



## STUDY ON THE EFFECT OF NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON SEED CROP OF OKRA VAR. PARBHANI KRANTI

S. K. Lodhi, Hariom Katiyar\*, Ashok Kumar, S. Kumar and S.V.S. Rathore<sup>1</sup>

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut, U.P.

<sup>1</sup>Deptt.of Horticulture, RBS College, Bichpuri Agra

\*Corresponding Author's E-mail: omsvpuat@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT** : The sixteen treatments were compared in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. The seed was sown at spacing of 45 cm x 15 cm on ridges. The seed-pods were picked before shattering through successive pickings as and when required. The studies were concentrated on crop-stand, plant-growth and development traits, crop productivity, seed quality and net profit (₹) per hectare. It is inferred from the findings that the seed crop of okra cv. Parbhani Kranti during the spring-summer (Zaid) season should be cultivated in western Uttar Pradesh by applying nitrogen @ 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, phosphorus @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and *Azotobacter* @ 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Keywords** : Okra, nutrient management, Parbhani Kranti.

Okra is one of the most important and widely grown vegetable throughout the country for green fruits. Parbhani Kranti is a very important, high yielding and widely accepted variety of okra. It is equally grown in rainy as well as in spring-summer seasons. Therefore, there is a great demand of its seed during both the seasons. In Indian plains, it is generally observed that the seed produced from spring-summer crop of okra is mostly preferred by the farmers because of being free from yellow vein mosaic and other diseases, as well as insect pests as compared to the seed produced in rainy season. The application of chemical fertilizers has increased the yield of vegetables tremendously. However, with the increased cost of chemical fertilizers and deteriorating eco-system, it is evidently essential to adopt a strategy of integrated nutrient management in vegetable production by using judicious combinations of chemical fertilizers, organic manures and bio-fertilizers. The bio-fertilizers are natural fertilizers containing carrier based micro-organisms which enhance productivity by biological nitrogen fixation or solubilization of insoluble phosphate or producing hormones, vitamins and other growth factors required for plant growth. Keeping these views in mind, the experiment was carried out to formulate an effective *i.e.* productive and profitable, package of integrated nutrient management for *zaid* seed crop of okra, cv Parbhani Kranti, for western zone of Uttar Pradesh.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at RBS College Experimental Farm, Bichpuri, Agra (U.P.) during the spring-summer seasons (March to June) and next year (Feb. to June). In the cropping years, the experiment was laid out at two different sites, viz. plot A<sub>6</sub> in the first year (2003) and plot A<sub>7</sub> (2004) in the second year. Nutritional treatments were applied as per combinations given in Table 1. Observations on productive and profitability parameters were taken during experimentation period.

**Table 1: Treatment combinations for okra crop.**

Treatments	Notation
150 kg N + 60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>1</sub>
100 kg N + 60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>2</sub>
150 kg N + 40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>3</sub>
100 kg N + 40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>4</sub>
100 kg N + 60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + 10 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>5</sub>
150 kg N + 40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + 10 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>6</sub>
100 kg N + 40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + 10 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>7</sub>
100 kg N + 60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 2 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>8</sub>
150 kg N + 40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 2 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>9</sub>
100 kg N + 40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 2 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>10</sub>
150 kg N + 60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + 20 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>11</sub>
100 kg N + 60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + 20 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>12</sub>

### Article's History:

Recd. : 29-04-2016; Revi. : 26-05-2016; Acce. : 08-06-2016

150 kg N + 40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + 20 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>13</sub>
100 kg N + 40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + 20 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>14</sub>
20 tonnes FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	T <sub>15</sub>
Control : No, N, P, Azotobacter, FYM and ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>16</sub>

The data recorded for growth, yield and chemical analysis were subjected to statistical analysis as per method of analysis of variance (Fisher, 3 and Snedcor and Cochran, 7). The significance of treatment effects was worked out with the help of 'F' values (Variance ratio) and the significance of differences between means of any two treatments was judged by calculating the critical difference.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Plant height

The data for plant height in the final study (Table 1) showed that the treatments under investigation increased the plant stand over control invariably but the superiority exhibited by the T<sub>8</sub> to T<sub>14</sub> treatments during both the years. Similar observations were also reported by Arora et al. (1) and Bajpai et al. (2).

