



## GENETIC VARIABILITY AND ASSOCIATION STUDIES IN SINGLE AND DOUBLE CROSS $F_2$ POPULATION OF OKRA

Abhishek Katagi\*, Shantappa Tirakannavar and R. C. Jagadeesha\*

Department of Crop Improvement and Biotechnology, K R C College of Horticulture, Arabhavi 591218, TQ.Gokak, Karnataka, India

\*E mail: abhishekkat121@gmail.com, rcjagadeesha@yahoo.com,

**ABSTRACT** : Two populations of the okra viz., single cross  $F_2$ , and double cross  $F_2$  were developed using BH-1, BH-2, BH-3, BH-4, BH-5 and BH-6 lines. The objective was to determine the genetic variability, nature of association among different yield attributes and their direct and indirect contribution towards yield. It was observed that mean squares due to genotypes were significant for all the traits, indicating the presence of genetic variability in the experimental material. The values of PCV were higher than that of GCV values for all the twelve characters indicating influence of environmental effects in the expression of these characters and it was found more in DC  $F_2$  as compared to SC  $F_2$  population. The GCV, heritability and genetic advance were higher for plant height, fruit yield per plant, fruit weight and days to 50 per cent flowering which might be attributed to additive gene action of inheritance in DC  $F_2$  population. From the correlation and path coefficient analyses, it revealed that the top priority should be given to selection based on numbers of fruit per plant, fruit length, fruit diameter and fruit weight for yield improvement and could be considered while formulating selection indices in the improvement of okra. Path coefficient analysis revealed that fruit weight had maximum direct contribution (0.869) towards fruit yield followed by number of fruits per plant (0.323) and fruit length (0.079). This revealed that DC  $F_2$  population showed more variability compare to SC  $F_2$  because it involves diverse parents in its development compare to SC  $F_2$  population.

**Keywords** : Single cross  $F_2$ , double cross  $F_2$ , genetic variability, correlation, path analysis.

Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] is one of the important vegetable crop grown for its tender green fruits throughout India, Turkey and other neighbouring countries. It has high nutritive value and export potential. To improve yield and other characters, information on genetic variability and inter-relationship among different traits is necessary. The improvement in any crop is proportional to the magnitude of its genetic variability present in the germplasm (Adiger *et al.*, 1). The genotypic coefficient of variation indicates the range of genetic variability present in different characters. The partitioning of total variance so as to assess the true breeding nature of a particular trait under selection is important as the phenotypic expression of the character by the result of interaction between the genotype and environment. A relative comparison of heritability and expected genetic advance gives an idea about the nature of gene governing a particular character. Yield, which is a multiplicative product function of various yield attributing plant characters, but direct selection for yield is often misleading and hence knowledge of inter-relationship between pairs of these characters and yield is essential to bring a rational

improvement in the desirable traits. The correlation coefficients alone are inadequate to understand the cause and effect relationships. However, path analysis furnishes a method of partitioning the correlation coefficient between various characters into direct and indirect effects; provide the actual contribution of an attribute and its influence through other traits.

Correlation coefficient analysis measures the mutual relationship between two plant characters and determines component characters in which selection can be based for genetic improvement in yield. Whether the association of these characters due to their direct effect on yield or is a consequence of their indirect effects *via* other component characters may be answered through path coefficient analysis. Such information reveals the possibility of simultaneous improvement of various attributes and also helps in increasing the efficiency of selection of complex inherited traits. Keeping this in view, the present investigation was aimed at assessing the genetic variability, association of various characters and direct and indirect path effects of nine independent components on fruit yield in six SC  $F_2$  populations

and sixteen derived DC F<sub>2</sub> from half diallel fashion without reciprocal crosses.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material comprised of F<sub>2</sub> populations of single cross (6 SC F<sub>2</sub>) and double cross (15 DC F<sub>2</sub>) population in commercial Okra hybrids BH-1, BH-2, BH-3, BH-4, BH-5 and BH-6 on the basis of *per se* performance, adaptation and geographical diversity. Six single cross F<sub>2</sub> population and sixteen double cross F<sub>2</sub> population were evaluated in Randomized Block Design with two replications during *khari* 2012 at K. R. C. College of Horticulture, Arabhavi. Each entry was sown in one row of 6 m length with inter and intra row spacing of 60 cm and 45 cm, respectively, and all the recommended cultural practices were followed. Data on twelve quantitative characters viz., plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, plant spread North-South and East- West direction, days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering, fruit length, fruit diameter, Average number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight and total fruit yield per plant were recorded. Mean values were subjected to analysis of variance,

genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient and path coefficient was computed by using the formula of Dewey and Lu (4).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean values were worked for quantitative characters in single and double cross F<sub>2</sub> populations. The double cross F<sub>2</sub> populations exhibited higher mean fruit yield than single cross F<sub>2</sub> population. Similarly an increase in the mean values in double cross F<sub>2</sub> population was also noticed for plant height (61.39 cm), number of primary branches (6.98), days to 50 per cent flowering (47.31), fruit diameter (15.44 mm), average number of fruits (17.84), average fruit weight (17.14 g) and total fruit yield per plant (301.36 g). The double cross F<sub>2</sub> recorded lower mean values for number of secondary branches (1.61), plant spread in North-South (60.45 cm) and East-West direction (60.39 cm), days to first flowering (39.50) and fruit length (15.01 cm). Similar results had also been reported by Prakash *et al.* (13) and Nwanghuruka *et al.* (11). Thus, based on mean values it is possible to identify and select the superior segregants for utilization in breeding programme to develop good inbred lines (Table 1).

**Table 1: Estimates of genetic variability for quantitative characters in single and double cross F<sub>2</sub> populations of okra.**

Characters	Genoty pes	Mean	Range	PV	GV	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	h <sup>2</sup> (%)	GA (%)
Plant height (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	59.99	42.00 – 80.00	17.23	13.93	6.52	5.84	79.45	57.70
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	61.39	41.00 – 78.00	20.54	17.24	7.11	6.48	82.65	65.65
Number of primary branches	SC F <sub>2</sub>	5.33	3.73 – 6.87	1.88	0.72	20.88	12.57	36.40	15.61
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	6.98	4.33 – 8.33	2.68	1.52	24.58	17.60	49.83	19.73
Number of secondary branches	SC F <sub>2</sub>	2.06	0.67 – 2.50	0.35	0.33	19.55	18.75	90.56	20.44
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	1.61	0.47 – 2.65	0.43	0.41	24.00	23.21	96.02	25.43
Plant spread North-South (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	60.60	40.00 – 79.00	20.59	14.92	7.45	6.30	70.90	44.36
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	60.45	48.00 – 77.00	18.55	12.87	7.06	5.82	67.34	40.08
Plant spread East -West (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	61.13	49.00 – 71.00	18.01	12.02	6.99	5.63	63.96	37.74
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	60.39	43.00 – 77.00	17.34	11.35	6.88	5.51	63.42	36.60
Days to first flowering	SC F <sub>2</sub>	41.80	32.50 – 48.23	2.10	0.87	3.56	2.20	38.14	30.48
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	39.50	35.95 – 42.5	2.39	1.17	3.77	2.49	42.44	28.81
Days to 50% flowering	SC F <sub>2</sub>	46.68	48.89 – 49.33	1.79	0.29	2.80	1.11	16.08	44.74
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	47.31	41.66 – 49.66	1.86	0.37	2.86	1.19	18.95	51.27
Fruit length (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	16.14	13.71 – 18.70	4.43	2.34	13.39	9.59	50.81	22.36
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	15.01	13.22 – 16.78	4.46	2.37	13.35	9.34	48.13	21.85
Fruit diameter (mm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	14.39	12.95 – 15.87	1.34	0.81	7.88	6.09	59.25	28.45
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	15.44	13.74 – 17.16	1.32	0.79	7.79	5.94	57.14	27.51
Av. no. of fruits / plant	SC F <sub>2</sub>	17.56	13.02 – 21.70	5.58	2.98	13.59	9.83	52.01	5.20
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	17.84	10.60 – 24.39	6.06	3.46	14.16	10.60	55.59	5.56
Average fruit weight (g)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	14.77	12.84 – 16.71	1.93	1.43	8.67	7.02	60.37	22.95
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	17.14	14.29 – 20.01	3.16	2.67	11.03	9.73	71.35	34.07
Total fruit yield/ plant (g)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	263.80	153.62 – 376.71	84.45	31.09	3.34	2.01	36.03	34.43
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	301.36	210.98 – 403.16	105.45	52.09	3.71	2.54	46.34	50.19

SC= Single cross hybrids, DC= Double cross hybrids, PV = Phenotypic variance, GV= Genotypic variance, PCV= Phenotypic coefficient of variation, GCV= Genotypic coefficient of variation, h<sup>2</sup> = Heritability, GA= Genetic advance.

