

**Open Access** 

## **Biochemical changes in Sapota Pulp (***Achrassapota***L.) Due to Post Harvest Fungi**

## Wagh PM and Bhale UN<sup>1</sup>

Department of Botany, S.S. & L.S. Patkar College of Arts & Science, Goregaon (W), Mumbai- 400063. <sup>1</sup>Research laboratory, Department of Botany, Arts, Science and Commerce College, Naldurg, Tq. Tuljapur Dist. Osmanabad 413602.

Corresponding Author: unbhale2007@rediffmail.com

Manuscript details:	ABSTRACT
Available online on <u>http://www.ijlsci.in</u>	In this present investigation, three dominant post-harvest sapota fungi were chosen for artificial infection to the three varieties of sapota fruits i.e. Kalipatti, Kutchh and Cricket ball which are normally cultivated in
ISSN: 2320-964X (Online)	Thane district was studied in detail for the biochemical changes via
ISSN: 2320-7817 (Print)	post-harvest fungi. When pathogen interacts with the fruits either in
Editor: Dr. Arvind Chavhan	the field or in storage, this interaction is responsible for qualitative and quantitative biodeterioration of fruits. <i>In vivo</i> studies of biochemical changes revealed increase or decrease in organic components of sapota
Cite this article as:	fruits. Study in relation with the predominant three post-harvest fungi
Wagh PM and Bhale UN (2018)	viz. Aspergillusniger, Geotrichumcandidum and Rhizoctoniasolani were
Biochemical changes in Sapota	used. The quantitative losses of biochemical changes in fruit pulp
Pulp (AchrassapotaL.) Due to Post	studied for ten different parameters. It is observed that there was

Biochemical changes in Sapota Pulp (*AchrassapotaL.*) Due to Post Harvest Fungi, *Int. J. of. Life Sciences*, Special Issue, A9: 125-129.

Copyright: © Author, This is an open access article under the terms the Creative Commons of Attribution-Non-Commercial - No Derives License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Key words: Sapota, Varieties, post-harvest fungi, biochemicals

considerable loss in biochemicals in some extent fruits of all three

## INTRODUCTION

varieties due to the fungi.

Sapota (*AchrassapotaL.*) belongs to the family sapotaceae and is an economically important edible fruit crop cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions of the world. Fruits are the essential requirement of human diet. Being soft textured sapota fruits are highly sensitive to exogenous agencies specially fungi, that affects physiology, morphology and biochemistry of fruits and thus ultimately causes loss to the fruit seller. And exposure on consumption of these spoiled fruits may be responsible for serious health hazards. It is native of Southern Mexico and Central America (Popenoe, 1974). In India it ranks 5<sup>th</sup>position in production and consumption next to mango, banana,

citrus and grapes. India is the largest producer of sapota in the world, with an area of 160 million hectare with production of 1363 million tons.

Maharashtra, Gujarat, Andra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, W. Bengal, Kerala, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Assam and Haryana states were produced major fruit crops. In India the first commercial sapota cultivation from Maharashtra was taken up in Gholwad area in1898 (Sulladmath and Readdy, 1990). Sapota fruit is rich source of sugar, protein, ascorbic acid, phenols, carotenoids and minerals like Fe, Cu, Zn, Ca and K (Kulkarni et al., 2007). Sapota pulp is used for making sweets, halwas, salads and milk shakes. It is also used for manufacture of industrial glucose and pectin. Seeds can be used to prepare different kind of beverages (Toral- Jarquin, 1988). The post-harvest losses are high in tropical countries particularly in India and it ranges between 25-30% (Sudha et al., 2007). The fruits are very susceptible to diseases caused by many microorganisms especially fungi as they are rich in moisture and nutrients (Sankat and Maharaj, 1997). At postharvest stage, many diseases greatly reduce the storage life, fruit contents and quality of sapota. Postharvest diseases of fruits represent a very important source of wastage andmainly economic losses.

