## 03.00.00 Biological sciences

03.00.00 Биологические науки

**UDC 57** 

# Antibiotic Resistance of lactic Acid Bacteria Used in Russian Dairy and Probiotic Products

- <sup>1</sup> Anton Cherkashin
- <sup>2</sup> Jean-Marc Chobert
- <sup>1</sup>Natalia Efimochkina
- <sup>1</sup>Svetlana Sheveleva
  - <sup>2</sup>Thomas Haertlé

<sup>1</sup>FSBI "Institute of Nutrition" under the Russian Academy of Medical Sciences, Russia E-mail: a-5b7c4@yandex.ru

<sup>2</sup> UR 1268 Biopolymères Interactions Assemblages, Institut National de Recherche Agronomique, équipe Fonctions et Interactions des Protéines, rue de la Géraudière, BP 71627, 44316 Nantes Cedex 3, France

Abstract. About 60 species of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are used or are suitable for the use by food industry. Most of them are used for the production of the well accepted by the consumers fermented food products, probiotic products and biologically active supplements. Majority of the technologically used microorganisms getting in the gastrointestinal tract with food can survive in it and there they interact with its commensal and transient micro flora, including horizontally transferring genes. However, there are very few informations about the spectrum of antibiotic resistance as well as about the nature of the detectable resistance of LAB used by food industry. This communication presents the results of antibiotic resistance study of 30 strains of Lactobacillus. The studied LAB strains were isolated from the food products consumed in Russian Federation. The sensitivity of the studied strains to antibiotics was tested by using agar diffusion method with paper disks containing doxycycline, streptomycin, gentamicin, tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, lincomycin, chloramphenicol, vancomycin, erythromycin, imipenem, ampicillin and cefalotin. Among the strains isolated from commercially prepared foods – 87.5 % were resistant to vancomycin, what may be due to gene transmission mechanism. The next phase of planed research will be devoted to molecular identification of genetic determinants resistance in food isolates of Lactobacilli.

**Keywords**: Antibiotic resistance; Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB); fermented milk products "non commercial" strains.

**Introduction.** Russian food industry presently uses about 60 species of lactic acid bacteria (LAB). They are used for the production of the well accepted by Russian consumers fermented food products, probiotic foods and biologically active additives.

Most of the technologically useful LAB getting into the gastrointestinal tract with food, can survive in it and there they may interact with its commensal and transient micro flora exchanging sometimes genes.

The evolution of antibiotic resistant food borne pathogens has been amply documented in recent years (Teuber and Perreten, 2000; Threlfall et al., 2000; White et al., 2002).

Antibiotic resistance has been shown to occur rarely in bacteria collected before the antibiotic era (Hughes and Datta, 1983). It was shown that lactobacilli could potentially serve as both donors and recipients of the genes that confer the resistance to antibiotics. They can also be recipients of genes of virulence factors discovered also in pathogenic bacteria (Mathur, Singh, 2004). Shortly after the introduction of each new antimicrobial compound, emergence of antimicrobial resistance is observed (Levy, 1997).

It is known that many bacteria from genus *Lactobacillus* are sensitive to clinically used antibiotics such as penicillin, ampicillin, erythromycin, chloramphenicol, kanamycin, but they are resistant to polymyxin, gentamicin (Danielsen and Wind, 2003). Multi Drug Resistances (MDR) of lactobacilli was detected in these strains to be associated with resistance to phages, heavy metals and biocides (Wang and Lee, 1997). Resistances to antimicrobial agents are observed in all kinds of LAB. Consequently, it is important to consider this during approval of the use of LAB strains by the industry.

However, very few information about the spectrum of antibiotic resistance as well as about the nature of detectable resistance to antibiotics of LAB used by Russian food industry are available. For example, pathogens may harbor extra chromosomal DNA, determining some features of metabolism, as well as antibiotic resistances (Nicas et al., 1989; Johnson et al., 1990; Woodford et al., 1995). R-plasmids do not exist in LAB but LAB may harbor extra chromosomal DNA what determines some aspects of metabolism, as well as antibiotic resistance. Thus, all the plasmids of *L. acidophilus* have a molecular weight larger/smaller than 5 MD. It is believed that these plasmids are unable of independent infection/migration, since lactobacilli do not possess pilis necessary for conjugation.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the phenotypic sensitivity of *Lactobacillus* strains used and proposed to be used for production of probiotic dairy products and dietary supplements of foods by Russian industries in respect to the most widely used in medicine antimicrobials.

