



Some Fixed Point and Common Fixed Point Theorems in Banach Spaces for Rational Expression

Rajesh Shrivastava*, Jitendra Singhvi*, Ramakant Bhardwaj** and Shyam Patkar**

*Department of Mathematics, Government Science and Commerce College, Benazeer, Bhopal, (MP)

**Department of Mathematics, Truba Institute of Engineering and I.T., Bhopal, (MP)

(Received 15 September, 2011, Accepted 20 October, 2011)

ABSTRACT : In the present paper we prove some fixed point and common fixed point theorems in Banach Spaces for new rational expression, which generalize the well known results.

Keywords: AMS: 47H10, 54H25.

I. INTRODUCTION

Browder [4] was the first mathematician to study non-expansive mappings. Meanwhile Brouwer [4] and Ghode [6] have independently proved a fixed point theorem for non-expansive mapping.

Many other mathematicians viz; Datson [5] Goebel [6], Goebel and Zlotkiewicz [8], Goebel, Kirk and Simi [9], Iseki [11], Singh and Chatterjee [22], Sharma and Rajput [21], Rajput and Naroliya [20] Pathak and Maity [18], Qureshi and Singh [19], Sharma and Bhagwan [23], Ahmad and Shakil [1], Shahzad and Udomene [24] have done the generalization of non-expansive mappings as well as non-contraction mappings. Kirk [15, 16 and 17] gave the comprehensive survey concerning fixed point theorems for non-expansive mappings. On the same way we establish some fixed point and common fixed point theorems for non-contraction type mappings for two mappings.

II. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1.3: Let T be a mapping of a Banach spaces into itself. If T satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Ty - T(Tx)\| &\leq \alpha \frac{\|y - Ty\| \|Tx - x\| \|y - x\| + \|y - Tx\|^3}{\|y - Tx\|^2} \\
&+ \beta \frac{\|Tx - x\| \|Tx - Ty\| \|y - x\| + \|y - Tx\|^3}{\|y - Tx\|^2} \\
&+ \gamma [\|y - Ty\| + \|Tx - x\|] + \delta [\|y - x\| + \|Tx - Ty\|] + \eta \|y - Tx\| \\
&\leq \alpha \frac{\|y - Ty\| \|Tx - x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| + \frac{1}{8} \|x - Tx\|^3 \right]}{\frac{1}{4} \|x - Tx\|^2} \\
&+ \beta \frac{\|Tx - x\| \left[\|Tx - y\| + \|y - Ty\| \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| + \|y - Tx\|^3 \right]}{\frac{1}{4} \|x - Tx\|^2} \\
&+ \gamma [\|y - Ty\| + \|Tx - x\|] + \delta \left[\frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| + \|Tx - y\| + \|y - Ty\| \right] + \eta \frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$T^2 = I, \text{ where } I \text{ is identity mapping} \quad \dots [1.3(a)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tx - Ty\| &\leq \alpha \frac{\|x - Tx\| \|y - Ty\| \|x - Ty\| + \|x - y\|^3}{\|x - y\|^2} \\
&+ \beta \frac{\|y - Ty\| \|y - Tx\| \|x - Ty\| + \|x - y\|^3}{\|x - y\|^2} \\
&+ \gamma [\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\|]
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \delta [\|x - Ty\| + \|y - Tx\|] + \eta \|x - y\| \quad \dots [1.3(b)]$$

$x \neq y$, with $10\alpha + 9\beta + 8\gamma + 5\delta + \eta < 4$ then unique fixed point.

Proof : Suppose x is any point in Banach space X .