### Number of seed pods per plant

The maximum number of seed pods per plant during I<sup>st</sup> and II<sup>nd</sup> year (5.24 and 5.75, respectively)

were recorded with T<sub>12</sub> (100 kg N + 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 20 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) (Table 1). However, the treatments T<sub>1</sub> to T<sub>15</sub> were credited with more number of seed pods per plant in comparison to control during both the years. The treatments from T<sub>8</sub> to T<sub>14</sub> produced more seed pods than those by T<sub>1</sub> to T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>15</sub>. The excellence of these treatments over control was from 38.43% to 44.08% confirming to the reports of Shanke et al. (4) and Singh and Balyan (6).

### Number of seeds per pod and seed yield/ha

A perusal of Table 2 showed that the pods of 2<sup>nd</sup> year crop contained more seeds than of first year. The pods of T<sub>1</sub> to T<sub>15</sub> contained more seeds than of control during both years.

The seed yield varied significantly in the light of role of nutrient treatments applied through NPK (inorganics), FYM, Azotobacter and ZnSO<sub>4</sub>. The lowest seed yield was observed in control (8.37 and 7.35q ha<sup>-1</sup> during I<sup>st</sup> and II<sup>nd</sup> year, respectively) which increased significantly with all other treatments during both the years. It was commonly observed that the seed yield with all the treatments was apparently more in the first year than in the second year. T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> produced 14.65, 14.54 and 14.57 q seeds per hectare in the first year as against 13.61, 13.49 and 13.51 q in the second year. The variations among these values

**Table 1: Effect of nutritional treatments on plant height and number of seed-pods/plant.**

Treatment (ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Notation	Plant height (cm)				No. of seed pods/plant			
		2003	2004	Av.	% increase over control	2003	2004	Av.	% increase over control
150 N + 60 P (kg)	T <sub>1</sub>	46.55	45.00	<b>45.78</b>	14.14	6.47	7.03	<b>6.75</b>	22.95
100 N + 60 P (kg)	T <sub>2</sub>	45.44	44.22	<b>44.83</b>	11.76	6.25	6.79	<b>6.52</b>	18.76
150 N + 40 P (kg)	T <sub>3</sub>	46.56	45.11	<b>45.84</b>	14.28	6.46	6.95	<b>6.71</b>	22.22
100 N + 40 P (kg)	T <sub>4</sub>	45.39	44.11	<b>44.75</b>	11.57	6.20	6.72	<b>6.46</b>	17.67
100N+60P(kg)+10tFYM	T <sub>5</sub>	47.78	45.89	<b>46.84</b>	16.78	6.69	7.27	<b>6.98</b>	27.14
150N+40P(kg)+10tFYM	T <sub>6</sub>	48.33	46.34	<b>47.34</b>	18.02	6.80	7.39	<b>7.10</b>	29.33
100N+40P(kg)+10tFYM	T <sub>7</sub>	47.67	45.78	<b>46.73</b>	16.50	6.67	7.24	<b>6.96</b>	26.77
100N+60P+2Azoto.(kg)	T <sub>8</sub>	52.17	49.33	<b>50.75</b>	26.53	7.58	8.24	<b>7.91</b>	44.08
150N+40P+2Azoto.(kg)	T <sub>9</sub>	52.11	49.33	<b>50.72</b>	26.45	7.46	8.16	<b>7.81</b>	42.26
100N+40P+2Azoto.(kg)	T <sub>10</sub>	52.17	49.34	<b>50.76</b>	26.55	7.38	8.10	<b>7.74</b>	40.98
150N+60P+20ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (kg)	T <sub>11</sub>	52.05	49.22	<b>50.64</b>	26.25	7.29	8.07	<b>7.68</b>	39.89
100N+60P+20ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (kg)	T <sub>12</sub>	52.17	49.33	<b>50.75</b>	26.53	7.50	8.17	<b>7.84</b>	42.81
150N+40P+20ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (kg)	T <sub>13</sub>	52.00	49.11	<b>50.56</b>	26.05	7.28	8.03	<b>7.66</b>	39.53
100N+40P+20ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (kg)	T <sub>14</sub>	51.94	49.22	<b>50.58</b>	26.10	7.21	7.98	<b>7.60</b>	38.43
20 t FYM	T <sub>15</sub>	44.33	43.33	<b>43.83</b>	9.27	6.03	6.54	<b>6.29</b>	14.57
Control	T <sub>16</sub>	40.62	39.61	<b>40.11</b>	-	5.24	5.75	<b>5.49</b>	-
CD (P=0.05)		3.718	3.718			<b>0.789</b>	0.789		

