The pressure and velocity fields are treated with the SIMPLE pressure correction algorithm, where a single-domain model is used. Stringent numerical tests were carried out to ensure that the solution was independent of grid size. 200 grids along the channel and 50, 40 and 10 grids along the thickness of each channel width, GDL and catalyst layer were used respectively. The coupled set of equations was solved iteratively and the solution was checked to be convergent when the relative error in each field between the two consecutive iterations was less than 10^{-6}.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For validation purpose, the axial velocity distribution from this model at midway of the gap region (X/L = 0.5) is compared with analytical results, as shown in Fig. 2. For the small values of the porosity, the Darcy law gives a uniform flow solution in porous layer. So, the obtained results from this model show a very good agreement with the analytical results and deviation is less than 5%. Also, the maximum pressure drop across the channel length obtained from the case without blocking plates is compared with analytical data for laminar flow in square channel that is as follows:

$$\Delta P = f\frac{l}{d}\frac{V^2}{2} \tag{4}$$

where f, L, d and vare friction coefficient, channel length, hydraulic diameter and average velocity in channel where the Reynolds number is about 29. The deviation between maximum pressure drops obtained from Eq. (4) (about 14.1Pa) and the present numerical study (about 12Pa) is less than 14.5%.The deviation could be as a result of 2D modeling, where inlet velocity instead of average velocity in Eq. (4) and simplified assumption on analytic correlation are used.

In a PEM fuel cell with the cathode channel being partially blocked by installing baffle plate, the presence of the baffle plates has a significant impact on the flow field in the channel and the gas reactant transport through the GDL to the catalyst layer. Flow velocity along the channel in the cathode GDL/catalyst layer interface and oxygen concentration in this interface is shown in Figs. 3 and 4. An abrupt change of velocity occurs at the gap region and the gas flow is forced into the GDL by the installation of a rectangular plate in the flow channel. This causesa larger amount of oxygen to move into the GDL around the region abovethe platetherefore, the reaction at the catalyst layer can be enhanced. The oxygen concentration



Fig. 2: Comparison of numerical and analytical results of axial velocity distributions in the gap region GDL layer



Fig. 3: Comparison flow velocity in the cathode GDL/catalyst layer interface along the channel between cases with and without rectangular baffle in the channel

is decreased along the channel due to the consumption at cathode catalyst layer, but at downstream channel length with baffle plate, the oxygen concentration is more than oxygen concentration in the channel without plate. However, the baffle plate causes a larger pressure dropand needs a higher pumping power for delivery of the oxygen. The maximum pressure drop is about 4 times the case without a plate.

An appropriate design of the flow channel has been reached by installed baffle plate(s) with various shapes, sizes, numbers and locations of plates in the channel.



Fig. 4: Comparison, oxygen concentration in the cathode GDL/catalyst layer interface along the channel between cases with and without rectangular baffle in the channel

It can be expected that the change in the parameters mentioned may have a considerable influence on the mass transport. With the consideration of both maximum of oxygen concentration in the gas diffusion layer (or maximum velocity) and minimum pressure drop, we define a dimensionless number called CHB, an abbreviated channel blocking, to arrive at a better quantified evaluation of the effects of installation of baffle plate(s).

$$CHB = \frac{\Delta P}{\rho \overline{V}^2} \tag{5}$$

ΔP is pressure drop along channel, ρ is gas (oxygen) density and $\overline{V}$ is average velocity of reactant gases in the cathode GDL/catalyst layer interface, which is defined as follows:

$$\overline{V} = \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L V dx \tag{6}$$

where L is channel length and V is velocity distribution along the channel in the cathode GDL/catalyst layer interface.

It should be noted that channel should be designed to have smaller CHB value. In this work, we investigatedthe effect of shape of baffle plate thatconsisted of rectangular, triangle and semicircle baffle on the oxygen transfer in the GDL and pressure drop in the cathode side. For each shape, the effect of size and number of plates in the channel was investigated. Therefore, modeling was accomplished for 27 different cases. Table 3 shows the results of numerical solution of fuel cell cathode for 27 blocking cases. As can be seen in this table, with the increase in plate(s) height and also the number of blocking plates, when the shape is specific, average velocity in the cathode GDL layer/catalyst layer interface is increased; however in these cases, the pressure loss is increased, requiring a higher pumping power for the delivery of the oxygen too. As the velocity is increased, more oxygen enters the GDL layer and the oxygen concentration is increased obviously in this layer and catalyst layer. The reaction at the catalyst surface is enhanced with more oxygen supplied. By comparison of cases 1, 10 and 19, which investigate the effects of shape, it is observed that the least CHB is for rectangle, semicircle and triangle baffle, respectively. However, in case 9, the three large rectangular plates, the pressure drop is the most. Also, in all cases, the effect of the increase in plate height is more remarkable than the increase in the number of plates on the CHB. The rank of each of 27 cases of optimum performance (smaller CHB value) is shown in the seventh column. The results indicate that the blocked channel with three large rectangular baffles, case 9, gives the least CHB and therefore, the mostoptimal blocking plate. So, this willresult in an optimumcondition.

