

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
GIF (Australia) = 0.564
JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
PIHII (Russia) = 0.126
ESJI (KZ) = 9.035
SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
PIF (India) = 1.940
IBI (India) = 4.260
OAJI (USA) = 0.350

SOI: [1.1/TAS](https://doi.org/10.15863/TAS) DOI: [10.15863/TAS](https://doi.org/10.15863/TAS)

International Scientific Journal Theoretical & Applied Science

p-ISSN: 2308-4944 (print) e-ISSN: 2409-0085 (online)

Year: 2021 Issue: 05 Volume: 97

Published: 25.05.2021 <http://T-Science.org>

QR – Issue



QR – Article



Aziza Ilgisovna Nishanbaeva

Tashkent State University of Oriental Studies

Lecturer

aziza.muxtasimova@gmail.com

ANALYSIS OF DERIVED WORDS ACCORDING TO THE MODEL “ARABIC STEM + AFFIXOID سار - -SĀZ” IN “HAYRAT AL-ABROR”

Abstract: In this article, were analyzed structurally and semantically the words which were formed based on the model “Arabic stem + affixoid سار - -sāz” in the poem “Hayrat al-abror” by Alisher Navoi. They are *'ayšsāz, bažlsāz, gāliyasāz, jilvasāz, nağmasāz, naqšsāz, sajdāsāz, vāsiṭāsāz*. From the considered words *bažlsāz* “giving a gift”, *jilvasāz* “shining,” “spreading the light” *sajdasāz* “performing worship,” *vāsiṭāsāz* “making smth. as tool” were not found in dictionaries. We can conclude that the poet formed them on the basis of the “Arabic stem + affixoid سار - -sāz” model to use in his works.

Key words: Alisher Navoi, “Hayrat al-abror”, Arabic stem, Persian affixoid, model, meaning, stem of the present tense of the verb, compound word, derivative word, compound derivative word.

Language: English

Citation: Nishanbaeva, A. I. (2021). Analysis of derived words according to the model “ARABIC STEM + AFFIXOID سار - -SĀZ” IN “HAYRAT AL-ABROR”. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 05 (97), 406-410.

Soi: <http://s-o-i.org/1.1/TAS-05-97-65> **Doi:**  <https://dx.doi.org/10.15863/TAS.2021.05.97.65>

Scopus ASCC: 1203.

Introduction

Throughout history, between Central Asia and Iran long since had got economic, political, cultural, literary and other relations. At the time of the development and wide distribution of the new Persian language, the contact of the existing languages with the Persian language were increased in these areas (1, p. 259-262). In many countries of Central Asia, Persian was used as a literary language, and in some cases as a state language. So, we can say that there were many poets, scientists, scholars, writers in this area who learned Persian and knew it as native speaker.

In the works of the great master of the word, Alisher Navoi, who skillfully mastered the pearls of the necklace, may be observed that he perfectly knew both Persian and Arabic. Many researches can be found on the language of his delightful and charming masterpieces, including the studies devoted to this theme of such scientists as I. Sultan, Kh. Sulaymon, P. Shamsiev, A. Rustamov, A. Kayumov, S. Ganieva, A. Kuranbekov, M. Imamnazarov, K. Sadikov, A. Karimov, B. Bafoev, B. Khasanov and others.

Such research is detailed in this article: has been analyzed the words that formed by the model “Arabic stem + affixoid سار - -sāz” in the poem “Hayrat al-abror” by Alisher Navoi.

The words that come across in Navoi’s works has been studied in several groups and with showing their amount in the research of B. Bafoev. Including the third group of arabic + persian forms with 1270 words.

In B. Bafoev’s research (7, p. 29), the words encountered in the works of Navoi were divided into several groups and studied with the enumeration. Including Arabic + Persian words formed the third group, which indicated the presence of 1270 words. In this group there are words consisting of two stems or stem and derivator, as well as the first stem is Arabic. As mentioned, the Arabic stem and Persian stem in this group are presented in a compound form, and some of them are not classified as a compound word or a derivative (with affix or affixoid). As an example, words such as *abirafshon, abyazposh, aduvvash, ibodatgoh, dahshatangez, ijozatnoma* are indicated, most of which are made with the help affixoids.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
GIF (Australia) = 0.564
JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
PIIHQ (Russia) = 0.126
ESJI (KZ) = 9.035
SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
PIF (India) = 1.940
IBI (India) = 4.260
OAJI (USA) = 0.350

In addition, in the above-mentioned scientific research, it was noted that author neologism, that is, the words built by the poet, constitute 258 units, 97 of which are found in “Khamisa”. Including *aybgoy*, *angushtxoy*, *adamgom*, *araqrez*, *atrfizoy*, *afsonapardoz*, *ashkrezon*, *aqlkush*, *afloksoz* and others (7, p. 36). Need to say, that the most of the examples cited by B. Bafoev are words that are built with Persian affixoids.