Number of seeds per pod and seed yield per ha

**Table 2: Effect of nutritional treatments on number of seeds/pod and seed yield/ha .**

Treatment (ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Notation	No. of seeds/pod				Seed yield/ha (q)			
		2003	2004	Av.	% increase over control	2003	2004	Av.	% increase over control
150 N + 60 P (kg)	T <sub>1</sub>	37.63	38.10	<b>37.87</b>	21.49	11.54	10.50	<b>11.02</b>	40.20
0100 N + 60 P (kg)	T <sub>2</sub>	36.13	36.77	<b>36.45</b>	16.94	10.92	9.87	<b>10.40</b>	32.32
150 N + 40 P (kg)	T <sub>3</sub>	37.60	38.07	<b>37.84</b>	21.39	11.52	10.41	<b>10.97</b>	39.57
100 N + 40 P (kg)	T <sub>4</sub>	36.03	36.67	<b>36.35</b>	16.62	10.86	9.83	<b>10.35</b>	31.68
100N+60P(kg)+10tFYM	T <sub>5</sub>	39.10	39.43	<b>39.27</b>	25.98	12.16	11.12	<b>11.64</b>	48.09
150N+40P(kg)+10tFYM	T <sub>6</sub>	39.87	40.13	<b>40.00</b>	28.33	12.47	11.43	<b>11.95</b>	52.04
100N+40P(kg)+10tFYM	T <sub>7</sub>	39.03	39.40	<b>39.22</b>	25.83	12.14	11.10	<b>11.62</b>	47.84
100 N + 60 P + 2 Azoto. (kg)	T <sub>8</sub>	45.13	44.87	<b>45.00</b>	44.37	14.65	13.61	<b>14.13</b>	79.77
150 N + 40 P + 2 Azoto. (kg)	T <sub>9</sub>	45.13	44.70	<b>44.92</b>	44.11	14.54	13.49	<b>14.02</b>	78.37
100 N + 40 P + 2 Azoto. (kg)	T <sub>10</sub>	45.17	44.67	<b>44.92</b>	44.11	14.46	13.46	<b>13.96</b>	77.61
150 N + 60 P + 20 ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (kg)	T <sub>11</sub>	45.03	44.63	<b>44.83</b>	43.82	14.38	13.40	<b>13.89</b>	76.72
100 N + 60 P + 20 ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (kg)	T <sub>12</sub>	45.20	44.80	<b>45.00</b>	44.37	14.57	13.51	<b>14.04</b>	78.63
150 N + 40 P + 20 ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (kg)	T <sub>13</sub>	45.03	44.60	<b>44.82</b>	43.79	14.36	13.35	<b>13.86</b>	76.34
100 N + 40 P + 20 ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (kg)	T <sub>14</sub>	44.97	44.50	<b>44.74</b>	43.54	14.30	13.29	<b>13.80</b>	75.57
20 t FYM	T <sub>15</sub>	34.60	35.43	<b>35.02</b>	12.35	10.30	9.25	<b>9.80</b>	24.68
Control	T <sub>16</sub>	30.23	32.11	<b>31.17</b>	-	8.37	7.35	<b>7.86</b>	-
CD (P=0.05)		4.372	3.318			1.922	1.901		

