

Gregus Type Fixed Point Theorems Satisfying Strict Contractive Condition of Integral Type

Shweta Wasnik^{1,*} and Subhashish Biswas¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Kalinga University, Raipur, Chhattisgar, India.

Abstract: In the present paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems of Gregus type for two pairs of self mappings satisfying strict contractive condition of integral type by using the weak subsequential continuity property with compatibility of type (E) in metric spaces. Our results improve some previous known results and relevant literature.

MSC: 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords: Gregus type fixed point, weakly subsequentially continuous, compatible of type (E).

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

In the present paper will prove two common fixed point theorems of Gregus type for four mappings which satisfying strict contractive condition of integral type in metric spaces by using subsequential continuity and compatibility of type (E) due to Singh et al. [29].

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Two self mappings A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be compatible of type (E), if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^2 x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = At$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^2 x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} ASx_n = St$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$, for some $t \in X$.

Remark 2.2. If $At = St$, then compatible of type (E) implies compatible (compatible of type (A), compatible of type (B), compatible of type (C), compatible of type (P)), however the converse may be not true. Generally compatibility of type (E) implies compatibility of type (B).

Definition 2.3. Two self mappings A and S of a metric space (X, d) are A -compatible of type (E), if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^2 x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = At$, for some $t \in X$. Also, the pair $\{A, S\}$ is said to be S -compatible of type (E), if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^2 x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = At$, for some $t \in X$.

Notice that if A and S are compatible of type (E), then they are A -compatible and S -compatible of type (E), but the converse is not true. Pant [20] introduced the notion of reciprocal continuity as follows:

* E-mail: shwetawasnik1110@gmail.com (Research Scholar)

Definition 2.4. Self mappings A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be reciprocally continuous, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = At$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = St$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$, for some $t \in X$.

In 2009, H. Bouhadjera and C. Godet Thobie [6] introduced the concept of subsequential continuity as follows:

Definition 2.5. Two self mappings A and S of a metric space (X, d) is called to be subsequentially continuous if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$, for some $t \in X$ and satisfy $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = At$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = St$.

Clearly that continuous or reciprocally continuous maps are subsequentially continuous, but the converse may be not.

Example 2.6. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and d is the euclidian metric, we define A, S as follows:

$$Ax = \begin{cases} 2 + x, & 0 \leq x \leq 2 \\ \frac{x+2}{2}, & x > 2 \end{cases}, \quad Sx = \begin{cases} 2 - x, & 0 \leq x < 2 \\ 2x - 2, & x \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Clearly that A and S are discontinuous at 2. We consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that for each $n \geq 1$: $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$, clearly that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = 2$, also we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A\left(2 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = 4 = A(2), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S\left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = 2 = S(2), \end{aligned}$$

then $\{A, S\}$ is subsequentially continuous.

On other hand, let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence which defined on each $n \geq 1$ by: $y_n = 2 + \frac{1}{n}$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = 2,$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASy_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A\left(2 + \frac{2}{n}\right) = 2 \neq A(2), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAy_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S\left(4 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = 6 \neq S(2), \end{aligned}$$

then A and S are never reciprocally continuous.

Definition 2.7. Let f and S to be two self mappings of a metric space (X, d) , the pair $\{f, S\}$ is said to be weakly subsequentially continuous if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z$, for some $z \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Az$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = Sz$.

Notice that subsequentially continuous or reciprocally continuous mappings are weakly subsequentially continuous, but the converse may be not.

Example 2.8. Let $X = [0, 8]$ and d is the euclidian metric, we define A, S as follows:

$$Ax = \begin{cases} \frac{x+4}{2}, & 0 \leq x \leq 4 \\ x + 1, & 4 \leq x \leq 8 \end{cases}, \quad Sx = \begin{cases} 8 - x, & 0 \leq x \leq 4 \\ x - 2, & 4 \leq x \leq 8 \end{cases}$$

We consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that for each $n \geq 1$: $x_n = 4 - e^{-n}$, clearly that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = 4$, also we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(4 + e^{-n}) = 5, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S\left(4 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-n}\right) = 4 = S(4), \end{aligned}$$

then $\{A, S\}$ is S -subsequentially continuous.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let $A, B, S, T : X \rightarrow X$, be self mappings of a metric space (X, d) such for all x, y in X we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + a \left(\int_0^{d(Ax, By)} \varphi(t)\right)^p\right) \left(\int_0^{d(Sx, Ty)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p &< a \left(\left(\int_0^{d(Ax, Sx)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p \cdot \left(\int_0^{d(By, Ty)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p\right. \\ &+ \left.\left(\int_0^{d(Sx, By)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p \cdot \left(\int_0^{d(Ax, Ty)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p\right) + \alpha \left(\int_0^{d(Ax, By)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p \\ &+ \beta \tau \left(\left(\int_0^{d(Ax, Sx)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(By, Ty)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Ax, Ty)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(By, Sx)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p\right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where a, α, β are non negative numbers such $\alpha + \beta < 1$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a Lebesgue-integrable function which is summable on each compact subset of \mathbb{R}^+ , non-negative, and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0$, if the pair $\{A, S\}$ is usc and compatible of type (E) as well as $\{B, T\}$, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Suppose that $\{A, S\}$ is A -subsequentially continuous, there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Az$, also the pair is compatible of type (E) implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Sz$, also the pair $\{f, S\}$ is compatible implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Sz$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = Sz$, which implies $Sz = fz =$ and z is a coincidence point for f and S .

Similarly for B and T , suppose that $\{B, T\}$ is B -subsequentially continuous so there exists a sequence $\{y_n\} \in X$ such

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = t,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BTy_n = Bt,$$

also the pair $\{g, T\}$ is compatible of type (E) implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BTy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^2y_n = Tt$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TBy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B^2y_n = Bt$$

which implies that $Bt = Tt$.

Firstly, we prove $Az = Bt$, if not by using (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + a \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t)\right)^p\right) \left(\int_0^{d(Sz, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p &< a \left(\left(\int_0^{d(Az, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p \left(\int_0^{d(Bt, Sz)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p + \alpha \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p\right. \\ &+ \left.\beta \tau \left(0, 0, \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Sz, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

since $Az = Sz$ and $Bt = Tt$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p &= \left(\int_0^{d(\{Az\}, \{Bt\})} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p \\ &< \alpha \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p + \beta \tau \left(0, 0, \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p\right) \\ &< (\alpha + \beta) \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt\right)^p \end{aligned}$$

$$< \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p,$$

which is a contradiction, then $Az = Bt$. Now, we prove $z = Az$, if not by using (1) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + a \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Bt)} \varphi(t) \right)^p \right) \left(\int_0^{d(Sx_n, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p &< a \left[\left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Sx_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \cdot \left(\int_0^{d(Bt, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right. \\ &+ \left. \left(\int_0^{d(Bt, Sx_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \cdot \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right] + \alpha \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \\ &+ \beta \tau \left(\left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Sx_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, 0, \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Sx_n, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right) \end{aligned}$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + a \left(\int_0^{d(z, Az)} \varphi(t) \right)^p \right) \left(\int_0^{d(z, Az)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p &\leq a \left(\int_0^{d(Bt, z)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \cdot \left(\int_0^{d(z, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p + \alpha \left(\int_0^{d(z, Az)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \\ &+ \beta \tau \left(0, 0, \left(\int_0^{d(z, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Az, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right), \end{aligned}$$

since $Az = Sz = Bt$, we get

$$\left(\int_0^{d(z, Az)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \leq (\alpha + \beta) \left(\int_0^{d(z, Az)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p < \left(\int_0^{d(z, Az)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p,$$

which is a contradiction, then $z = Az = Sz$. Nextly we prove $z = t$, if not by using we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + a \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, By_n)} \varphi(t) \right)^p \right) \left(\int_0^{d(Sx_n, Ty_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p &< a \left[\left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Sx_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \cdot \left(\int_0^{d(By_n, Ty_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right. \\ &+ \left. \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Ty_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \cdot \left(\int_0^{d(By_n, Sx_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right] + \alpha \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, By_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \\ &+ \beta \tau \left(\left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Sx_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(By_n, Ty_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Ty_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\int_0^{d(Sx_n, By_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right) \end{aligned}$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + a \left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) \right)^p \right) \left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p &\leq a \left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \cdot \left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \\ &+ \alpha \left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p + \beta \tau \left(\left(0, 0, \left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right), \right. \end{aligned}$$

and so we have:

$$\left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \leq \alpha \left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p + \beta \tau \left(\left(0, 0, \left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right), \right)$$

which implies that

$$\left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \leq (\alpha + \beta) \left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p < \left(\int_0^{d(z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p,$$

which is a contradiction, then z is a common fixed point for A, B, S and T . For the uniqueness, suppose there is another fixed point w , by using (1) we get:

$$\left(1 + a \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bw)} \varphi(t) \right)^p \right) \left(\int_0^{d(Sz, Tw)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p < a \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Tw)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \cdot \left(\int_0^{d(Bw, Sz)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p + \alpha \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bw)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p$$

$$+ \beta\tau\left(0, 0, \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Tw)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Bw, Sz)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p\right),$$

since z and w are fixed points, get:

$$\left(\int_0^{d(z, w)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p = \left(\int_0^{H(\{z\}, \{w\})} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p \leq (\alpha + \beta)\left(\int_0^{d(z, w)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p < \left(\int_0^{d(z, w)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p$$

which is a contradiction, then $z = w$. □

Theorem 3.1 improves Theorem 2 of Chauhan et al. [7] and some main results of Djoudi and Aliouche [11] and Theorem 2.5 in [25]. If $\alpha = 0$, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2. *Let $A, B, S, T : X \rightarrow X$, be self mappings such:*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^{d(Sx, Ty)} \varphi(t)\right)^p &< \alpha\left(\int_0^{d(Ax, By)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p \\ &+ \beta\tau\left(\left(\int_0^{d(Ax, Sx)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(By, Ty)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Ax, Ty)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(By, Sx)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p\right) \end{aligned}$$

where α, β are non negative numbers such $\alpha + \beta < 1$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a Lebesgue-integrable function which defined in Theorem 2.1. Suppose that the pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are A -subsequentially continuous and A -compatible of type (E) , then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Corollary 3.1 improves Corollary 2 of Chauhan et al. [7] and Corollary 2 in [10].

If we take

$$\tau(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \max\{x_1, x_2, \sqrt{x_1x_3}, \sqrt{x_3x_4}\},$$

we get to the following corollary:

Corollary 3.3. *Let A, B, S and T self mappings of metric space (X, d) such:*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + a\left(\int_0^{d(Ax, By)} \varphi(t)\right)\right) \int_0^{d(Sx, Ty)} \varphi(t)dt &< a\left(\left(\int_0^{d(Ax, Sx)} \varphi(t)dt\right) \cdot \left(\int_0^{d(By, Ty)} \varphi(t)dt\right) + \left(\int_0^{d(Sx, By)} \varphi(t)dt\right) \cdot \left(\int_0^{d(Ax, Ty)} \varphi(t)dt\right)\right) \\ &+ \alpha\left(\int_0^{d(Ax, By)} \varphi(t)dt\right) + (1 - \alpha) \max\left\{\int_0^{d(Ax, Sx)} \varphi(t)dt, \int_0^{d(By, Ty)} \varphi(t)dt, \left(\int_0^{d(Ax, Ty)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \right. \\ &\left.\left(\int_0^{d(By, Sx)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left(\int_0^{d(Sx, Ty)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\int_0^{d(By, Sx)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a Lebesgue-integrable function which defined in theorem 2.1. Suppose that

- (1). the pair $\{A, S\}$ is A -subsequentially continuous and A -compatible of type (E) ,
- (2). the pair and $\{B, T\}$ is B -subsequentially continuous and B -compatible of type (E) ,

then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Corollary 3.2 improves and generalizes Theorem 2.5 of Pathak and Shahzad in [25].

Corollary 3.4. *Let A, B, S and T be self mappings such:*

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + ad^p(Ax, By))d^p(Sx, Ty) &< a\left[d^p(Ax, Sx) \cdot d^p(Bt, Ty) + d^p(Ax, Sx) \cdot d^p(Ax, Sx)\right] \\ &+ \alpha d^p(Ax, By) + \beta\tau\left(d^p(Ax, Sx), d^p(By, Ty), d^p(Ax, Ty), d^p(By, Sx)\right), \end{aligned}$$

if the two following conditions hold:

- (1). the pair $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are subsequentially continuous,
- (2). the pair $\{A, S\}$ is A -compatible or S -compatible of type (E) ,
- (3). the pair $\{B, T\}$ is B -compatible or T compatible of type (E) ,

then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Let Λ be a set of all continuous function $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}_+^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such $\lambda(0, 0, x, x, x) = kx$, where $0 < k < 1$.