Taking $y = \frac{1}{2}(T+I)x, z = T(y)$

$$\|z - x\| = \|Ty - T^2x\| = \|Ty - T(Tx)\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha \frac{\left\{4 \|y - Ty\| \|Tx - x\|^2 + \|x - Tx\|^3\right\} / 8}{\frac{1}{4} \|x - Tx\|^2} \\
&+ \beta \frac{\|Tx - x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\| \right] \frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| + \frac{1}{8} \|x - Tx\|^3}{\frac{1}{4} \|x - Tx\|^2} \\
&+ \gamma [\|y - Ty\| + \|Tx - x\|] \\
&+ \delta \left[\frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| + \frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\| \right] + \eta \frac{1}{2} \|y - Tx\| \\
&\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \{4 \|y - Ty\| + \|x - Tx\|\} \\
&+ \frac{\beta}{2} \left\{ 4 \|Tx - x\|^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\| \right] + \frac{\|x - Tx\|^3}{\|Tx - x\|^2} \right\} \\
&+ \gamma [\|y - Ty\| + \|Tx - x\|] + \delta [\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\|] + \frac{\eta}{2} \|x - Tx\| \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{2} [\|x - Tx\| + 4 \|y - Ty\|] + \frac{\beta}{2} [2 \|x - Tx\| + 4 \|y - Ty\|] \\
&+ \gamma [\|y - Ty\| + \|Tx - x\|] + \delta [\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\|] + \frac{\eta}{2} \|x - Tx\| \\
&= \|x - Tx\| \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \frac{\eta}{2} \right] + \|y - Ty\| [2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma + \delta] \quad \dots (1.3 x) \\
\|z - x\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta] + \|y - Ty\| [2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma + \delta]
\end{aligned}$$

Now for $\|u - x\|$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u - x\| &= \|2y - z - x\| = \|Tx - Ty\| \\
&\leq \alpha \frac{\|x - Tx\| \|y - Ty\| \|x - Ty\| + \|x - y\|^3}{\|x - y\|^2} \\
&+ \beta \frac{\|y - Ty\| \|y - Tx\| \|x - Ty\| + \|x - y\|^3}{\|x - y\|^2} \\
&+ \gamma [\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\|] + \delta [\|x - Ty\| + \|y - Tx\|] + \eta \|x - y\| \\
\|u - x\| &\leq \alpha \frac{\frac{1}{2} \|x - T\|^2 \|y - Ty\| + \frac{1}{8} \|x - Tx\|^3}{\frac{1}{4} \|x - Tx\|^2} \\
&+ \beta \frac{\|y - Ty\| \frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| \left[\frac{1}{2} \|Tx - x\| \right] + \|x - y\|^3}{\frac{1}{4} \|x - Tx\|^2} \\
&+ \gamma [\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\|] + \delta \left[\frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| + \frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| \right] + \frac{\eta}{2} \|x - Tx\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha \frac{[4 \|x - Tx\|^2 \|y - Ty\| + \|x - Tx\|^3]}{2 \|x - Tx\|^2} \\
&+ \beta \frac{[\frac{1}{2} \|y - Ty\| \|x - Tx\| [\frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\|] + \frac{1}{8} \|x - Tx\|^3]}{\frac{1}{4} \|x - Tx\|^2} \\
&+ \gamma [\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\|] + \delta \|x - Tx\| + \frac{\eta}{2} \|x - Tx\| \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{2} [4 \|y - Ty\| + \|x - Tx\|] + \beta \frac{[2 \|y - Ty\| \|x - Tx\|^2 + \|x - Tx\|^3]}{2 \|x - Tx\|^2} + \gamma [\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\|] \\
&+ \delta [\|x - Tx\|] + \frac{\eta}{2} [\|x - Tx\|] \\
&= \|x - Tx\| \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} + \gamma + \delta + \frac{\eta}{2} \right] + \|y - Ty\| [2\alpha + \beta + \gamma] \\
&+ \|x - Tx\| \frac{1}{2} [\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + \delta] + \|y - Ty\| [2\alpha + \beta + \gamma] \tag{13.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|z - u\| \leq \|z - x\| + \|x - u\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| [\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta] + \|y - Ty\| [2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma + \delta] \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \{[\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + \delta] \|x - Tx\|\} + \|y - Ty\| [2\alpha + \beta + \gamma] \\
&\|z - u\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| \{ \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta + \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + \delta \} \\
&+ \|y - Ty\| [2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma + \delta + 2\alpha + \beta + \gamma] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| \{ 2\alpha + 3\beta + 4\gamma + 3\delta + \eta \} + \|y - Ty\| [4\alpha + 3\beta + 2\gamma + \delta]
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|z - u\| = \|T(y) - (2y - z)\| \\
&= \|T(y) - 2y + T(y)\| \\
&\|z - u\| = 2 \|Ty - y\|
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$2 \|Ty - y\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| [2\alpha + 3\beta + 4\gamma + 3\delta + \eta] + \|y - Ty\| [4\alpha + 3\beta + 2\gamma + \delta]$$