Table 3: The results of fuel cell cathode velocity and pressure drop for 27 blocking cases



Shape, height and number of baffles	No. blocking case	baffle height (mm)	Pressure drop (Pa)	$\overline{V} \times 10^5$ (m/s)	CHB× 10^9	Rank
	1	0.15	13.9	7.0254	2.816	22
	2	0.15	15.3	7.8002	2.515	20
	3	0.15	17.3	8.9208	2.174	16
	4	0.25	18.8	9.8533	1.936	14
	5	0.25	26.5	14.3010	1.296	9
	6	0.25	43.3	18.4668	0.966	7
	7	0.35	42	23.6994	0.748	5
	8	0.35	86.5	50.1282	0.344	2
	9	0.35	125	72.4184	0.238	1
	10	0.15	13.6	6.7830	2.956	24
	11	0.15	14.1	6.3072	3.544	27
	12	0.15	14.9	6.8340	3.190	26
	13	0.25	15	6.8781	3.171	25
	14	0.25	15.5	7.9180	2.472	19
	15	0.25	18.1	9.4356	2.033	15
	16	0.35	19.8	10.2975	1.867	13
	17	0.35	32.1	16.8530	1.130	8
	18	0.35	37.5	20.7513	0.871	6
	19	0.15	13.6	6.7891	2.951	23
	20	0.15	14.7	7.4361	2.658	21
	21	0.15	16.1	8.2196	2.383	18
	22	0.25	16.5	8.4676	2.301	17
	23	0.25	21	11.0498	1.720	12
	24	0.25	25.9	13.8864	1.342	10
	25	0.35	22.8	12.3	1.507	11
	26	0.35	46.4	25.2988	0.725	4
	27	0.35	63.5	35.3157	0.509	3



Fig. 5: Oxygen concentration contour for three blocking cases with rectangular, triangle and semicircle baffles



Fig. 6: Velocity distribution in the GDL/catalyst layer interface, three rectangular baffles, three semicircle baffles, three triangle baffles



Fig. 7: Oxygen concentration distribution in the GDL/catalyst layer interface, three rectangular baffles, three semicircle baffles, three triangle baffles

Even with reducing the number of large rectangular plates, CHB obtained from two large rectangular baffles plate, case 8, is smaller than the other cases. Installing two large rectangular baffles is allocated to rank 2. With installing three large semicircle baffles, case 27, CHB is slightly higher than case 9, but the pressure drop is much lower. The apparent pressure drops increase the pumping power requirement for operating a fuel cell system. So, when there is restriction in the pumping power, installing the semicircle baffle is better than the rectangular baffle. By installing the triangle baffle, the CHB number will increase significantly. So installing triangle baffle does not seem to be appropriate.

Fig. 5 illustratesoxygen concentration in cathode for threebaffle shapes consisting of rectangle, triangle and semicircle plate. The maximum oxygen concentration in GDL layer occurs beneath the rectangular plate. The effects of baffleshape type on velocity distribution, oxygen concentration in GDL/catalyst layer interface and pressure drop along the channel are shown in Figs. 6, 7 and 8, respectively. In these figures, three rectangular baffles, three semicircle baffles and three triangle baffles areinstalled in flow channel. The maximum velocity in the gap region between GDL and baffle occurs by installing rectangular baffle(s) and minimum velocity is with triangle baffle. Oxygen concentration in catalyst layer along this gap is increased with increasing the velocity. However, corresponding to Fig. 8, pressure drops is the result of increasing velocity beneath plates and GDL.



Fig. 8: Pressure variation along the channel, three rectangular baffles, three semicircle baffles, three triangle baffles

CONCLUSIONS

A two dimensional model has been developed for a PEMFCcathode with partially blocked channel to investigate the effect of plate shape, size and the number of baffles on transport phenomena in gas diffusion layer. The following conclusions are drawn:

- By installing the baffle plate, flow velocity in the gap between the baffle and the GDL isincreased; causing more oxygen to bediffused into GDL and increasingreaction rate in catalyst layer, however, pressure drop along the channel is increased too. Therefore, it should be chosen as optimum case. ii) To compare different blocking cases, we introduced a dimensionless number as theratio between pressure and squaredvelocity and called CHB.iii) According to CHB definition, the best blocking case between 27 investigated cases is installing three rectangular baffles with the height of 0.35 mm. iv) By installing three semicircle baffles with the height 0.35 mm, CHB number was found to be slightlyhigher thanits valueinthe bestcondition,but the pressure drop was much lower. So when there is restriction in securing pressure in fuel cell, installing the semicircle baffle is better than the rectangular one. v) By the increase in the number of baffles and baffle height, CHB is decreased. CHB is more sensitivethan theheight.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for the financial support of the Renewable Energy Organization of Iran [SUNA].

