NAAS Rating: 3.78

EFFECT OF BIO-FERTILIZERS ON YIELD AND QUALITY PARAMETERS OF CAULIFLOWER (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. botrytis) CV. PUSA SNOWBALL K-1

Bhag Chand, M.L. Meena*, Kusum Meena and B. C. Shivran

Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences and Technology Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, (A Central University), Vidya Vihar, Rae Bareli Road, Lucknow-226025 *Corresponding Author's Email- drmeena1977@gmail.com

ABSTRACT : The present study examined the effect of bio-fertilizers on growth of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *botrytis*) cv. Pusa Snowball K-1. The study was conducted during Rabi 2015-2016 at Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh. The treatment combination of bio-fertilizers was studied with different doses as T₁ (Control), T₂ (FYM, 20 t/ha.), T₂ (Vermicompost, 5 t/ha.), T₄ (*Azotobacter*, 2 kg/ha.), T₅ (PSB, 2 kg/ha.), T₆ (Neem Cake, 10 t/ha.), T₇ (FYM + Vermicompost, 5 t/ha.), T₈ (FYM + *Azotobacter*), T₉ (FYM + PSB), T₁₀ (FYM + Neem Cake), T₁₁ (FYM + Vermicompost + *Azotobacter*) and T₁₂ (FYM + Vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB). Cauliflower growth including yield and quality parameters i.e. curd weight (g), curd diameter (cm), length of stalk (cm), yield per plot (kg), yield (q/ha) ascorbic acid and acidity respectively. Significant differences were observed for all the above mentioned parameters across the biofertilizer doses in cauliflower under Lucknow conditions.

Keywords : Bio-fertilizers, cauliflower, vermicompost, Azotobacter, Pusa Snowball K-1

Cauliflower (Brassica oleracea L. var. botrytis) is an important member of Cruciferae family and has probably been rightly described as an aristocrat of Cole group. The name cauliflower consists of two Latin words namely 'caulis' means cabbage and 'floris' means flower. It is grown throughout the country for its tender curds which are used as vegetable, soup and for pickling. It is the only vegetable crop which is next to potato. India is the largest producer of cauliflower in the world. In India, area under cauliflower is 434 thousand hectares with production potential of 8573 thousand metric tones and productivity 19.8 million tones/ha (NHB, 2013-14). This data shows that cauliflower productivity is more in India than the world. Important cauliflower growing states in India are Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Orissa, West Bengal, Assam, Haryana and Maharashtra. It is also commonly grown in Northern Himalayas and Nilgiri Hills in South India.

Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) is the maintenance of soil fertility and plant nutrient supply to an optimum level for sustaining the desired crop productivity. The appropriate combination of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures and bio-fertilizers varies according to the system of land use, ecological, social and economic conditions. The integrated plant nutrient supply and management system aims at sustaining productivity with minimum deleterious effect on soil health and environment. The system enhances nutrient

	Article's	History:	
Received: 06-02-2018		Accepted:	<i>03-03-2018</i>

use efficiency, maintains soil health, enhances yield and reduces cost of cultivation. Integrated nutrient management is more important and relevant in the hills where inorganic fertilizer consumption is much less than in the plains. Integrated nutrient management is a balanced use of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures, crop residues and bio-fertilizers in combination to maintain the desired crop production along with maintenance of soil health. The integrated nutrient management paves the way to overcome these problems, which involves conjunctive use of chemical fertilizers and organic manures to sustain crop production as well as maintenance of soil health (Nanjappa *et al.,* 6).

Systematic approach to nutrient management by tapping all possible sources of organic and inorganic in a judicious manner to maintain soil fertility and crop productivity is the essence of integrated nutrient management (INM). In addition, utilization of bio-fertilizers, which have ability to enrich the soil with beneficial microorganisms as well as to mobilize the nutritionally important elements from non-usable to usable forms through biological processes resulting in enhanced production of fruits and vegetables offer an alternative (Purkayastha et al., 8). The use of bio-fertilizers in combination with organic manures offers a great opportunity to increase the production as well as quality of cauliflower. Among the Farm Yard Manure (FYM), Vermicompost, Azotobacter. Phosphorus Solubilizing Bacteriya (PSB), Neem cake also helps in plant growth and increases the yield of