In the  $F_2$  populations of single and double crosses, the higher phenotypic coefficient of variability was recorded for number of primary branches and secondary branches per plant whereas, higher genotypic coefficient of variability was recorded for number of secondary branches in double cross  $F_2$  population. Similar results were reported by Osekita and Akinyele (12) in 45  $F_2$  population of double cross okra. The presence of high phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variability indicates presence of more variation in the population to select superior segregants in the advanced generation.

However, low genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variability for days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, plant spread for North-South and East-West direction, fruit diameter, average fruit weight and total fruit yield per plant were noticed for both single and double cross  $F_2$  populations. Similar results were obtained for plant height by Monpara and Chhatrola (9) in 55 genotypes, for fruit length by Prakash *et al.* (13) in 50 genotypes

In this study, for all the characters genotypic coefficient of variability is lower than phenotypic coefficient of variability. The difference between genotypic coefficient of variability and phenotypic coefficient of variability was very less both in single and double cross  $F_2$  populations; it indicates lesser environmental influence in the expression of a particular character. The high heritability coupled with high genotypic variance and high genetic advance (GA) were observed for the characters viz., plant height, number of secondary branches in double cross  $F_2$  population whereas, for plant spread for both North-South and East-West, and average fruit weight in single cross  $F_2$  population. This indicates there is a lesser environmental influence on the expression of these characters and governed by additive gene action and hence goes for simple selection among the population. Similar results were reported for fruit yield per plant, number of fruits per plant, fruit diameter and plant height by Ezhilarasi and Senthikumar (5) and Nwangburaka *et al.* (11) for number of branches and fruit length.

The moderate heritability coupled with moderate genotypic variance and moderate GA for the characters, number of primary branches and average number of fruits per plant in single and double cross  $F_2$  populations and total fruit yield in

single and double cross  $F_2$  population was observed. These traits appear to be predominantly controlled by both additive and non-additive genetic components. These findings were in agreement with the results obtained Osekita *et al.*, 12; and Prakash *et al.* (13).

The low heritability coupled with low genotypic variance and high GA for the characters, average number of fruit, fruit diameter in single and double cross  $F_2$  populations and fruit length in double cross  $F_2$  population was observed. These traits appear to be predominantly controlled by non-additive genetic components, therefore it is advisable to go for development of hybrids or heterosis breeding. These findings are in agreement with the results obtained by Osekita *et al.* (12).

The selections can be more effective in  $F_2$  population of double cross  $F_2$  compared to single cross as they exhibited the higher magnitude of variability, heritability and genetic advance as per cent over mean than single cross for most of the yield contributing traits. Similar observations were also made by Prakash *et al.* (13) in okra.

Genotypic correlation was studied for fruit yield per plant and its component traits in all single and double cross  $F_2$  Population. Genotypic correlations of fruit length (0.622 and 0.619), fruit diameter (0.583 and 0.286), average fruit weight (0.653 and 0.929) and number of fruits per plant (0.231 and 0.486) exhibited significant positive association with fruit yield per plant in single cross and double cross  $F_2$  (Table 2). Population respectively. The results obtained in this study are in confirmation with Manna and Paul (7) and Nasit *et al.* (10).

The characters, fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit weight and number of fruits per plant, not only exhibited significant association with fruit yield per plant, but also showed significant positive association among themselves. This suggested that these characters should be considered while selecting plants for fruit yield improvement in these single and double cross population (Akinyete and Osekita, 2).

The inter correlation among the important characters of fruit yield revealed that number of fruits per plant was non significantly associated with plant height and number of branches per plant (Mahapatra *et al.*, 8). Plant height had positive correlation with plant spread in both North-South and East-West direction in most of the population.

It is obvious that, taller the plant more will be the canopy coverage; number of fruits, thus resulting in higher fruit yield was reported by Akinyele and Osekita (2).

Average fruit weight (0.653 and 0.929) and fruit length (0.622 and 0.619) were positively correlated with fruit yield per plant in all single and double cross F<sub>2</sub> Population, respectively while, fruit diameter (0.583) in single cross F<sub>2</sub> population, average number of fruits per plant (0.486) in double cross F<sub>2</sub> population had positive correlation with fruit yield per plant. The inter correlation of fruit weight had positive correlation with fruit diameter in single cross F<sub>2</sub> and negatively correlated with plant spread. Thus, suggesting that as fruit diameter

increases fruit weight also increases. But, as fruit diameter increases fruit length and number of seeds per fruit will reduce. These findings are in agreement with Adiger *et al.* (1) and Nasit *et al.* (10).