**Materials and methods.** We tested the strains of LAB, forming part of technological micro flora of industrially manufactured fermented milk products and food supplements, as well as so-called "wild" strains, isolated from naturally fermented products (home-made cottage cheese and milk drinks, pickled vegetables and fruits – fermented apples, sour cabbage), obtained also from non industrial producers.

30 cultures of *Lactobacillus* genus, isolated by a double dilution technique on MRS broth were studied. Taxonomic characterization of the studied strains was achieved by an analysis of cultural morphological and biochemical properties with the use of panels, containing 49 API CHL 50 (BioMerieux, France) tests. According to the obtained results of biochemical identification, the studied strains could be classified in 8 species.

The sensitivity of studied strains to antibiotics was tested by agar diffusion. The discs coated with doxycycline, streptomycin, gentamicin, tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, lincomycin, chloramphenicol, vancomycin, erythromycin, imipenem, ampicillin, cefalotin were placed on agar. Agarized MRS broth was poured into 90 mm diameter and 20 ml volume Petri dishes to obtain a  $4.0 \pm 0.5$  mm high horizontal agar layer. Suspensions of dairy cultures (5 x  $10^8$  cfu/ml) were applied on the agar surfaces. Four to five antibiotic-coated disks were placed on the agar surface 15 min after its inoculation with the tested LAB suspension. The Petri dishes were incubated over 18-24 h. Sizes of LAB growth inhibition areas were used according to as relevant criteria of antibiotic resistance/sensitivity.

The studied cultures were subdivided into sensitive (S), intermediate resistant (IS), resistant (R) on the basis of the observed inhibition area.

**Results and Discussion.** As shown in Table 1, 44 % of cultures, isolated from industrially prepared products were sensitive to studied antibiotics.

Among the resistant cultures, 21~% and 12.5~%, respectively, were considered as intermediately resistant, what implies the formation of transmissible antibiotic resistance in these cultures.

**Table 1**. Sensitivity of lactobacilli, isolated from industrial and manufactured natural fermented products

| Antimicrobial     |                   |                                 |                   |                                     |                                 |               |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| agent             | Industrially = 24 | y manufacture                   | d products, n     | Naturally fermented products, n = 6 |                                 |               |  |  |  |  |
|                   | Sensitive         | Interme<br>diately<br>resistant | Resistan<br>t     | Sensitive                           | Interme<br>diately<br>resistant | Resistan<br>t |  |  |  |  |
| Vancomycin        | 2/24 (8,3<br>%)   | 1/24 (4,2 %)                    | 21/24 (87.5<br>%) | 0                                   | 0                               | 6/6 (100 %)   |  |  |  |  |
| Chloramphenicol   | 19/24<br>(79.2 %) | 3/24 (12.5 %)                   | 2/24 (8.3 %)      | 6/6 (100<br>%)                      | 0                               | 0             |  |  |  |  |
| Gentamicin        | 0                 | 4/24 (16.7 %)                   | 20/24 (83.3<br>%) | 1/6 (16,7<br>%)                     | 0                               | 5/6 (83.3 %)  |  |  |  |  |
| Streptomycin      | 0                 | 1/24 (4.2 %)                    | 23/24 (95.8<br>%) | 0                                   | 0                               | 6/6 (100 %)   |  |  |  |  |
| Erythromycin      | 17/24 (71<br>%)   | 5/24 (21 %)                     | 2/24 (8 %)        | 6/6 (100<br>%)                      | 0                               | 0             |  |  |  |  |
| Lincomycin        | 10/24<br>(41.6 %) | 7/24 (29.2<br>%)                | 7/24 (29.2 %)     | 6/6 (100<br>%)                      | 0                               | 0             |  |  |  |  |
| Cefalotin         | 2/24 (8 %)        | 18/24 (75 %)                    | 4/24 (17 %)       | 1/6 (16,7<br>%)                     | 5/6 (83.3<br>%)                 | 0             |  |  |  |  |
| Tetracycline      | 20/24<br>(83.4 %) | 2/24 (8.3 %)                    | 2/24 (8.3 %)      | 6/6 (100<br>%)                      | 0                               | 0             |  |  |  |  |
| Ampicillin        | 6/24 (25<br>%)    | 16/24 (66.6<br>%)               | 2/24 (8.4 %)      | 6/6 (100<br>%)                      | 0                               | 0             |  |  |  |  |
| Imipenem          | 22/24<br>(91.6 %) | 1/24 (4.2 %)                    | 1/24 (4.2 %)      | 6/6 (100<br>%)                      | 0                               | 0             |  |  |  |  |
| Ciprofloxacin     | 7/24 (29.2<br>%)  | 4/24 (16.6<br>%)                | 13/24 (54.2<br>%) | 2/6 (33<br>%)                       | 4/6 (67<br>%)                   | 0             |  |  |  |  |
| Doxycycline       | 22/24<br>(91.6 %) | 0                               | 2/24 (8.4 %)      | 6/6 (100<br>%)                      | 0                               | 0             |  |  |  |  |
| On the average, % | 44.1 ± 2.1        | 21.8 ± 1.1                      | $34.1 \pm 1.8$    | $64.0 \pm 3.3$                      | 12.5 ± 0.32                     | 23.5 ± 1.3    |  |  |  |  |