$$\|Ty - y\| \leq \frac{[\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\beta + 2\gamma + \frac{3}{2}\delta + \frac{\eta}{2}] \|x - Tx\|}{2 - [4\alpha + 3\beta + 2\gamma + \delta]}$$

$$\|Ty - y\| \leq S \|x - Tx\|$$

$$\text{where } S = \frac{[2\alpha + 3\beta + 4\gamma + 3\delta + \eta]}{4 - [8\alpha + 6\beta + 4\gamma + 2\delta]} < 1$$

because $10\alpha + 9\beta + 8\gamma + 5\delta + \eta < 4$

Let $R = \frac{1}{2}[T + I]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R^2(x) - R(x)\| &= \|R(R(x)) - R(x)\| \\
&= \|R(y) - y\| = \frac{1}{2} \|y - Ty\| \\
&< \frac{S}{2} \|x - Tx\|
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of R we claim that $\{R^n(x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . By the completeness of X , $\{R^n(x)\}$ converges to some element x_0 in X . So

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{R^n(x)\} &= x_0 \\
\text{So } \{R(x_0)\} &= x_0, \text{ Hence } T(x_0) = x_0
\end{aligned}$$

So x_0 is a fixed point of T .

Uniqueness : If possible let $y_0 \neq x_0$ is another fixed point of T . Then $\|x_0 - y_0\| = \|Tx_0 - Ty_0\|$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \alpha \frac{\|x_0 - Tx_0\| \|y_0 - Ty_0\| \|x_0 - Ty_0\| \|x_0 - y_0\|^3}{\|x_0 - y_0\|^2} \\
&+ \beta \frac{\|y_0 - Ty_0\| \|y_0 - Tx_0\| \|x_0 - Ty_0\| + \|x_0 - y_0\|^3}{\|x_0 - y_0\|^2} \\
&+ \gamma [\|x_0 - Tx_0\| + \|y_0 - Ty_0\|] + \delta [\|x_0 - Ty_0\| + \|y_0 - Tx_0\|] + \eta \|x_0 - y_0\| \\
&= \alpha \|x_0 - y_0\| + \beta \|x_0 - y_0\| + 2\delta \|x_0 - y_0\| + \eta \|x_0 - y_0\| \\
&\|x_0 - y_0\| \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta) \|x_0 - y_0\|
\end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction so $x_0 = y_0$

Hence Fixed Point is unique.

Now we prove common fixed point theorem for two mappings.

Theorem 1.4. Let K be closed and convex subset of a Banach space X , let $T : K \rightarrow K$ and $G : K \rightarrow K$ satisfies the following condition.

(1.4.1) (a) T and G commute

(1.4.2) (b) $T^2 = I$ and $G^2 = I$, where I denotes the identity mapping.

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.4.3) (c) \quad \|Tx - Ty\| &\leq \alpha \frac{\|Gx - Tx\| \|Gy - Ty\| \|Gx - Ty\| + \|Gx - Gy\|^3}{\|Gx - Gy\|^2} \\
&+ \beta \frac{\|Gy - Ty\| \|Gy - Tx\| \|Gx - Ty\| + \|Gx - Gy\|^3}{\|Gx - Gy\|^2} \\
&+ \gamma [\|Gx - Tx\| + \|Gy - Ty\|] + \delta [\|Gx - Ty\| + \|Gy - Tx\|] + \eta \|Gx - Gy\|
\end{aligned}$$

for every $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta \in [0, 1[$ with $x \neq y$ and $\|Gx - Gy\| \neq 0$ And then there exists a common fixed point of T and G such that $T(x_0) = x_0$ and $G(x_0) = x_0$ with $10\alpha + 9\beta + 8\gamma + 5\delta + \eta < 4$ then T and G have unique fixed point.