crops by improving root development, mineral uptake etc. The positive role of these bio-fertilizers has been recorded in many vegetables and spice crops by different scientists. To maintain long term soil health and productivity there is a need for integrated nutrient management through manures and bio-fertilizers apart from costly chemical fertilizers for better yield of the crop. The use of compost and vermicompost has also been observed to improve plant growth and quality. Numerous studies on vermicompost and compost from various sources have been found to promote root formation, increase fruit setting and yield (Arancon et al., 2) and also increase plant dry mass (Subler et al., 10). It has also been reported that the increase in yield, chlorophyll production and fruit quality of tomatoes was due to improvement of uptake of N, P and K from vermicompost (Tejada et al., 11). In addition, vermicompost and manure were reported to affect the chemical composition and quality of the marketable produce (Lazcano et al., 4).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment the aim of work was to study the impact of bio-fertilizers on vegetative growth of cauliflower cv. Pusa Snowall K-1 was carried out during November 2015 to January 2016. The experiment was carried out at the Horticulture Research Farm, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A Central University) Vidhya Vihar, Raebareli Road, Lucknow. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) and replicated in thrice. The treatment combination are T₁ (Control), T₂ (FYM, 20 t/ha.), T₂ (Vermicompost, 5 t/ha.), T₄ (Azotobacter, 2 kg/ha.), T₅ (PSB, 2 kg/ha.), T₆ (Neem Cake, 10 t/ha.), T₇ (FYM + Vermicompost, 5 t/ha.), T₈ (FYM + Azotobacter), T₉ (FYM + PSB), T₁₀ (FYM + Neem Cake), T₁₁ (FYM + Vermicompost + Azotobacter) and T₁₂ (FYM + Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB). The observation was made on the following parameters curd weight (g), curd diameter (cm), length of stalk (cm), yield per plot (kg) and yield (g/ha), respectively. Ascorbic acid content was determined by diluting the known volume of juice with 3% meta-phosphoric acid and titrating with 2, 6dichlorophnenol-indo-phenol solution with (AOAC, 1). All the parameters were collected from five randomly selected plants of each treatment and statistical analysis of the data obtained in different set of experiments was calculated following the standard procedure as stated by (Panse and Sukhatme, 7).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield and yield attributing character

The cauliflower yield parameters under investigation such as curd weight (g), curd diameter (cm), length of stalk (cm), yield per plot (kg) and yield (q/ha) respectively were represented in Table 1. The maximum curd weight was recorded (484.16 g) under treatment T₁₂ (FYM + Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB) followed (460.21 g) under treatment T₁₁ (FYM + Vermicompost + Azotobacter). The minimum in the case was (257.29 g) T₁ [Control (RDF)].The maximum curd diameter was recorded (17.35 cm) under treatment T₁₂ (FYM + Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB) followed (16.9 g) under treatment T_{11} (FYM + Vermicompost + Azotobacter). The minimum in the case was (14.17 g) T₁ [Control (RDF)].The maximum length of stalk was recorded (14.71 cm) under treatment T₁₂ (FYM + Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB) followed by (13.86 cm) under treatment T_{11} (FYM) + Vermicompost + Azotobacter). The minimum in the case was (9.92 cm) T₁ [Control (RDF)].Maximum yield per plot (6.75 kg) was recorded under treatment T_{12} (FYM + Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB) followed by (6.63 kg) under treatment was T₁₁ (FYM + Vermicompost + Azotobacter). The minimum yield per plot (4.12 kg) was observed under treatment T_1 [Control (RDF)]. Maximum yield quintal per hectare (312.34 q) was recorded under treatment T_{12} (FYM + Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB) followed by (306.95 q) under treatment was T₁₁ (FYM + Vermicompost + Azotobacter). The minimum yield per hectare (190.58 q) was observed under treatment T₁ [Control (RDF)]. The value obtained in each plot was converted into quintal per hectare. These results corroborate the finding of (Narayanamma et al. 5) application of bio-fertilizers (Azotobacter, Azospirillum, PSB and AM) along with inorganic produced significantly higher yield (18.6 t to 22.6 t ha^{-1}) in cauliflower.

Quality parameters

The cauliflower quality parameters under investigation such as vitamin-C and acidity respectively were represented in Table 1. The highest Vitamin–C (56.09 mg/100g) obtained was recorded under treatment T_{11} (FYM + Vermicompost + *Azotobacter*) followed by (56.08 mg/100g) under treatment was T_9 (FYM + PSB). The minimum vitamin-C (55.96) was observed under treatment T_1 [Control (RDF)]. The similar result found (Singh *et al.*, 9) that Farm Yard Manure at high levels (45 t/ ha) increased vitamin-C in cauliflower. Acidity (0.88%) obtained maximum was