In the path coefficient analysis (Table 3 and 4), there was correspondence between direct effect and phenotypic correlation for most of the component characters with fruit yield per plant in the single and double cross F<sub>2</sub> Population. Further, it revealed high direct effect of fruit length, fruit diameter and fruit weight on fruit yield per plant. Similar findings were reported by Guddadamath *et al.* (6). Hence, desirable improvement may be brought about by selecting genotypes with more number of fruits per plant and higher fruit weight.

**Table 2 : Genotypic correlation for growth and yield contributing traits in okra.**

Characters	Genoty pes	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches	Plant spread North-South (cm)	Plant spread East-West (cm)	Days to 50% flowering	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (mm)	Average number of fruits per plant	Average fruit weight (g)	Total fruit yield per plant (g)
Plant height (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	1.000	0.315	0.597**	0.588**	-0.557*	-0.524*	-0.046	-0.239	0.211	-0.142
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	1.000	0.195	0.607**	0.786**	0.354	0.041	0.327	0.002	0.291	0.312
Number of primary branches	SC F <sub>2</sub>		1.000	0.769**	0.277	-0.475*	-0.566**	-0.867**	-0.645**	-0.793**	-0.736**
	DC F <sub>2</sub>		1.000	0.362	0.344	0.186	0.246	0.033	0.033	0.262	0.290
Plant spread North-South (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>			1.000	0.232	-0.305	-0.387	-0.962**	-0.708**	-0.576**	-0.406
	DC F <sub>2</sub>			1.000	0.858**	0.419	-0.037	0.432	-0.039	-0.194	-0.098
Plant spread East- West (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>				1.000	-0.279	0.180	-0.262	-0.778**	-0.189	-0.035
	DC F <sub>2</sub>				1.000	0.361	-0.106	0.272	-0.160	-0.038	-0.021
Days to 50% flowering	SC F <sub>2</sub>					1.000	0.265	0.239	0.359	0.129	-0.142
	DC F <sub>2</sub>					1.000	-0.264	-0.251	-0.439	-0.101	-0.190
Fruit length (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>						1.000	0.364	-0.106	0.053	0.622**
	DC F <sub>2</sub>						1.000	0.245	0.679**	0.379	0.619**
Fruit diameter (mm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>							1.000	0.753**	0.764**	0.583**
	DC F <sub>2</sub>							1.000	0.358	0.143	0.286
Average number of fruits per plant	SC F <sub>2</sub>								1.000	0.646**	0.231
	DC F <sub>2</sub>								1.000	0.143	0.486*
Average fruit weight (g)	SC F <sub>2</sub>									1.000	0.653**
	DC F <sub>2</sub>									1.000	0.929**
Total fruit yield per plant (g)	SC F <sub>2</sub>										1.000
	DC F <sub>2</sub>										1.000

SC- Single cross, DC- Double cross

Note: \* Significant at 5% = 0.444 , \*\* significant at 1% = 0.565

**Table 3: Direct (diagonal) and indirect genotypic path effects of different characters towards fruit yield per plant in single and double cross F<sub>2</sub> population of okra.**