Proof : Suppose x is point in Banach space X . It is clear that $(TG)^2 = I$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|TG.G(x) - TG.G(y)\| &\leq \alpha \frac{\|G(G^2y) - T(G^2x)\| \|G(G^2y) - T(G^2y)\| \|G(G^2x) - T(G^2y)\| + \|G(G^2x) - G(G^2y)\|^3}{\|G(G^2x) - G(G^2y)\|^2} \\ &+ \beta \frac{\|G(G^2y) - T(G^2y)\| \|G(G^2y) - T(G^2x)\| \|G(G^2x) - T(G^2y)\| + \|G(G^2x) - G(G^2y)\|^3}{\|G(G^2x) - G(G^2y)\|^2} \\ &+ \gamma [\|G(G^2x) - T(G^2x)\| + \|G(G^2y) - T(G^2y)\|] + \delta [\|G(G^2x) - T(G^2y)\| + \|G(G^2y) - T(G^2x)\|] \\ &+ \eta [\|G(G^2x) - T(G^2y)\|] \\ &= \alpha \frac{\|Gx - TG(Gx)\| \|G(y) - TG(Gy)\| \|G(x) - TG(Gy)\| + \|Gx - Gy\|^3}{\|Gx - Gy\|^2} \\ &+ \beta \frac{\|G(y) - TG(Gy)\| \|Gy - TG(Gx)\| \|Gx - TG(Gy)\| + \|Gx - Gy\|^3}{\|Gx - Gy\|^2} \\ &+ \gamma [\|Gx - TG(Gx)\| + \|G(y) - TG(G(y))\|] \\ &+ \delta [\|G(x) - TG(G(y))\| + \|G(y) - TG(G(x))\|] \\ &+ \eta [\|G(x) - G(y)\|] \end{aligned}$$

Taking $G(x) = p, G(y) = q$, where $p \neq q$

$$\begin{aligned} \|TG.G(x) - TG.G(y)\| &= \|TG(p) - TG(q)\| \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{\|p - TG(p)\| \|q - TG(q)\| \|p - TG(q)\| + \|p - q\|^3}{\|p - q\|^2} \\ &+ \beta \frac{\|q - TG(q)\| \|q - TG(p)\| \|p - TG(q)\| + \|p - q\|^3}{\|p - q\|^2} \\ &+ \gamma [\|p - TG(p)\| + \|q - TG(q)\|] \\ &+ \delta [\|p - TG(q)\| + \|q - TG(p)\|] + \eta \|p - q\| \end{aligned}$$

Taking $TG = R$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(p) - R(q)\| &\leq \alpha \frac{\|p - R(p)\| \|q - R(q)\| \|p - R(q)\| + \|p - q\|^3}{\|p - q\|^2} \\ &+ \beta \frac{\|q - R(q)\| \|q - R(p)\| \|p - R(q)\| + \|p - q\|^3}{\|p - q\|^2} \\ &+ \gamma [\|p - R(p)\| + \|q - R(q)\|] \\ &+ \delta [\|p - R(q)\| + \|q - R(p)\|] + \eta \|p - q\| \end{aligned}$$

It is clear by theorem 1.3; that $R = TG$ has at least one fixed point say x_0 in K

That is $R(x_0) = TG(x_0) = x_0$... (1.4 d)

and so $T(TG)(x_0) = (x_0)$

or $T^2(Gx_0) = T(x_0)$

$G(x_0) = T(x_0)$... (1.4 e)

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Tx_0 - x_0\| = \|Tx_0 - T^2(x_0)\| = \|Tx_0 - T.T(x_0)\| \\
& \leq \alpha \frac{\|G(x_0) - T(x_0)\| \|GT(x_0) - T(Tx_0)\| \|G(x_0) - T(Tx_0)\| + \|G(x_0) - G(Tx_0)\|^3}{\|G(x_0) - G(Tx_0)\|^2} \\
& + \beta \frac{\|G(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)\| \|G(Tx_0) - T(x_0)\| \|G(x_0) - T(Tx_0)\| + \|G(x_0) - G(Tx_0)\|^3}{\|G(x_0) - G(Tx_0)\|^2} \\
& + \gamma [\|G(x_0) - T(x_0)\| + \|G(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)\|] \\
& + \delta [\|G(x_0) - T(Tx_0)\| + \|G(Tx_0) - T(x_0)\|] \\
& + \eta \|G(x_0) - G(Tx_0)\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Tx_0 - x_0\| \leq [\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta] \|Tx_0 - x_0\| \quad \because \alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta < 1$$

