

Indoor sensitizers of allergy and asthma in coastal and non-coastal regions of Saudi Arabia

Syed M. Hasnain¹*, Alanoud A. AlQassim¹, Halima A. Alsini¹, Abdulrahman S. Al-Frayh², Futwan A. Almohanna¹, Mohamed M. Shoukri¹ and Sultan T. Al-Sedairy¹

¹King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre, P.O. Box. 3354 Riyadh 11211, MBC:03, KSA. ²Department of Pediatric, College of Medicine, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2925 (39), Riyadh 11461, Saudi Arabia.

Accepted 8 November, 2018

ABSTRACT

Allergic diseases such as bronchial asthma and allergic rhinitis have increased in the pediatric and adults populations in Saudi Arabia. Apart from traditional lifestyle, the hot temperatures force families to spend more time indoors, resulting in high probability of individuals' exposure with the indoor allergy sensitizers. In order to evaluate their impact in the allergic population, a nationwide study of various allergy and asthma sensitizers was conducted simultaneously in several cities of Saudi Arabia including coastal and non-coastal regions, during 2015-2016. A total of 560 house dust samples (HDS) from 164 allergic patients and 396 control homes were collected in sterile ziploc bags, by vacuuming from seven regions. Samples were sieved, extracted in PBS-Ph8 and analyzed by ELISA using seven different antibodies from Indoor Biotechnologies (Cardiff-UK). The targeted allergens included Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus (Der p1), Dermatophagoides farinae (Der f1), Blattella germanica (Bla g1, Bla g2), Felis domesticus (Fel d1), Rattus norvegicus (Rat n1) and Blomia tropicalis (Blo t5). Chi-square test and odd ratio to test the association between patients and controls as well as detection rate in coastal and non-coastal cities were conducted. The analyses of data between patients and controls as well as coastal verses non-coastal regions revealed quantitative variations in their threshold values. Der p1, Der f1 and Blo t5, the three house dust mites (HDM) antigens were higher in the coastal regions compared to non-coastal. While the other allergens viz. Bla g1. Bla g2, Fel d1 and Rat n1, exhibit an opposite trend. Significant levels for Bla g1 in Makkah (p < 0.0001) and Riyadh (p < 0.0006), Rat n1 (p < 0.0001) and Blo t5 (p < 0.0038) for Riyadh were obtained. The results are expected to help physicians, allergists and hospitals in selection of appropriate diagnostic test panels and may further help in therapeutic and preventive approaches on a regional basis.

Keywords: Asthma, indoor allergens, allergic disease, sensitizers, house dust.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: hasnain@kfshrc.edu.sa.

INTRODUCTION

A number of factors play a role in the development of allergy and asthma, including genetic, environmental, dietary, and occupation. Indoor factors may include house dust mites, cats, dogs, cockroaches and mice (Moorman et al., 2007; Custovic and Simpson, 2012; Mukherjee and Zhang, 2011; Custovic et al., 2010).

Bronchial asthma is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality among allergic individuals, and indoor allergen exposure is an important risk factor for asthma in children. Strong evidence has revealed associations between indoor allergens and initiation, promotion and exacerbation of allergic respiratory disease (Sheehan et al., 2017; Asher and Pearce, 2014). The prevalence of asthma is increasing despite advances in its treatment and understanding of its pathogenesis (Yan et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2016; Alavinezhad and Boskabady, 2018).

Studies have shown links between the concentration of allergens in homes and asthma. Levels of exposure, determined by house dust analysis, are important determinants of sensitization (Raja et al., 2010). Some of the most important indoor allergens are house dust mites, *Der p1* (*Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*), *Der f1* (*Dermatophagoides farina*), *Blo t5* (*Blomia tropicalis*). *Fel d1* (*Felis domesticus*) from cats, *Bla g1*, *Bla g2* (*Blattella germanica*) from cockroaches and *Rat n1* (*Rattus norvegicus*) from mice.

Sensitization to house dust mites (HDM) appears to play an important role in the progression from allergic rhinitis to asthma in children, and is associated with asthma in all age groups (Biagtan et al., 2014; Loo et al., 2016). Cat allergy is also of great importance, and its prevalence is increasing worldwide. Cat sensitization and allergy are known risk factors for rhinitis, bronchial hyperreactivity and asthma (Kelly et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2013; Eder et al., 2016).

