

International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

# On N-A-Metrically Equivalent and A-Metrically Equivalent Operators

#### Wanjala Victor<sup>1,\*</sup> and Beatrice Adhiambo Obiero<sup>1</sup>

1 Department of Mathematics and Computing, Rongo University, Kitere Hills, Kenya.

**Keywords:** N-A-Metrically equivalent operators, A-Metrically equivalent operators, A-normal and n-A-normal. © JS Publication.

### 1. Introduction

A-metrically equivalent operators may be regarded as a generalization of metrically equivalent operators. This is realized when A = I and  $T^{\sharp} = T^*$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Two operators  $S \in B_A(H)$  and  $T \in B_A(H)$  are said to be:

- (1). A-metrically equivalent, denoted by  $S \sim_{A-m} T$ , provided  $T^{\sharp_A}T = S^{\sharp_A}S$  equivalently;  $|| T\xi ||_A = || S\xi ||_A \quad \forall \xi \in H$ .  $T^{\sharp_A} = A^{\dagger}T^*A$ , in which  $A^{\dagger}$  is the Moore-penrose inverse of A.
- (2). n-A-metrically equivalent, denoted by  $S \sim_{n-A-m} T$ , provided  $T^{\sharp_A} T^n = S^{\sharp_A} S^n$  for a positive integer n.

**Definition 1.2.** An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is

- (1). A-Contraction if  $|| T\xi ||_A \le || \xi ||_A$  for every  $\xi \in H \Leftrightarrow T^*AT \le A$ .
- (2). A-Isometry if  $T^*AT = A \Leftrightarrow ||T\xi||_A = ||\xi||_A$  for every  $\xi \in H$ .
- (3). A-Unitary if  $T^*AT = TAT^* = A \Leftrightarrow ||T^*\xi||_A = ||T\xi||_A = ||\xi||_A$  for every  $\xi \in H$ .
- (4). A-Normal if  $T^*AT = TAT^* \Leftrightarrow ||T\xi||_A = ||T^*\xi||_A$  for every  $\xi \in H$ .
- (5). A-Partial isometry if  $|| T\xi ||_A = || \xi ||_A$  for every  $\xi \in N(AT)^{\perp_A}$ .

Abstract: The study of metric equivalence of operators was covered by Nzimbi in [3] while the study of n-metric equivalence was covered by Wanjala in [5], in this study, we extend the study of metric equivalence and n-metric equivalence of operators to Semi-Hilbertian spaces and look at some their nice algebraic properties and their relation to one another in the semi-Hilbertian spaces.

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: wanjalavictor421@gmail.com

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** If T is an A-normal operator and  $S \in B_A(H)$  is A-unitarily equivalent to T, then S is A-normal.

Proof. Suppose

$$S = (U^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} U)(U^{\sharp_A} T U)$$
$$= U^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} T U$$
$$= U^{\sharp_A} T T^{\sharp_A} U$$
$$= S U^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} U$$
$$= S U^{\sharp_A} U S^{\sharp_A}$$
$$= S P_{\overline{R(A)}} S^{\sharp_A}$$
$$= S S^{\sharp_A}$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let S and T be A-metrically equivalent operators, then  $S^{\sharp_A}$  and  $T^{\sharp_A}$  are co-A-metrically equivalent operators.

*Proof.* Since S and T are A-metrically equivalent operators;

$$T^{\sharp_A}T = S^{\sharp_A}S$$
$$= (T^{\sharp_A}T)^{\sharp_A}$$
$$= (S^{\sharp_A}S)^{\sharp_A}$$
$$= (T^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}(T)^{\sharp_A}$$
$$= (S^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}(S)^{\sharp_A}$$
$$= TT^{\sharp_A}$$
$$= SS^{\sharp_A}$$

Thus  $S^{\sharp_A}$  and  $T^{\sharp_A}$  are co-A-metrically equivalent operators.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $S, T \in B_A(H)$ , then S and T are n-A-metrically equivalent if  $S^n$  and  $T^n$  are A-metrically equivalent operators for some positive definite integer n.

Proof.

$$S^{\sharp_A}S^n = T^{\sharp_A}T^n$$
  
=  $S^n(S^{\sharp_A})^n$   
=  $T^n(T^{\sharp_A})^n$   
=  $S^{\sharp_A}S^n(S^{\sharp_A})^{n-1}$   
=  $T^{\sharp_A}T^n(T^{\sharp_A})^{n-1}$   
=  $S^{\sharp_A}S^nS^{\sharp_A}(S^{\sharp_A})^{n-2}$   
=  $T^{\sharp_A}T^nT^{\sharp_A}(T^{\sharp_A})^{n-2}$ 

98

$$= (S^n)^{\sharp_A} S^n = (T^n)^{\sharp_A} T^n$$

Hence the proof.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $S, T \in B_A(H)$  be both 2-A-metrically equivalent and 3-A-metrically equivalent operators, then they are n-A-metrically equivalent for all  $n \ge .$ 

*Proof.* We first prove that the result holds when n = 4, then by induction we prove that the result holds for all n > 4. Since S and T are 2-A-metrically equivalent;

$$S^{\sharp_A}S^2 = T^{\sharp_A}T^2 \tag{1}$$

post-multiplying both sides of the equation 1 by S and T respectively, we get;

$$S^{\sharp_A}S^3 = T^{\sharp_A}T^3 \tag{2}$$

$$S^2 S^{\sharp_A} S = T^2 T^{\sharp_A} T \tag{3}$$

Pre-multiplying both sides of the equation 3 by S and T respectively, we get;

$$S^3 S^{\sharp_A} S = T^3 T^{\sharp_A} T \tag{4}$$

$$S^{\sharp_A}S^4 = T^{\sharp_A}T^4 \tag{5}$$

Suppose that  $S^{\sharp_A}S^n = T^{\sharp_A}T^n$  and that the result is true for all n > 4, it follows that;  $S^{\sharp_A}S^{n+1} = T^{\sharp_A}T^{n+1}$  and hence S and T are (n+1)-A-metrically equivalent and hence by induction the proof follows.

