

# INTERACTION EFFECT OF CHEMICAL AND BIO-FERTILIZERS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF ONION (*Allium cepa* L.)

### Yogita and R.B.Ram

Department of Applied Plant Science (Horticulture) Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A Central University), Vidya Vihar, Rae Bareily Road, Lucknow-226 025 (U.P.), India

**ABSTRACT:** The present investigation comprising the supplementation of chemical and biofertilizers for onion crop was carried out under field conditions at Horticultural Research Farm of Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow during *rabi* season of 2010-2011. The experiment comprised of four levels of chemical fertilizers and six levels of biofertilizers. The maximum plant heights, number of leaves, neck thickness, bulb diameter, bulb weight, number of scales and yield were found with the application of  $T_{12}$  (100 kg N + 50 kg P + 70 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha *Azotobacter* + 1.9 kg/ha VAM) that was closely followed by  $T_{11}$  (100 kg N + 50 kg P + 70 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha *Azotobacter* + 2 kg/ha Phosphobacteria ),  $T_{18}$  (75 kg N +37.5 kg P + 52.5 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha *Azotobacter* + 1.9 kg/ha VAM) and  $T_{17}$  (75 kg N +37.5 kg P + 52.5 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha Azotobacter + 2 kg/ha Phosphobacteria) respectively. Minimum number of days required for bulb formation and number of days taken to maturity were also obtained with the application of  $T_{12}$  (100 kg N + 50 kg P + 70 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha *Azotobacter* + 1.9 kg/ha VAM). Results obtained by the application of inorganic fertilizers with biofertilizers exhibited significant effect on various parameters studied under the investigation.

Keywords: Chemical fertilizer, biofertilizer, Azotobacter, VAM, onion, growth, yield.

Onion (Allium cepa L.), is bulbous biennial herb of family Alliaceae is one of the most important vegetable cum condiment crops demanded worldwide. Moreover, onion is the only vegetable in which India figures prominently in the world for production and export (Singh and Joshi, 12). Onion is an immense potential crop being part of medicinal values and hence, useful in fever, dropsy, catarrh and chronic bronchitis. Raw onion has an antiseptic value through the alimentary canal. It promotes bile production and reduces blood sugar. It is rich in minerals like phosphorus and calcium, vitamin C, protein and carbohydrates. In order to meet the increasing demand of the consumers and fill the gap in off-season, onion is now gaining popularity as kharif season crop too. Crop production of onion is affected by several factors. Usually little or no chemical fertilizer is added to this crop and hence characterized by low yield. Therefore, there is a need to study the possible ways of improving the yields of this crop. Biofertilizer have recently gained with momentum for affecting the sustainable increase in crop yield

various agro climatic conditions. under Biofertilizers are live carrier based microbial preparations used in agriculture as low input resources to enhance the availability of plant nutrients or promote the growth by way of synthesizing growth factors. Role of biofertilizer on the crop growth and yield was documented by Vijayakumar et al. (13) and Ramakrishnan and Thamizhiniyan (8). Azotobacter fixes atmospheric nitrogen independently near the root zone thus, enhancing the available nitrogen to the soil whereas phosphobacteria solublize the soil phosphorus and makes them easily available for the plants. Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizae (VAM) play a vital role in development of stronger root system, improved growth (Zandavalli et al., 14), nutrient uptake, increase tolerance of host roots to soil borne pathogens (Nelson and Achar, 6).

Uses of biofertilizers in onion production, to at least partially supplement its nutrient demand and to improve soil fertility by way of the integration of different sources of plant nutrients in desired. Onion has a good response for biofertilizer inoculation due to real nature of their root

 morphology. Literature indicates the very little information, therefore, keeping in view the above facts, present research work was undertaken on growth, yield and yield attributing characters of onion applying various biofertilizers and graded level of chemical fertilizers.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present investigation was carried out at the Horticultural Research Farm of the Department of Applied Plant Science (Horticulture), Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow during the rabi season of 2010-2011. The experiment comprised of four levels of inorganic fertilizers-1.  $N_0P_0K_0$  (Without inorganic fertilizers)  $C_0$ , 2.  $N_1P_1K_1$  (100 kg N + 50 kg P + 70 kg K/ha)  $C_1$ , 3.  $N_2P_2K_2$  (75 kg N +37.5 kg P + 52.5 kg K/ha)  $C_2$ , 4.  $N_3P_3K_3$  (50 kg + 25 kg P + 35 kg K/ha)  $C_3$ ; and six levels of biofertilizers-1. Uninoculated (Without biofertilizers) B<sub>0</sub>, 2. Azotobacter (2 kg /ha) B<sub>1</sub>, 3. Phosphobacteria (PSB) (2 kg/ha) B<sub>2</sub>, 4. Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizae (VAM) (1900 kg/ha) B<sub>3</sub>, 5. Azotobacter (2 kg/ha) + Phosphobacteria (2 kg/ha) B<sub>4</sub>, and 6. Azotobacter  $(2 \text{ kg/ha}) + \text{VAM} (1900 \text{ kg/ha}) \text{ B}_5.$ 

