# LONELINESS AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS IN HIGH SCHOOL ADOLESCENTS: CROSS-SECTIONAL ANALYTIC STUDY FROM TURKEY\*

Kamile ÖNER<sup>1</sup>, Hülya ARSLANTAŞ<sup>2</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Eldivan Health Services Vocational College, Çankırı Karatekin University, Çankırı, Turkey 
<sup>2</sup>Nursing Faculty, Adnan Menderes University, Aydin, Turkey, *Author correspondent: Hülya Arslantaş*, hulyaars@yahoo.com

#### **Abstract:**

In this period adolescents may have to deal with many problems. One of these problems is the feeling of loneliness and over time can create bigger problems for the adolescent. This study is crosssectional study to determine loneliness, and associated factors in high school students. This study employed a cross-sectional design and was implemented with 657 students attending high school. Sample size in the research was calculated as 657 persons by using 99% power and 95% significance interval and multisampling method was used in sample selection. A Personal Information Form and UCLA Loneliness Scale were used in this study. Descriptive statistics, unrelated samples t test, one way analysis of variance, levene homogeneity of variance test and Kruskall Wallis test were used to evaluate data. When characteristics of the adolescents were investigated; 46.0% were female, 30.6% were in 9th grade, 40.9% were 17 years old and the adolescents in the study reported moderate level of loneliness. Female students (=52.33 ± 5.82) had a higher loneliness level when compared to male students (= $49.74 \pm 7.45$ ). Mean loneliness scores were higher in female students (t=5.001, p=0.000), in students studying in Science High School (=15.559,p=0.004); those whose mothers worked (t=2.370,p=0.018); those whose Internet use led to anger/depression (t=2.429, p=0.015); in adolescents who have thought of self-harm in the last year (t=3.043,p=0.002). In the study, the loneliness level of adolescents were not affected by the following factors: living with parents or in dormitories (t(649)=0.378; p>0.05), family structure (t(641)=1.702; p>0.05), the area they live (F(2.654)=2.342; p>0.05), income level (F(2.654)=1.978; p>0.05), availability of sufficient pocket money/allowance (t(665)=0.033; p>0.05), number of siblings (F(2.633)=0.468; p>0.05), relationships with siblings (t(623)=1.209; p>0.05), the father's education (F(3.648)=1.596; p>0.05) and the mother's education (X2(3)=0.965; p>0.05). The study found that students had a moderate level of loneliness, and the factors including being female, having a working mother, internet use resulting in anger/depression and thoughts of self-harm in the last year led to a higher loneliness score.

**Key-words:** *adolescent, loneliness, associated factor, Turkey* 

\* This study is the result of some parts of the Adnan Menderes University Institute of Health Sciences Psychiatry Nursing Master's thesis of Specialist Nurse Kamile Öner. This study was presented as verbal proceeding to be presented for  $6^{th}$  World Nursing and Health Care Congress which will be organized in London, between  $15^{th}$ - $17^{th}$  August 2016

### Introduction

Adolescence is the last stage of childhood and first stage of adulthood. In this period, friendships become very important, and fast and intensive changes happen in the adolescent's life [2, 12]. Adolescents can demonstrate emotions, thoughts, attitudes and behaviour specific to this period such as emotional outbursts and excitement, being easily offended and destructive behaviour in relationships, defiant behaviour toward authority figures such as parents, teachers, showing resistance to follow rules, attention seeking and roles seeking [13].

Adolescents distant themselves from their families and respond more to their peer groups and develop an environment to create a sense of identity outside of their families [12]. In this period adolescents may have to deal with many problems [20]. One of these problems is the feeling of loneliness and over time can create bigger problems for the adolescent [40].

Loneliness is typically defined as being physically alone in a society. However loneliness is a negative feeling caused not necessarily only by being physically alone. One can feel alone even when he is with other

people. Therefore, the feeling of loneliness stems from insufficient level of social relationships and the low level of satisfaction obtained from such relationships [4]. Loneliness is a universal feeling and everyone can be affected from time to time [40]. The common aspect of all kinds of loneliness is the accompanying pain [17].

There are many factors of loneliness felt by the adolescent in the literature. Researchers believe that the characteristics of adolescence and development stages especially contribute to the feeling of loneliness [25]. In this period a series of developmental changes occur; the loneliness of the adolescent is affected by several factors such as cognitive, physical and psychological development, identity and personal development. In addition to the above, adolescents are reported to be affected by other factors such as peer relationships, adolescent autonomy, building new relationships [15, 23].

Loneliness emerges with changes in the personal development and social interaction with the society and it is associated with many variables such as shyness, social anxiety, self-esteem [15], introversion [14], age, gender [33], social class [40] and largely determined by the socio-economic structure [33]. Some studies reported that loneliness increases the distrust one feels about his community [33], is an emotional problem which needs psychological help and at a pathological level, loneliness can compromise the psychological/mental health of the person [19].

