Basrah Journal Of Surgery

Original Article
Bas J Surg, June, 23, 2017

# ESTIMATION OF MEAN CENTRAL CORNEAL THICKNESS USING SIRIUS SCHEIMPFLUG-PLACIDO TOPOGRAPHER IN BASRAH

# Aymen Majeed Fadil\* & Salah Zuhair Al-Asadi®

\*FIBMS Ophthalmology, Basrah General Hospital. <sup>@</sup>FRCS, FIBMS, FICO (Ophthalmology) Assistant Professor, College of Medicine, University of Basrah, IRAQ.

#### Abstract

This study aimed to assess the mean of central corneal thickness (CCT) in a sample of normal lraqi population (Basrah and its surrounds as a sample).

Pachymetry was done by Sirius Scheimpflug–Placido Topographer for 1774 eyes of 887 healthy participants 10–68 years old. The parameters studied included central corneal thickness and the results were compared with other studies.

This study included 414 healthy male and 473 healthy females and it revealed mean CCT equals to  $531.49\pm34.92~\mu m$  with range from 426.5-632. The mean CCT in those who are 10-19 years old ( $543.7\pm32.3\mu m$ ) was significantly higher than the other age groups (p value <0.01) there was a significant difference between right and left eyes of the studied participants. There were no correlations of age and sex with CCT (p value >0.1).

In conclusion, normative data regarding central corneal thickness were reported in Iraqi population. Means of central corneal thickness was generally lower than those reported in Turkey and Iran.

## Introduction

Establishment of normal range of Central Corneal Thickness (CCT) is very important as it is considered an integral part of a thorough ophthalmic examination and provides specialists with an essential biometric parameter in various fields of ophthalmology and optometry<sup>1</sup>.

Pachymetry, or the measurement of the corneal thickness, to plan for refractive procedure, is useful for deciding whether a patient should be considered before surgery and which technique would be most adequate<sup>2,3</sup>. particularly when performing laser in situ keratomileusis because the amount of correction is limited by the corneal thickness<sup>4</sup>

In refractive surgery, the inaccurate way of measurements can cause additional tissue removal in the stromal bed that could cause iatrogenic ectasia<sup>5,6</sup>.

In corneal pathology, corneal thickness

have a role in many conditions such as contact lenses related complications<sup>7</sup>, dry eye<sup>8</sup>, and diabetes mellitus<sup>9</sup>, also the CCT value is necessary for diagnosing and following up corneal ectasia such as Pellucid marginal degeneration or keratoglobus<sup>2</sup> and is a crucial parameter in the risk assessment for glaucoma progression in patients with ocular hypertension and glaucoma<sup>10</sup>.

Central Corneal thickness is used to correct intraocular pressure (IOP) values, thin cornea leads to underestimation while a thick cornea results in overestimation of the actual IOP<sup>1,11</sup>. It showed that approximately 10% change in CCT can lead to approximately 3.4 mm Hg change in IOP<sup>12</sup>.

Moreover, there is evidence suggesting that CCT is an independent risk for the development and progression of glaucoma<sup>13</sup>.

Several studies showed that normal range of CCT is affected by several factors including race and ethnicity<sup>11, 14-16</sup>, there was no previous study to estimate the normal range of CCT in healthy Iraqi population, that why this study was designed as a trial to establish normal values in this field although the sample is geographically more reflective for Basra and surrounding population than other Iragi areas. In the past there is deferent methods used to measure CCT. These Include: Optical Pachymetry, Ultrasound Pachymetry, Orbscan, Optical Coherence Tomography, Laser Interferometry, and Ultrasound Biomicrocscopy.

Sirius (Costruzione Strumenti Oftalmici Inc. Firenze. Italy) utilizes the combination of a single rotating Scheimpflug camera (pentacam) and a Placido-disk corneal topographer and it approve high degree of precision and accuracy without the drawbacks of invasiveness<sup>17,18</sup>. Also the precision of the rotating Scheimpflug camera and Placidodisk analyzer system was determined based on the definitions adopted by the Institution, British Standards recommended by Bland and Altman<sup>19</sup>

#### Patient and methods

This is an outpatient based cross sectional combined prospective and retrospective study performed in Al-Basrah outpatient clinic (prospective part started during the period from March 2014 until January 2015).

