

ISSN: 2091-2986 DOI Prefix: 10.3126/ijssm

International Journal of Social Sciences and Management

# **Research Article**

# Approaches to Online Evaluation in Higher Education in the Emergency Shift of COVID – 19: An Empirical Study of West Bengal

Reshmi Chattopadhyay<sup>1\*</sup> <sup>(D)</sup> <sup>(C)</sup>, Pronay Sen<sup>2</sup> <sup>(D)</sup> <sup>(C)</sup>, Pratistha Gurung<sup>1</sup> <sup>(D)</sup> <sup>(C)</sup>, Bireswar Maity<sup>3</sup> <sup>(D)</sup> <sup>(C)</sup>, Sunandita Bhowmik<sup>1</sup> <sup>(D)</sup> <sup>(C)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cooch Behar Panchanan Barma University (Department of Education), Panchanan Nagar, Vivekananda Street, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India.

<sup>2</sup>Govt. General Degree College, Narayangarh, West Bengal, India

<sup>3</sup>Vivekananda Primary Teachers' Training College, Purba Medinipur, West Bengal -721450, India

#### **Article Information**

Received: 13 September 2023 Revised version received: 23 October 2023 Accepted: 26 October 2023 Published: 31 October 2023

#### Cite this article as:

 R. Chattopadhyay et al. (2023) Int. J. Soc. Sc.

 Manage.
 10(4):
 66-74.
 DOI:

 10.3126/ijssm.v10i4.57607

#### \*Corresponding author

Reshmi Chattopadhyay,

Cooch Behar Panchanan Barma University (Department of Education), Panchanan Nagar, Vivekananda Street, Cooch Behar- 736101Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India Email: reshmichetterjee@gmail.com Phone Number – 9804006162

Peer reviewed under authority of IJSSM ©2023 IJSSM, Permits unrestricted use under the CC-By-NC license.

# OPEN OPEN



This is an open access article & it is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0</u> <u>International</u>

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Keywords: Online evaluation; emergency shift; COVID 19; validity; effectiveness; institutional preparedness.

## Abstract

This paper tried to assess the approaches adopted in online evaluation system during COVID 19 pandemic in higher education. Day to day teaching learning as well as the evaluation system have been significantly affected during the emergency shift of COVID 19. The main objectives of this paper were to study the different practices of evaluation system; its, validity and effectiveness; and institutional preparedness to conduct the whole process of online evaluation. The paper also highlighted the issues and challenges faced by the students during formative and summative evaluation. Self-made online questionnaire was distributed to the college and university students and teachers of West Bengal. Descriptive survey method was used to collect data and simple percentage analysis was used for data analysis. It is found that the system was not ready for the rapid change. Sudden changes made the evaluation system a mess. The summative evaluation followed the same pattern of question papers. Only the pattern of formative evaluation was different. Online media and devices were used rigorously. This emergent shift in evaluation in turn has encouraged students to copy from internet. Unethical issues were present due to the absence of the teachers. That leads to several misconducts and cheating. Higher education institutions followed their own customized system for evaluation. Students' satisfaction and institutional preparedness were also not up to the mark. It was failed to differentiate between the high and low achievers.

# Introduction

COVID-19 has led to global changes in the education system. Consequently, e-learning and online evaluation system have become necessary worldwide in response to the protocol of maintaining social distancing during a pandemic. E-learning has several advantages in encouraging learners to self-directed learning. Recent technologies allowed such encouragement into the highest level. However, higher education is a sector where face-toface classes, laboratories, seminars, and other necessary activities with offline evaluation would mainly require physical interaction (Guangul *et al.*, 2020).

Consequently, a big challenge is created for the teachers, students, and administrators in terms of using such technologies to give all such facilities for education (Cooper and Tschobotko, 2020). Not just learning, the most affected area during a pandemic is a non-standardized evaluation system. Most higher education institutions have no clear policies, guidelines, and activities. Several questions as how to evaluate, what to evaluate, and what should be the duties of teachers and other stakeholders, are not straightforward. But, sometimes, online system in education achieve similar or better outcomes than face-to-face mode (Zheng *et al.*, 2021).