Adolescence is an important developmental period to study the feeling of loneliness [11]. The feeling of loneliness is very common among adolescents [17, 39]. In the study in adolescent high school students, Eskin [17] reported that the feeling of loneliness was very common among adolescents, which was an anticipated result. For example 65% of the participants gave the answer yes to the question, "Are there any moments which you feel very lonely?". Additionally 33% of scored students above average approximately 20% of the students scored one standard deviation from the mean score in the UCLA Loneliness Scale. These percentages demonstrated how common the feeling of loneliness among adolescents was. Considering the fact that the feeling of loneliness can trigger many serious problems, most notably suicide, the need for further studies on the different aspects of this subject is evident. In the recent years, fast changes and developments worldwide affected the healthcare system in the similar way they affected all other areas [22]. Therefore nurses have a major responsibility. Feeling of loneliness, and the factors associated with loneliness in the adolescent can be determined and effective communication and interaction techniques can be taught to provide solutions for loneliness. Nurses especially have a key role in the diagnosis of loneliness and prevention of suicide in primary care healthcare centres. With the help of the findings of the studies conducted in adolescents, nurses can identify adolescent's personalities, and use social support sources to help him improve his social skills and encourage him to have a healthier life style to prevent loneliness. These measures can ensure long term well-being of the adolescent and prevent early death [18]. Because loneliness is mostly associated with depression and this can lead to suicide of the adolescent. Developments in nursing services aim to help people to maintain their physical, emotional, cognitive and social development in a normal way. Family centred care, keeping complications at the minimum, maintaining school life and improvement of the quality life play an important role in achieving this goal [34]. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine loneliness, and associated factors in high school adolescents.

To achieve this aim, answers for the following question were sought:

- 1. What are the mean score loneliness of adolescents?
- 2. Is there significance among adolescents' socio-demographic characteristics and loneliness?

## Materials and Methods Study area and design

The population of this study was a total of 17583 high school students studying in 26 schools in the city centre of Trabzon during the spring semester of the school year 2013-2014. The study was conducted in five schools that represent each school group, namely Trabzon Science High School, Pelitli Ahmet Balli Anatolian High School, Atatürk Vocational High School for Health, Anatolian İmam Hatip High School and Trade Vocational School in the city centre of Trabzon. A total of 3289 students attended to these schools during the school year 2013-2014.

Sample size in the research was calculated as 690 persons by using 99% power and 95% significance interval and multi-sapling method was used in sample selection. Some of the students did not give answers to some of the items in the data collection tools (n=13), and some of them changed their minds later and left the study (n=20), the results of these students were removed from the study and the data obtained from 657 students (95.2%) were evaluated.

#### **Tools**

A Personal Information Form and UCLA Loneliness Scale were used in this study.

Personal information form: The personal information form consisted of 33 questions about characteristics of students and students' families, which were thought to have a potential to affect loneliness and prepared in the light of literature review to obtain personal information of adolescents in high schools [7, 9, 16, 27, 32]. These questions were prepared under five sections: general demographics, parental and socio-economical characteristics, school and peer relationship characteristics, use of internet and telephone for communication purposes, healthcare problems and quality of life of adolescents.

UCLA loneliness scale: In the original UCLA Loneliness Scale there are 20 items; 10 items have negative and 10 items have positive expressions and a Likert type scale from 1 (never) to 4 (always) is used. Russell et al. [29] determined that Cronbach alpha internal consistency coefficient was 0.94 and the correlation coefficient with repeated observations with a 2 month interval was 0.73. The alpha internal consistency coefficient was 0.96 and the correlation coefficient with repeated observations with a 5 week interval for the Turkish version of the UCLA Loneliness Scale. The minimum score in the UCLA Loneliness scale is 20 and the highest score is 80. As the score increases, the loneliness level of the person also increases. Demir [10] calculated the Cronbach alpha reliability coefficient of the scale as 0.96. Cronbach's alpha coefficient in the current study was 0.83.

## **Data Analysis**

To analyse the data obtained from adolescents of high school students under the scope of the survey, firstly answers of the students have been transferred to statistical package for social sciences—SPSS, version 18. In the evaluation of the data, descriptive statistics (frequency and percentage values, minimum, maximum, average and standard deviation), t test in unrelated measurements, Levene homogeneity test, Kruskall Wallis test, Mann-Whitney U test, one way variance analysis and LSD multiple comparison test are used.

#### **Ethical considerations**

Adnan Menderes University Medical School for research Non-invasive Clinical Research Ethics Committee protocol number 2014/320 dated 01.17.2014 has been allowed by decision No. 4. Trabzon Provincial Directorate of National Education has received the necessary permits for research. Students who participated in our study volunteered and signed a written consent before the research. It was stated that if they want students could withdraw from the research.