The overall study was conducted on 887 healthy individuals (1774 eyes) with an age of 10 to 68 years. The participants were chosen from clinic attendant's whether patients or relatives for patients. All the studied individuals were informed verbally about the aim of the study and the way of examination prior to being enrolled with their verbal consent insured. Inclusion criteria: All participants have clear cornea, clear lens, normal IOP and no suggestive history of ocular trauma or surgery glaucoma or or ocular medications no history of contact lens wear.

Exclusion criteria: Patients who have abnormal ocular surface examination and or corneal ectasia, individuals with IOP more than 21 mm Hg or less than 11 mmHg, individuals with previous ocular surgery and or refractive surgery, patients with systemic diseases, individual whose one of his/her eyes did not fulfilled the inclusion criteria, and individuals who wear contact lens.

Data collection: The identifying data were the age and gender while the examination data were visual acuity and refraction (automated refractometer) and intraocular pressure (air puff).

Central corneal thickness was measured in both eyes by Scheimpflug-Placido typographers which is a topography device that combining monochromatic Scheimpflug camera with Placido disc to perform analysis for anterior segment by getting 25 radial section for cornea and anterior chamber. The Pachymetry map was produced using method of point-bypoint for anterior and posterior corneal surface. Three consecutive readings was taken for each participant and the mean value was depend on the measures. The Sirius system measure formed when the tool come to focus, and the patient's eye become parallel along the visual axis by centrally located fixation light. Then the participant informed to sit back after each individual reading, and the tool was realigned before the next measurement. The participants are instructed to blink before each measurement. A measurements for each one is done and the mean value was calculated for each. All measurements were done at the same time of the day, between 10:00 am and 2:00 pm, at least 3 h after awakening with a 5 min interval between each test.

Patients were divided into five age groups to explore the effect of age in different age groups. The results were expressed in form of mean± standard deviation. The difference between the

means of any parameter in study in different groups was assessed by the use of independent sample t test; (Paired t test was done for paired data). Pearson coefficient was used to estimate correlations. Statistical analysis were performed by SPSS Windows software (version 20.0, SPSS, Inc.) P < 0.05 was considered the lowest limit significance.

#### Results

This study involved a total of 887 patients (Total of 1774 eyes) with ages ranged from 10–68 years with mean of 30.3±10.9 years. The gender and age group distribution is exposed in Table I which shows that most patient are 20 to 40 years old (65.5%) with slight female predominance.

Table 1: Age and Sex Distribution

Age group (years)	Frequency	percent			
10-19	120	13.5%			
20-29	357	40.2%			
30-39	224	25.3%			
40-49	126	14.2%			
50 and above	60	6.8%			
Total	887	100%			
Gender	Frequency	percent			
Male	414	46.7%			
Female	473	53.3%			
Total	887	100%			

Estimation of central corneal thickness revealed mean CCT equals to  $531.49\pm34.92~\mu m$  with range from  $426.5-632~\mu m$ . The mean corneal thickness in each age group are shown in Table II it

reveals that the youngest age group (10-19) has a significantly higher CCT in comparison to other age groups (*p value*<0.01) with slight difference among the remaining age groups in CCT.

Table II: Mean Central Corneal Thickness in Different Age Groups

	Age group	Number	CCT (µm)	95% confidence	P Value*
	(years)	of eyes	Mean±SD	interval	
1	10-19	240	543±32.3	539.5-547.8	1
2	20-29	714	528±36	525.5-530.9	< 0.01
3	30-39	448	527.5±32.6	524.4-530.6	< 0.01
4	40-49	252	535.4±33.3	531.2-539.6	< 0.01
5	50 and above	120	533.3±39	526-540.4	< 0.01
	total	1774	531.5±34.9	529-533.2	

<sup>\*</sup> In comparison with 1<sup>st</sup> age group

There were no significant correlation of age and sex with CCT (P value 0.568). There was no significant difference between mean CCT between males and females (530.1±36.4 µm vs 532.7±33.6

μm; *p value*=0.11); but paired sample t test showed a significant difference between both eyes in CCT (p value<0.001).

#### Discussion

Establishment of normal national range of different ophthalmological parameters is very important due to effect of race and environment on these parameters. Since there was no previous study to estimate mean CCT in healthy Basra population; such study is needed to allow us take scientifically-based decision in our daily clinical practice.

This study revealed mean CCT equals to 531.49±34.92 μm with range from 426.5–632 μm in healthy Basrah population.