were statistically not significant during both the years. The scrutiny of yield data pertaining to these treatments showed relative superiority of T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> over T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>15</sub>. The later treatments, viz. T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>15</sub>, were at par in seed yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) during both the years. In nutshell, T<sub>8</sub> to T<sub>14</sub> treatments produced more than 75% but less than 80% seed in this investigation, and thus inference is being drawn on the basis of average of both years (Table 2). Similar trends for number and yield of okra seeds due to nutritional treatments were also reported by Shanke *et al.* (4) and Sharma and Shukla (5).

### Economics of crop production

Table 3 revealed that T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>10</sub> treatments were registered with distinguished cost : benefit ratios.

On the other hand with T<sub>16</sub> (control), this ratio was the lowest during both years. T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, and T<sub>10</sub> may also be treated practically at par due to marginal difference among themselves. The figures of net profit with these treatments were also very encouraging which also justify excellence of T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>10</sub> over control in particular and other treatments in general. The amount of net profit with these treatments is delineated here below in Table 3.

With the control (T<sub>16</sub>), the net profit registered was only ₹ 9,710.00 in the first year and ₹ 8,345.00 ha<sup>-1</sup> in the second year. With other treatments, however, the net profit per hectare was to the tune of ₹ 14,045.00 (T<sub>15</sub>) to ₹ 28,748.71 (T<sub>8</sub>) in the first year as against from ₹ 13,145.00 (T<sub>15</sub>) to ₹ 29,191.71 (T<sub>8</sub>) per hectare in the second year.

**Table 3 : Cost : benefit ratios and net profit for excellent treatments.**

Treatments	Net Profit		C: B ratios	
	I year	II year	I Year	II Year
T <sub>8</sub>	₹ 28,748.71	₹ 29,191.71	1:1.27	1:1.29
T <sub>9</sub>	₹ 28,368.08	₹ 28,740.08	1:1.25	1:1.27
T <sub>10</sub>	₹ 28,583.71	₹ 29,121.71	1:1.29	1:1.32

**REFERENCES**

1. Arora, S.K., Sharma N., Sharma, B.R. and Kumar, N. (1991). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on growth and yield components in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *Haryana J. Hortic. Sci.*, **20** (3-4): 261-266.
2. Bajpai, S., Chauhan, S.V.S. and Bajpai, S. (2001). Effect of zinc, boron and manganese on yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **71** (5): 332-333.
3. Fisher, R.A. (1958). *Statistical Method of Research Workers*. Oliver and Boyd. Edinburgh. pp 1925-50.
4. Shanke, B.R., Jadao, B.J., Ghawade, S.M. and Mahorkar, V.K. (2003). Effect of different levels of N and P on growth and seed yield of okra (var. Parbhani Kranti) under Akola condition. *Orissa J. Hort.*, **31** (1): 123-124.
5. Sharma, C.B. and Shukla, V. (1973). Nature of response in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium application and their economic optima. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **43** (10): 930-933.
6. Singh, D. and Balyan, D.S. (1993) Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of okra cv. Varsha Uphar. *Department of Vegetable Crops, CCS Haryana Agriculture University, Hissar*.
7. Snedcor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1967). *Statistical Methods* 6<sup>th</sup> Ed Oxford and IBH Pub. Co. Calcutta.



**Citation :** Lodhi S.K., Katiyar H., Kumar A., Kumar S. and Rathore S.V.S. (2016). Study on the effect of nutrient management on seed crop of okra var. Parbhani Kranti. *HortFlora Res. Spectrum*, **5(2)** : 141-144.