We studied the sensitivity/resistance of the tested cultures to a wide range of antibiotics such as chloramphenicol, gentamicin, and tetracycline. All the cultures, isolated from naturally fermented products turned out to be chloramphenicol- and tetracycline- sensitive.

From the total number of cultures isolated from industrially manufactured products, 2 cultures exhibited a resistance to chloramphenicol and 2 cultures to tetracycline.

Twenty-two industrially manufactured cultures and 5 "wild" cultures were resistant to gentamicin aminoglycoside. Twenty-three strains of LAB isolated from industrially manufactured products and all cultures (6) isolated from home fermented products were resistant against streptomycin. Most probably this is a natural resistance to aminoglycosides, which may be explained by the fact that the transport of these antibiotics through cytoplasmic membrane in LAB is connected with electron transport systems absent in anaerobes (Mathur, Singh, 2004).

Tested LAB were quite resistant to vancomycin an antibiotic considered to be a marker of transmissible type antibiotic resistances, i.e. being of an extra chromosomal origin. As observed in Table 1, from the 24 cultures isolated from industrially manufactured products, 3 cultures were sensitive to this antibiotic. This may be due also to the presence of antibiotic sensitivity transmissible genes in technological fermented milk bacteria as well as to their potential ability to participate in a gene transfer and to transmission of this resistance to GI tract symbiotic cultures

(Ruoff et al., 1988; Swenson et al., 1990; Handwerger et al., 1994; Klein et al., 1988; Salminen et al., 1998).

Table 2 presents data on sensitivity of various species of *Lactobacillus* cultures, isolated from the studied products, to the 12 tested antibiotics.