#### Results

In the study, 46.0% (n=361) of the adolescents were female, 30.6% (n=205) were in 9th grade, 30.9% (n=207) were 17 years old, 31.2% (n=209) were in Imam Hatip High School, 91.8% (n=614) lived with their parents, 82.8% (n=554) had nuclear families, 59.8% (n=400) lived with their parents in the city, parents of 96.9% (n=648) of the students were alive, 98.5% (n=659) did not have any step family member, 68.0% (n=455) reported that their family's income and expenses were balanced, 77.4% (n=518) had sufficient amount of monthly allowance, 39.5% (n=264) had three siblings, 69.5% (n=465) has good relations with their sibling(s), 27.5% (n=184) reported that their fathers were high school graduate, 54.6% (N=365) reported that their fathers were self-employed, 79.7% (n=533) had good relations with their fathers, 67.9% (n=454) reported that their fathers were tolerant towards them, 39.0% reported that their mothers were elementary school graduates, 78.8% (n=527) reported that their mothers did not work, 88.6% (n=593) had good relations with their mothers, 76.4% (n=511) reported that their mothers were tolerant towards them, 59.5% (n=398) were moderately successful in school, 88.2% (n=590) reported that they never had to repeat a year, 57.4% (n=384) had good relations with their

teachers, 75.6% (n=506) had good relations with their friends, 94.8% (n=634) had a close friend 68.5% (n=458) had memberships to online friendship sites, 95.2% (n=637) did not have friends through Internet, 74.3% (n=497) had Internet connection at home, 35.9% (n=240) went online at home, 94.9% (n=635) had a device that could make them online at home, 87.9% (n=588) had a device that could make them online at home other than computers, 7.2% (n=48) used all said devices to be online, 42.5% (n=248) did not send text messages using their cell phones.

The mean score of the high school students in the UCLA Loneliness Scale was 51.14±6.74. Adolescents in this study were found to have moderate level of loneliness (Table 1).

Scale	N	Mini- mum	Maxi- mum	X	SS
UCLA Loneliness Scale	657	20.00	80.00	51.14	6.74

Table 1. Descriptive statistics calculated for the loneliness levels of high school students

Although loneliness level of both female and male students was moderate, female students (= $52.33\pm5.82$ ) had a higher loneliness level when compared to male students (= $49.74\pm7.45$ ).No significant difference was found in the loneliness level of high school students according to the year they were in (F(3.653)=1.728; p>0.05). No

significant difference was found in the loneliness level of high school students according to the their ages (F(3.653)=2.810; p<0.05). Adolescents in the age group of 18-20 (=49.89±8.05) had a lower level of loneliness when compared to the adolescents in the age group of 14-15  $(=52.17\pm6.24)$  (p<0.05). Nevertheless, there was no significant difference between adolescents in the 14-15 years old group and 16-17 years old group and there was no significant difference between adolescents in the 18-20 years old group and 16-17 years old group adolescents; on the contrary a similarity was detected (p>0.05). A significant difference was found in the loneliness level of high school students according to their school types (X2=155.59; p<0.05). Based on Mann Whitney U test the loneliness level of the adolescents studying in the science high school (=52.89±4.14) was higher when compared to the adolescents in the vocational high school for health (=51.89±5.21), in imam hatip high school (=50.31±7.37) and trade vocational high school (=51.12±6.46) (p<0.05). However, it was detected that there was no significant difference in loneliness levels of adolescents studying in Anatolian high school, healthcare vocational high school and trade high school; in addition to this, the loneliness levels in the adolescents studying in these schools were found to be similar (p>0.05) (Table 2)

UCLA Loneliness Level Comparisons									
	Var	iables	n	X± SS	Test, p	Differences			
Socio- demogra phics	Gender	Female Male	354 303	52.33±5.82 49.74±7.45	*t= 5.001 p=0.000	Female>Male			
	School year	9 <sup>th</sup> year 10 <sup>th</sup> year 11 <sup>th</sup> year 12 <sup>th</sup> year	201 156 154 146	51.90±6.93 51.28±5.85 50.79±5.89 50.32±8.03	**Levene=2.539 p=0.056 ****F=1.728 p=0.160				
	Age	14-15 years 16 years 17 years 18-19-20 years	188 159 204 106	52.17±6.24 51.08±6.55 50.89±6.49 49.89±8.05	** Levene= 1.697 p=0.166 ****F=2.810 p=0.039	18-20<14-15			
	School type	Science High School Anatolian High School Vocational High School for Health Imam Hatip High School Vocational High School	113 94 122 204 124	52.89±4.14 49.88±9.12 51.89±5.21 50.31±7.37 51.12±6.46	** Levene= 7.846 p=0.000  ***  X <sup>2</sup> =15.559 p=0.004	Science>Vocation al high school Science>Vocation al High School for Health Science>Imam Hatip High School Science>Anatolian high school			

Table 2. Comparison of loneliness levels of high school students according to socio-demographics

When high school students were compared based on their loneliness levels, family structures and socio-economic conditions, accommodation (t=0.378; p=0.706), family structure (t=1.702; p=0.089), the location

of family dwelling (F=2.342; p=0.097), family's income level (F=1.978; p=0.139), finding pocket money/allowance sufficient (t=0.033; p=0.974) did not affect the loneliness levels of students (Table 3).

