

On (n, m) -Metrically Equivalent Operators

Wanjala Victor^{1,*} and A. M. Nyongesa¹

¹ Department of Mathematics and Computing, Rongo University, Kitere Hills, Kenya.

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the class of (n,m) -metrically equivalent operators which is a generalization of metrically equivalent operators and n -metrically equivalent operators. We then look at some properties of this class and its relation to some higher classes like quasi-isometries and the (n,m) -class (Q) operators. We also look at the relationship between this class and other equivalence relations like metrically equivalent and n -metrically equivalent operators.

Keywords: (n,m) -metrically equivalent, n -metrically equivalent, metrically equivalent, (n,m) -class(Q), normal and n -normal operators.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

Definition 1.1. Two operators $S \in B(H)$ and $T \in B(H)$ are said to be (n, m) -metrically equivalent denoted by $S \sim_{(n,m)-m} T$, provided $(S^m)^* S^n = (T^m)^* T^n$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1.2 ([5]). Two operators $S \in B(H)$ and $T \in B(H)$ are said to be (n, m) -metrically equivalent denoted by $S \sim_{n-m} T$, provided $(S)^* S^n = (T)^* T^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1.3 ([3]). Two operators $S \in B(H)$ and $T \in B(H)$ are said to be metrically equivalent denoted by $S \sim_m T$, provided $S^* S = T^* T$.

Definition 1.4 ([1]). Two operators $T \in B(H)$ is said to be (n, m) -class(Q) if $T^{*2m} T^{2n} = (T^{m*} T^n)^2$ for non negative integers n, m .

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. If S is an (n, m) -normal operator and $T \in B(H)$ is unitarily equivalent to S , then T is an (n, m) -normal.

Proof. Since $T = U^* S U$ with U being unitary and S (n, m) -normal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T^m)^* T^n &= U^* (S^m)^* S^n U \\ (T^m)^* T^n &= U^* (S^m)^* U U^* S^n U \\ &= U^* (S^m)^* S^n U \\ &= U^* S^n (S^m)^* U \end{aligned}$$

* E-mail: wanjalavictor421@gmail.com

$$\begin{aligned}
&= T^n U^* (S^m)^* U \\
&= T^n U^* U (T^m)^* \\
&= T^n (T^m)^*
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim. □

Corollary 2.2. *An operator $T \in B(H)$ is (n, m) -normal if and only if T and T^* are (n, m) -metrically equivalent.*

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let S and T be (n, m) -metrically equivalent, then S^* and T^* are co- (n, m) -metrically equivalent.*

Proof. Since S and T are (n, m) -metrically equivalent, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
(S^m)^* S^n &= (T^m)^* T^n, \text{ taking adjoints on both sides we obtain;} \\
&= ((S^m)^* S^n)^* \\
&= ((T^m)^* T^n)^* \\
&= ((S^m)^*)^* (S^n)^* \\
&= ((T^m)^*)^* (T^n)^* \\
&= S^m (S^n)^* \\
&= T^m (T^n)^*
\end{aligned}$$

hence S^* and T^* are co- (n, m) -metrically equivalent. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let $T_{\alpha_1} \dots T_{\alpha_r}$ and $S_{\alpha_1} \dots S_{\alpha_r}$ be (n, m) -metrically equivalent operators. Then $T_{\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r}$ and $S_{\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\alpha_r}$ are (n, m) -metrically equivalent.*

Proof. Since $T_{\alpha_1} \dots T_{\alpha_r}$ and $S_{\alpha_1} \dots S_{\alpha_r}$ are (n, m) -metrically equivalent operators, we have;

$$\begin{aligned}
&= ((T_{\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r})^m)^* (T_{\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r})^n \\
&= ((S_{\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\alpha_r})^m)^* (S_{\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\alpha_r})^n \\
&= (T_{\alpha_1}^m \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r}^m)^* (T_{\alpha_1}^n \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r}^n) \\
&= (S_{\alpha_1}^m \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\alpha_r}^m)^* (S_{\alpha_1}^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\alpha_r}^n) \\
&= (T_{\alpha_1}^{m*} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r}^{m*}) (T_{\alpha_1}^n \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r}^n) \\
&= (S_{\alpha_1}^{m*} \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\alpha_r}^{m*}) (S_{\alpha_1}^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\alpha_r}^n) \\
&= T_{\alpha_1}^{m*} T_{\alpha_1}^n \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r}^{m*} T_{\alpha_r}^n \\
&= S_{\alpha_1}^{m*} S_{\alpha_1}^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\alpha_r}^{m*} S_{\alpha_r}^n \\
&= (T_{\alpha_1}^{m*} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r}^{m*}) (T_{\alpha_1}^n \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r}^n) \\
&= (S_{\alpha_1}^{m*} \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\alpha_r}^{m*}) (S_{\alpha_1}^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\alpha_r}^n) \\
&= (T_{\alpha_1}^m \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r}^m)^* (T_{\alpha_1}^n \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r}^n) \\
&= (S_{\alpha_1}^m \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\alpha_r}^m)^* (S_{\alpha_1}^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\alpha_r}^n) \\
&= ((T_{\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r})^m)^* (T_{\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_r})^n
\end{aligned}$$

$$= ((S_{\alpha_i} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{\alpha_r})^m)^*(S_{\alpha_i} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{\alpha_r})^n$$

hence $T_{\alpha_i} \oplus \cdots \oplus T_{\alpha_r}$ and $S_{\alpha_i} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{\alpha_r}$ are (n, m) -metrically equivalent operators. \square

Theorem 2.5. Let $T_{\alpha_i} \dots T_{\alpha_r}$ and $S_{\alpha_i} \dots S_{\alpha_r}$ be (n, m) -metrically equivalent operators. Then $T_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r}$ and $S_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r}$ are (n, m) -metrically equivalent.