There are a number of researches of Iranian, Russian and Uzbek scientists about the content of Persian vocabulary, word-formation, including affixoids in the Modern Persian language. Including the scientific works of I. Kalbasi (16, p. 145), L.C. Peysikov (24, p. 96), Y.A. Rubinchik (28, p. 148), S.A. Aliev (4), Y.L. Gladkova (14, p. 14-21), A. Kuranbekov and N. Nuriddinov (26, p. 20), A. Nishanbaeva (22, p. 157-160), N. Nuriddinov 23, p. 161-164), H. Mirzahmedova (19, p. 116-118), B. Djafarov (12, p. 389-392) and others.

It was observed that there were several studies on the word formation in the classical Persian period, as well as on the composition of the word in the works of the poets. For example, there are given the word building of the adjectives with affixation in “Shahnama” by Firdavsi in the A. Kutbizade’s research (13, p. 183-199), the word building of the nouns by suffixes in the “Shahnama” in the scientific research of O. Kasimov (18), the compound, derivative and compound derivative words in “Divan” by Hafiz are listed and the statistics of their frequency in the study of S. Sadiki-sure and I. Kalbasi (29, p. 5-22).

In the above works, the information about the noun and verbal affixoids, which were used to build words in the Middle Ages, is not found. In most researches have been studied only compound words and words, which were derivate with affixes. Some of the affixoids are studied as a component of compound words and some of them as affixes. However, affixoids should be studied as separate word-building tools. As Sh. Rakhmatullaev quoted “Affixoid is an original lexical unit, which has the property of joining to several lexemes” (27, p. 116).

As B. Bafoev mentioned, the present forms of Persian-Tajik infinitives, which are very common in the Navoi language, are considered to be as affixoids for the Persian-Tajik language (7, p. 13). When added to the old Uzbek language words, they are considered to be as suffixes for Uzbek language. But as noted by Sh. Rahmatullaev, in Tajik linguistics, such part is equated to lexeme, and in Uzbek linguistics it is accepted as affix and considered to be affixoid (27, p. 116). Affixoid performs function of constructing

lexemes. In this way, the present form of the verb ساز-sāz will be analyzed as affixoid in this study.

In “The frequency dictionary of the poem “Hayrat al-abror” (25), 12 words were encountered in the model “stem + ساز -sāz persian affixoid”: ‘ayšsāz, bazlsāz, baxyasāz, čarasāz, ġāliyasāz, jilvasāz, nağmasāz, naqšsāz, navāsāz, nayraṅsāz, sajdāsāz, vāsitasāz. Of these, 8 words, namely ‘ayšsāz, bazlsāz, ġāliyasāz, jilvasāz, nağmasāz, naqšsāz, sajdāsāz, vāsitasāz, were formed by adding affixoid ساز -sāz to Arabic words, while the rest of them are added to Persian morpheme (21, p.149-154).

In the context of the above-mentioned words, Persian affixoid ساز -sāz is the present form of the verb: ساختن sāxtan – 1) “to build”; 2) “to straighten”; 3) “to create”; 4) “to discover”; 5) “to set”; 6) “to establish”; 7) “to arrange”; 8) “to fix”; 9) “to spoil”; 10) “to arm”; 11) “to cook”; 12) “to compose” (masterpiece); 13) “to take action” 14) “to falsify” (20, p. 1786). The aforementioned affixoid is used in the composition of the word with the meaning of “doer” and “creator”.

The main part.

As a result of the research, it was observed that words like ‘ayšsāz, bazlsāz, ġāliyasāz, jilvasāz, nağmasāz, naqšsāz, sajdāsāz, vāsitasāz were used in Alisher Navoi’s poem “Hayrat al-abror” in the model construction “Arabic stem + Persian affixoid ساز -sāz”. We can look at some examples of the analyzed verses below, referring to the concordance of the poem “Hayrat al-abror”¹:

Gāh višāl ičra bolub **’ayšsāz**,

Gāh firāq otiğa aylab gudāz, (17/26)

The “ayšsāz” word in this verse is made with the model “Arabic stem + Persian affixoid ساز -sāz”, and the word “ayš” is from Arabic word عَيْش (1) “life”; 2) “bread”, “food”, “feed” (6, p. 92)). In the dictionary of A. Dekhuda (10, p. 14533), the word “ayšsāz” means “crazy, lighthearted”. In the dictionary under the editorship of E.I. Fazilov (2, p. 72), the meaning “crazy, entertainment maker” is given. In B. Hasanov and A. Karimov’s dictionaries, this word is not observed. In the quoted verse, the word “ayšsāz” is attributed to the soul, which is used in the meaning of “merry-making”.