Chaudhary et al. (1980) reported that the considerable decrease in total sugar and an increase in reducing sugars of both healthy and infected tissues of apple. The decrease was more pronounced in non-reducing sugars as compared to reducing and total sugars in peach and plum fruits infected with *Rhizopusstolonifer* (Singh and Prashar, 1981). Majumdar and Pathak (1989) reported that contents of ascorbic acid, sugars and proteins declined in the fruits of guava infected by fungi.Several reports have been reported that fungal diseases cause changes in biochemical composition of sapota pulp (Srivastava, 1969; Gadgile et al., 2010).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Biochemical studies**

Fungi were isolated from fruits of three varieties (cultivars) of chikoo collected from different regions of

Thane District of Maharashtra state. Spore (0.01 ml) suspension of Aspergillusniger, Geotrichum candidum and Rhizoctonia solani isolates were separately inoculated in same aged sapota fruits of three varieties i.e. Kalipatti, Cricket ball and Kutch in aseptic condition. After 8 days of incubation of fruit,100g pulps from each variety were collected in aseptic container. Pulp without inoculation served as control and biochemical changes were estimated by standard biochemical methods (AOAC, 1970, Mungikar, 1999; Sadasivam and Manickam, 1992). Estimation of dry matter (DM) (Mungikar, 1999), total sugar, reducing and non-reducing sugar (Miller,1959), total soluble solids (TSS) (Rangana, 1979). Ash, ascorbic acid (Sadasivam and Theymoli, 1987), protein (Lowry et al., 1951), phenols and Tannin (Malick and Singh, 1980; Schanderi, 1970).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The quantitative loss in fruit pulp contents studied for ten parameters in detail (Table 1).

#### Dry weight

Three dominant post-harvest sapota fungi were chosen for artificial infection to the three varieties of sapota fruits i.e. Kalipatti, Kutchh and Cricket ball separately which were incubated for a period of 7 days at room temperature, a loss in dry weight was calculated by comparing with healthy fruits. It is observed that there was considerable loss in dry weight of fruits of all three varieties due to the fungi. In Kutchh variety maximum loss in dry weight was found due to *Geotrichumcandidum* (11.5gm/100ml) while in case of Kalipatti it was found due to *Aspergillusniger* (12.9gm/100ml) and *Rhizoctoniasolani* deteriorated maximum dry weight in Cricket ball (11.6gm/100ml) variety.

#### Total sugar content

It was found that all fungi reduced the total sugar in all varieties. It was also observed from the result that maximum of total sugar of Kalipatti variety was reduced due to *Aspergillusniger* (12.0gm/100gm pulp). While in case of Cricket ball maximum loss of total sugar was observed due to *Rhizoctoniasolani* (12.1 gm/100gm pulp) and *Aspergillusniger* (12.0 gm/100gm

Int. J. of Life Sciences, Special issue, A9; January, 2018

St.	Parameters	Variety/	/ Fungi										
No		Kalipatt	ŭ			Cricket ball	ball			Kutch			
		An	Gc	Rs	С	An	<u>G</u>	Rs	С	An	ß	Rs	c
1	Dry weight (g/100ml)	12.09	14.2	13.4	14.1	12.8	12.2	11.6	12.8	14.3	11.5	12.6	15.1
2	Total sugar (g/100g)	12.0	13.2	12.4	13.5	12.0	12.8	12.1	13.2	11.8	12.9	12.2	13.1
÷	Reducing sugar(g/100gm)	11.2	12.4	11.3	12.6	11.2	11.9	11.0	12.0	10.3	12.1	11.0	12.2
4	Non reducing sugar(g/100gm)	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.5	0.8	1.2	0.9
ഹ	TSS (g/100g)	$11^{\circ}$	$15.4^{\circ}$	$15.4^{\circ}$	$16.5^{\circ}$	12.2°	11.2°	$15.7^{\circ}$	$16^{\circ}$	$14^{\circ}$	14.8°	$13.4^{\circ}$	$16.4^{\circ}$
6	Ash(mg/100ml)	298	317	299	336	245	293	310	345	243	340	295	366
2	Asorbic acid(mg/100ml)	9.5	8.8	8.9	10.8	8.1	9.7	8.2	10.1	5.8	9.2	8.1	10.4
ø	Protein(mg/100ml)	42.8	51.23	52.3	59.2	48.9	56.8	56.1	60	43.1	43.3	53.3	58.8
6	Phenol (mg/100ml)	120.5	125.8	125.8	135.2	122.7	118.1	121.5	134.6	124.5	125.5	120.2	136.6
10	Tannin (mg/100ml)	0.14	0.18	0.18	0.2	0.13	0.17	0.15	0.19	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.18