Cockroach allergens were found to be a common source of allergens. Exposure to high levels of cockroach allergens is a major risk factor for sensitized individuals, leading to worst asthma control, and increased airway inflammation (Bassirpour and Zoratti, 2014; Uzela et al., 2005; Arruda et al., 2001).

Rats and mouse allergens have long been recognized as important cause of allergy and have been implicated in asthma/allergic diseases in community settings (Matsui, 2009).

In Saudi Arabia, limited studies have been conducted as regard to indoor allergens (Almogren, 2009; Al-Qurashi, 2006; Hasnain et al., 2001; Hasnain et al., 2004; Koshak, 2006; Hasnain and Al-Frayh, 2015; Hasnain et al., 2012).

One study has indicated that 75% of allergic patients reacted to one or more allergen extracts. The most frequent reacting indoor allergen was house dust mite (77.8%), followed by cat (33.6%) and cockroach (19.2%) (Almogren, 2009).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of samples from patients (P) and control (C) homes

House dust samples were randomly collected from allergic patients attending regional allergy clinics and reporting symptoms of bronchial asthma, allergic rhinitis, and/or rhinoconjunctivitis. The physicians in the clinics were responsible for their diagnosis and treatment.

The samples were collected using a non-hepa filter vacuum cleaner (5970121 Shop.Vac ® Model: K12-SQ14, 1400 Watts). However, because of the cultural reasons, entry to every family home was a difficult task. Thus, we had to request a number of patients (identified by clinics) and control occupants in Riyadh to collect the samples using their own vacuum cleaners. Same procedure was adopted for patients by other regional allergy clinics. The protocol for dust sample collection was based on using a new vacuuming bag for each sample (home) and transferring the dust in a sterile plastic (ziploc) bag. The selected locations within any premises were vacuumed for a total of 5-10 min mainly bedding, mattress, curtains and carpeted areas.

Control homes samples from 11 different cities were also provided by individuals through the clinics. These individuals were friends and relatives of allergy patients and no one was known to have any allergic symptoms in those homes.

As the aim of this study was to detect indoor/sensitizers by analyzing the house dust samples only, this did not include any diagnostic procedure on any patient at any region. However, the results obtained in our study are being provided to all participating clinics for future diagnosis and follow up. It must, therefore, be noted, that no patient was recruited for diagnostic purposes and as such no patient inclusion and exclusion was adopted. The only inclusion and exclusion applied in this study was, that there must be one or more people suffering from respiratory allergy symptoms (pre-determined by the clinics) living in the home. While for the control homes no individuals were known to have any allergic symptoms.

Out of 675 house dust samples collected 115 were not enough for extraction and analysis and thus discarded. A total of 560 samples from 164 patient homes and 396 control homes were accepted and analyzed.

All collected HDS were cleaned in the laminar flow cabinet, separating the bigger particles and sieving the samples. For each sample all information, where possible, such as collection date, name, address, location and contact person, were recorded in the database.

Sampling regions

Samples were collected from major cities in Saudi Arabia. This included: Riyadh, Qassim, Jouf, Arar, Abha, Makkah AlMukarama (non-coastal regions), Jeddah, Dammam, Jizan, Alwajh (coastal regions).

Antibodies selected

These antibodies were purchased from Indoor Biotechnologies, (Cardiff – UK): Der p1 (*Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*), Der f1 (*Dermatophagoides farinae*), Blo t5 (*Blomia tropicalis*), Fel d1 (*Felis domesticus*), Bla g1, Bla g2 (*Blattella germanica*) and Rat n1 (*Rattus norvegicus*).

Table 1 is adapted from (Chapman, 2010) as a guideline only for the risk of sensitization for various groups of allergens.

The thresholds for sensitization levels (clinically significant levels) are different for each indoor allergen (Chapman, 2010).

Dust extraction

A 100 \pm 5 mg dust samples (sieved) were extracted with 2 ml of phosphate-buffer saline with Tween 20 (PBS-T). Phosphate buffer (8.0 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl, 1.15 g Na2HPO4, 0.20 KH2PO4, Thimerosal 0.10 g in 1 L distilled water, pH 7.4) contained 0.05 % Tween 20 (3, 22). Extraction was performed at room temperature for 2 h, with constant shaking. Dust extract was centrifuged for 30 min at 4000 rpm. Supernatants were stored at -20°C until analyzed for allergen content.