**Table 2**. Sensitivity of various species of *Lactobacillus* cultures to antimicrobial agents

| Species of<br>Lactobacillus |          | Antimicrobial agent |                     |            |              |              |          |            |           |              |             |            |               |
|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|----------|------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|
| Lactobachius                | Quantity | Vancomycin          | Chlorampheni<br>col | Gentamicin | Streptomycin | Erythromycin | Imipenem | Lincomycin | Cefalotin | Tetracycline | Doxycycline | Ampicillin | Ciprofloxacin |
| L. rhamnosus                | n<br>=7  | 0/7                 | 7/7                 | 0/7        | 0/7          | 5/7          | 7/7      | 5/7        | 1/7       | 7/7          | 7/7         | 2/7        | 5/7           |
| L. acidophilus              | n=6      | 1/6                 | 2/6                 | 0/6        | 0/6          | 5/6          | 5/6      | 3/6        | 1/6       | 4/6          | 5/6         | 1/6        | 1/6           |
| L. plantarum                | n=5      | 0/5                 | 5/5                 | 0/5        | 0/5          | 5/5          | 5/5      | 4/5        | 1/5       | 5/5          | 5/5         | 4/5        | 1/5           |
| L. paracasei                | n=5      | 1/5                 | 4/5                 | 0/5        | 0/5          | 2/5          | 4/5      | 0/5        | 0/5       | 4/5          | 4/5         | 1/5        | 1/5           |
| L. fermentum                | n=2      | 0/2                 | 2/2                 | 1/2        | 0/2          | 1/2          | 2/2      | 1/2        | 0/2       | 1/2          | 2/2         | 1/2        | 0/2           |
| L. delbrueckii ssp. delbr.  | n=2      | 1/2                 | 1/2                 | 0/2        | 0/2          | 2/2          | 1/2      | 1/2        | 0/2       | 1/2          | 1/2         | 1/2        | 1/2           |
|                             |          | _                   |                     |            |              |              |          |            |           |              |             |            | _             |
| L. sakei                    | n=1      | 0                   | 1                   | 0          | 0            | 1            | 1        | 0          | 0         | 1            | 1           | 1          | 0             |
| L. curvatus                 | n=1      | 0                   | 1                   | 0          | 0            | 1            | 1        | 1          | 0         | 1            | 1           | 1          | 0             |
| L. brevis                   | n=1      | 0                   | 1                   | 0          | 0            | 1            | 1        | 0          | 0         | 1            | 1           | 0          | 0             |

A comparison of sensitive and resistant cultures among the studied LAB food isolates did not exhibit any dependence on species. The cultures of all LAB species, as determined by biochemical methods (except for *L. rhamnosus*) were resistant to vancomycin and to the latest generation of germicides such as cefalotin and ciprofloxacin.

**Conclusions.** The antibiotic resistance of LAB cultures, isolated from industrially manufactured and naturally fermented Russian products was studied.

The cultures, isolated from naturally fermented ("wild") products are globally 1.5 times more sensitive to the most clinically relevant antibiotics, than cultures, isolated from industrially manufactured products. Among the cultures, isolated from industrially manufactured products, 87.5 % were resistant to vancomycin, what can be caused by transmissible mechanisms. The next stage of the studies will be devoted to the analysis of genetic resistance determinants in *Lactobacillus* food isolates.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This work was performed in the frame of NATO ("Science for Peace") SfP 982 164 "Study of antimicrobial and hypoallergenic products of lactic acid bacteria" project which funding is acknowledged.

### **References:**

- 1. Danielsen, M., Wind, A., 2003. Susceptibility of *Lactobacillus* spp. to antimicrobial agents. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 82, 1-11.
- 2. Handwerger S, Pucci MJ, Volk KJ, Liu J, Lee MS. Vancomycin-resistant *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* and *Lactobacillus casei* synthesize cytoplasmic peptidoglycan precursors that terminate in lactate. J Bacteriol. 1994 Jan;176(1):260-4.
- 3. Hughes, V.M., Datta, N., 1983. Conjugative plasmids in bacteria of the "pre-antibiotic" era. Nature 302, 725 726.
- 4. Johnson AP, Uttley AH, Woodford N, George RC. Resistance to vancomycin and teicoplanin: an emerging clinical problem. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1990 Jul;3(3):280-91. Review.