The obtained CCT values, in comparison to the population of our environment, is consistent with that of Doughty et al<sup>12</sup>. who carried out a meta-analysis for obtaining the mean CCT on the basis of publications between 1968 and 1999, obtaining a mean CCT value in Caucasian population of 535µm, than that of the study of the Turkish population (531 vs 552 μm)<sup>20</sup> but slightly lower and also lower than those of a study in Tehran (555.6)<sup>21</sup>. All the previous studies used ultrasonic Pachymetry. Sedaghat et al<sup>22</sup>, by using Rotating Scheimpflug Camera, obtained a mean CCT of 537.1µm) which is much similar to our results, while Hashimi et al<sup>23</sup> found the mean CCT of men above 40 equals to 529.5 µm which is lower than our results (534.7µm) One theory to explain this is that Iranian population tends to have higher CCT in young age groups but with prominent inverse relationship with age as some studies has suggested<sup>21,23</sup>.

Comparing the mean CCT with other races throughout the world, we found that it is higher than the values obtained in

Australian aborigine population (514  $\mu$ m)<sup>24</sup>, and one population of northern Africa (531 vs 519  $\mu$ m)<sup>25</sup>.

This study shows no statistically significant correlation between CCT and age, in contrast with the findings of other studies<sup>20,26,27</sup>. and clinically the low level of difference in corneal thickness, do not have much importance.

The Association between CCT and sex has been analyzed in several studies in most of which, as in ours, statistically significant differences were not found<sup>25,27,28</sup>. In contrast, some studies discovered a statistical association between both factors<sup>20, 26, 29</sup>.

Some of the strengths of our study is the large sample size (1774 eyes) in a homogeneously distributed population with wide age range (10-68 years) without extreme refractive defects and normal ophthalmic exploration. In addition, it is the first study to obtain normative data of CCT in Iraq.

Even though this study was not based on population but on patients or patient relatives of attending Al-Basra general hospital; but this has a minor effect since a large panel of exclusion criteria have been applied and participants were from different residence inside and outside Basrah.

In conclusion, the normal CCT value in the Iraqi population was  $531.49\pm34.92$   $\mu m$  with normal range from (461.69-601.29  $\mu m$ ) with a significant\_high CCT in youngest age group, but age appeared not to be correlated with CCT in this study.