Allergen levels (Der p1, Der f1, Blo t5, Fel d1, Bla g1, Bla g2, and Rat n1) in the dust were measured using ELISA assay.

Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay (ELISA)

Microtiter plates (NUNC Maxisorp. Cert- Thermo scientific, USA) were coated with anti-monoclonal antibody (10 μ l per 10 ml of 50 mmol L⁻¹ sodium carbonate buffer, pH 9.6), covered and incubated at 4°C overnight. Capture antibody was diluted immediately before use. After washing with PBS-T (three times), the plates were blocked with 1% BSA-PBS-T (100 μ l) for 30 min and washed. The plates were incubated with diluted samples and standards for 1 h.

Table 1. Allergen Exposure threshold for sensitization (Chapman, 2010).

Risk for sensitization	Mite group 1 (µg/g)	Fel d 1 (µg/g)	Can f 1 (µg/g)	Bla g 1 (U/g)	Bla g 2 (µg/g)
High	> 10	1-8	1-8	> 8	> 1
Medium	2-10	8-20	8-20	1-8	0.08-0.4
Low	< 0.3	<0.5	<0.5	<0.6	<< 0.08

Then the wells were washed (three times) with PBS-T and treated with biotinylated antibody (10 μ l per 10 ml of BSA-PBS-T) for 1 h and washed. All wells were then incubated with Streptavidin –HRP or Goat anti rabbit peroxidase for 30 min and washed. A substrate solution of ABTS/peroxide was added and colour (green) was developed for 15 min. The optical density was read after 10 min at 405 nm on BioTek ELISA microplate reader (Gen5). Following the protocol of the kit controls were added to the respective wells. Measurements were done semi-automatically.

Computer-based curve-fitting statistical software (B.E.N version 2) was used to calculate concentrations of allergens from the calibrating curve prepared by dilution of standard stock solution. Results were calculated as microgram of allergen per gram of dust (μ g/g).

As per the antibodies manufacturer, the lower limit of detection was 1.01 μ g /g dust for Der p1, Der f1, Blo t5, Bla g2. And were 0.004 μ g /g for Rat n1, Fel d1 and Bla g1.

RESULTS

As the samples were collected from both patients and control homes in coastal and non-coastal regions, the results obtained are summarized in Figures 1 to 3 and Table 2. Table 2 shows coastal and non-coastal cities and the number of patients and control homes samples were collected through their regional clinics.

Figure 1 displays that house dust mite allergens (Der p1, Der f1, and Blo t5) were higher in coastal regions, whereas other allergens (Fel d1, Blag 1, Bla g2 and Rat n1) were higher in non-coastal regions.

Figure 2 exhibits results of all samples in low, medium and high concentration levels. This data for 560 samples was summarized in three categories in order to correlate the quantitative values presented in Table 1. The mean value of all allergens detected was 85% for low level, 11% for high level and only 4% for medium level.

Because of the variations in threshold level of different allergens and the known sensitizing effect of low to medium level, and the known effect of high concentration level in desensitization (Chapman, 2010), a clear comparison between the patients and control for the collected samples is only possible by individual allergens and not with all allergens. Therefore, these comparative data have been provided in the statistical part of the publication (Forest Plot, Figure 3), showing 3 allergens viz. Blo t5, Der p1 and Der f1 with medium level having significant level for detection and exposure.

In addition, the detection rate of the three individual allergens mentioned in figure 3 (forest plot) emphasizes that allergic patients are likely to have more exposure probability and possibilities with the 3 allergens mentioned above compared to others. Since these were not found in significant detection rate in non-coastal regions, therefore their exposure possibility in patients or susceptible patients is likely to be limited.

Table 2 exhibits a comparative data of detection rate (DR) between the patient and the control samples. The significant detection rate was obtained for Der p1 (< 0.0001), Rat n1 (< 0.0001) and *Blo t5* (p < 0.0038) in Riyadh region. The significant detection rate for Bla g1 was obtained in both Makkah (p < 0.0001) and Riyadh (p < 0.0006).