Another example:

Ev egasi teṅriğa aylab niyāz,

Dēdi hamānā ki šahi **’ayšsāz**, (59/33)

In this verse, “ayšsāz” is attributed to the King, which is used in the sense of “entertaining”.

Next example:

Bolmaq agar kop esa ham **bazlsāz**,

¹ After that the verses will be cited from the poem “Hayrat al-abror”: the first number is chapter and the second is number of verse.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
GIF (Australia) = 0.564
JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
PIIHQ (Russia) = 0.126
ESJI (KZ) = 9.035
SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
PIF (India) = 1.940
IBI (India) = 4.260
OAJI (USA) = 0.350

Bergali 'ār aylamamak bolsa az. (46/70)

In this verse, “*bazlsāz*” is derived from the model of “Arabic stem + Persian affixoid سز -sāz”, and the word “*bazl*” is from Arabic word بَذَلَ (“submission”; “sacrifice”; “generosity”; “present”; “gift” (2, p. 51)). This word does not exist in the dictionaries we investigated. But in the dictionary of Dehkhuda, the Arabic script بَذَلَ کردن is quoted from the verb “to bestow”, “to give a gift” (8, p. 3876). Y. Gladkova (14, p. 17) cites that affixoid سز -sāz can be used instead of کن -kon (the present form of the verb کردن “to do”). Therefore, we can state that, this word was used with the meaning of “*bestowing*”, “*giving gift*”, and that this word was created by Alisher Navoi on the basis of the model.

Another example:

Husnlari 'išva yu nāz aylaban,
'Atrlarin **gāliyasāz** aylaban. (54/10)

The quoted verse “*gāliyasāz*” is derived from the model “Arabic stem + Persian affixoid سز -sāz” and the word “*gāliya*” is from Arabic غَالِيَّة (“fragrance”; “aromatic grease” (6, p. 109)). In the dictionary of A. Dehkhuda (10, p. 14612) there are meanings of the word “*gāliyasāz*” as “scented”, “*ghaliya* (a mixture of musk and amber) maker”. This word was not observed in dictionaries of B. Hasanov, A. Karimov and dictionary under the editorship of E. I. Fazilov. In this verse “*gāliyasāz*” means “*ghaliya* (a mixture of musk and amber) diffuser”.

Another example:

Ul mahi šabgard bolub **jilvasāz**,
Jilvagahī barča šabistāni rāz. (11/17)

The word “*jilvasāz*” in this verse is made with the model “Arabic stem + Persian affixoid سز -sāz”, and the word “*jilva*” is from Arabic جَلْوَةٌ (“glitter”, “elegant”; “opening the curtain of the bed (in the room of newly wed couple)” (5, p. 125)). In the dictionary under the editorship of E. I. Fazilov (2, p. 577), this word is used as “glittering”; “capturing attraction others”; “dancing”, while in the dictionary of B. Hasanov (15, p. 90) the meaning is “glittering”. In A. Karimov’s dictionary (17, p. 104) it is used in the meaning of “glittering”, “seen bright”. This word was not encountered in the dictionary of A. Dehkhuda, but کردن جلوه jelve kardan was given as the verb “to flirt”, “to walk diligently”. As already mentioned above, instead of affixoid سز -sāz, can be used affixoid کن -kon. Therefore, we can say that this word was used in relation to the moon meaning “*shining*”, “*light-emitting*”, and this word was created by Alisher Navoi on the basis of the model.

Next example:

Gar yel agar suv boluban **nağmasāz**,
Sāni' ila har birigā ozga rāz. (18/78)

The word “*nağmasāz*” in this verse is made in the model “Arabic stem + Persian affixoid سز -sāz”, and the word “*nağma*” is from the Arabic language نَغْمَةٌ

(“melody”, “tone”; tone (in music)” (6, p. 354)). In the dictionary of A. Dehkhuda (11, p. 19996) word “*nağmasāz*” means “singer”, “musician”, in the dictionary of B. Hasanov (15, p. 203) it means “musician”, in the dictionary under the editorship of E. I. Fazilov (3, p. 450) the meaning is “musician”, “singer”. In A. Karimov’s dictionary this word was not found. In the abovementioned verse, the word “*nağmasāz*” came in the meaning of “*singing*” and was attributed to wind and water.