Legends:An-AspergillusnigerGc- GeotrichumcandidumRs - Rhizopussolani C - Control

pulp). *Aspergillusniger* reduced maximum total sugar in Kutchh variety (11.8 gm/100gm pulp).

#### **Reducing sugar content**

National Conference on "Emerging Trends in Mycotechnology"- (NCETM-2018)

It was found that all fungi reduced the reducing sugar in all varieties of sapota fruits. It is also found that in Kalipatti, Cricket ball, Kutchh varieties showed maximum depletion of reducing sugar due to *Aspergillusniger*.

#### Non-reducing sugar content

Three selected post-harvest fungi were selected for artificial infection to the three varieties of sapota fruits separately which were incubated for a period of 7 days at room temperature, loss in non-reducing sugar was calculated by comparing with non-infected fruits and the results are summarized in the table 1. It was noticed that all fungi reduced the non-reducing sugar in all varieties of sapota fruits. It was observed from the results that in Kalipatti variety, non-reducing sugar was declined more due to Aspergillusniger (0.8gm/100gm pulp) and Geotrichumcandidum (0.8 gm/100gm pulp) while in case of Cricket ball maximum loss of non-reducing sugar was caused by Geotrichumcandidum (0.9gm/100gm pulp). It was also found that in Kutchh variety maximum loss of nonreducing sugar was caused by Geotrichumcandidum (0.8 gm/100gm pulp).

#### Total soluble solids content

Total soluble solids (TSS) content of the pulp was determined and found that all fungi reduced the TSS in all varieties of fruits. It was observed from the results that in Kalipatti variety TSS was found more decreased due to *Aspergillusniger* (11°) while in case of Cricket ball maximum loss of TSS was caused by *Geotrichumcandidum* (11.2°). It was also found that *Rhizoctoniasolani* (13.4°) reduced more TSS in Kutchh variety as compared with control (healthy).

#### Ash content

It was observed, that all fungi reduced the ash contents in all varieties as compared with control. It was found that *Aspergillusniger* (298mg/100ml) depleted maximum ash contents in Kalipatti variety while *Geotrichumcandidum* caused maximum loss of ash contents in Cricket ball (293 mg/100ml) variety. It was also reported that in Kutchh variety,

F

*Rhizoctoniasolani* was responsible for maximum depletion of ash contents (295 mg/100ml).

#### Ascorbic acid content

It was found that all fungi were responsible to reduce the ascorbic acid contents in all varieties of fruits as compared with control. It was found that *Geotrichumcandidum* (8.8 mg/100ml) deteriorated maximum ascorbic acid in Kalipati variety while *Aspergillusniger* (8.1 mg/100ml) and *Rhizoctoiasolani* (8.1 mg/100ml) depleted maximum ascorbic acid content in Cricket ball and Kutchh variety respectively.

#### **Protein content**

It was found that all fungi reduced the protein contents in all varieties of fruits. It was found that *Aspergillusniger* (42.8,8.9 & 43.1mg/100ml) and *Rhizoctoiasolani* (52.3, 56.1 & 53.3mg/100ml) depleted maximum protein content in Kalipatti, Cricket ball and Kutchh variety respectively as compared to control.