Thus, having a total of 24 (4x6) treatment combinations, *i.e.*  $T_1$  ( $C_0B_0$ ),  $T_2$  ( $C_0B_1$ ),  $T_3$  ( $C_0B_2$ ),  $T_4$  ( $C_0B_3$ ),  $T_5$  ( $C_0B_4$ ),  $T_6$  ( $C_0B_5$ ),  $T_7$  ( $C_1B_0$ ),  $T_8$  $(C_1B_1)$ ,  $T_9$   $(C_1B_2)$ ,  $T_{10}$   $(C_1B_3)$ ,  $T_{11}$   $(C_1B_4)$ ,  $T_{12}$  $(C_1B_5)$ ,  $T_{13}$   $(C_2B_0)$ ,  $T_{14}$   $(C_2B_1)$ ,  $T_{15}$   $(C_2B_2)$ ,  $T_{16}$ (C2B3),  $T_{17}$  (C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>),  $T_{18}$  (C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>5</sub>),  $T_{19}$  (C<sub>3</sub>B<sub>0</sub>),  $T_{20}$  $(C_3B_1)$ ,  $T_{21}$   $(C_3B_2)$ ,  $T_{22}$   $(C_3B_3)$ ,  $T_{23}$   $(C_3B_4)$  and  $T_{24}$  $(C_3B_5)$ . The quantity of fertilizers was given as per treatment. The entire amount of phosphorus and potassium along with half dose of nitrogen were applied as basal dose during the field preparation and rest amount of nitrogen was applied as top dressing in two- equal split doses at 30 and 60 days after transplanting. Azotobacter, Phosphobacteria Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizae applied at the time of transplanting i.e. Azotobacter and PSB as seedling root treatment and VAM as soil application. The transplanting was done in the last week of December 2010 and the seedlings were transplanted in the evening at 10 x 15 cm spacing. The experiment was laid out in R.B.D with twenty four treatments and replicated thrice. All the standard package of practices and plant protection measures were timely adopted to raise the crop successfully. Five randomly selected plants from each replication were utilized for recording observations on plant height (cm), number of leaves/ plant, number of days required for bulb formation, number of days taken to bulb maturity, neck thickness, bulb diameter, bulb weight, number of scales per bulb and yield (q/ha). Statistical analysis of the data was done as per standard method.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# **Growth parameters**

The observations recorded on plant height, number of leaves per plant, number of days required for bulb formation and number of days taken to maturity was significantly influenced by the interaction of inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers. Perusal of Table 1 clearly indicates that the maximum plant heights and number of leaves (30.60 cm, 41.13 cm and 58.06 cm and 4.00, 5.86 and 8.00, respectively) were recorded at 30, 60 and 90 days after transplanting with the application of  $T_{12}$  (100 kg N + 50 kg P + 70 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha Azotobacter + 1.9 kg/ha VAM) which remained at par with treatment  $T_{11}$  (100 kg N + 50 kg P + 70 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha Azotobacter + 2 kg/ha Phosphobacteria ) and  $T_{18}$  (75 kg N +37.5 kg P + 52.5 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha Azotobacter + 1.9 kg/ha VAM). Whereas, the minimum plant heights and number of leaves were recorded 20.20 cm, 28.60 cm and 40.53 cm and 2.33, 4.00 and 5.26, respectively at 30, 60 and 90 days after transplanting under control. These results are in confirmation with the findings of Jayathilake et al. (3) and Plenchette et al. (7). Singh et al. (11) also reported increased plant height and leaf number of onion with the use of VAM. Minimum number of days required for bulb formation (67.06) and number of days taken to maturity (129.86) were obtained with the application of  $T_{12}$  (100 kg N + 50 kg P + 70 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha Azotobacter + 1.9