Another example:

Lēk aniñ naqši kibī dilnavāz,
Čekmadi tā xāmasidur **naqšsāz**. (21/3)

The word “*naqšsāz*” in this verse is made in the model “Arabic stem + Persian affixoid سز -sāz”, and the word “*naqš*” is the Arabic word نَقَشَ (“embroiding”, “decoration”; “carving writing”, “carving” (6, p. 363)). In the dictionary of A. Dehkhuda (11, p. 20043) are given the meanings “embroider”, “painter”, in the dictionary of B. Hasanov (25, p. 202) – “embroider”, in the dictionary under the editorship of E. I. Fazilov (3, p. 448) – “embroider”, “embroidery”, “decorator”, “painter”. In Karimov’s dictionary this word was not found. In this verse, “*naqšsāz*” is used in the meaning of “*drawing*” in relation to the so-called “*Khoma*” (pen).

Next example:

Boldi muşallāni salib **sağdasāz**,
Har qadam aylab iki rak'at namāz. (25/3)

In this verse, “*sağdasāz*” is derived from the model of “Arabic stem + Persian affixoid سز -sāz”. The word “*sağda*” is the Arabic word سَجَدَ (“bow”; “worship”, “pray” (5, p. 336)). This word does not exist in the dictionaries which we have analyzed. But in the dictionary of A. Dehkhuda کردن سجده sajde kardan is quoted as: “to worship (put the forehead on the ground)”, “to attribute” (9, p. 11884). As already mentioned above, affixoid سز -sāz can be used alternatively to the affixoid کن -kon. Therefore, we can say that, this word was used in relation to Ibrahim Adham in the meaning of “*the worshiper*”, and this word was created by Alisher Navoi on the basis of the model.

Another verse:

Hāzrati 'izzatqa niyāz aylaban,
Tuħfalarin **vāsitasāz** aylaban. (20/64)

In the verse quoted, the word “*vāsitasāz*” is derived from “Arabic stem + Persian affixoid سز -sāz”, and the word “*vāsiṭa*” is the Arabic word وَاسِطَةٌ (“tool”; “remedy”, “method”; “the largest central Pearl (in coral necklace)” (6, p. 426). In the dictionaries we have analyzed, this word was not given. In this verse, the word “*vāsitasāz*” is used in the meaning of “*indirecting*”. This word is a word created by Alisher Navoi on the basis of the model.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
GIF (Australia) = 0.564
JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
PIIHQ (Russia) = 0.126
ESJI (KZ) = 9.035
SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
PIF (India) = 1.940
IBI (India) = 4.260
OAJI (USA) = 0.350

Conclusion.

The following conclusions were made on the analysis of the words made in the model “Arabic stem + Persian affixoid سـاز -sāz” in Alisher Navoi’s poem “Hayrat al-abror” of “Khamsa”:

1. As a result of the research, it was observed that words like *'ayšsāz*, *bažlsāz*, *gāliyasāz*, *jilvasāz*, *nağmasāz*, *naqšsāz*, *sajdasāz*, *vāsiṭasāz* were used in Alisher Navoi’s poem “Hayrat al-abror” with the model “Arabic stem + Persian affixoid سـاز -sāz”.

2. Persian affixoid سـاز -sāz is used in the composition of the word in the meaning of “doer” and “creator”.

3. Among the analyzed words *bažlsāz* “bestowing”, “give gift”, *jilvasāz* “glittering”, “shining”, *sajdasāz* “the worshiper”, *vāsiṭasāz* “indirecting” were not found in the observed dictionaries. So we can say that Navoi created them on the basis of the model “Arabic stem + Persian affixoid سـاز -sāz”.

4. In some Persian words کن -kon (the present form of the verb کردن kardan “to do”) can fulfill the meaning of the affixoid سـاز -sāz. Such case was observed in some words analyzed in the poem.