## References

- 1. Patwardhan AA, Khan C, Mollan SP, Haigh P. The importance of central corneal thickness measurements and decision making in general ophthalmology clinics: a masked observational study. BMC Ophthalmology 2008 Jun; 8:1
- 2. Gros-Otero J, Arruabarrena-Sánchez C, Teus M. Central corneal thickness in a healthy Spanish population. ARCH SOC ESP Oftalmol. 2011; 86(3):73–76.
- 3.Hashemi H, Mehravaran S, Rezvan F, Bigdeli S, khabazkhoob M. Corneal thickness measurements with the Concerto on-board pachymeter. J Optom. 2011; 4(4):140
- 4.Wang Z, Chen J, Yang B. Posterior corneal surface topographic changes after laser in situ keratomileusis are related to residual corneal bed thickness. Ophthalmology 1999; 4(2): 406-410.
- 5. Rad AS, Jabbarvand M, Saifi N. Progressive keratectasia after laser institute keratomileusis. J refract surge 2004; 20(5 Suppl):S718-722
- 6. Rabinowitz YS. Ectasia after laser in situ keratomileusis.curr opine ophthalmol 2006; 17(5):421-426
- 7.Liu Z , Pflugfelder SC. The effects of long-term contact lens wear on corneal thickness, curvature, and surface regularity. Ophthalmology 2000 Jan; 107(1): 105-11.
- 8.Liu Z, Pflugfelder SC. Corneal thickness is reduced in dry eye. Cornea. 1999 Jul; 18(4):403-7.
- 9. Larsson LI, Bourne WM, Pach JM, Brubaker RF. Structure and function of the corneal endothelium in diabetes mellitus type I and type II. Arch Ophthalmol. 1996 Jan; 114(1):9-14.
- 10. Weinreb RN, Friedman DS, Fechtner RD, Cioffi GA, Coleman AL, Girkin CA et al. Risk assessment in the management of patients with ocular hypertension. Am J Ophthalmol. 2004 Sep; 138(3):458-67.
- 11. Hansen FK, Ehlers N. Elevated Tonometer Readings Caused By a Thick Cornea. ActaOphthalmologica 1971 Oct; 49 (5): 775-778
- 12. Doughty MJ, Zaman ML. Human corneal thickness and its impact on intraocular pressure measures: a review and meta-analysis approach. Surf ophthalmol 2000;44(5):367-408
- 13. Dueker DK, Singh K, Lin SC, Fechtner RD, Minckler DS, Samples JR, Schuman JS. Corneal thickness measurement in the management of primary open-angle glaucoma: a report by the American Academy of Ophthalmology ophthalmology2007; 114(9):1779-178
- 14. La Rosa FA, Gross RL, Orengo-Nania S. Central corneal thickness of Caucasians and African Americans in glaucomatous and nonglaucomatous populations. Arch Ophthalmol. 2001 Jan; 119(1):23-7.
- 15.Brandt JD, Beiser JA, Kass MA, Gordon MO. Central corneal thickness in the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study (OHTS). Ophthalmology. 2001 Oct; 108(10):1779-88.
- 16. Shimmyo M, Ross AJ, Moy A, Mostafavi R. Intraocular pressure, Goldmannapplanation tension, corneal thickness, and corneal curvature in Caucasians, Asians, Hispanics, and African Americans. Am J Ophthalmol. 2003 Oct; 136(4):603-13.
- 17. Bayhan HA, Bayhan SA, Can I. Comparison of central corneal thickness measurements with three new optical devices and a standard ultrasonic pachymeter. Int J Ophthalmol 2014 April; 7 (2): 302 -308.
- 18.Gonul S, Koktekir BE, Bakbak B, Gedik S. Comparison of central corneal thickness measurements using optical low-coherence reflectometry, Fourier domain optical coherence tomography, and Scheimpflug camera. Arg Bras Oftalmol. 2014; 77(6):345-50.
- 19. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. Lancet
- 1986; 1:307–310. Available at: http://www-users.york.ac.uk/ wmb55/meas/ba.pdf. Accessed May 6, 2013
- 20.Altinok A, Sen E, Yazici A, Aksakal FN, Oncul H, Koklu G.Factors influencing central corneal thickness in a Turkish population. Curr Eye Res. 2007; 32:413-9.
- 21. Hashemi H, Yazdani K, Mehravaran S, KhabazKhoob M, Mohammad K, Parsafar H, Fotouhi A.Corneal thickness in a population-based, cross-sectional study: the Tehran Eye Study. Cornea. 2009 May; 28(4):395-400.
- 22. Sedaghat MR, Daneshvar R, Kargozar A, Derakhshan A, Daraei M. Comparison of Central Corneal Thickness Measurement Using Ultrasonic Pachymetry, Rotating Scheimpflug Camera, and Scanning-Slit Topography. Am J Ophthalmol. 2010 Dec; 150(6):780-9.
- 23.Hashemi H, Asgari S, Mehravaran S, Emamian MH, Shariati M, Fotouhi A. The distribution of corneal thickness in a 40- to 64-year-old population of Shahroud, Iran.Cornea. 2011 Dec; 30(12):1409-13.
- 24. Durkin SR, Tan EW, Casson RJ, Selva D, Newland HS. Centralcorneal thickness among Aboriginal people attending eyeclinics in remote South Australia. Clin ExperimentOphthalmol. 2007; 35:728-32.
- 25.Lifshitz T, Levy J, Rosen S, Belfair N, Levinger S. Centralcorneal thickness and its relationship to the patient's origin. Eye. 2006; 20:460-5.
- 26.Li P, Hu Y, Xu Q, Zhang G, Mai C. Central corneal thickness inadult Chinese. J HuazhongUnivSciTechnolog Med Sci. 2006; 26:141-4.
- 27.Lekskul M, Aimpun P, Nawanopparatskul B, Bumrungsawat S,Trakulmungkijkarn T, Charoenvanichvisit J, et al. Theorrelations between central corneal thickness and age,gender, intraocular pressure and refractive error of aged12–60 years old in rural Thai community. J Med Assoc Thai. 2005; 88 (Suppl 3):S175-9.
- 28.Ashwin PT, Shah S, Pushpoth S, Wehbeh L, Ilango B. Therelationship of central corneal thickness (CCT) to thinnestcentral cornea (TCC) in healthy adults. Cont Lens AnteriorEye. 2009; 32:64-7.
- 29. Zhang H, Xu L, Chen C, Jonas JB. Central corneal thicknessin adult Chinese. Association with ocular and generalparameters. The Beijing Eye Study. Graefes Arch ClinExpOphthalmol. 2008; 246:587-92.