Theorem 3.5. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings on metric space (X, d) such for all x, y in X we have:

$$\left(1 + a \left(\int_0^{d(Ax, By)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right) \left(\int_0^{d(Sx, Ty)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p < \lambda \left(\left(\int_0^{d(Ax, Sx)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Ax, Sx)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(By, Ty)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Ax, Ty)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(By, Sx)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right) \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a Lebesgue-integrable function which is summable on each compact subset of \mathbb{R}^+ , non-negative, and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0$, assume that the two pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are wsc and compatible of type (E) , then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. As in proof of Theorem 2.1 z is a coincidence point for A and S and t is a coincidence point for B and T , where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = t \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = z,$$

we claim $Az = Bt$, if not by using (2) we get

$$\left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p = \left(\int_0^{d(Sz, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p < \lambda(0, 0, \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Sz, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p)$$

since $d(Sz, Bt) \leq d(fz, gt)$ and $d(Az, Tt) \leq d(Az, Bt)$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p &< \lambda(0, 0, \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p) \\ &< k \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \\ &< \left(\int_0^{d(Az, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, then $Az = Bt$. Now, we prove $z = Az$, if not by using (1) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^{d(Sx_n, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p &\leq \left(1 + a \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right) \left(\int_0^{d(Sx_n, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \\ &< \lambda \left(\left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Sx_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Bt, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Sx_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\int_0^{d(Bt, Sx_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right), \end{aligned}$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\left(1 + a \left(\int_0^{d(z, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right) \left(\int_0^{d(z, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \leq \lambda \left(0, 0, \left(\int_0^{d(z, Tt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Bt, z)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(z, Bt)} \varphi(t) dt \right)^p \right),$$

consequently we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^{d(z,Az)} \varphi(t)\right)^p &\leq \lambda\left(0,0, \left(\int_0^{d(z,Az)} \varphi(t)\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(z,Az)} \varphi(t)\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(z,Az)} \varphi(t)\right)^p\right) \\ &= k\left(\int_0^{d(z,Az)} \varphi(t)\right)^p < \left(\int_0^{d(z,Az)} \varphi(t)\right)^p, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, then $z = Az = Sz$, nextly we claim $z = t$, if not by using (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + a\left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n,By_n)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p\right)\left(\int_0^{d(Sx_n,Ty_n)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p &< \lambda\left(\left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n,Sx_n)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(By_n,Ty_n)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p, \right. \\ &\left. \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n,Ty_n)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(By_n,Sx_n)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(Ax_n,By_n)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p\right), \end{aligned}$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + a\left(\int_0^{d(z,t)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p\right)\left(\int_0^{d(z,t)} \varphi(t)dt\right)^p &\leq \lambda\left(0,0, \left(\int_0^{d(z,t)} \varphi(t)\right)^p, \left(\int_0^{d(z,t)} \varphi(t)\right)^p\right), \\ &= k\left(\int_0^{d(z,t)} \varphi(t)\right)^p < \left(\int_0^{d(z,t)} \varphi(t)\right)^p, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, then z is a common fixed point for A, B, S and T . For the uniqueness, it is similar as in proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Corollary 3.6. *For four self-mappings A, B, S and T on metric space (X, d) , which satisfying for all $x, y \in X$:*

$$(1 + ad^p(Ax, By))d^p(Sx, Ty) < \lambda(d^p(Ax, Sx), d^p(By, Ty), d^p(Ax, Ty), d^p(By, Sx), d^p(Ax, By)),$$

if the two pairs $\{A, S\}, \{B, T\}$ are A -subsequentially continuous and A -compatible of type (E) , then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Corollary 3.6 improves Corollary 4 in [7] and generalizes Theorem 3.1 of [9].