Treatment Details	Curd weight (g)	Diamet er of curd (cm)	Length of stalk (cm)	Yield per plot (kg)	Yield (q/ha)	Vitamin -C	Acidity
T ₁ (Control)	257.29	14.17	9.92	4.12	190.58	55.96	0.86
T ₂ (FYM, 20 t/ha.)	373.12	14.35	10.12	5.76	266.82	55.99	0.88
T ₃ (Vermicompost, 5 t/ha.)	373.54	14.43	10.46	5.98	276.69	56.04	0.77
T ₄ (Azotobacter, 2 kg/ha.)	374.16	14.69	11.06	5.99	277.16	56.03	0.78
T ₅ (PSB, 2 kg/ha.)	382.08	14.72	11.31	6.01	278.08	56.02	0.51
T ₆ (Neem Cake, 10 t/ha.)	410.21	14.90	12.01	6.11	283.03	56.04	0.79
T ₇ (FYM + Vermicompost, 5 t/ha.)	412.71	16.14	13.62	6.58	299.07	56.04	0.62
T ₈ (FYM + Azotobacter)	407.12	14.59	13.18	6.18	286.11	56.07	0.82
T_9 (FYM + PSB)	389.37	15.71	12.98	6.23	288.42	56.08	0.68
T_{10} (FYM + Neem Cake)	397.08	16.03	13.52	6.35	294.02	56.01	0.85
T ₁₁ (FYM + Vermicompost + <i>Azotobacter</i>)	460.21	16.90	13.85	6.63	306.94	56.09	0.79
$T_{12}(FYM + Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB)$	484.16	17.35	14.70	6.75	312.34	56.05	0.68
CD (P = 0.05)	103.48	1.13	0.26	0.26	13.70	0.05	0.19

Table 1 : Impact of bio fertilizer on yield and quality of cauliflower (Brassica oleracea L. Var. botrytis).

recorded under treatment T₂ (FYM t/ha) followed by (0.86%) under treatment was T₁ [Control (RDF)]. The minimum acidity (0.51%) was observed under treatment T₅ (PSB 2 kg/ha). (Kanwar *et al.*, 3) reported significant increase in acidity of cauliflower with application of 50% NPK + organic manure.

CONCLUSION

Our experiment was combination to the effect of various biofertilizer on the trial plant cauliflower in the tropic region of Lucknow. Result show that the combined use of (FYM + Vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB] increase the yield and quality attributes significantly increases total yield 312.34 q/ha. These result are own experiment and unique and can be very useful in research from trial to field, so it will be of interest to implement these result to the field to harvest good yield of cauliflower.

REFERENCES

- A O A C (1960). Official Methods of Analysis, 18 Edn. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Washington.
- Arancon N. Q., Edwards, C. A., Bierman, P., Metzger, J. D. and Lucht C. (2005). Effects of vermicomposts produced from cattle manure, food waste and paper waste on the growth and

yield of peppers in the field. *Pedobiologia*, **49** (4) : 297-306.

- Kanwar K., Paliyal S. S. and Nandal T. R., (2002). Integrated nutrient management in cauliflower (Pusa Snowball K-1). *Res. Crops*, 3: 579-583.
- Lazcano C., Revilla, P., Malvar, Rosa Ana and Dominguez Jorge (2011). Yield and fruit quality of four sweet corn hybrids (*Zea mays*) under conventional and integrated fertilization with vermicompost. *J. Sci. Food Agric*, **91** : 1244–1253.
- Narayanamma M., Chiranjeevi C.H., Reddy I.P. and Ahmed S.R. (2005). Integrated nutrient management in cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. botrytis L). Veg. Sci., **32** (1)
- Nanjappa, H. P., Ramchanrappa B. K. and Mallikarjuna B. O. (2001). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient balance in maize. *Indian J. Agron.* 46 (4): 668-701
- 7. Panse V.G. and Sukhatme P.V. (1978). *Indian Council of Agricultural Research*, New Delhi. 38
- Purkayastha T. J., Singh C. S. and Chhonkar P. K. (1998). Growth and iron nutrient of broccoli grown in a typic ustochrept as influenced by VAM fungi in presence of pyrite and farmyard manure. *Biol. Fert. Soil.* **27** (1): 45-48.

- Singh A.; Singh T. and Singh B. N. (2009). Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and economics of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. botritis). *Veg. Sci.*, **36** (3): 728.
- Subler S., Edwards C. A. and Metzger J. D. (1998). Comparing vermicomposts and composts. *Biocycle*, **39**: 63-66.
- 11. Tejada M. Gonzalez J. Hernandez M. and Garcia C. (2007). Agricultural use of leachates obtained from two different vermicomposting processes. *Bioresour. Technol.*, **99** (14): 6228-6232.

Citation : Chand B., Meena M.L., Meena K. and Shivran B.C. (2018). Effect of bio-fertilizers on yield and quality parameters of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *botrytis*) cv. Pusa Snowball K-1. *HortFlora Res. Spectrum*, **7**(1) : 70-73.