#### Phenol content

It was observed that all the tested fungi reduced the phenol contents in all varieties as compared with control. It was found that *Aspergillusniger* depleted maximum phenol contents in Kalipatti (120.5 mg/100ml) variety while *Geotrichumcandidum* caused loss of maximum phenol content in Cricket ball (118.1 mg/100ml) variety. *Rhizoctoniasolani* caused loss of maximum phenol content in Kutchh variety (120.2mg/100ml).

#### Tannin content

It was observed that all the tested fungi reduced the tannin contents in all varieties as compared to control. It was found that *Aspergillusniger*caused maximum loss of tannin in Kalipatti (0.14 mg/100ml) and Cricket ball varieties (0.13mg/100ml) while *Geotrichum candidum* (0.12 mg/100ml) and *Rhizoctonia solani* (0.13 mg/100ml) caused maximum loss of tannin in Kutchhvariety.

Sawant and Gawai (2011) reported that the nutritional content of healthy fruit was found to be significantly higher than the infected fruits. *Sapotemamey* is high in vitamin and mineral content, compared to other tropical fruit such as papaya and jobo or red mombin

(Alia et al., 2007). Kulkarni et al. (2007) reported chemical constituents of sapota as: - Total sugar (%) -11.06 -1.9, Protein (mg/100 g)-312.5 - 5.6, Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g) - 10.52 - 1.2, Carotenoids

(mg/100 g) - 0.92- 0.06, Totalphenolics (mg/100 g) -134.6- 4.5, Iron (ppm) - 0.11- 0.01, Copper (ppm) - 0.09 -0.01. Khillare et al. (2006) reported total sugars, total amino acids, crude protein DNA and RNA contents increased in their quantity due to infection by both the isolates of fruit rot of grape. Schovánková and Opatová (2011) reported that the apples inoculated with Moniliniafructigena demonstrated higher concentration of total phenols in the healthy pulp than in the area surrounding the rotten part. Ruth et al. (2009) reported that A. niger GH1 degraded 90% of tannin content of "creosotebush" after 72 h. and there was a considerable increase in the total protein content during this period. Mahattanatawee et al. (2006) reported that the phenolic composition of mango, sapodilla and longan pulp have been previously reported to contain hydrolysable tannins and conjugated hydroxycinnamic, allagic and other phenolic.

#### CONCLUSION:

Sapota is a climacteric fruit that requires careful handling after harvest in order to maintain quality, extend shelf life and allow transport to markets outside the area of production.Therefore it is concluded that fungalinfection of sapota pulp decreases biochemical contents. Biochemical changes showed that there was significant variation between artificially inoculated sapota and healthy sapota fruit which served as control.

**Conflicts of interest:** The authors stated that no conflicts of interest.

#### REFERENCES

Alia-Tejacal I., Villanueva-Arce R., Pelayo-Zald´ıvar C., Colinas-Le´on M.T.,L´opez-Mart´ınez V., Bautista-Ba˜nos S.(2007). Postharvestphysiology and technology of Sapotemameyfruit (Pouteriasapota(Jacq.)H.E. Moore and Stearn), Postharvest Biology and Technology, 45: 285– 297.