Characters	Geno types	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches	Plant spread North-South (cm)	Plant spread E-W (cm)	Days to 50% flowering	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (mm)	Average no. of fruits per plant	Average fruit weight (g)	Correlation with fruit yield per plant (g)
Plant height (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	<b>8.369</b>	-2.236	0.905	-4.578	-1.030	-0.643	-0.523	1.331	-1.738	-0.142
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	<b>0.033</b>	0.000	0.046	-0.006	0.011	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.253	0.312
Number of primary branches	SC F <sub>2</sub>	2.637	<b>-7.096</b>	7.210	-2.153	-0.878	-0.694	-9.880	3.594	6.524	-0.736**
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.001	<b>0.001</b>	0.027	-0.003	0.006	0.019	0.000	0.011	0.227	0.290
Plant spread North-South (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	0.808	-5.456	<b>9.379</b>	-1.808	-0.565	-0.475	-10.972	3.944	4.739	-0.406
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.002	0.001	<b>0.076</b>	-0.006	0.013	-0.003	0.001	-0.012	-0.169	-0.098
Plant spread East- West (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	4.922	-1.963	2.179	<b>-7.784</b>	-0.515	0.221	-2.982	4.336	1.551	-0.035
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.002	0.001	0.065	<b>-0.007</b>	0.011	-0.008	0.001	-0.052	-0.033	-0.021
Days to 50% flowering	SC F <sub>2</sub>	-4.661	3.369	-2.863	2.168	<b>1.850</b>	0.325	2.727	-2.000	-1.057	-0.142
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.001	0.000	0.032	-0.003	<b>0.031</b>	-0.021	-0.001	-0.142	-0.088	-0.190
Fruit length (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	-4.385	4.016	-3.631	-1.403	0.490	<b>1.227</b>	4.154	0.591	-0.437	0.622**
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.000	0.000	-0.003	0.001	-0.008	<b>0.079</b>	0.001	0.219	0.330	0.619**
Fruit diameter (mm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	-0.384	6.149	-9.025	2.036	0.442	0.447	<b>1.401</b>	-4.198	-6.286	0.583**
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.001	0.000	0.033	-0.002	-0.008	0.019	<b>0.002</b>	0.116	0.125	0.286
Average number of fruits per plant	SC F <sub>2</sub>	-1.999	4.576	-6.637	6.056	0.664	-0.130	8.587	<b>-5.573</b>	-5.312	0.231
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.000	0.000	-0.003	0.001	-0.014	0.054	0.001	<b>0.323</b>	0.124	0.486*
Average fruit weight (g)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	1.768	5.629	-5.404	1.468	0.238	0.065	8.714	-3.600	<b>-8.225</b>	0.653**
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.001	0.000	-0.015	0.000	-0.003	0.030	0.000	0.046	<b>0.869</b>	0.929**

SC- Single cross, DC- double cross Genotypic residual effect for Single cross F<sub>2</sub> : 0023, Double cross F<sub>2</sub>: 0.0011, \*diagonal bold figures represent direct effect.

Fruit weight recorded highest direct effect (0.869) followed by number of fruits per plant (0.323), plant height (0.033), fruit length (0.079), days to 50% flowering (0.031) in DC F<sub>2</sub> population and plant spread in East-west direction (-7.784) followed by number of primary branches (-7.096), average number of fruits (-5.573) and days to 50% flowering exhibited highest negative direct effect (-4.661) in SC F<sub>2</sub>. Adiger *et al.* (1) and Manna and Paul (7) also obtained similar results. All characters mentioned earlier, which contributed directly and positively to fruit yield per plant possess significant correlations suggesting that the association between these traits is perfect and it was due to genetic factors only. Looking to the indirect effects, high positive indirect effect was found in case of number of fruits per plant via number of branches per plant, plant height and fruit length. Similar results have also been

corroborated by Guddadamath *et al.* (6). Therefore, traits like fruit weight and number of fruits per plant had high positive direct effect on fruit yield per plant. So, selection based on fruit weight and number of fruits per plant will be effective in advanced generations. These findings are in agreement with Mahapatra *et al.* (8) and Das *et al.* (3).

Low residual effect indicated that the selection of traits for path coefficient analysis is appropriate and no characters were neglected. In the present investigation, number of fruits per plant, fruit weight, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, days to 50% flowering and plant spread in North-South and East-West direction were important components characters. Hence, they must be given due weightage when a plant breeder practices selection.



**Table 4 : Direct (diagonal) and indirect phenotypic path effects of different characters towards fruit yield per plant in single and double cross F<sub>2</sub> population of okra.**