Lee et al. (1993), in their INQAAHE conference, mentioned evaluation as a mirror commonly pursued from multiple sources that give us valued and valid data. It always does the right things through a microscopic lens that reveals students' achievement levels or conditions. Evaluation of student performance has been defined as the process of making a value judgment about the merit of the student's academic accomplishment to aid in the improvement of future student learning (Brookhart and Nitko, 2019; Olina and Sullivan, 2002; Zughoul et al., 2018). It can answer the question, "How good is the performance?" "Have they learned enough?" and "Are their works good enough?" (Ebel and Frisbie, 1991). So, evaluation and evaluation is an important attempt to examine the overall achievement of students and the education system. If there is any issue or effect in the evaluation procedure also can possess a bad effect on the overall education system. Here, we can mention the term test pollution. Like Messick (1984) mentioned, the term "test pollution" or contaminants affects the evaluation's validity. That depicts a systematic increase or decrease in test scores where three primary sources of test score pollution are test preparation, situational factors and external factors (Chalak and Tavakoli, 2010; Haladyna et al., 1991) This academic year saw "test pollution" across each source with the switch to online instruction. Student learning (and hence test preparation) may have been impacted more than typical by stress, anxiety, illness, being forced to learn in a method that was vastly different from what they were used to, and the potential to fall behind due to lack of access to the materials to learn (Internet, quiet

space, etc.). The purpose of the classroom-based evaluation is to inform instruction, whether this is through the decision-making process of identifying strengths and weaknesses, grading decisions, placement of students, students study motivating to and learn, or increasing/decreasing the pace of instruction (Brookhart and Nitko, 2019). The online teaching-learning process and evaluation mode are often discriminatory to poor and marginalized students (Manzoor and Ramzan, 2020). So the aftermath of COVID 19, online evaluation became a pedagogical shift from the traditional paper-pencil evaluation method into the modern approach of evaluation from classroom techniques to virtual medium techniques, from the hard copy question paper to Google form or scanned soft copy and from teachers to computer-based self-evaluation through computer-based evaluations.

Now the question can be raised. Are the institutions following standardized evaluation techniques? Standard evaluation techniques also have some protocols. Are they following such protocols? What kind of approaches are they following? Are they accommodating to achieve the everyday purposes of the higher education system? So, with this backdrop, the present study aims to identify the image of emergency shifts in the evaluation system, the achievement level of the students, and the viewpoint of students and teachers regarding the preparation of institutions regarding e- evaluation practices.

# Significance of the Study

The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted all countries worldwide, leading to a sudden change in all education sectors, especially in the teaching-learning system. This upgraded learning system removed the students from the traditional learning method. However, in West Bengal, we see the upgraded and traditional blend of learning and evaluation systems. That is not following any standardized conceptual model or framework. It is very much customized in nature and varies from university to university. Universities are following evaluation procedures as per their convenience level. Is this online evaluation serving the purposes of evaluation? The ultimate purpose of the evaluation system is to classify the students in a classroom according to their demonstrated knowledge or specific abilities in some specific areas. A good evaluation diagnoses the individual student's educational weaknesses and can predict the future academic successes of the students.

On the other hand, in respect of teachers, an evaluation system appraises the competence level of the teachers. It also familiarizes the teachers with the nature of students' learning, development and learning progress. It also helps the teacher select the learning materials, devices, and instrument for learning (Aggarwal, 2014). Here, big questions can be raised whether novice evaluation systems is fulfilling such purposes or not. After introducing an unprepared system of evaluation, all stakeholders face severe problems regarding evaluation (Mishra *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, the present study is trying to delineate various issues and challenges faced by the students, the validity and effectiveness of the new evaluation system, institutional preparedness and approaches used for evaluation.