- 5. Klein G, Pack A, Bonaparte C, Reuter G. Taxonomy and physiology of probiotic lactic acid bacteria. Int J Food Microbiol. 1998 May 26;41(2):103-25.
- 6. Levy, S.B., 1997. Antibiotic resistance: an ecological imbalance. In: Chadwick, D.J., Good, J. (Eds.), Antibiotic Resistance. Origins, Evolution, Selection and Spread. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, pp. 1-14.
- 7. Nicas TI, Cole CT, Preston DA, Schabel AA, Nagarajan R. Activity of glycopeptides against vancomycin-resistant gram-positive bacteria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1989 Sep;33(9):1477-81.
- 8. Ruoff KL, Kuritzkes DR, Wolfson JS, Ferraro MJ. Vancomycin-resistant gram-positive bacteria isolated from human sources. J Clin Microbiol. 1988 Oct;26(10):2064-8.
- 9. Salminen S, von Wright A, Morelli L, Marteau P, Brassart D, de Vos WM, Fonden R, Saxelin M, Collins K, Mogensen G, Birkeland SE, Mattila-Sandholm T. Demonstration of safety of probiotics a review.Int J Food Microbiol. 1998 Oct 20;44(1-2):93-106.
- 10. Shalini Mathur, Rameshwar Singh. 2004. Antibiotic resistance in food lactic acid bacteria a review. International Journal of Food Microbiology 105 (2005). p. 281-295.
- 11. Swenson JM, Facklam RR, Thornsberry C. Antimicrobial susceptibility of vancomycin-resistant *Leuconostoc*, *Pediococcus*, and *Lactobacillus* species. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1990 Apr;34(4):543-9.
- 12. Teuber, M., L. Meile, and F. Schwarz. 1999. Acquired antibiotic resistance in lactic acid bacteria from food. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 76: 115-137.
- 13. Teuber, M., Perreten, V., 2000. Role of milk and meat products as vehicles for antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Acta Vet. Scand., Suppl. 93, 75 87.
- 14. Threlfall, E.I., Ward, L.R., Frost, J.A., Willshaw, G.A., 2000. The emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance in food-borne bacteria. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 62, 15.
  - 15. Wang, T., Lee, B., 1997. Plasmids in Lactobacillus. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 17, 227-272.
- 16. White, D.G., Zhao, S., Simjee, S., Wagner, D.D., McDermott, P.E., 2002. Antimicrobial resistance of foodborne pathogens. Microbes Infect, 4, 405 412.
- 17. Woodford N, Johnson AP, Morrison D, Speller DC. Current perspectives on glycopeptide resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1995 Oct;8(4):585-615. Review.

УДК 57

# Антобиотикорезистентность бактерий рода Lactobacillus, используемых при производстве молочных и пробиотических пищевых продуктов в России

<sup>1</sup>Антон Черкашин <sup>2</sup>Жен - Марс Чоберт <sup>1</sup>Наталья Ефимочкина <sup>1</sup>Светлана Шевелева <sup>2</sup>Томас Ниртли

Аннотация. Сегодня насчитывается около 60 видов лактобактерий, используемых и потенциально пригодных для пищевой промышленности. Большинство из них применяется для производства наиболее распространенных в питании населения кисломолочных продуктов, пробиотических продуктов и биологически активных добавок к пище (БАД). Многие из технологических микроорганизмов, поступая в желудочно-кишечный тракт (ЖКТ) человека с пищей, способны выживать в нем и взаимодействовать с его комменсальной и транзиторной микрофлорой, в том числе обмениваться генными элементами. настоящее время очень данных мало как антибиотикочувствительности, так и о природе выявляемой резистентности лактобактерий для пищевой промышленности.

¹ФГБУ "НИИ питания" РАМН, Россия

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Национальный Институт Агрономических Исследований, Нант, Франция

В работе было использовано 30 штаммов лактобактерий, входящих в состав заквасочной микрофлоры различных кисломолочных продуктов и биологически активных добавок к пище промышленного изготовления, отобранных в торговой сети. И штаммы, выделенные из продуктов естественной ферментации (творог домашний, кисломолочный напиток, а также сквашенные при участии полезной микрофлоры овощи и фрукты: моченые яблоки, капуста квашеная), приобретенные у частных лиц в Центральной России. Чувствительность к антимикробным препаратамиспытывали штаммов агародиффузии. Тестировали доксициклин, стрептомицин, гентамицин, тетрациклин, ципрофлоксацин, линкомицин, левомицетин, ванкомицин, эритромицин, имипенем, ампициллин, цефалотин, нанесенные на диски. Результаты исследования показали, что среди штаммов, выделенных из промышленно приготовленных продуктов, 87,5% обладали антибиотикоустойчивостью ванкомицину, может К которая быть обусловлена трансмиссивным механизмом. Следующий этап исследования будет посвящён анализу генетических детерминант резистентности у пищевых изолятов Lactobacillus.

**Ключевые слова:** Устойчивость к антибиотикам; молочнокислых бактерий (LAB), кисломолочные продукты «некоммерческих» штаммов.