$$\text{So } T(x_0) = x_0$$

That is x_0 is the fixed point of T . But $T(x_0) = G(x_0)$ so $G(x_0) = x_0$

Hence x_0 is the fixed point of T and G .

Uniqueness : If possible let $y_0 \neq x_0$ is another common fixed point of T and G .

$$\text{Then } \|x_0 - y_0\| = \|T^2(x_0) - T^2(y_0)\| = \|T(T(x_0)) - T(Ty_0)\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \alpha \frac{\|G(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)\| \|G(Ty_0) - T(Ty_0)\| \|G(Tx_0) - T(Ty_0)\| + \|G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0)\|^3}{\|G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0)\|^2} \\
& + \beta \frac{\|G(Ty_0) - T(Ty_0)\| \|G(Ty_0) - T(Tx_0)\| \|G(Tx_0) - T(Ty_0)\| + \|G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0)\|^3}{\|G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0)\|^2} \\
& + \gamma [\|G(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)\| + \|G(Ty_0) - T(Ty_0)\|] + \delta [\|G(Tx_0) - T(Ty_0)\| + \|G(Ty_0) - T(Tx_0)\|] \\
& + \eta \|G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0)\| \\
& = \alpha \|x_0 - y_0\| + \beta \|x_0 - y_0\| + 2\delta \|x_0 - y_0\| + \eta \|x_0 - y_0\| \\
& \text{i.e. } \|x_0 - y_0\| \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta) \|x_0 - y_0\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{But } \alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta < 1$$

$$\text{So } x_0 = y_0$$

So common fixed point is unique.

Now we generalize the theorem (1.3) for three mappings.

Theorem 1.5. Let K be Closed and Convex subset of a Banach space X . Let $T : K \rightarrow K, G : K \rightarrow K, F : K \rightarrow K$ satisfies the following conditions.

$$TG = GT, HT = TH \text{ and } GH = HG \quad \dots (1.5 a)$$

$$T^2 = I, G^2 = I, H^2 = I, \quad \dots (1.5 b)$$

where I denote the identity conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \alpha \frac{\|GH(x) - T(x)\| \|GH(y) - Ty\| \|GH(x) - Ty\| \|GH(x) - GH(y)\|^3}{\|GH(x) - GH(y)\|^2} \\
& + \beta \frac{\|GH(y) - T(y)\| \|GH(y) - T(x)\| \|GH(x) - Ty\| + \|GH(x) - GH(y)\|^3}{\|GH(x) - GH(y)\|^2} \\
& + \gamma [\|GH(x) - T(x)\| + \|GH(y) - T(y)\|] + \delta [\|GH(x) - Ty\| + \|GH(y) - Tx\|] \\
& + \eta \|GH(x) - GH(y)\| \quad \dots (1.5 c)
\end{aligned}$$

For every $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta \in [0, 1]$, $x \neq y \in X$ with $\|GH(x) - GH(y)\| \neq 0$ and then there exists at least one common fixed point of T, G and H . If ... with $10\alpha + 9\beta + 8\gamma + 5\delta + \eta < 4$ then T, H, G have unique common fixed point.

Proof : Suppose x is a point in Banach space X .