UCLA Loneliness Level Comparisons									
	Variables			X± SS	Test, p	Diffe- rences			
	Housing	Home Student dormitory	604 47	51.08±6.89 51.47±4.89	*t= 0.378 p=0.706				
	Family structure	Nuclear family	544	51.37±6.51	*t= 1.702 p=0.089				
	Tunniy structure	Extended family	99	50.14±7.19	WWT 0.700				
	The location of family dwelling	Town	80	52.65±6.93	**Levene=0.700 p=0.472				
Family		City	185	50.81±7.11	***F=2.342; p=0.097				
Structure		Big city	392	50.98±6.49	, <b>,</b> ,				
and Socio- Economic	Family's income level	Income is less than expense	56	52.71±5.69	** Levene= 0.226				
Status		Income and expense balance	447	51.12±6.77	p=0.798 ***F=1.978 p=0.139				
		Income is more than expense	154	50.63±6.96					
	Whether pocket	Yes	508	51.13±6.86					
	money/allowance is sufficient	Partly /No	149	51.15±6.35	*t= 0.033 p=0.974				

<sup>\*</sup>t test: Unrelated samples t test \*\* Levene test: Homogeneity of variance test \*\*\* F testi: One way analysis of variance

Table 3. Comparison of loneliness levels of high school students according to family structure and socioeconomic status

When loneliness levels of high school students were compared based on their school success and friendships, loneliness levels were not affected by success in school (t=0.891; p=0.373), repeating of a year (t=0.568;

p=0.570), relationships with teachers (t=0.831; p=0.406), relationships with friends (t=1.248; p=0.212) and having one or more close friends (t=0.060; p=0.952) (Table 4)..

p=0.575), repeating of a year (1=0.508;									
UCLA Loneliness Level Comparisons									
Variables			N	$\overline{X} \pm SS$	Test, p	Differences			
	Success in school	Good Moderate	229 389	51.41±6.05 50.92±7.00	t=0.891 p= 0.373				
	Repeating of a	Passed	578	51.19±6.63	*t= 0.568				
	Relationships with teachers Relationships with friends	Failed Good	79 380	50.73±7.52 51.31±6.28	p=0.570 *t= 0.831 p=0.406				
School Success and Peer		Moderate	251	50.85±7.45					
Relationships		Good	498	51.33±6.55					
		Moderate	140	50.53±7.10	*t= 1.248 p=0.212				
	Having one or	Yes	623	51.13±6.74	*t= 0.060				
	more close friends	No	34	51.21±6.76	p=0.952				

<sup>\*</sup>t test: Unrelated samples t test

Table 4. Comparison of loneliness levels of high school students according to school success and peer relationships

When the loneliness levels of high school students were compared based on the characteristics of their fathers and mothers; the (F=1.596; p=0.189), occupation of the father

(F=1.663; p=0.190), relationship with the father (t=0.478; p=0.633), father's attitude (F=0.079; p=0.924), mother's education level =0.965; p=0.617), relationships with the mother (t=0.219; p=0.827) and mother's attitude (F=2.045; p=0.071) and there was a significant difference according to the employment status

of the mother (t(655)=2.370; p<0.05). When mean scores were examined; adolescents whose mothers worked (= $52.33\pm6.45$ ) had a higher level of loneliness when compared to those whose mothers did not work (= $50.81\pm6.79$ ) (Table 5)

UCLA Loneliness Level Comparisons									
	Variable	S	n	$\overline{X} \pm SS$	Test, p	Differences			
Father Characte- ristics	Education level of the father	Elementary school or no education Secondary school High school University and higher	167 153 179 153	50.81±7.18 50.69±6.46 51.03±6.99 52.16±5.66	** Levene= 2.367 p=0.070 ****F = 1.596 p=0.189				
	Occupation of the father	Civil Servant Retired Self employed	163 100 361	51.65±6.39 51.99±6.04 50.81±7.05	** Levene= 1.085p=0.339 ****F = 1.663 p=0.190				
	Relationship with the father	Good Moderate	524 102	51.20±6.57 50.85±7.01	t=0.478 p=0.633				
	Father's attitude	Authoritarian Democratic Tolerant	90 69 444	50.87±6.18 50.86±7.74 51.10±6.46	** Levene= 2.588 p=0.076 ****F = 0.079 p=0.924				
Mother Characte- ristics	Mother's education level	Elementary school or no education Secondary school High school University and higher	295 151 137 71	50.95±7.16 50.34±7.31 51.55±5.60 52.73±5.39	** Levene= 3.651 p=0.012 *** X <sup>2</sup> = 0.965 p=0.617				
	Employment status of the mother	Employed Homemaker	141 516	52.33±6.45 50.81±6.79	*t= 2.370 <b>p= 0.018</b>	Employed> Homemaker			
	Relationships with the mother	Good Moderate	584 39	51.18±6.65 50.98±7.67	*t= 0.219 p= 0.827				
	Mother's attitude	Authoritarian Democratic Tolerant	66 51 503	50.26±7.49 51.96±8.21 51.15±6.34	** Levene= 1.251p=0.283 ****F = 2.045 p=0.071				