**Theorem 2.5** ([1]). A necessary and sufficient condition that for an operator  $T \in B_A(H)$  to be A-normal is that  $R(T^{\sharp}T) \subset \overline{R(A)}$  and  $\|T^{\sharp}T\xi\|_A = \|TT^{\sharp}\xi\|_A$  for all  $\xi \in H$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** An operator  $T \in B_A(H)$  is A-normal if and only if T and  $T^{\sharp}$  are A-metrically equivalent.

*Proof.* Proof follows from Theorem 2.1.

**Proposition 2.7.**  $(S - \lambda)$  and  $(T - \lambda)$  are n-A-metrically equivalent if S and T are A-metrically equivalent.

*Proof.* Let  $(S - \lambda)$  and  $(T - \lambda)$  be n-A-metrically equivalent; then

$$(S-\lambda)^{\sharp_A}(S-\lambda)^n = (T-\lambda)^{\sharp_A}(T-\lambda)^n$$

thus

$$(S^{\sharp_{A}} - \overline{\lambda}) \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} \binom{n}{p} S^{n-p} \right) = (T^{\sharp_{A}} - \overline{\lambda}) \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} \binom{n}{p} T^{n-p} \right)$$
$$\left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} \binom{n}{p} \right) S^{\sharp_{A}} S^{n-p} \lambda^{k} = \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} \binom{n}{p} \right) T^{\sharp_{A}} T^{n-p} \lambda^{k}$$
$$\sum_{p=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} \binom{n}{p} (\lambda)^{k} S^{\sharp_{A}} S^{n-p} = \sum_{p=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} \binom{n}{p} (\lambda)^{k} T^{\sharp_{A}} T^{n-p}$$
$$\sum_{p=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} \binom{n}{p} (\lambda)^{k} (S^{\sharp_{A}} S^{n-p} - T^{\sharp_{A}} T^{n-p}) = 0$$

when k = n, hence

$$(-1)^{n-1}n(\lambda)^{n-1}(S^{\sharp_A}S^{n-p} - T^{\sharp_A}T^{n-p}) + \sum_{p=1}^{n-2}(-1)^k \binom{n}{p}(\lambda)^k(\lambda)^k(S^{\sharp_A}S^{n-p} - T^{\sharp_A}T^{n-p}) = 0$$

put  $\lambda = qe^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ , q > 0, we get;

$$(-1)^{n-1}n(qe^{i\theta})^{n-1}(S^{\sharp_A}S^{n-p} - T^{\sharp_A}T^{n-p}) + \sum_{p=1}^{n-2}(-1)^k \binom{n}{p}(qe^{i\theta})^p(S^{\sharp_A}S^{n-p} - T^{\sharp_A}T^{n-p})$$

hence;

$$(-1)^{n-1}(S^{\sharp_A}S - T^{\sharp_A}T) + \frac{1}{n(qe^{i\theta})^{n-1}} + \left(\sum_{p=1}^{n-2} (-1)^k \binom{n}{p} (qe^{i\theta})^p (S^{\sharp_A}(S^{n-p} - T^{\sharp_A}T^{n-p}))\right)$$

taking  $q \to \infty$  we obtain;

$$S^{\sharp_A}S - T^{\sharp_A}T = 0$$
 implying  $S^{\sharp_A}S = T^{\sharp_A}T$ 

**Lemma 2.8.** Let S and T be linear operators on a Hilbert space H. If  $S \sim_{A-m} T$ , then

- (1). If T is A-isometric, then S is also A-isometric.
- (2). If T is an A-contraction, then S is also an A-contraction.
- (3). If T is an A-partial isometry, then S is also an A-partial isometry.
- (4). If S and T are A-positive, then S = T.

Proof.

- (1). The proof follows from  $S^{\sharp_A}S = T^{\sharp_A}T = P_{\overline{R(A)}}$ .
- (2). This follows from  $|| T\xi ||_A = || S\xi ||_A \le || \xi ||_A$  for every  $\xi \in H$ .
- (3). If T is an A-partial isometry, then  $T^{\sharp_A}T$  is a projection. Since  $S \sim_{A-m} T$ , we have  $S^{\sharp_A}S = T^{\sharp_A}T$ . This proves that  $S^{\sharp_A}S$  is a projection and hence S is an A-partial isometry.
- (4). Positivity of S and T implies that S and T are A-self adjoint. Thus  $S^2 = SS^{\sharp_A}$  and  $T^2 = T^{\sharp_A}T$ . By hypothesis, we have  $S^2 = T^2$ , thus S = T.

#### References

- Adel Saddi, A-Normal operator in Semi Hilbertian spaces, Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 9(2012), 1-12.
- [2] A. A. Jibril, On n-power normal operators, The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 33(2008), 247-251.
- [3] B. M. Nzimbi, G. P. Pokhariyal and S. K. Moindi, A Note on Metric Equivalence of some Operators, Far East J.F Math. Sci. (FJMS), 75(2013), 301-318.
- [4] S. A. Alzuraiqi and A. B. Patel, On n-Normal Operators, General mathematics Notes, 1(2)(2010), 61-73.
- [5] Wanjala Victor, R. K. Obogi and M. O. Okoya, On N-Metric equivalence of Operators, International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications, 8(1)(2020), 107-109.