It is clear that $(TGH)^2 = I$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \|TGH.G(x) - TGH.G(y)\| \\ & \leq \alpha \frac{\|GH(GHG)(x) - T(GHG)(x)\| \|GH(GHG)(y) - T(GHG)(y)\| \|GH(GHG)(x) - T(GHG)(y)\| + \|GH(GHG)(x) - GH(GHG)(y)\|^3}{\|GH(GHG)(x) - GH(GHG)(y)\|^2} \\ & + \beta \frac{\|GH(GHG)(y) - T(GHG)(y)\| \|GH(GHG)(y) - T(GHG)(x)\| \|GH(GHG)(x) - T(GHG)(y)\| + \|GH(GHG)(x) - GH(GHG)(y)\|^3}{\|GH(GHG)(x) - GH(GHG)(y)\|^2} \\ & + \gamma [\|GH(GHG)(x) - T(GHG)(x)\| + \|GH(GHG)(y) - T(GHG)(y)\|] \\ & + \delta [\|GH(GHG)(x) - T(GHG)(y)\| + \|GH(GHG)(y) - T(GHG)(x)\|] \\ & + \eta \|GH(GHG)(x) - GH(GHG)(y)\| \\ & = \alpha \frac{\|Gx - (TGH)G(x)\| \|G(y) - (TGH)G(y)\| \|Gx - (TGH)G(y)\| + \|G(x) - G(y)\|^3}{\|G(x) - G(y)\|^2} \\ & + \beta \frac{\|G(y) - (TGH)G(y)\| \|G(y) - (TGH)G(x)\| \|Gx - (TGH)G(y)\| + \|G(x) - G(y)\|^3}{\|G(x) - G(y)\|^2} \\ & + \gamma [\|Gx - (TGH)G(x)\| + \|G(y) - (TGH)G(y)\|] \\ & + \delta [\|G(x) - (TGH)G(y)\| + \|G(y) - (TGH)G(x)\|] \\ & + \eta \|G(x) - G(y)\| \end{aligned}$$

We take $G(x) = p$ and $G(y) = q$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|TGH(p) - TGH(q)\| \\ & \leq \alpha \frac{\|p - TGH(p)\| \|q - TGH(q)\| \|p - TGH(q)\| + \|p - q\|^3}{\|p - q\|^2} \\ & + \beta \frac{\|q - TGH(q)\| \|q - TGH(p)\| \|p - TGH(q)\| + \|p - q\|^3}{\|p - q\|^2} \quad \dots (1.5 d) \\ & + \gamma [\|p - TGH(p)\| + \|q - TGH(q)\|] + \delta [\|p - TGH(q)\| + \|q - TGH(p)\|] + \eta \|p - q\| \end{aligned}$$

By previous theorem (1.3.a), (1.3.b) it is clear that TGH has at least one fixed point.

Say x_0 in K .

$$TGH(x_0) = x_0 \quad \dots (1.5 e)$$

$$GH(TGH)(x_0) = GH(x_0) \quad \dots (1.5 f)$$

$$(GH)^2 T(x_0) = GH(x_0) \quad \dots (1.5 g)$$

$$\Rightarrow T(x_0) = GH(x_0) \quad \dots (1.5 h)$$

Also $H(TGH)(x_0) = H(x_0)$

$$TG(H)^2 x_0 = H(x_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow TG(x_0) = H(x_0) \quad \dots (1.5 i)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Hx_0 - x_0\| \|TG(x_0) - T^2\| = \|TG(x_0) - T(T(x_0))\| \\
& \leq \alpha \frac{\|GH(G(x_0)) - T(G(x_0))\| \|GH(T(x_0)) - T(T(x_0))\| \|GH(G(x_0)) - T(T(x_0))\| + \|GH(G(x_0)) - GH(T(x_0))\|^3}{\|GH(G(x_0)) - GH(T(x_0))\|^2} \\
& + \beta \frac{\|GH(T(x_0)) - T(T(x_0))\| \|GH(T(x_0)) - T(G(x_0))\| \|GH(G(x_0)) - T(T(x_0))\| + \|GH(G(x_0)) - GH(T(x_0))\|^3}{\|GH(G(x_0)) - GH(T(x_0))\|^2} \\
& + \gamma [\|GH(G(x_0)) - T(G(x_0))\| + \|GH(T(x_0)) - T(G(x_0))\|] \\
& + \delta [\|GH(G(x_0)) - T(T(x_0))\| + \|GH(T(x_0)) - T(G(x_0))\|] \\
& + \eta \|GH(G(x_0)) - GH(T(x_0))\| \\
& = \alpha \|Hx_0 - x_0\| + \beta \|Hx_0 - x_0\| + \gamma [\|Hx_0 - Hx_0\| + \|x_0 - x_0\|] \\
& + \delta [\|Hx_0 - x_0\| + \|x_0 - Hx_0\|] + \eta \|Hx_0 - x_0\| \\
& (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta + \eta) \|Hx_0 - x_0\|
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\|Hx_0 - x_0\| < (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta + \eta) \|Hx_0 - x_0\|$

So, $Hx_0 = x_0$ because $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta + \eta < 1$

So x_0 is fixed point of H .