\*t test: Unrelated samples t test \*\* Levene test: Homogeneity of variance test \*\*\* X<sup>2</sup>: Kruskall Wallis test \*\*\*\* F testi: One way analysis of variance

Table 5. Comparison of loneliness levels of high school students according to parent characteristics

When loneliness levels of high school students were reviewed based on their Internet and telephone use for communication the following findings did not affect the mean level of loneliness among adolescents: membership to online dating sites (t=0.081; p=0.935), choosing and finding friends online (t=0.292; p=0.770), where they chose to go online (F=3.013; p=0.051), number of text messages sent via mobile phones (F=1.041; p=0.354),the purpose of using computers (=4.593; p=0.203), playing online games (t=0.754; p=0.451), the average daily hours of computer use (F=0.024;

p=0.976), parents use of computers (t=0.710; p=0.478), Internet addiction (t=0.718; p=0.473); however Internet use resulting in anger/depression was found to have an effect on the mean level of loneliness in adolescents (t(655)=2.429; p<0.05). When mean scores were reviewed, the loneliness levels of adolescents who reported that being online caused them to have anger and depression (=52.64 $\pm$ 6.30) were higher compared to those adolescents that did not report the same (=50.87 $\pm$ 6.79) (Table 6).

	UCLA Loneliness Level Comparisons									
	Variables		N	X± SS	Test, p	Diffe-				
						rences				
	Membership to online	Yes	451	51.15±6.90	*t = 0.081					
	dating sites	No	206	51.11±6.39	p= 0.935					
	Choosing and finding	Yes	31	51.48±7.53	*t = 0.292					
	friends online	No	626	51.12±6.70	p= 0.770					
	Availability of internet	Yes	490	51.29±6.68	*t = 0.999					
	to be at home	No	167	50.69±6.92	p=0.318					
	Where they chose to	Home	238	50.37±7.09	** Levene=					
	go online	Mobile phone	135	51.08±5.89	0.810 p=0.445					
		Other	284	51.81±6.77	****F = 3.013					
					p=0.051					
	Number of text	None	282	50.85±7.27	** Levene=					
The Use of	messages sent via	1-100	231	51.65±6.00	1.868 p=0.155					
Internet	mobile phones	101 and over	144	50.87±6.78	$****\hat{F} = 1.041$					
and	TTI C :	0.11	110	51.70.5.40	p=0.354					
Telephone	The purpose of using	Only to study	113	51.78±5.43	<b>ψΨ Τ</b>					
for	computers	To study and play	163	50.23±7.36	** Levene=					
Communic		games	1.7.1	51.06.675	3.515 p=0.015 *** $X^2 = 4.593$					
ation		Social networks	151	51.86±6.75						
		Other	230	50.99±6.81	p=0.203					
	Playing online games	Yes	390	50.97±7.03	*t= 0.754 p=					
	on the Internet	No	267	51.38±6.29	0.451					
	The average daily	I do not use Internet	100	51.09±6.30	** Levene=					
	hours of computer use	1-4 hours	501	51.13±6.67	0.778 p=0.460					
		5 hours and over	56	51.32±8.10	****F= 0.024					
		**	250	71.00 7.70	p=0,976					
	Parents' use of	Yes	378	51.30±6.58	*t= 0.710					
	computers	No	279	50.92±6.96	p= 0.478					
	The state of being	Yes	105	51.57±6.67	*t= 0.718					
	addicted to the internet	No	552	51.06±6.75	p= 0.473					
	Internet use resulting	Yes	100	52.64±6.30	*t= 2.429	Yes>N				
	in anger/depression	No	557	50.87±6.79	p= 0.015	0				

\*t test: Unrelated samples t test \*\* Levene test: Homogeneity of variance test \*\*\*  $X^2$ : Kruskall Wallis test \*\*\* F testi: One way analysis of variance

Table 6. Comparison of loneliness levels of high school students according to the use of internet and telephone for communication

When loneliness levels of high school students were compared based on their health and the quality of life, their loneliness level was not affected by the following medical condition (t=0.377; p=0.706), chronic illness (t=0.368; p=0.713), ability to enjoy life (t=0.124; p=0.901), future expectations (F=0.159; p=0.853), tobacco, alcohol or drug use (t=1.315; p=0.189) and self-hurt act within the last year

(t=0.357; p=0.721) however it was affected by self-harm thought/urges occurring in the last one year (t(655)=3.043; p<0.05). When mean scores were reviewed, the loneliness level of adolescents who had self-harm urges in the last year (= $53.10\pm5.36$ ) was higher when compared to those adolescents that did not have any self-harm thoughts in the last year(= $50.82\pm6.89$ ) (Table 7).