Statistical analysis

Chi-square test (SAS) was used to test the association between cases and controls for all levels of each allergen. The comparative data for patients and control were available only for 4 cities. This included Riyadh, Makkah, Dammam and Jouf while rest of the regions provided either patient or control samples, making a comparative analysis irrelevant. The results are summarized in Table 3. We also used the Odds ratio to test the association between coastal and detection rates for each level of each allergen. The results are summarized in a forest plot (Figure 3). We detected significant odds ratio (association between detection rates and region (coastal versus non-coastal) for only for Blot5_L, Derf1_M, and Derp1_M.

DISCUSSION

This study is first of its kind for the analyses of various indoor allergens conducted simultaneously for the comparison of data between coastal and non-coastal homes in Saudi Arabia.

The results revealed that seven different allergens were present in Saudi Arabia but with quantitative variation and regional diversity. The data further revealed that there was a high prevalence of house dust mites (HDM) in the coastal regions compared to the non-coastal regions. This trend was quite opposite at non-coastal cities where the other allergens appeared to be more common and frequent than the coastal cities.

Most of the detected level of allergens was low. This is an interesting observation as Chapman's study (Chapman, 2010) hypothesizes that the "lower level" of any allergens at home does not reduce the risk for



Figure 1. Percentages of multiple sensitizers in coastal and non-coastal samples (n = 560).



Figure 2. Low, medium and high levels of indoor allergens in patient and controls samples (n = 560).

sensitization. He explains that high exposure level of allergens, for example *Fel d1* with more than 20 μ g/g, give rise to a modified TH2 response. In other words, it induces tolerance in patient resulting in low prevalence of IgE antibody responses. He further explains that the low dose exposure to cat allergen (1 to 2 μ g/g) is strongly associated with the development of IgE antibody.

HDMs allergens level in coastal regions is consistent to international finding that relative humidity >70% help thrive HDMs which is generally expected in all coastal regions world over (Biagtan et al., 2014).

Studies have shown that mouse allergen is detectable

in most US homes, with strikingly high levels in some inner cities (Matsui, 2009). However, sensitization seems to occur at low levels of exposure (Pongracic et al., 2008) which supports our findings.

Allergen exposure is not limited to private homes. Mite, cat, and dog allergens were measured in day care centers (Matsui et al., 2016; Sander et al., 2016), and schools where domestic animals are kept as pets and for education. Sensitive children in schools and individuals working in the animal industries, animal farming etc. may be exposed to higher level of allergens. Schools play an important role in harboring various indoor allergens

	Experim	nental	C	ontrol							
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds	Ratio		OR	9	95%-CI	Weight
Blag1 H	2	69	30	288		4		0.26	[0 06 [.]	1 101	1.6%
Blag1 L	37	104	158	416		+-		0.90	[0.58	1.411	16.9%
Blag1 M	0	67	7	265		╂		0.26	0.01;	4.531	0.4%
Blag2 H	3	81	18	308		÷#		0.62	0.18	2.16	2.2%
Blag2_L	26	104	147	434		i.		0.65	[0.40;	1.06	14.3%
Blag2_M	0	78	1	288				1.22	[0.05;	30.26]	0.3%
Blot5_L	13	100	47	453		÷		1.29	[0.67;	2.49]	7.8%
Blot5_M	7	94	0	406		→		69.69	[3.94; 12	231.53]	0.4%
Derf1_L	61	98	38	449		-		17.83	[10.53;	30.19]	12.2%
Derf1_M	9	46	0	411		—	+	208.49	[11.90; 3	653.01]	0.4%
Derp1_L	46	97	62	453				5.69	[3.52;	9.19]	14.7%
Derp1_M	10	61	0	391		—	+	159.64	[9.22; 2	765.01]	0.4%
Feld1_H	5	27	25	59		-1		0.31	[0.10;	0.93]	2.8%
Feld1_L	81	102	394	428	+	li		0.33	[0.18;	0.60]	9.6%
Ratn1_H	31	107	170	453				0.68	[0.43;	1.07]	16.0%
Fixed effect model	2	1235		5502		\$		1.45	[1.21;	1.74]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 93$	3%, τ ² = 2.	.002, p	< 0.01		I I		I				
					0 0.1	1 10	1000				

Figure 3. Forest plot for the odds ratio showing significant difference in detection rates between coastal and noncoastal for Blot5_LDerf1_M, and Derp1_M.