References:

1. Abolghasemi, M. (2007). *Torikhe zabone forsi*. Tehran.
2. (1983). *Alisher Navoiy asarlari tilining izohli lug'ati*. Vol.1. Tashkent: Fan.
3. (1983). *Alisher Navoiy asarlari tilining izohli lug'ati*. Vol.2. Tashkent: Fan.
4. Aliyev, S.A. (1985). *Poluaffiksatsiya v sisteme slovoobrazovaniya sovremennogo persidskogo yazyka*. Avt. kand. fil. nauk. Moscow.
5. (1994). *Arabsko-russkiy slovar'*. Vol. 1. Tashkent: Kamalak.
6. (1994). *Arabsko-russkiy slovar'*. Vol. 2. Tashkent: Kamalak.
7. Bafoev, B. (1983). *Navoiy asarlari leksikasi*. Tashkent.
8. Dekhxoda, A. (1993). *Loghatnome*. Vol. 3. Tehran.
9. Dekhxoda, A. (1993). *Loghatnome*. Vol. 8. Tehran.
10. Dekhxoda, A. (1993). *Loghatnome*. Vol. 10. Tehran.
11. Dekhxoda, A. (1993). *Loghatnome*. Vol. 13. Tehran.
12. Djafarov, B. (2020). Turkic loanwords in the persian language and their lexical-semantic groups. *Theoretical & Applied Science*, №. 7, pp. 389-392.
13. Ghotbizode, A. (2017). Barrasiye amalkarde Ferdousi dar kalemesoziye sefot bo pishvandho dar Shohnome. *Pazhuheshnomeye adabe hamosi*, no 21 (12).
14. Gladkova, Ye. L. (2018). Glagol'naya poluaffiksatsiya kak produktivnaya slovoobrazovatel'naya model' v obshchestvenno-politicheskoy leksike sovremennogo persidskogo yazyka. *Filologiya i kul'tura*, №. 3 (53).
15. Hasanov, B. (1993). *Navoiy asarlari uchun qisqacha lug'at*. Tashkent: Fan.
16. Kalbosi, I. (1992). *Sokhte eshteqoqiye vozhe dar zabone forsiye emruz*. Tehran.
17. Karimov, A. (2004). *Navoiyning "Farhod va Shirin" dostonining lug'ati*. Vol. 1. Tashkent.
18. Kasimov, O. (1988). *Suffiksals'noe slovoobrazovaniye imyon suschestvitel'nix v "Shakhname" Abulkasima Firdousi*. Avt. kand. fil. nauk. Dushanbe.
19. Mirzakhmedova, Kh. V. (2014). O nekotorykh problemakh formirovaniya zheleznodorozhnykh terminov (na materiale persidskogo yazyka). *Vestnik Chelyabinskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta*, №. 14 (343).
20. Moein, M. (1992). *Farhange forsi*. Vol. 2. Tehran.
21. Nishanbaeva, A., Mirzakhmedova, K., Nuriddinov, N., & Djafarov, B. (2021). *Word formation with persian verbal affixoids in the poem "khayrat al-abrar" by ali-shir navai*. Recent Scientific Investigation: XX International Multidisciplinary Conference Recent Scientific Investigation, № 5(20). (pp.149-154). Moscow: Izd. «Internauka».
22. Nishanbayeva, A. I. (2012). Produktivnyye poluaffiksy prefiksals'nogo tipa v sovremennom persidskom yazyke. *Vestnik Chelyabinskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta*, №. 33 (287).
23. Nuriddinov, N. N. (2012). Derivativnaya reduktsiya v persidskom yazyke (na primere imeni sushchestvitel'nykh, prilagatel'nykh i chislitel'nykh). *Vestnik Chelyabinskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta*, №. 33 (287).
24. Peysikov, L.S. (1973). *Ocherki po slovoobrazovaniyu persidskogo yazyka*. Moscow.
25. Quronbekov, A., Imomnazarov, M., Nishanbayeva, A., & Kalandarov, M. (2018). *Alisher Navoiyning "Hayrat ul-abror" dostonining sanama (chastotali) so'zligi*.

Impact Factor:	ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
	ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	PIHII (Russia) = 0.126	PIF (India) = 1.940
	GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 9.035	IBI (India) = 4.260
	JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350

- Tashkent: “Fan va texnologiyalar markazining bosmaxonasi”.
26. Quronbekov, A., & Nuriddinov, N. (2019). *Fors tili leksikologiyasi*. Tashkent.
 27. Rakhmatullayev, Sh. (2006). *Hozirgi adabiy o'zbek tili*. Tashkent: Universitet.
 28. Rubinchik, Yu. A. (2001). *Grammatika sovremennogo literaturnogo persidskogo yazyka*. Moscow.
 29. Sodeghi, S., & Kalbosi, I. (2019). Soxte vozhe dar divone Hofez. *Roxsore zabon*, no. 9.