UCLA Loneliness Level Comparisons								
	Variables		n	$\overline{X} \pm SS$	Test, p	Differe nces		
	Medical condition (to be handicapped)	Yes No	46 611	51.50±6.06 51.11±6.79	*t= 0.377 p=0.706			
	Chronic illness	Yes No	53 604	50.81±6.25 51.17±6.78	*t= 0.368 p=0.713			
	Ability to enjoy life	Yes No	505 152	51.17±6.78 51.16±6.72 51.08±6.81	*t= 0.124 p=0.901			
Health and Life Expecta- tions	Future expectations	I believe all my expectations will realise	196	51.23±7.14				
		I believe some of my expectations will realise	415	51.05±6.57	**Levene=0.147 p=0.863 ***F = 0.159			
		I don't believe any of my expectations will realise	46	51.59±6.59	p=0.853			
	Tobacco, alcohol or drug use	Yes No	57 600	50.02±5.79 51.25±6.82	*t= 1.315 p=0.189			
	Self-harm thought/urges the last year	Yes No	93 564	53.10±5.36 50.82±6.89	*t= 3.043 <b>p=0.002</b>	Yes>No		
	Self-hurt act within the last year	Yes No	27 630	51.59±6.63 51.12±6.75	*t= 0.357 p=0.721			

\*t test: Unrelated samples t test \*\* Levene test: Homogeneity of variance test \*\*\* F testi: One way analysis of variance

Table 7. Comparison of loneliness levels of high school students according to health and life expectations

## **Discussion**

The mean score of the high school students in the UCLA Loneliness Scale was 51.14±6.74 which was evaluated as moderate level. When the literature was reviewed, similar loneliness scores were found in the studies conducted in adolescents and these scores ranged between 34.47 and 66.22 [5, 21, 24, 28, 33, 42]. The findings of our study were similar to the findings of other studies and the levels were too high to be ignored. The reason why the range of loneliness levels is that wide can be explained by the use of non-standard scales and also by the fact that the socio-demographic factors such as age, gender, geographic location, culture etc. in study groups are different from each other. The fact that we conducted this study in the country side could be the reason for these findings. In fact in their study conducted to determine loneliness levels and coping strategies of adolescents in the country side, Woodward and Frank [38] found extremely high loneliness scores in adolescents.

Although loneliness level of both female and male students was moderate, female

students had a higher loneliness level when compared to male students. When similar studies in the literature were reviewed there were studies with findings that match our study findings [20, 26, 33, 41] and there were studies that reported higher level of loneliness among male students vs female students [1, 4-6, 8, 14, 19, 21, 30, 31, 35]. Additionally there are also studies which reported no significant difference between the levels of male and female students [15, 36, 37]. In the literature review, there are findings that are both compatible and not compatible with the findings of our study. The reason for female participants to have a higher level of loneliness might be that women may be restricted more compared to men for their freedoms to go outside, to meet friends due to patriarchal structure of our society. Considering the fact that the study was conducted in the Black Sea region, a conservative region in Turkey, families may be too protective for their daughters and since being more sensitive to any possible dangers in the outside world, they may be raising their daughters to be more insecure. This could lead to the situation where girls

become more sensitive and hesitant in having close relationships and establishing trust to others.

In this study, it was found that younger high school students had higher level of loneliness. In their studies in adolescents, Erözkan [15] and Çağır [6] did not find any significant difference in the loneliness levels of students based on the age variable. In their study with nursing and midwifery students Kaya et al. [21] found that the level of loneliness of students increased with the increasing age. In their study in 156 adolescents with ages ranging from 11 to 18, Brage et al. [3] found that older adolescents felt lonelier compared to younger adolescents. In their study in adolescents, Secim et al. [33] found that loneliness score increased with the increasing age. In the above mentioned studies loneliness levels increased with the increasing age while in this study loneliness decreased with the increasing age. The reason why the findings of this study are not similar to those in the literature could be the geographic location of the study, social structure, cultural factors involved and gender. Younger adolescents could be more independent, defiant, and engage in risky behaviour when selecting friends. Therefore they could have more friends around them and have more satisfactory relationships.

Adolescents studying in the science high school had a higher level of loneliness when compared to the loneliness levels of adolescents in other schools. In the study conducted in adolescents, Sentürk [35] reported that students in vocational high schools had the highest level of loneliness according to school type. The findings of this study are not compatible to the findings of Şentürk's study. That the students in the Science High School which is one of the best schools where only the smartest students can enter after passing a nationwide exam are more success oriented and study all the time could be reason for this result. Because these adolescents are most probably very success oriented and spend most of their time studying.