- AOAC.(1970). Official method of analysis XI Edn. Association of official analytical chemists, Washington
- Chaudhary, M., Kaur, M., and Deshpande, K.B. (1980).Biochemical changes during fruit rot of apple. *Indian Phytopathol.*,33:321-333.
- Gadgile, D.P., R.B.Kakde, G.M.Rathod, Chavan, A.M. (2010). Postharvest fungal diseases of some tropical fruits.*Biosci. Disc.*, 1(1):7-10.
- Khillare, V.C., K. S. Kadam and Gangawane, L.V. (2006).Biochemical changes in host by Aureofungin resistant *Alternariatenuis*causing fruit rot of grape. *Bioinfolet*, 2(2):77-80.
- Kulkarni, Anand P., R.S. Policegoudra and Aradhya, S.M. (2007). Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of sapota (*AchrassapotaL.*) fruit. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 31: 399-414.
- Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farv, A.L., Randall, R.J. (1951).Protein measurement with Folin Phenol reagent.J. Biol. Chem., 193: 265-275.
- Majumdar, V.L. and Pathak, V.N. (1989). Changes in nutritional value of guava fruits infected by major postharvest pathogens. *Plant Foods for HumanNutrition*, 39(4):311-315.
- Malick, C. P. and Singh, M. B. (1980).In: Plant Enzymology and Histoenzymology, Kalyani Publications, New Delhi, pp.286.
- MahattanataweeKanjana, John A. Mahathey, Gary Luzio, Stephen T. Talcott, Kevin Goodner and Baldwin, Elizabeth A. (2006).TotalAntioxidant Activity and Fiber Content of Select Florida-Grown TropicalFruits.J. Agric. Food Chem., 54:7355-□7363.
- Mungikar, A.M. (1999). Intercropping fodder crops.Sarswati press, Aurangabad.
- Miller,G.L. (1959).Use of Dinitrosalicylic Acid Reagent for Determination of Reducing Sugar. *Anal Chem.*, 31:426-428.
- Popenoe, W. (1974).Manual of Tropical and Sub-Tropical Fruits, Excluding the Banana, Coconut, Pineapple, CitrusFruit, Olive and Fig.Hafner Press XIX, New York, pp. 474.
- Toral-Jarquin, J.O. (1988).El cultivo de mamey (*Calocarpumsapota*).M.Sc.ThesisEscuelaNacional de Fruticultura, Xalapa, Veracruz, Mexico.
- Rangana, S. (1979).Manual of Analysis of fruit and vegetable products.Tata
- McGraw Hill Pub.Co.Ltd., New Delhi.
- Ruth. Belmares, Yolanda Garza, Raúl Rodríguez, Juan C. Contreras- Esquivel and Aguilar, Cristóbal N. (2009).Composition and fungaldegradation of tannins present in semiarid plants. *EJEAFChe*, 8(4):312-318.

- Singh, R.S. and Prashar, M.P. (1981). Changes in sugar, amino acids, acidity and vitamin C contents of peach and plum fruits due to infection of *Rhizopusstolonifer.Indian Phytopathol*.34:124.
- Sadasivam, S. and Manickam A. (1992).Biochemical methods for agricultural sciences. Wiley Eastern limited, New, Delhi. Pp.7-18.
- Sadasivam, S. and TheymoliBalasubraminan (1987).*Practical Manual in Biochemistry* .Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore, pp.14.
- Sawant S. G. and D. U. Gawai (2011).Biochemical changes in banana fruits due to postharvest fungal pathogens.*Current Botany*, 2(1):41-42.
- Schanderi, S.H. (1970). In: *Method in Food Analysis* Academic press New York pp. 709.
- Sankat, C. K. and Maharaj, R. (1997).Papaya. In S. K. Mitra (Ed.), Postharvest physiology and storage of tropical and subtropical fruits. pp.167-189.
- Schovánková, J. and Opatová, H. (2011). Changes in phenols composition and activity of phenylalanine-ammonia lyase in apples after fungal infections, *Hort. Sci.*, (*Prague*) 38(1):1-10.
- Srivastava, M.P. (1969). Biochemical changes in certain tropical fruits during pathogenesis. *Phytopathologische*, 64:119-123.
- Sudha, R., R. Amutha, S. Muthulaksmi, W. Baby Rani, K. Indira and Mareeswari, P. (2007). Influence of Pre and Post Harvest ChemicalTreatments on Physical Characteristics of Sapota (*AchrassapotaL.*) Var. PKM1, *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 3(5):450-452,
- Sulladmath, U.V. and Readdy, M.A. (1990).Sapota in fruits tropical and sub tropicalT.K.Bose and S.K. Mitra [Eds.], NayaProkash : Calcutta, pp. 565- 591.

© 2018 | Published by IJLSCI

# Submit your manuscript to a IJLSCI journal and benefit from:

- ✓ Convenient online submission
- ✓ Rigorous peer review
- ✓ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ✓ Open access: articles freely available online
- ✓ High visibility within the field

Email your next manuscript to IRJSE : editorirjse@gmail.com