Table 1: Interaction effect of chemical and bio-fertilizers on growth and yield of onion (Allium cepa L.) under Lucknow conditions.

| Treatments      |        | Plant height<br>(cm) | +      | 2      | Number of<br>leaves/ plant |        | Number<br>of days<br>required<br>for bulb<br>formation | Number<br>of days<br>taken to<br>bulb<br>maturity | Neck<br>thickness<br>(cm) | Bulb<br>diameter<br>(cm) | Bulb<br>weight<br>(g) | Number<br>of scales<br>per bulb | Yield<br>(q/ha) |
|-----------------|--------|----------------------|--------|--------|----------------------------|--------|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
|                 | 30 DAT | 60 DAT               | 90 DAT | 30 DAT | 60 DAT                     | 90 DAT |  |   |                           |                          |                       |                                 |                 |
| $T_1$           | 20.20  | 28.60                | 40.53  | 2.33   | 4.00                       | 5.27   | 79.20  | 140.00  | 0.81                      | 4.13                     | 78.20                 | 5.80                            | 169.78          |
| $T_2$           | 21.13  | 30.13                | 43.07  | 2.53   | 4.20                       | 5.47   | 09.77  | 138.07  | 98.0                      | 4.50                     | 91.33                 | 6.33                            | 174.44          |
| $T_3$           | 19.87  | 29.53                | 42.20  | 2.63   | 4.20                       | 5.47   | 78.07  | 138.07  | 0.85                      | 4.27                     | 87.60                 | 6.27                            | 172.11          |
| $T_4$           | 20.93  | 30.57                | 44.20  | 2.60   | 4.27                       | 5.87   | 77.80  | 138.20  | 06.0                      | 4.77                     | 78.96                 | 09.9                            | 204.44          |
| $T_5$           | 21.67  | 31.07                | 44.47  | 2.63   | 4.47                       | 00.9   | 76.80  | 137.47  | 0.95                      | 4.80                     | 101.00                | 6.87                            | 223.55          |
| $T_6$           | 22.20  | 31.47                | 45.77  | 3.00   | 4.53                       | 6.07   | 72.00  | 136.40  | 96.0                      | 5.00                     | 107.07                | 7.00                            | 253.89          |
| $T_7$           | 24.60  | 36.20                | 54.60  | 3.20   | 4.47                       | 6.33   | 71.07  | 134.07  | 1.27                      | 5.93                     | 135.33                | 7.20                            | 322.22          |
| $T_8$           | 26.67  | 35.60                | 55.33  | 3.20   | 4.80                       | 6.40   | 71.87  | 132.00  | 1.35                      | 6.20                     | 141.33                | 8.27                            | 342.22          |
| Т9              | 27.07  | 37.33                | 54.07  | 3.47   | 5.00                       | 7.00   | 71.93  | 133.67  | 1.42                      | 7.00                     | 147.33                | 9.00                            | 365.44          |
| $T_{10}$        | 27.20  | 37.00                | 54.07  | 3.40   | 5.13                       | 7.20   | 71.00  | 132.00  | 1.45                      | 7.20                     | 150.27                | 9.00                            | 368.88          |
| T <sub>11</sub> | 29.07  | 39.13                | 57.67  | 3.53   | 5.50                       | 7.73   | 69.07  | 130.00  | 1.48                      | 7.53                     | 174.80                | 9.87                            | 397.78          |
| $T_{12}$        | 30.60  | 41.13                | 58.07  | 4.00   | 5.87                       | 8.00   | 67.07  | 129.87  | 1.51                      | 8.07                     | 180.27                | 10.33                           | 417.77          |
| $T_{13}$        | 27.07  | 37.87                | 50.67  | 3.00   | 4.67                       | 6.20   | 72.93  | 134.20  | 1.30                      | 6.13                     | 131.33                | 8.20                            | 308.88          |
| $T_{14}$        | 25.80  | 36.07                | 52.27  | 3.07   | 4.67                       | 6.53   | 72.67  | 134.00  | 1.30                      | 6.20                     | 138.67                | 8.27                            | 333.33          |
| $T_{15}$        | 25.67  | 35.67                | 49.07  | 3.13   | 4.73                       | 08.9   | 72.73  | 134.07  | 1.32                      | 6.33                     | 138.67                | 8.33                            | 340.00          |
| $T_{16}$        | 26.03  | 36.07                | 51.20  | 3.47   | 4.80                       | 7.27   | 72.67  | 134.67  | 1.32                      | 6.27                     | 140.80                | 8.20                            | 338.33          |
| $T_{17}$        | 27.73  | 38.27                | 55.40  | 3.60   | 5.00                       | 7.47   | 70.67  | 131.60  | 1.48                      | 7.00                     | 150.67                | 00.6                            | 368.22          |
| $T_{18}$        | 27.93  | 39.47                | 56.13  | 3.67   | 5.33                       | 6.27   | 70.53  | 130.40  | 1.50                      | 7.33                     | 159.33                | 9.73                            | 380.22          |
| $T_{19}$        | 26.00  | 32.07                | 46.07  | 2.73   | 4.93                       | 6.27   | 76.40  | 135.53  | 1.17                      | 5.33                     | 132.00                | 7.27                            | 244.66          |
| $T_{20}$        | 25.30  | 35.27                | 51.20  | 2.77   | 4.60                       | 6.20   | 75.60  | 134.60  | 1.17                      | 5.80                     | 130.67                | 7.47                            | 270.00          |
| $T_{21}$        | 25.13  | 36.13                | 50.67  | 2.87   | 4.47                       | 6.27   | 75.47  | 134.80  | 1.19                      | 5.93                     | 132.00                | 7.27                            | 286.66          |
| $T_{22}$        | 25.67  | 34.00                | 48.47  | 2.87   | 4.53                       | 6.47   | 74.33  | 135.00  | 1.23                      | 00.9                     | 132.67                | 79.7                            | 288.11          |
| $T_{23}$        | 25.27  | 35.00                | 48.27  | 2.93   | 4.73                       | 6.53   | 74.00  | 133.60  | 1.30                      | 6.40                     | 138.00                | 8.07                            | 316.66          |
| $T_{24}$        | 25.93  | 35.13                | 51.00  | 3.07   | 4.87                       | 09.9   | 73.00  | 133.80  | 1.39                      | 6.67                     | 140.67                | 8.53                            | 326.66          |
| C.D. (P=0.05)   | 1.69   | 0.57                 | 0.40   | 0.18   | 0.23                       | 0.22   | 0.57   | 23.39   | 0.04                      | 0.22                     | 2.98                  | 0.32                            | 16.29           |
|                 |        |                      |        |        | 1                          |        |  |   |                           |                          |                       |                                 |                 |