## **Research Questions**

- What are the evaluation approaches higher education institutions prefer during the emergency shift of COVID – 19?
- 2. How effective is online evaluation in assessing students?
- 3. Is online evaluation valid?
- 4. How did the institutions prepare for online evaluation?

# **Research Objectives**

- To reveal the evaluation approaches higher education institutions prefer during the emergency shift of COVID –19.
- 2. To examine the effectiveness of online evaluation in assessing students.
- 3. To examine the validity of online evaluation.
- 4. To study the preparedness of institutions for conducting online evaluation

# **Operational Definitions**

*Emergency shift-* It means sudden shifting or changes of offline evaluation system to online evaluation in the arena of COVID 19 pandemic.

**Online evaluation** - It depicts the evaluation of student's knowledge, skills and abilities conducted over the internet by using electronic media and technologies.

*Higher Education* - In this paper higher education includes under graduate general degree and B.Ed. degree along with the post graduate education on going in universities.

# Methodology

#### **Participants**

This is an online survey-based study of the 85 undergraduate and postgraduate students of different semester programme. They are studying in various colleges and universities of West Bengal. Students are participated from semi urban, urban and rural places. 42 Assistant professors, Associate Professors and Professors from colleges and universities of West Bengal has been selected as respondents. The demographical features of respondents (students and teachers) are presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

#### **Tools and Techniques**

The self-made questionnaires are used for collecting the data. There are two questionnaires for teachers and students. The questionnaire for students consists of three main

sections as 1. General information 2. Evaluation approaches 3. Students' perception on quality of evaluation. The questionnaire for teachers consists of 1. General information 2. Teachers' perceptions 3. Preparation of institution. From all these sections, researchers tried to see the evaluation approaches, effectiveness, validity, and institution preparedness in the online evaluation.



Fig. 1: Numbers of students participated



Fig. 2: Numbers of teachers participated

#### Method of Data Collection

This study is designed as mixed method research. A Descriptive survey method has been used. The data is collected via online Google form. The Google form has been distributed amongst 85 undergraduate and postgraduate students of colleges and universities. The questionnaire has been distributed to the 42 teachers of colleges and state universities. Researchers included multiple choice and open-ended questions for collecting valuable feedback. The tool was fully English version and for the better understanding of the respondents the researcher have translated each statement into Bengali. All responses are collected very carefully from the respondents.

#### Data Analysis

The present study followed the data analysis based on the research objectives. Simply percentage analysis is used to understand the current evaluation approaches, effectiveness, validity and institution preparedness. For qualitative analysis, open-ended questions have been provided in the questionnaire.

# **Data Analysis and Interpretation**

#### **Evaluation Approaches During COVID 19**

In order to reveal the picture of evaluation approaches in higher education institutions during the emergency shift of COVID-19, researchers conducted a survey. Table 1 indicates the modes of evaluation and online platforms primarily used for evaluation by the teachers in response to students.

Table 1: Modes of evaluation and online platform used						
Modes of evolution	Percentage	Online platform used for	Percentage			
wides of evaluation	( <b>f</b> )	evaluation	( <b>f</b> )			
Assignment	88.2% (75)	Google classroom	75.3% (64)			
Projects	24.7% (21)	Email	51,8% (44)			
Presentation with power	31.8% (27)	WhatsApp	23.5% (20)			
point	31.8% (27)	w hatsApp	23.370 (20)			
Seminar	29.4% (25)	Another social media	14.1% (12)			
Class test	18.8% (16)					
Other modes of	22 4% (19)					
evaluation	22.470 (19)					

Table 2: Students' and teachers	' perception on the effectiveness	of online evaluation
---------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------