Again

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Tx_0 - x_0\| = \|T(x_0) - T^2(x_0)\| = \|T(x_0) - T(Tx_0)\| \\
& \leq \alpha \frac{\|GH(x_0) - T(x_0)\| \|GH(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)\| \|GH(x_0) - T(Tx_0)\| + \|GH(x_0) - GH(Tx_0)\|^3}{\|GH(x_0) - GH(Tx_0)\|^2} \\
& + \beta \frac{\|GH(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)\| \|GH(Tx_0) - T(x_0)\| \|GH(x_0) - TG(Tx_0)\| + \|GH(x_0) - GH(Tx_0)\|^3}{\|GH(x_0) - GH(Tx_0)\|^2} \\
& + \gamma [\|GH(x_0) - T(Tx_0)\| + \|GH(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)\|] \\
& + \delta [\|GH(x_0) - T(Tx_0)\| + \|GH(Tx_0) - T(x_0)\|] \\
& + \eta \|GH(x_0) - GH(Tx_0)\| \\
& = \alpha \|Tx_0 - x_0\| + \beta \|Tx_0 - x_0\| + \gamma [\|Tx_0 - x_0\|] + \delta [\|T(x_0) - x_0\| + \|x_0 - Tx_0\|] + \eta \|Tx_0 - x_0\| \\
& = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta + \eta) \|Tx_0 - x_0\| \text{ but } \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta + \eta < 1
\end{aligned}$$

So $T(x_0) = x_0$

Hence x_0 is fixed point of T .

But $G(x_0) = T(x_0) = x_0$

i.e. $G(x_0) = x_0$

Clearly x_0 is common fixed point of T , G and H .

Uniqueness: To show the uniqueness suppose $y_0 \neq x_0$ is another common fixed point of T , G and H so

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x_0 - y_0\| = \|T^2(x_0) - T^2(y_0)\| = \|TT(x_0) - TT(y_0)\| \\
& \leq \alpha \frac{\|GH(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)\| \|GH(Ty_0) - T(Ty_0)\| \|GH(Tx_0) - T(Ty_0)\| + \|GH(Tx_0) - GH(Ty_0)\|^3}{\|GH(Tx_0) - GH(Ty_0)\|^2} \\
& + \beta \frac{\|GH(Ty_0) - T(Ty_0)\| \|GH(Ty_0) - T(Tx_0)\| \|GH(Tx_0) - T(Ty_0)\| + \|GH(Tx_0) - GH(Ty_0)\|^3}{\|GH(Tx_0) - GH(Ty_0)\|^2} \\
& + \gamma [\|GH(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)\| + \|GH(Ty_0) - T(Ty_0)\|] + \delta [\|GH(Tx_0) - T(Ty_0)\| + \|GH(Ty_0) - T(Tx_0)\|] \\
& + \eta \|GH(Tx_0) - GH(Ty_0)\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \alpha \|x_0 - y_0\| + \beta \|x_0 - y_0\| + \delta [\|x_0 - y_0\| + \|x_0 - y_0\|] + \eta \|x_0 - y_0\|$$