kg/ha VAM) that was closely followed by  $T_{11}$  (100 kg N + 50 kg P + 70 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha *Azotobacter* + 2 kg/ha Phosphobacteria ) and T18 (75 kg N +37.5 kg P + 52.5 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha *Azotobacter* + 1.9 kg/ha VAM), respectively. Whereas, maximum number of days required for bulb formation (79.20) and number of days taken to maturity (140.00) were obtained under control. The observation is in the agreement with report of Ranjan *et al.* (9).

## Yield and yield attributing characters

The results indicated that the yield and yield attributing characters viz., neck thickness, bulb diameter, bulb weight and number of scales were increased inorganic significantly by biofertilizers. It was also further observed (Table 1) that the maximum neck thickness (1.51 cm), bulb diameter (8.06 cm), bulb weight (180.26 g) and number of scales (10.33) were found with the application of  $T_{12}$  (100 kg N + 50 kg P + 70 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha Azotobacter + 1.9 kg/ha VAM) that was closely followed by T11 (100 kg N + 50 kg P + 70kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha Azotobacter + 2 kg/ha Phosphobacteria ), T18 (75 kg N +37.5 kg P + 52.5 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha Azotobacter + 1.9 kg/ha VAM) and  $T_{17}$  (75 kg N +37.5 kg P + 52.5 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha Azotobacter + 2 kg/ha Phosphobacteria), respectively. Whereas, the minimum neck thickness (0.80 cm), bulb diameter (4.13 cm), bulb weight (78.20 g) and number of scales (5.8) were obtained under control. The hyphae of mycorrhizal fungi often penetrate 7 cm or more beyond the root into the rhizosphere and may be absorbing water and nutrients from soil solution of different osmotic potential than the root surface Rodes and Gerdemann (10). The improved water relations of mycorrhizal plants, although attributed to 'P' nutrition, could further benefit the water balance of plants. Biofertilizers along with chemical fertilizers resulted in substantial increase in bulb yield. Maximum yield (417.77 g/ha) was seen under  $T_{12}$ (100 kg N + 50 kg P + 70 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha)Azotobacter + 1.9 kg/ha VAM) that was closely followed by  $T_{11}$  (100 kg N + 50 kg P + 70 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha Azotobacter + 2 kg/ha Phosphobacteria),