City		No. of controls samples	No. of patients samples	Total	
Non-coastal cities	Riyadh	238	44	282	
	Makkah	48	62	110	
	Qassim	-	25	25	450
	Abha	-	12	12	453
	Al-Jouf	6	14	20	
	Arar	4	-	4	
Coastal cities	Dammam	9	2	11	
	Jeddah	50	-	50	107
	Wajh	41	-	41	107
	Jizan	-	5	5	
Total		396	164	56	0

Table 2. Number of samples for patients and control from each city

carried in school bags and stuffs from different socioeconomic group (Cyprowski et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2006; Salo et al., 2009; Lim et al., 2015).

Avoidance of exposure to these allergens continues to be important especially given that the vast majority of children with asthma are sensitized to at least one indoor allergen (Sheehan and Phipatanakul, 2016).

A significant association was found between the visual observation of dust inside homes and the sensitivity of children to dust mites (Alvarez-Chavez et al., 2016).

The advent of new advances in technology, molecular biology and proteomics has led to the identification, cloning, and expression of new indoor allergens, which has facilitated research to elucidate their role in allergic diseases (Pomes et al., 2016). For example, understanding cat allergens from scientific name Fel d1 to Fel d 8 is the gift of molecular biology and proteomics. The Fel d1, proteins come from saliva while Fel d2 proteins come from the cat urine. Hens, it will be helpful to allergist and physicians to know that Fel d1 is more relevant as diagnostic allergen than Fel d2 because the salivary protein becomes airborne and are inhaled.

Research progress in indoor allergens is likely to result in the development of new diagnostic tools and the design of coherent strategies of immunotherapy, as well as aid the design of future public health interventions.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that there are different types of indoor allergenic sensitizers present in Saudi homes with

Allergen		Riyadh	Makkah	Dammam	Jouf
Der p 1	DR patient	54.55	1.61	100	100
	DR control	10.92	0	0	100
	P value	< 0.0001	0.3767	0.0009	0
	DR patient	9.09	4.84	50	10
Der f 1	DR control	10.92	4.17	44.44	0
	P value	0.7171	0.8667	0.8865	0.4237
	DR patient	0	100	100	100
Blo t 5	DR control	13.83	100	100	100
	P value	0.0038	0	0	0
	DR patient	88.64	95.16	100	70
Fel d 1	DR control	92.44	91.67	88.89	100
	P value	0.3974	0.4565	0.621	0.1366
Bla g 1					
	DR patient	65.91	20.97	100	80
	DR control	38.24	62.5	11.11	100
	P value	0.0006	< 0.0001	0.0107	0.2416
Bla g 2					
	DR patient	52.27	19.35	100	50
	DR control	33.61	47.92	77.78	0
	P value	0.0182	0.0014	0.4611	0.0367
	DR patient	65.91	35.48	0	0
Rat n 1	DR control	34.45	58.33	44.44	16.67
	P value	< 0.0001	0.017	0.2373	0.1824

Table 3. Detection rates (DR) in patient and control with their P value.

Note: Since we have 7 different antibodies and the unit of each AB is different, we included Chapman's table as a reference for both unit and levels of each allergen.

quantitative variations. HDMs allergens are dominant in the coastal regions, while other allergens are more prevalent in non-coastal regions.

As mentioned earlier, the low level of allergens contributes more towards sensitization than the higher level which may induce desensitization, (medically known as reversal of TH2 to TH1 responses). Thus, our findings emphasize the contribution of low level sensitizers towards allergic sensitization and disease manifestation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by a grant from National Science and Technology Innovation Planning KACST (NSTIP) project no. 13-BIO814-20 and approved by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). The authors wish to acknowledge Ms. Cheryl Mijares-Oblea, Allergy and Medical Aerobiology Research at King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre, for her typographical assistance.

