to improve Organizational performance. Organizational Intelligence components, the desire to change has the most correlation Organizational performance, and it means that people who are desired to have good performance, they will do their best till create many changes in their environment and themselves. So the desire to change in Organizational Intelligence is more suitable component. And among all components of Organizational Learning, planning / evaluation have correlation the most with Organizational that Those staffs have performance. Organizational Intelligence and learning performance have the capability of an organization to comprehend and conclude knowledge relevant to its business purpose an ability to make sense of complex situations and act effectively. Also they have an ability to interpret and act upon relevant events and signals in the environment. Additionally the have the ability to develop, share and use knowledge relevant to its business purpose and ability to reflect and learn from new experience.

Also Petroleum Ministry staff has the capacity to sense, make sense, and act in flexible, creative, adaptive ways as "collaborative problem-solving between people and technical artifacts within and beyond complex enterprises.

References

- Abzeri, M., Etebarian, A., & Satari Ghahfarokhi, M. (2006). Organizational intelligence and prevent the collective stupidity. *Journal of Management Sciences* Iran, 2 (5), 49-71. [In Persian].
- Albrecht, K. (2003). Organizational Intelligence Survey Preliminary Assessment Australian Managers. Australian institute of management.
- Argyris, C., & Schön, D. A. (1996). *Organizational Learning II: Theory, Method, and Practice*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Asadi, H., Ghorbani, M. H., & Naderan, M. (2009). The Relationship between the Learning Organization and Productivity in Iran Physical Education Organization. *World Journal of Sport Sciences*, 2(3), 160-164.
- Faghihi, A. (2009). Review Organizational Intelligence in the Ministry of Education (Organization for Research and Training Program) and provide the appropriate conceptual framework. Unpublished PhD thesis. University of Tehran, Tehran [In Persian].
- García-Morales, V. J., Llorens-Montes, F. J., & Verdú-Jover, A. J. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of organizational innovation and organizational learning in entrepreneurship. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 106(1), 21-42.
- Gorelick, C. (2005). Organizational learning vs. the learning organization: a conversation with a practitioner. *The Learning Organization*, 12(4), 383-388.
- Graham, C. M. (2006). Organizational Learning, Entrepreneurship and Evaluative Inquiry Mechanisms of Small Size Business Enterprises. Unpublished thesis, University of Arkansas.
- Halal, W. E. (1997). Organizational Intelligence: What is it? And how can manager use it? Retrieved, 2007. From http://www.Bah.com.
- Herrera, D. (2007). A validation of the learning organization as a driver of performance improvement. Unpublished Dissertation, Capella University.
- Jamalzadeh, M. (2009). Review of organizational intelligence and organizational learning among staff and faculty of Islamic Azad University, and provide a model to promote organizational learning. *Leadership and Educational Administration Quarterly*, 3(2), 63-86[In Persian].
- Lamsa, T. (2008). Knowledge Creation and Organizational Learning in Communities of Practice: an empirical analysis of a healthcare organization. Unpublished thesis, University of Oulu.

- Marquardt, M. (2002). Five elements of learning executive excellence. *Information and Management*, 42, 179-196
- Simic, I., (2005), Organizational Learning as A Component of Organizational Intelligence, Information and Marketing Aspect of the Economically Development of the Balkan Countries Journal, ISBN 945-90277-8-3-, University of National and World Economy, Sofia, Bulgaria
- Taheri Rouzbahani, M., Lak, Z., Jamshidi, S., Mohammadi, K., & Moazami Goudarzi, M. (2013). Studying the Effects of Organizational Learning Based on Organizational Learning Dimensions on its Levels and Organizational Culture. *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research*, 3(2)1297-1301.
- Weich, K. E. & Leon, D. (1993). Sense making in Organization. Sage Publication Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Yeung, A. K., Ulrich, D., Nason, S. W., & Ann, Glinow, M. (1999). *Organizational Learning Capability*. New York: Oxford University Press.