$$\|x_0 - y_0\| \leq (\alpha + \beta + \delta + \eta) \|x_0 - y_0\|$$

So $x_0 = y_0$

Hence fixed point is unique.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmad, A. and Shakil, M. "Some fixed point theorems in Banach spaces" *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. and Appl.* **11**: 343-349, (2006).
- [2] Banach, S. "Sur les operation dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals" *Fund. Math.* **3**: 133-181, (1922).
- [3] Badshah, V.H. and Gupta, O.P. "Fixed point theorems in Banach and 2- Banach spaces" *Jnanabha* **35**: 73-78, (2005).
- [4] Brouwer, F.E. "Non-expansive non-linear operators in Banach spaces" *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **54**: 1041-1044, (1965).
- [5] Dutton, W.G.Jr. "Fixed point of quasi non-expansive mappings" *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **13**: 167-172, (1972).
- [6] Gohde, D. "Zum prinzip der kontraktiven abbildung" *Math. Nachr.* **30**: 251-258, (1965).
- [7] Goebel, K. "An elementary proof of the fixed point theorem of Browder and Kirk" *Michigan Math. J.* **16**: 381-383, (1969).
- [8] Goebel, K. and Zlotkiewicz, E. "Some fixed point theorems in Banach spaces" *Colloq Math* **23**: 103-106, (1971).
- [9] Goebel, K. Kirk, W.A. and Shimt, T.N. "A fixed point theorem in uniformly convex spaces" *Boll. Un. Math, Italy* **4**: 67-75, (1973).
- [10] Gahlar, S. "2- Metrische raume und ihre topologische structure" *Math. Nachr.* **26**: 115-148, (1963-64).
- [11] Isekey, K. "fixed point theorem in Banach space" *Math Sem. Notes, Kobe University* **2**: 111-115, (1974).
- [12] Jong, S.J. "Viscosity approximation methods for a family of finite non expansive in Banach spaces" *Nonlinear Analysis* **64**: 2536-2552, (2006).
- [13] Khan, M.S. "Fixed points and their approximation in Banach spaces for certain commuting mappings" *Glasgow Math. Jour.* **23**: 1-6, (1982).
- [14] Khan, M.S. and Imdad, M. "Fixed points of certain involutions in Banach spaces" *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **37**: 169-177, (1984).
- [15] Kirk, W.A. "A fixed point theorem mappings do not increase distance" *Amer. Math. Monthly* **72**: 1004-1006, (1965).
- [16] Kirk, W.A. "A fixed point theorem for non-expansive mappings" *Lecture notes in Math.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York **886**: 111-120, (1981).
- [17] Kirk, W.A. "Fixed point theorem for non-expansive mappings" *Contem Math.* **18**: 121-140, (1983).
- [18] Pathak, H.K. and Maity, A.R. "A fixed point theorem in Banach space" *Acta Ciencia Indica* **17**: 137-139, (1991).
- [19] Qureshi, N.A. and Singh, B. "A fixed point theorem in Banach space" *Acta Ciencia Indica* **11**: 282-284, (1995).
- [20] Rajput, S.S. and Naroliya, N. " Fixed point theorem in Banach space" *Acta Ciencia Indica* **17**: 469-474, (1991).
- [21] Sharma, P.L. and Rajput, S.S. " Fixed point theorem in Banach space" *Vikram Mathematical Journal* **4**: 35-38, (1983).
- [22] Singh, M.R. and Chatterjee, A.K. "Fixed point theorem in Banach space" *Pure Math. Manuscript* **6**: 53-61, (1987).
- [23] Sharma, S. and Bhagwan, A. "Common fixed point theorems on Normed space" *Acta Ciencia Indica* **31**: 20-24, (2003).
- [24] Shahzad, N and Udomene, A. "Fixed point solutions of variational inequalities for asymptotically non-expansive mappings in Banach spaces" *Nonlinear Analysis* **64**: 558-567, (2006).
- [25] Verma, B.P. "Application of Banach fixed point theorem to solve non linear equations and its generalization" *Jnanabha* **36**: 21-23, (2006).
- [26] Yadava, R.N., Rajput, S.S. and Bhardwaj, R.K. "Some fixed point and common fixed point theorems in Banach spaces" *Acta Ciencia Indica* **33** No. **2**: 453-460, (2007).
- [27] Yadava, R.N., Rajput, S.S., Choudhary, S. and Bhardwaj, R.K. "Some fixed point and common fixed point theorems for non-contraction mapping on 2-Banach spaces" *Acta Ciencia Indica* **33** No. **3**: 737-744, (2007).