REFERENCES

1. Birgersson, E. and M. Vynnycky, 2006. A quantitative study of the effect of flow-distributor geometry in the cathode of a PEM fuel cell. Journal of Power Sources, 153(1): 76-88.
2. Squadrito, G., O. Barbera, I. Gatto, G. Giacoppo, F. Urbani and E. Passalacqua, 2005. CFD analysis of the flow-field scale-up influence on the electrodes performance in a PEFC. Journal of Power Sources, 152: 67-74.
3. Maharudrayya, S., S. Jayanti and A. Deshpande, 2005. Flow distribution and pressure drop in parallel-channel configurations of planar fuel cells. Journal of Power Sources, 144(1): 94-106.
4. Liu, X., W. Tao, Z. Li and Y. He, 2006. Three-dimensional transport model of PEM fuel cell with straight flow channels. Journal of Power Sources, 158(1): 25-35.
5. Kuoa, J.K., T.H. Yen and C.K. Chen, 2008. Three-dimensional numerical analysis of PEMFCs with straight and wave-like gas flow fields channels. Journal of Power Sources, 177: 96-103.
6. Nguyen, P.T., T. Berning and N. Djilali, 2004. Computational model of a PEM fuel cell with serpentine gas flow channels. Journal of Power Sources, 130(1): 149-157.
7. Wang, L. and H. Liu, 2004. Performance studies of PEM fuel cells with interdigitated flow fields. Journal of Power Sources, 134(2): 185-196.
8. Hu, G., J. Fan, S. Chen, Y. Liu and K. Cen, 2004. Three-dimensional numerical analysis of proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) with conventional and interdigitated flow fields. Journal of Power Sources, 136(1): 1-9.
9. Yan, W.M., H.Y. Li and W.C. Tsai, 2006. Three-dimensional analysis of PEMFCs with different flow channel designs. Journal of The Electrochemical Society, 153(10): A1984-A1991.
10. Yan, W.M., H.C. Liu, C.Y. Soong, F. Chen and C. Cheng, 2006. Numerical study on cell performance and local transport phenomena of PEM fuel cells with novel flow field designs. Journal of Power Sources, 161(2): 907-919.

11. Liu, H.C., W.M. Yan, C.Y. Soong and F. Chen, 2005. Effects of baffle-blocked flow channel on reactant transport and cell performance of a proton exchange membrane fuel cell. Journal of Power Sources, 142(1): 125-133.
12. Perng, S.W., H.W. Wu, T.C. Jue and K.C. Cheng, 2009. Numerical predictions of a PEM fuel cell performance enhancement by a rectangular cylinder installed transversely in the flow channel. Applied Energy, 86(9): 1541-1554.
13. Soong, C.Y., W.M. Yan, C. Tseng, H.C. Liu, F. Chen and H.S. Chu, 2005. Analysis of reactant gas transport in a PEM fuel cell with partially blocked fuel flow channels. Journal of Power Sources, 143(1): 36-47.
14. Afshari, E. and S. Jazayeri, 2009. Analyses of heat and water transport interactions in a proton exchange membrane fuel cell. Journal of Power Sources, 194(1): 423-432.
15. Hwang, J., 2007. A complete two-phase model of a porous cathode of a PEM fuel cell. Journal of Power Sources, 164(1): 174-181.
16. Wang, C. and P. Cheng, 1997. Multiphase flow and heat transfer in porous media. Advances in Heat Transfer, 30: 93-196.

Persian Abstract

DOI: 10.5829/idosi.ijee.2013.04.04.16

چکیده

در این تحقیق کاتد کانال گازی با غشای تبادل پروتون پیل سوختی که توسط بافل بلوکه شده است مورد بررسی قرار گرفت. اثر شکل، اندازه و تعداد بافلها در انتقال اکسیژن در لایه نفوذ گاز، مدل عددی برای ۲۷ مورد بررسی گردید. با لحاظ نمودن حداکثر غلظت اکسیژن در لایه نفوذ گاز و افت فشار معقول نتایج نشان داده است که در کلیه موارد تاثیر افزایش ارتفاع بافل بیشتر از اثر تعداد بافلها بوده است. گرچه نصب سه بافل مستطیلی بنظر مناسب می‌رسد ولی هنگامیکه محدویت در تامین فشار در پیل سوختی وجود دارد نصب بافل نیم دایره ای بهتر از بافل مستطیلی است.