S. N.	Statement	Strongly	Agree	Disagree	Strongly
		agree			disagree
		Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency
		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
1.	The teacher Used a digital board or other devices to	25.9%	60%	11.8%	2.3%
	know about the student's understanding of course content	(22)	(51)	(10)	(2)
2.	When students submitted the wrong answers, these	23.5%	58.8%	16.5%	1.2%
	were immediately corrected	(20)	(50)	(14)	(1)
3.	Teachers returned assignments after correction with	20%	62.4%	16.5%	1.1%
	comments	(17)	(53)	(14)	(1)
4.	Teachers often gave activities to assess students'	27.1%	65.9%	5.9%	1.1%
	progress	(23)	(56)	(5)	(1)
5.	Question paper of summative test includes MCQ,	20%	47.1%	24.7%	8.2%
	short answer type and essay type questions	(17)	(40)	(21)	(7)
6.	Question paper of semester-end examination	31.8%	37.6%	25.9%	4.7%
	included only essay-type questions	(27)	(32)	(22)	(4)
7.	Teachers could not monitor students' progress	20%	52.9%	22.4%	4.7%
	individually during online class	(17)	(45)	(19)	(4)
8.	Students were given frequent feedback by the	23.5%	63.5%	11.8%	1.2%
	teachers about their strengths and weaknesses	(20)	(54)	(10)	(1)
9.	Students could not clarify doubts during semester	4.7%	32.9%	50.6%	11.8%
	end examination because of teacher's absence	(4)	(28)	(43)	(10)
10.	In the pandemic situation, student's assessments	25.9%	62.4%	10.6%	1.1%
	were greatly affected by physical and mental illness	(22)	(53)	(9)	(1)
11.	The online examination could not discriminate	21.2%	57.6%	18.8%	2.4%
	between high achievers and low achievers	(18)	(49)	(16)	(2)
12.	Students are not satisfied with the present online	20%	41.2%	34.1%	4.7%
	assessment carried out by their institution	(17)	(35)	(29)	(4)
13.	Students faced difficulties in online submission of	32.9%	49.4%	15.3%	2.4%
	answer scripts	(28)	(42)	(13)	(2)
14.	Semester end question paper followed	14.3%	66.7%	19%	
	proper test plan or blueprint	(6)	(28)	(8)	

S. N.	Statement	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
		Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency
		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
15.	Multiple Learning objectives have been assessed	11.9%	57.1%	31%	
	through online evaluation	(5)	(24)	(13)	
16.	Assignments were the most preferred means for	21.4%	50%	26.2%	2.4%
	formative evaluation	(9)	(21)	(11)	(1)
17.	Interactive evaluation during a lecture is difficult in	19%	57.1%	21.4%	2.5%
	the online mode	(8)	(24)	(9)	(1)
18.	The present online evaluation	31%	52.4%	16.7%	
	cannot evaluate student's skills and competencies	(13)	(22)	(7)	
19.	Empathy for students in pandemic situations takes	28.6%	61.9%	9.5%	
	precedence over systematic assessment	(12)	(26)	(4)	
20.	There was a control over not to open new	21.4%	35.7%	38.1%	4.8%
	web page or tab	(9)	(15)	(16)	(2)

Table 2: Students' and teachers' perception on the effectiveness of online evaluation(Contd.)

Thirteen questions were asked to students to trace the effectiveness of the formative and summative evaluation system during the lockdown. The majority (85.9%) of students agreed that digital boards were used to understand the course content without traditional classroom situations. Providing assignments and corrections with immediate feedback were frequently present. Teachers usually gave frequent activities to develop student's knowledge and skills. In the case of summative evaluation, more than average (67.1%) students attained different types of questions. In the process of monitoring, teachers could not monitor students' progress individually during online classes. However, more than average (86.0%) of students agreed that they gave frequent feedback about their strengths and weaknesses. The rate of clarifying doubts during the semester end examination was not good (62.4%) during the examination. Other problems were raised during the pandemic, where student's evaluation systems were greatly affected (88.3%) by the physical and mental illness of the students. Lastly, most students (61.2%) were not satisfied with this present evaluation system. Because 74.1% of students encountered difficulties during the answer scripts submission. Most importantly, the majority of students (78.8%) acknowledged that online evaluation failed to discriminate the high and low achievers' students.