 $T_{18}$  (75 kg N +37.5 kg P + 52.5 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha Azotobacter + 1.9 kg/ha VAM) and  $T_{17}$  (75 kg N +37.5 kg P + 52.5 kg K/ha + 2 kg/ha Azotobacter + 2 kg/ha Phosphobacteria), respectively. Thus, the yield maximization through VAM inoculation (Andrea *et al.*, 1) could be achieved at VAM +  $\frac{1}{2}$  P + K against sole full dose of NPK. Similar results were also corroborates by Gurubatham *et al.* (2), Jayathilake *et al.* (4) and Mosse (5).

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Andrea Emma, Z.M., Carmen, G.C and Ronald, F.C. (1996). The use of arbuscular mycorrhizae to control onion white rot (*Sclerotium ceprivorum* Berk.) under field conditions. Mycorrhiza., **6:** 253-257.
- 2. Gurubathum, R.J.P., Thamburaj, S and Kandasamy, D. (1989). Studies on the effect of biofertilizers on the bulb yield in Bellary onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *South Indian Hort.*, **36** (1): 1-4
- 3. Jayathilake, P.K.S., Reddy, I.P., Srihari, D., Reddy, R and Neeraja, G. (2003). Integrated nutrient management in onion (*Allium cepa L.*). *Tropical Agric.Res.*, **15:**19.
- 4. Jayathilake, P.K.S., Reddy, I.P., Srihari, D., Neeraja, G and Reddy, R. (2002). Effect of nutrient management on growth, yield and yield attributes of rabi onion (*Allium cepa L.*). *Veg. Sci.*, **29** (2): 184-185.
- 5. Mosse, B. (1973). Plant growth responses to Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae. IV, In.Soil given additional phosphate. *New Phytol.*, **72:** 127.
- 6. Nelson, R. and Achar, P.N. (2001). Stimulation of growth and nutrient uptake by VAM fungi in Brassica oleracea var. capitata. *Bio. Plant,* **44** (2): 277-281.
- Plenchette, C., Furlan, V and Fortin, A. (1981).
  Effect of different mycorrhizal fungi on five host plants grown on calcined montomrillonite clay. Amer. J. Soc.Hort. Sci., 110: 535-538.
- 8. Ramakrishnan, K. and Thamizhiniya, P. (2004). The effect of NPK fertilizer and AM fungi on the yield and quality characters of cotton

- (Gossypium hirsutum L.) var. LRA 5166. Plant Arch., 9 (1): 87-88.
- 9. Ranjan, J.K., Ahmed, N. Das, B., Pragya and Hare Krishna (2010). Effect of biofertilizers in combination with reduced dose of fertilizers on growth and yield of garlic at high altitude of north- west Himalayas. *Indian J. Hort.*, **67** (special issue): 263-267.
- Rhodes, L.H and Gerdemann, J.W., (1995). Phosphate uptake zone of mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal onions. *New Phytol.*, 75: 555-561.
- 11. Singh, S.N., Rao, N.S and Pawar, S.E. (1990). Studies on growth stimulation of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) with VAM in Maharashtra region. Indian. *J. Appli. & Pure Bio.*, **5** (1): 1-3.

- 12. Singh, D.P. and Joshi, M.C. (1978). Correlation studies of rooting and sprouting losses onion with other traits during storage. *Veg. Sci.*, **5** (1): 1-3.
- 13. Vijayakumar, B.S., P.V. Bhiravamurthy and Anand, M.C. (2000). VAM fungi association in *Lycoperiscon esculentum* L. grown in semi-arid tropical soils of Puttaparthy, *A.P.J. Ecobiol.*, **12** (1): 73-74.
- Zandavalli, R.B.D., Dillenburg, L.R. and Desouza, P.V.D. (2004). Growth responses of Araucaria angustifolia to inoculation with the mycorrhizal fungus Glomus clarum. Appl. Soil. Ecol., 25: 245-255.