REFERENCES

- Alavinezhad A, Boskabady MH, 2018. The prevalence of asthma and related symptoms in Middle East countries. Clin Respir J, 12: 865-877.
- Almogren A, 2009. Airway allergy and skin reactivity to aeroallergens in Riyadh. Saudi Med J, 30: 392-396.
- Al-Qurashi AM, 2006. House dust mites and allergic manifestations among some children in Dammam, Saudi Arabia. J Egypt Soc Parasitol, 36: 283-288.
- Álvarez-Chávez CR, Flores-Bernal JL, Esquer-Peralta J, Munguía-Vega NE, Corella-Madueño MA, Rascón-Careaga A, Turcotte D, Velázquez-Contreras LE, 2016. Detection of allergen sources in the homes of sensitized children. Environ Health Prev Med, 21(6): 531-538.
- Arruda LK, Vailes LD, Ferriani VP, Santos AB, Pomés A, Chapman MD, 2001. Cockroach allergens and asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 107(3): 419-428.
- Asher I, Pearce N, 2014. Global burden of asthma among children. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis, 18(11): 1269-1278.
- Bassirpour G, Zoratti E, 2014. Cockroach allergy and allergen-specific immunotherapy in asthma: Potential and pitfalls. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol, 14(6): 535–541.
- Biagtan M, Viswanathan R, Bush RK, 2014. Immunotherapy for house dust mite sensitivity: where are the knowledge gaps? Curr Allergy Asthma Rep, 14(12): 482.

- Chapman MD, 2010. Indoor Allergens. In: Donald L, editor. Pediatric Allergy Principles and Practice. 2nd (Eds.) Mosby; Chapter 25. pp: 266-73.
- **Chen** Y, Wong GW, Li J, **2016**. Environmental exposure and genetic predisposition as risk factors for asthma in China. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res, 8(2): 92-100.
- Custovic A, Simpson A, 2012. The role of inhalant allergens in allergic airways disease. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol, 22(6): 393-401.
- Custovic A, Simpson A, Bardin PG, Le Souef P, 2010. Allergy is an important factor in asthma exacerbation: a pro/con debate. Respirology, 15: 1021-1027.
- Cyprowski M, Buczyńska A, Szadkowska-Stańczyk I, 2013. Indoor allergens in settled dust from kindergartens in city of Łódź, Poland. Int J Occup Med Environ Health, 26(6): 890–899.
- Eder K, Becker S, San Nicoló M, Berghaus A, Gröger M, 2016. Usefulness of component resolved analysis of cat allergy in routine clinical practice. Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol, 12: 58.
- Hasnain SM, Al-Frayh AR, 2015. Aeroallergenic Profile of Indoor Allergens and their Clinical Relevance in Allergy and Asthma Patients in Saudi Arabia. XXIV World Allergy Congress, At Coex Convention Center, Seoul, Korea. October.
- Hasnain SM, Al-Frayh AR, Al-Sedairy ST, 2001. Impact of indoor allergens in pediatric asthma. Conference on Child Health and 9th Conference of the Union of Arab Societies, Jeddah, 6-8 November.
- Hasnain SM, Al-Frayh AR, Subiza JL, Fernández-Caldas E, Casanovas M, Geith T, Gad-El-Rab MO, Koshak E, Al-Mehdar H, Al-Sowaidi S, Al-Matar H, Khouqeer R, Al-Abbad K, Al-Yamani M, Alaqi E, Musa OA, Al-Sedairy S, 2012. Sensitization to indigenous pollen and molds and other outdoor and indoor allergens in allergic patients from Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, and Sudan. World Allergy Organ J, 5(6): 59–65.
- Hasnain SM, Al-Frayh AS, Al-Suwaine A, Gad-El-Rab MO, Fatima K, Al-Sedairy S, 2004. Cladosporium and respiratory allergy: Diagnostic implications in Saudi Arabia. Mycopathologia, 157(2): 171-179.
- Kelly LA, Erwin EA, Platts-Mills TA, 2012. The indoor air and asthma: the role of cat allergens. Curr Opin Pulm Med, 18(1): 29-34.
- Koshak EA, 2006. Skin Test Reactivity to Indoor Allergens Correlates with Asthma Severity in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol. 2(1): 11–19.
- Lim FL, Hashim Z, Than LT, Md Said S, Hisham Hashim J, Norbäck D, 2015. Asthma, airway symptoms and rhinitis in office workers in Malaysia: Associations with house dust mite (HDM) allergy, cat allergy and levels of house dust mite allergens in office dust. PLoS One, 10(4): e0124905.
- Loo EX, Sim JZ, Goh A, Teoh OH, Chan YH, Saw SM, Kwek K, Gluckman PD, Godfrey KM, Van Bever H, Chong YS, Lee BW, Kramer MS, Shek LP, 2016. Predictors of allergen sensitization in Singapore children from birth to 3 years. Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol.12: 56.
- Matsui EC, 2009. Role of mouse allergens in allergic disease. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep, 9(5):370-5.
- Matsui EC, Abramson SL, Sandel MT, 2016. Indoor environmental control practices and asthma management. Pediatrics, 138(5). pii: e20162589.
- Moorman JE, Rudd RA, Johnson CA, King M, Minor P, Bailey C, Scalia MR, Akinbami LJ; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2007. National surveillance for asthma--United States 1980– 2004. MMWR. Surveill Summ, 56(8): 1–54.
- Mukherjee AB, Zhang Z, 2011. Allergic Asthma: Influence of Genetic and Environmental Factors. J Biol Chem, 286(38): 32883–32889.
- Patel D, Couroux P, Hickey P, Salapatek AM, Laidler P, Larché M, Hafner RP, 2013. Fel d 1-derived peptide antigen desensitization shows a persistent treatment effect 1 year after the start of dosing: a randomized, placebo-controlled study. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 131(1): 103-109.