81% of teachers followed a proper test plan and blueprinted for examination. 69.0% of teachers agreed that multiple learning objectives were set and assessed by this evaluation. For internal examination, teachers used mostly (71.4%) of the assignment. Because more than average (76.1%) of teachers thought interactive evaluation was complex in online mode. 83.4% of teachers thought online evaluation failed to evaluate students' skills and competencies. Because most (90.5%) teachers thought empathetic nature toward students took precedence over systematic evaluation. Even 57.1 % of teachers expressed that there was no such control over not opening the new web pages or tabs.

#### Validity of the Online Evaluation

The third objective was to study the validity of the online evaluation. The questions asked to students are shown in Table 3.

Regarding validity, most (99.4%) of the participants agreed that time limits were given for the submission of answer scripts. However, only 35.3% of students kept the camera open during exam. Even most of the time (70.6%), teachers were not present to supervise during the examination. So, more than average (72.9%) students believed that they got high marks without giving much effort in understanding the content.

#### Institutional Preparedness

The fourth objective of this article was all about the institutions' preparedness regarding online evaluation. In creating an online evaluation, a collaborative and effective environment is essential. 80.9% respondents expressed a positive opinion on collaborations between the teaching and non-teaching staff of the organizations. In case of special training for conducting an examination, only .47.6% teachers agreed on that. But, more than average teachers acknowledged regarding frequent meetings with the teachers, students and technical experts. Mostly institutions developed their own learning management system. Consequently, 66.6% of teachers mentioned that organizations conducted their exams as per their convenience and choices.

S.N.	Statement	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
		Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency
		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
1	The time limit was given for submission of the	49.4%	44.7%	5.9%	
	answer scripts	(42)	(38)	(5)	
2	There was a provision for giving examination by	10.6%	24.7%	52.9%	11.8%
	keeping the camera open	(9)	(21)	(45)	(10)
3	Teachers were not present to supervise during the	22.4%	48.2%	23.5%	5.9%
	examination	(19)	(41)	(20)	(5)
4	Students scored high marks in the last semester	32.9%	40%	23.5%	3.6%
	without much effort in learning	(28)	(34)	(20)	(3)

Table 3: Perceptions of students on the validity of the online evaluation

Table 4: Perceptions of teachers on institutional preparedness

S.N.	Statement	Strongly	Agree	Disagree	Strongly
		agree			disagree
		Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency
		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
1	The institution has made attempt to make online	23.8%	57.1%	16.7%	2.4%
	evaluation Collaborative and effective	(10)	(24)	(7)	(1)
2	Institutions arranged Special training for teachers	11.9%	35.7%	42.9%	9.5%
		(5)	(15)	(18)	(4)
3	Frequent meetings were conducted with teachers,	31%	57.1%	11.9%	
	students and technical experts	(13)	(24)	(5)	
4	Institution developed their own Learning management	14.3%	59.5%	26.2%	
	system for online evaluation	(6)	(25)	(11)	
5	Institutions conducted their exam as per their choice	7.1%	59.5%	31%	2.4%
	and convenience	(3)	(25)	(13)	(1)

# Issues and Challenges Faced by the Students

The findings related to issues and challenges faced by the students in adapting online evaluation responses were collected through an open-ended questionnaire. It was found that most of the students, especially in rural places, encountered unstable network connections. Question papers were received late through online mode. Here, they have lost their confidence in submitting answer scripts on time. Even at submission time, they lost their connection for fewer data packages and poor connection. So, there were inequalities in accessing online internet data and connection facilities. Most students could not log in with an ID, a password essential for submission and uploading the answer scripts. One student explained that, they were not satisfied with online evaluation. Because, of poor internet facilities. Online evaluation was not a very good alternative of offline classes and examination.

Though whatever the situation was, students, opined that online evaluation helped them to prohibit the gathering of crowds in the classroom and created a good alternative for giving exams. But, one student admitted that -

I am not totally satisfied as there is no differences between high and low achievers .It is totally unfair for the hardworking and dedicated students as there is no differences in the final marks score. It is not a good alternative for offline exam.