Characters	Genot ypes	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches	Plant spread N-S (cm)	Plant spread E-W (cm)	Days to 50% flowering	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (mm)	Average number of fruits per plant	Average fruit weight (g)	Correlati on with Fruit yield per plant (g)
Plant height (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	<b>3.455</b>	0.382	0.414	-1.859	-0.641	-1.719	-0.216	0.183	-0.141	-0.142
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	<b>0.003</b>	0.000	0.045	-0.005	0.011	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.250	0.309
Number of primary branches	SC F <sub>2</sub>	1.089	<b>1.211</b>	3.300	-0.874	-0.547	-1.856	-4.083	0.495	0.529	-0.736**
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.001	<b>0.002</b>	0.027	-0.002	0.006	0.019	0.000	0.011	0.225	0.280
Plant spread North-South (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	0.334	0.931	<b>4.292</b>	-0.734	-0.352	-1.270	-4.535	0.543	0.384	-0.406
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.002	0.001	<b>0.074</b>	-0.006	0.013	-0.003	0.001	-0.012	-0.167	-0.097
Plant spread East-West (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	2.032	0.335	0.997	<b>-3.161</b>	-0.321	0.591	-1.232	0.597	0.126	-0.035
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.002	0.001	0.063	<b>-0.007</b>	0.012	-0.008	0.001	-0.051	-0.033	-0.021
Days to 50% flowering	SC F <sub>2</sub>	-1.924	-0.575	-1.310	0.880	<b>1.152</b>	0.869	1.128	-0.275	-0.086	-0.142
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.001	0.000	0.031	-0.002	<b>0.032</b>	-0.021	-0.001	-0.141	-0.088	-0.188
Fruit length (cm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	-1.811	-0.685	-1.661	-0.570	0.305	<b>3.281</b>	1.717	0.082	-0.035	0.622**
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.000	0.000	-0.003	0.001	-0.008	<b>0.078</b>	0.001	0.217	0.326	0.613**
Fruit diameter (mm)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	-0.158	-1.049	-4.130	0.827	0.276	1.195	<b>4.712</b>	-0.578	-0.510	0.584**
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.001	0.000	0.032	-0.002	-0.008	0.019	<b>0.003</b>	0.115	0.123	0.283
Average number of fruits per plant	SC F <sub>2</sub>	-0.825	-0.781	-3.037	2.459	0.413	-0.348	3.549	<b>-0.768</b>	-0.431	0.231
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.000	0.000	-0.003	0.001	-0.014	0.053	0.001	<b>0.320</b>	0.123	0.481*
Average fruit weight (g)	SC F <sub>2</sub>	0.730	-0.961	-2.473	0.596	0.148	0.174	3.601	-0.496	<b>-0.667</b>	0.653**
	DC F <sub>2</sub>	0.001	0.000	-0.014	0.000	-0.003	0.030	0.000	0.046	<b>0.860</b>	0.919**

SC-single cross DC-double cross, Phenotypic residual effects for Single cross F<sub>2</sub>: 0.0091, Double cross F<sub>2</sub>: 0.0186, \*Bold diagonal figures represent direct effect.

## REFERENCES

- Adiger, S., Shanthkumar, G., Gangashetty, P. I. and Salimath. P. M. (2011). Association studies in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Electronic J. Plant Breed.* **2**(4) : 568-573.
- Akinyele, B. S. and Osekita, O. S. (2006). Correlation and path analysis of seed yield attributes in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, **5**(14): 1330-1336.
- Das, S., Chattopadhyay, A., Chattopadhyay, S. B., Dutta, S. and Hazra, P. (2012). Genetic parameters and path analysis of yield and its components in okra at different sowing dates in the gangetic plains of eastern India *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, **11**(95): 16132-16141.
- Dewey, D. R. and Lu, K. H. (1959). A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.*, **51**: 515-518.
- Ezhilarasi, T. and Senthilkumar, N. (2012), Studies on genetic variability for fruit yield traits in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Plant Arch.*, **12**(2): 807-810.
- Guddadamath, S., Mohankumar, H. D. and Salimath, P. M. (2011). Genetic analysis of association studies in segregating population of okra. *Karnataka J. Agri. Sci.*, **24** (4): 432-435.
- Manna, M. and Paul, A. (2012). Path analysis between fruit yield and some yield components in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *HortFlora Res. Spectrum*, **1**(3) : 215-219
- Mahapatra M. R., Acharya, P. and Sengupta, S., (2007). Variability and association analysis in okra. *Indian Agriculturist*, **51**(1/2): 17-26.
- Monpara, B. A. and Chhatrola, M. D., (2010), Selection indices for improvement of fruit yield in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv.*, **1**:62-66.
- Nasit, M. B., Dhaduk, L. K., Vachhani, J. H. and Savaliya, J. J. (2010). Correlation and path analysis studies in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Asian J. Hort.*, **4**: 394-397.
- Nwangburuka, C. C., Denton, O. A., Kehinde, O. B., Ojo, D. K. and Popoola, A. R. (2012). Genetic variability and heritability in cultivated okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Span. J. Agric. Res.*, **10**(1): 123-129.

12. Osekita, O. S. and Akinyele, B. O. (2008). Genetic analysis of quantitative traits in ten cultivars of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Asian J. Plnt. Sci.*, 7(5): 510-513.
13. Prakash, M., Kumar, S. B., Padmavathi, S. and Sathyanarayan, G. (2012). Studies on combining ability and heterosis through full diallel analysis in bhendi [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Crop Res.*, 43(1-3): 81-84. □

**Citation :** Katagi A., Tirakannanavar S. and Jagadeesha R.C. (2014). Genetic variability and association studies in single and double cross F<sub>2</sub> population of okra. *HortFlora Res. Spectrum*, 3(3) : 232-238.