Proof. Let $x_{\alpha_i} \dots x_{\alpha_r} \in H$, it follows that;

$$\begin{aligned} &= ((T_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r})^m)^*(T_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r})^n(x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \\ &= ((S_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r})^m)^*(S_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r})^n \\ &= (T_{\alpha_i}^m \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r}^m)^*(T_{\alpha_i}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r}^n)(x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \\ &= (S_{\alpha_i}^m \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r}^m)^*(S_{\alpha_i}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r}^n)(x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \\ &= (T_{\alpha_i}^{m*} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r}^{m*})(T_{\alpha_i}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r}^n)(x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \\ &= (S_{\alpha_i}^{m*} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r}^{m*})(S_{\alpha_i}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r}^n)(x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \\ &= T_{\alpha_i}^{m*} T_{\alpha_i}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r}^{m*} T_{\alpha_r}^n (x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \\ &= S_{\alpha_i}^{m*} S_{\alpha_i}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r}^{m*} S_{\alpha_r}^n (x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \\ &= (T_{\alpha_i}^{m*} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r}^{m*})(T_{\alpha_i}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r}^n)(x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \\ &= (S_{\alpha_i}^{m*} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r}^{m*})(S_{\alpha_i}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r}^n)(x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \\ &= (T_{\alpha_i}^m \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r}^m)^*(T_{\alpha_i}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r}^n)(x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \\ &= (S_{\alpha_i}^m \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r}^m)^*(S_{\alpha_i}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r}^n)(x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \\ &= ((T_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r})^m)^*(T_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r})^n(x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \\ &= ((S_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r})^m)^*(S_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r})^n(x_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_r}) \end{aligned}$$

hence $T_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\alpha_r}$ and $S_{\alpha_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{\alpha_r}$ are (n, m) -metrically equivalent operators. \square

Theorem 2.6. If S and T are (n, m) -metrically equivalent operators then they are (n, m) -power class (Q) .

Proof. Since S and T are (n, m) -metrically equivalent;

$$S^{*m} S^n = T^{*m} T^n \tag{1}$$

post -multiplying both sides of (1) by S^n and T^n respectively;

$$S^{*m} S^n S^n = T^{*m} T^n T^n \tag{2}$$

$S^{*m} S^{2n} = T^{*m} T^{2n}$ pre-multiplying both sides of (2) by S^{*m} and T^{*m} respectively;

$$\begin{aligned} S^{*m} S^{*m} S^{2n} &= T^{*m} T^{*m} T^{2n} \\ S^{*2m} S^{2n} &= T^{*2m} T^{2n} \\ S^{*2m} S^{2n} &= S^{*m} S^{*m} S^n S^n \\ &= (S^{*m} S^n)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (T^{*m}T^n)^2 \\
 &= T^{*m}T^{*m}T^nT^n \\
 &= T^{*2m}T^{2n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.7. *If S and T are $(2,2)$ -metrically equivalent operators, then they are metrically equivalent provided they are quasi-isometries.*

Proof. The proof is trivial and follows from the fact that if S and T are $(2,2)$ -metrically equivalent, then we have

$$S^{*2}S^2 = T^{*2}T^2 \tag{3}$$

since S and T are quasi-isometries; we have $S^*S = S^{*2}S^2$ and $T^*T = T^{*2}T^2$, hence (3) gives us $S^*S = T^*T$. □

Theorem 2.8. *If S and T are $(3,3)$ -metrically equivalent operators and S is $(2,3)$ -quasinormal, then T is $(2,3)$ -quasinormal.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S^3)^*S^3 &= U(T^3)^*T^3U^* \\
 &= (S^3)^*SS^2 \\
 &= S^2(S^3)^*S \\
 &= U(T^3)^*T^3U^* \\
 &= (T^3)^*T^3 \\
 &= (T^{3*})TT^2 \\
 &= T^2T^{3*}T \\
 &= T^{3*}TT^2
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.9. *In the following proposition, we provide a condition under which $(2,1)$ -metrically equivalent operators implies metric equivalence relation.*

Proposition 2.10. *If S and T are $(2,1)$ -metrically equivalent operators, then they are metrically equivalent provided they are idempotent.*

Proof. Since S and T are $(2,1)$ -metrically equivalent, we have $S^*S^2 = T^*T^2$, since S and T are idempotent we have $S^2 = S$ and $T^2 = T$, this implies $S^*S^2 = T^*T^2 \Leftrightarrow S^*S = T^*T$ as required. □

References

[1] Eiman H. Abood and Mustafa A. Al-loz, *On some generalizations of (n,m) -normal powers operators on Hilbert space*, Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics, 7(3)(2016), 1063-1070.

[2] A. A. Jibril, *On n -power normal operators*, The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 33(2008), 247-251.

[3] B. M. Nzimbi, G. P. Pokhariyal and S. K. Moindi, *A Note on Metric Equivalence of some Operators*, Far East J.F Math. Sci. (FJMS), 75(2013), 301-318.

[4] S. A. Alzuraiqi and A. B. Patel, *On n -Normal Operators*, General mathematics Notes, 1(2)(2010), 61-73.

[5] Wanjala Victor, R. K. Obogi and M. O. Okoya, *On N -Metric equivalence of Operators*, International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications, 8(1)(2020), 107-109.