#### One of the students said -

I am not satisfied with the online evaluation. Because, interaction between students and teachers during and after exam were very low.

#### **Result and Discussions**

This study provides the teachers' and students' perspectives and experiences regarding online evaluation. Teachers preferred new trends of evaluation. Assignments, PowerPoint presentations, seminars, and projects were used vastly. Teachers primarily used digital devices such as mobile phones, tablets, laptops, digital boards, stylus, and digital pad during teaching and evaluation. These were not popular before the pandemic, especially in rural places.

In respect of question type, essay-type tests were used mainly by the teachers. It is evident that with essay-type tests, a wide range of knowledge cannot be estimated. In the online evaluation, various social media and platforms were used as per the institutions' convenience and availability.

Every evaluation consists the remedial actions. However, during the pandemic, there were no such provisions for remedial treatment for the students. So, teachers were unable to identify the actual progress of the students. Teachers and institutions have shown over empathy towards students, which undermines the actual objective of the assessment. The prolonged pandemic influenced the psycho-social well-being of students and brought noticeable changes in their behavior. COVID-19 impacted students' mental health, increasing stress and anxiety (Son *et al.*, 2020). Anxiety among students was due to uncertainty of being promoted from one grade to another and that the situation was more magnified for those who expected graduation for employment (Son *et al.*, 2020; Jena, 2020)

The present pattern of the examinations was not common to all institutions. So, this examination system lacked effectiveness and validity. Consequently, that did not provide good results for developing skills and achievement. It impacted their skill development and achievement (Hanushek and Woessmann, 2020). Many hours students spend on TV, computer games, and mobile phones for passive activities during their stay at home. It implied more negative consequences on future economic returns to students. The national economies that grow with less skilled labor forces are growing with lower qualities (Hanushek and Woessmann, 2020).

According to M. Weber, a higher education institution's goal is to form and anchor the student perception of the socalled "philosophy of life", and their future role as researchers and lecturers. So we need the effectiveness and validity of a test in the overall evaluation. The validity of a test is correlation with some criteria, which the experts regard as the best measures of the ability being measured (Singh, 2017). Researchers found that the present online evaluation was not maintaining such criterion. Like - the time limit was not given to all. Internet was used randomly for making and getting answers from the third party. Even the camera was not always open, which led to rigorous cheating. A study showed a certain number of students did cheating during examinations. That was detected through the learning analytics tools (Balderas and Hernandez, 2020). On the other hand, Internal and external disturbances, computer illiteracies and lack of infrastructure were the disturbances during and after the exam.

Student satisfaction plays a crucial role in the learning and teaching process. But, the majority of students were not satisfied. Students missed the direct connection with the teachers individually, especially at exam time. The extraordinary situation was the threat of severe resource unavailability, lack of accessibility and infrastructure, communication barriers, and social factors which prevented the uptake of the online education system (Okereke et al., 2020). In contrast, few students were satisfied. Because they thought that in such a pandemic situation, there were no other options left and they should stay careful in interacting with the community (Casmana and Sarkadi, 2020). Instead of being in the same semester for 2-3 years, it was better for them to appear in the online examination. Students admitted that online evaluation is a highly effective tool in education if it is used wisely.

The university had a clear vision about implementing the online teaching-learning and thus encouraged faculty and students to do the needful in this regard. The MHRD, UGC and University substantially made the moral decision at the right time for the inclusion of all the stakeholders in the online teaching-learning mode that depends upon the change of mindset for the administrative authorities along with the educators to adapt to the technology-based teaching (Mishra et al., 2020). But, the readiness of the University was not present. State universities autonomously conducted their exams, though few universities conducted training programs for the stakeholders, especially for the teachers. However, university preparedness was not at the level. They tried to blend techno-academic trends in the evaluation (Mishra et al., 2020) So, the third-world countries are facing paralysis in handling the sudden shifting scenario of educational planning, administration, management and organization during this pandemic with their fractured technical infrastructure, academic incompetency and lack of resources (Thomas, 2020). It was found that even in a pandemic situation, institutions organized online evaluations and made them collaborative and effective. Few institutions provided e – proctoring tools to support the monitoring system of the evaluation through telematics resources (Gonzalez et al., 2020) Most institutions made their learning management system by conducting continuous meetings with the stakeholders and technical experts. However, the potential of new technology cannot be developed unless teachers' proficiency in using technology is improved. Techno - Pedagogic training is needed for the teachers. Changes in curricula and learning objectives are ineffective if pedagogical skills, including evaluation practices, remain the same or are not standardized (Cachia et al., 2010).