- Pomés A, Chapman MD, Wünschmann S, 2016. Indoor allergens and allergic respiratory disease. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep, 16(6): 43.
- Pongracic JA, Visness CM, Gruchalla RS, Evans R 3rd, Mitchell HE, 2008. Effect of mouse allergen and rodent environmental intervention on asthma in inner-city children. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol, 101(1): 35-41.
- Raja S, Xu Y, Ferro AR, Jaques PA, Hopke PK, 2010. Resuspension of indoor aeroallergens and relationship to lung inflammation in asthmatic children. Environ Int, 36(1): 8-14.
- Salo PM, Sever ML, Zeldin DC, 2009. Indoor allergens in school and day care environments. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 124(2): 185-192.
- Sander I, Neumann HD, Lotz A, Czibor C, Zahradnik E, Flagge A, Faller I, Buxtrup M, Brüning T, Raulf M, 2016. Allergen quantification in surface dust samples from German day care centers. J Toxicol Environ Health A, 79(22-23): 1094-1105.
- Sheehan WJ, Permaul P, Petty CR, Coull BA, Baxi SN, Gaffin JM, Lai PS, Gold DR7, Phipatanakul W, 2017. Association between Allergen Exposure in Inner-City Schools and Asthma Morbidity Among Students. JAMA Pediatr, 171(1):31-38.
- Sheehan WJ, Phipatanakul W, 2016. Indoor allergen exposure and asthma outcomes. Curr Opin Pediatr, 28(6): 772-777.
- Üzela A, Çapana N, Canbakana S, Yurdakul AS, Dursun B, 2005. Evaluation of the relationship between cockroach sensitivity and house-dust-mite sensitivity in Turkish asthmatic patients. Respiratory Medicine, 99(8): 1032–1037.
- Wang IJ, Tung TH, Tang CS, Zhao ZH, 2016. Allergens, air pollutants, and childhood allergic diseases. Int J Hyg Environ Health, 219(1): 66-71.
- Yan DC, Chung FF, Lin SJ, Wan GH, 2016. The relationships among Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus exposure, exhaled nitric oxide, and exhaled breath condensate pH levels in atopic asthmatic children. Medicine, 95(39): e4825.
- **Zhao** ZH, Elfman L, Wang ZH, Zhang Z, Norbäck D, **2006.** A comparative study of asthma, pollen, cat and dog allergy among pupils and allergen levels in schools in Taiyuan city, China, and Uppsala, Sweden. Indoor Air, 16(6): 404-413.

Citation: Hasnain SM, AlQassim AA, Alsini HA, Al-Frayh AS, Almohanna FA, Shoukri MM, Al-Sedairy ST, 2018. Indoor sensitizers of allergy and asthma in coastal and non-coastal regions of Saudi Arabia. Int Res J Med Med Sci, 6(4): 113-119.