References

- [1] M. Abbas and B. Rhoades, *Common fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying generalized contractive condition of integral type*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2007(2007), 9 pages.
- [2] A. Aliouche, *Common fixed point theorems of Gregus type for weakly compatible mappings satisfying generalized contractive conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 341(2008), 707-719.
- [3] M. A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad, *Generalized I-nonexpansive selfmaps and invariant approximations*, Acta Math. Sinica, 24(5)(2008), 867-876.
- [4] I. Altun, D. Türkoğlu and B. E. Rhoades, *Fixed points of weakly compatible maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2007(2007), 9 pages.
- [5] S. Beloul, *Some fixed point theorems for weakly subsequentially continuous and compatible of type (E) mappings with an application*, accepted in Int. J. Nonlinear. Anal. Appl., 7(1)(2016), 53-62.
- [6] H. Bouhadjera and C. G. Thobie, *Common fixed point theorems for pairs of subcompatible maps*, arXiv:0906.3159v1 [math.FA] (2009).
- [7] S. Chauhan, H. Aydi, W. Shatawani and C. Vetro, *Some integral type fixed-point theorems and an application to systems of functional equations*, Vietnam J. Math., 42(1)(2014), 17-37.

- [8] S. Chauhan, M. Imdad, E. Karapinar and B. Fisher, *An integral type fixed point theorem for multi-valued mappings employing strongly tangential property*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., 22(2014).
- [9] S. D. Diwan and R. Gupta, *A common fixed point theorem for Gregus Type mappings*, Thai. J. Math., Article in press.
- [10] A. Djoudi and A. Aliouche, *Common fixed point theorems of Gregus type for weakly compatible mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 329(2007), 31-45.
- [11] A. Djoudi and L. Nisse, *Gregus type fixed points for weakly compatible mappings*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc., 10(2003), 369-378.
- [12] D. Dorić, Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, *A note on occasionally weakly compatible mappings and common fixed point*, Fixed Point Theory, 13(2012), 475-480.
- [13] M. Imdad, J. Ali and M. Tanveer, *Remarks on some recent metrical common fixed point theorems*, Appl. Math. Lett., 24(2011), 1165-1169.
- [14] G. Jungck, *Commuting mappings and fixed points*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 83(4)(1976), 261-263.
- [15] G. Jungck, *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 9(1986), 771-779.
- [16] G. Jungck, P. P. Murthy and Y. J. Cho, *Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points*, Math. Japon., 38(1993), 381-390.
- [17] G. Jungck, *Common fixed points for noncontinuous nonself maps on nonmetric spaces*, Far East J. Math. Sci., 4(2)(1996), 199-215.
- [18] M. M. Gregus Jr, *A fixed point theorem in Banach spaces*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. A., 17(5)(1980), 193-198.
- [19] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, *Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings*, Fixed Point Theory, 9(2008), 383-384.
- [20] R. P. Pant, *A common fixed point theorem under a new condition*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 30(2)(1999), 147-152.
- [21] H. K. Pathak and M. S. Khan, *Compatible mappings of type (B) and common fixed point theorems of Gregus type*, Czechoslovak Math. J., 45(120)(1995), 685-698.
- [22] H. K. Pathak, Y. J. Cho, S. M. Kang and B. S. Lee, *Fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type (P) and application to dynamic programming*, Le Matematiche (Fasc. I), 50(1995), 15-33.
- [23] H. K. Pathak, Y. J. Cho, S. M. Khan and B. Madharia, *Compatible mappings of type (C) and common fixed point theorems of Gregus type*, Demonstratio Math., 31(3)(1998), 499-518.
- [24] H. K. Pathak, R. R. Lôpez and R. K. Verma, *A common fixed point theorem using implicit relation and property (E.A) in metric spaces*, Filomat, 21(2007), 211-234.
- [25] H. K. Pathak and N. Shahzad, *Gregus type fixed point results for tangential mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 16(2)(2009), 277-288,
- [26] R. A. Rashwan and M. A. Ahmed, *Common fixed points of Gregus type multi-valued mappings*, Archivum Math., 38(1)(2002), 37-47.
- [27] V. Popa, *A general fixed point theorem for four weakly compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation*, Filomat, 19(2005), 45-51.
- [28] S. Sessa, *On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations*, Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd, 32(46)(1982), 149-153.
- [29] S. L. Singh and S. N. Mishra, *Coincidence and fixed points of reciprocally continuous and compatible hybrid maps*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 10(2002), 627-635.
- [30] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, *Gregus-type common fixed point theorems for tangential multivalued mappings of integral type in metric spaces*, J. Ineq. Appl., 3(2011), 12 pages.