This pattern of evaluation could have been more potent. Multiple learning objectives are also can be assessed. But, presently, it is failing to select and discriminate the students' proper achievement level, skills and competencies, especially to see the differences between high and low achievers. Evaluation strategies must be developed with these new learning approaches in the pandemic situation. Teachers even failed to monitor the individual progress of the students individually. It is necessary to have good knowledge about the functioning forms and models of online evaluation. Otherwise, the validity and effectiveness of the evaluation will be at serious risk (Forsyth and Aleksieva, 2021).

# Conclusion

This study explored college students' and teachers' perceptions on emergency online evaluation system in higher education. During stay-at-home orders due to COVID-19 students, faculty members, and educational institutions should not be confused, thinking that online evaluation is the same as emergency online evaluation.

Practical online evaluation requires more time to prepare with a more standardized form. It should have proper protocol and structure to maintain. It is imperative to develop question papers with reliability and validity. This requires adequate infrastructure as well as proper training of teachers and students. The main purpose of evaluation is to measure the cognitive domain of students which is not fulfilled on this emergent online method. This article presented that online evaluation was not following these protocols. Profound observations and training programs should be conducted for all stakeholders.

Most importantly, these evaluation techniques failed to assess a student's achievement. So, in any emergency, institutions must do the pilot study according to the situation's needs. Appropriate application of technology can reduce many of the negative aspects of offline examination such as examination anxiety, stress and other internal and external pressure on students for the exam. However, along with the tool development, continuous observations are also needed to develop the hassle-free, authentic evaluation.

#### Acknowledgement

We would like to acknowledge the help, guidance and extreme support provided by Dr. Sunandita Bhowmik, Assistant Professor from the Department of Education, Cooch Behar Panchanan Barma University, during the various stages of this research article. She is also the fifth author of this article.

#### References

- Aggarwal JC (2014) Essentials of examination system: Evaluation, test and measurement. Vikas Publishing House.
- Balderas A and Hernandez JAC (2020) Analysis of learning records to detect student cheating on online exam: Case study during COVID -19 pandemic. Proceedings of the 8<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Technological Ecosystem for Enhancing Multiculturality 752-757. <u>https://rodin.uca.es/handle/10498/25799</u>
- Brookhart S and Nitko A (2019) Educational assessment of students. New York: Pearson. http:// www.pearson.com
- Cachia R, Ferrari A, Ala mukta K and Punie Y (2010) Creative learning and innovation teaching: Final report on the study on creativity and innovation in education in the EU member states. JRC Scientific and Technical Reports. <u>http://www.researchgate.net/publication/324983712</u>. doi:10.2791/52913
- Casmana AR and Sarkadi (2020) The application of empathetic learning in facing the COVID -19 pandemic as the responsibility of good citizens. *International Journal of Psychological Rehabilitation* **24(9)**: 1039-1046. <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341480405</u>. doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.24178.73923
- Chalak A and Tavakoli M (2010) Sources of Test Score Pollution: State of the Art. *Curriculum Planning Knowledge & Research in Educational Sciences* **26**: 13-34. http://www.researchgate.net/publication/ 232274278.