Loneliness levels of high school students showed a significant difference depending on the employment status of their mothers. Adolescents whose mothers worked had a higher level of loneliness when compared to those whose mothers did not work. Adolescents typically need more relationships due to the developmental stage they are in and this need for relationship is generally met by friends and family members. In our culture a mother is typically the first person with whom the adolescent shares his /her problems. While the mother is the confidant half-friend, main caregiver and listener in the family; the father mostly stands out as the family member who provides for and protects the family, an authority figure. Not being able to share problems with the mother due to the reason that she is working could be the reason why the adolescents feels lonelier.

Loneliness levels of high school students showed a significant difference depending on their use of Internet leading to anger or depression. When mean scores were reviewed, the loneliness levels of adolescents who reported that being online caused them to have anger and depression were higher compared to those adolescents that do not report the same. No study on this subject has been found in the literature. Increased amount of time spent online by the adolescent leading to anger and depression and thus resulting in loneliness was anticipated finding. The fact that an adolescent does not communicate outside the virtual network and online chat environment could lead them to loneliness. Additionally if the adolescent plays violent online games, this could also make him/her feel angry and depressive.

A significant difference was found in the level of loneliness among high school students based on their self-harm thoughts in the last year. When mean scores were reviewed, the loneliness level of adolescents who had selfharm urges in the last year was higher when compared to those adolescents that did not have any self-harm thoughts. Eskin [17] also found that the UCLA loneliness scores of the adolescents who "thought of committing a suicide in the last year" were higher than those who did not have such thoughts. Similarly, loneliness scores of the adolescents who attempted a suicide attempt was higher than those who did not. The literature shows a relationship between loneliness and depression symptoms. Considering the fact that depression has a high risk of resulting in a suicide attempt or thought of suicide attempt, high level of

loneliness anticipated finding. was an Additionally, availability of social support systems for adolescents is one of the factors of that protect mental health. Social support and the perception of social support are among the factors that affect the feeling of loneliness. However in this study loneliness increased as the age of the adolescent decreased. Younger adolescents may tend to engage more in impulsive behaviour additionally adolescents may be more depressive when they feel lonely. And this could lead to thoughts of suicide.

No significant difference was found in the level of loneliness of high school students according to the number of their siblings. In other words, adolescents with one or more siblings showed similar levels of loneliness. Different findings could be seen in studies conducted in similar groups. While there are studies that reported that loneliness increased as the number of siblings increased [5, 14, 30, 42], there are also studies that reported that loneliness decreased as the number of siblings increased [36]. Seçim et al. [33] reported that loneliness scores were higher in only children and those who had two siblings. The reason why our study findings are not compatible with the findings of other studies could be geographic characteristics of the study area. The Black Sea region is one of the regions where adolescents are important members of their families, traditional values are still maintained and gender discrimination is not too common. The difference in findings was thought to have resulted from the above facts.

Loneliness levels of high school students were not affected by their parents' education level. In the literature review, study findings were varied in this subject. Some studies reported that loneliness levels of high school students were not affected by the mother's education level [36] and some studies reported that they were affected both by the mother's and father's education level [17] and some studies reported that loneliness increased as the father's education decreased [36] and some claimed that loneliness increased as the education of both the mother and father decreased [5, 14, 17, 24, 35, 42]. As most of literature findings show, the loneliness level of the adolescent decreases when the education level of parents increases. This study demonstrated that education level of the mother and father did not affect the level of loneliness.

Conclusion and Recommendation

The study found that adolescents had a moderate level of loneliness, and the factors including being female, having a working mother, internet use resulting in anger/depression and thoughts of self-harm in the last year led to a higher loneliness score.

Based on the above mentioned findings, it is recommended for school nurses to increase social activities in schools, to educate to spend more time to the adolescents whose mothers are working, to identify risk groups for loneliness to prevent suicides, to organise programs to increase social relations and to make regular presentations on effective communication techniques.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## **Authors' contributions**

K.Ö.: Data collection, data analysis, and completing the paper.

H. A.: Study design, data analysis, and drafting and completing the paper.

### **Limitations of Study**

The research is limited with five schools.

### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Adnan University Scientific Research Menderes Projects units within the scope of project code ASYO-14008. The authors would like to thank the Trabzon High School of Science, Pelitli Ahmet Balli High School, Atatürk Health Vocational High School, Anadolu Imam Hatip High School and Vocational High School depending Directorate on of **National** Education in Trabzon city center, for giving permission to conduct this study

#### **References:**

- [1] Atlı A, Keldal G, Sonar O. Üniversite öğrencilerinin yabancılaşma ile yalnızlık düzeyleri arasındaki ilişki. Mustafa Kemal Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi. 2015; 12: 149-160.
- [2] Bilazer FN, Gonca GE, Uğur S, Uçak H.