- Cooper V and Tschobotko A (2020) COVID -19 higher education and student related challenges. *Bevan Brittan*. www.bevanbrittan.com.
- Ebel RL and Frisbie DA (1991) Essentials of educational measurement. New Delhi: PHI Publication. http:// ebookppsunp.files.wordpress.com
- Forsyth RP and Aleksieva L (2021) Forced introduction of eassessment during COVID 19 pandemic: How did the students feel about that? *Application of Mathematics in Engineering* and *Economics* 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0041862</u>
- Gonzalez CSG, Moro AI and Moro JCI (2020) Implementation of e- proctoring in online teaching: A study about motivational factors. Sustainability 12(8):1-13. https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/12/8/3488. doi: 10.3390/su12083488
- Guangul FM, Suhail AH, Khalit, MI and Khidhir BA (2020) Challenges of remote assessment in higher education in the context of COVID -19: A case of Middle East College. Educational Assessment Evaluation and Accountability 519-535. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33101539/. doi:10.1007/s11092-020-09340-w
- Haladyna TM, Nolen SB and Haas N (1991) Raising standardized achievement test scores and the origins of test score pollution. *Educational Researcher* **20(5):** 2-7. https://doi.org/10.2307/1176395
- Hanushek EA and Woessmann L (2020) The economic impacts of learning losses. *OECD-Better Policies for Better Lives*. https://www.oecd.org/education/The-economicimpacts-of-coronavirus-covid-19-learning-losses.pdf
- Jena PK (2020) Impact of COVID 19 on higher education in India. International Journal of Advanced Education and Research 5(3):77-81. <u>https://www.academia.edu/43376426.</u> <u>doi:10.31235/osf.io/jg8fr</u>
- Lee LS, Wei YS and Wang LY (1993) Higher education institutional and program evaluations in Taiwan and the emerging roles of higher education evaluation and accreditation council of Taiwan (HEEACT). https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED541269.pdf
- Manzoor A and Ramzan Q (2020) Online teaching and challenges of COVID-19 for inclusion of persons with disabilities in higher education. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340681691
- Messick S (1984) The Psychology of educational measurement. Journal of Educational Measurement **21(3):** 215-237. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3984.1984.tb01030.x
- Mishra L, Gupta T and Shree A (2020) Online teaching-learning in higher education during lockdown period of COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Educational Research Open.* doi: 10.1016/j.ijedro.2020.100012
- Okereke M, Williams AE, Emmanuella NC, Ashinedu NU and Mairaj MW (2020) COVID – 19: Challenges affecting the uptake of e – learning in pharmacy education in Africa.The Pan African Medical Journal 35(2): 1-3. https://www.panafrican-medjournal.com/content/series/35/2/70/full. doi: 10.11604/pamj.supp.2020.35.2.23910
- Olina Z and Sullivan HJ (2002) Effects of classroom evaluation strategies on student achievement and attitudes. *Educational Technology Research and Development*

50(3): 61-75. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02505025

- Singh AK (2017) Tests, measurement and research methods in behavioural sciences. Bharati Bhawan Publication.
- Thomas CJ (2020) Coronavirus and challenging times for education in developing countries. Brookins. http://www.google.com/amp/s/www.brookins.edu/blog
- Son C, Hegde S, Smith A, Wang X and Sasangohar, F (2020) Effects of COVID -19 on college students' mental health in the United States: Interview survey study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 22(9): 1-6. <u>https://www.jmir.org/2020/9/e21279/.</u> doi: <u>10.2196/21279</u>
- Zheng M, Bender D and Lyon C (2021) Online learning during COVID 19 produced equivalent or better student course performance as compared with pre pandemic: Empirical evidence from a school wide comparative study. *BMC Medical Education* 1-11. <u>https://paperity.org/p/271602523/online-learningduring-covid-19-produced-equivalent-or-betterstudent-course-performance. doi:10.1186/s12909-021-02909-z</u>
- Zughoul O, Momani F, Almasri OH, Zaidan AA, Zaidan B, Alsalem MA,...Hashim M (2018) Comprehensive insights into the criteria of student performance in various educational domains. *IEEE Acces* 6: 73245-73264. <u>https://ieeexplore.ieee.org.</u> doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2881282