Nursing and child workers in Turkey.2008; Available from: URL: http://www.turkhemsirelerdernegi.org.tr/menu/hemsirelik-yayinlari/saglik-guncel/thd-sagligin-sesi-yazilari/83-saglikguncel.aspx

- [3] Brage D, Meredith W, Woodward J. Correlates of loneliness amid western adolescents. Adolescence. 1993; 28: 685-93.
- [4] Buluş M. Üniversite öğrencilerinde yalnızlık. Pamukkale Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi. 1997; 3: 82-90.
- [5] Buluş M. Ergen öğrencilerde denetim odağıyalnızlık düzeyi ilişkisi. D.E.Ü. Buca Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi. 2000; 12: 165-176.
- [6] Çağır G. Lise ve üniversite öğrencilerinin problemli internet kullanım düzeyleri ile algılanan esenlik halleri ve yalnızlık düzeyleri arasındaki ilişki. Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Balıkesir Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü. 2010. Balıkesir. Türkiye.
- [7] Çağır G, Gürgen U. Lise ve üniversite öğrencilerinin problemli internet kullanım düzeyleri ile algılanan iyilik halleri ve yalnızlık düzeyleri arasındaki ilişki. Balıkesir Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi. 2010; 13: 70-85.
- [8] Demir A. Üniversite öğrencilerinin yalnızlık düzeylerini etkileyen bazı etmenler. Yayımlanmamış Doktora Tezi, Hacettepe Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü. 1990. Ankara. Türkiye.
- [9] Demir T, Demir ET, Kayaalp ML, Büyükkal B. Ergenlerin depresif bozuklukların yaygınlığı ve depresif bozukluğu olan ergenlerin özellikleri. Çocuk ve Gençlik Ruh Sağlığı Dergisi. 1999; 6: 1-11.
- [10] Demir A. UCLA yalnızlık ölçeğinin geçerlik ve güvenirliği. Psikoloji Dergisi. 1989; 7:14-18.
- [11] DeWayne Moore, Norman R, Schultz JR. Loneliness at Adolescence: Correlates, attributions, and coping. Journal of Youth and Adolescence. 1985; 12: 95-100.
- [12] Doğan T, Karaman N G, Çoban EA, Çok F. Ergenlerde arkadaşlık ilişkilerinin yordayıcısı olarak cinsiyet ve aileye ilişkin değişkenler. İlköğretim Online. 2012; 11:1010-1020. [Online]: http://ilkogretim-

online.org.tr.

- [13] Durualp E, Çiçekoğlu P. Yetiştirme yurdunda kalan ergenlerin yalnızlık düzeylerinin internet bağımlılığı ve çeşitli değişkenler açısından incelenmesi. Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi. 2013; 15: 29-46.
- [14] Duyan V, Duyan ÇG, Çifçi GE, Sevin Ç, Erbay E, İkizoğlu M. Lisede okuyan öğrencilerin yalnızlık durumlarına etki eden değişkenlerin incelenmesi. Eğitim ve Bilim. 2008; 33: 28-41.
- [15] Erözkan A. Ergenlerde yalnızlığın yordayıcıları. İlköğretim Online. 2009; 8: 809-819. [Online]: http://ilkogretim-online.org.tr.
- [16] Esen E, Siyez MD. Ergenlerde internet bağımlılığını yordayan psikososyal değişkenlerin incelenmesi. Türk Psikolojik Danışma ve Rehberlik Dergisi. 2011; 4: 127-138.
- [17] Eskin M. Ergenlikte yalnızlık, baş etme yöntemleri ve yalnızlığın intihar davranışı ile ilişkisi. Klinik Psikiyatri. 2001; 4: 5-11.
- [18] Hauenstein JE. Depression in adolescence. JOGNN. 2003; 32: 239-248.
- [19] Karahan FT, Sardoğan EM, Şar HA, Ersanlı E, Kaya NS, Kumcağız H. Üniversite öğrencilerinin yalnızlık düzeyleri ile benlik saygısı düzeyleri arasındaki ilişki. Ondokuz Mayıs Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi 2004; 18: 27-39.
- [20] Karakuş Ö. Ergenlerde bağlanma stilleri ve yalnızlık arasındaki ilişki. Journal of Society & Social Work. 2012; 23: 33-46.
- [21] Kaya N, Kaya H, Atar YN, Turan N, Eskimez Z, Palloş A et al. Hemşirelik ve ebelik öğrencilerinin öfke ve yalnızlık özellikleri. Hemşirelikte Eğitim ve Araştırma Dergisi. 2012; 9: 18-26.
- [22] Kayahan M, Aksoy Ş. Psikiyatride vaka yönetimi ve vaka yönetimi metodunda hemşirenin rolü. Türkiye Klinikleri Tıp Etiği. 2001; 9: 35-37.
- [23] Kılınç H. Ergenlerin yalnızlık düzeyleri ve kişilerarası ilişkilerle ilgili bilişsel çarpıtmaları arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi. Yüksek Lisans Tezi. Ankara Üniversitesi Eğitim Bilimleri Enstitüsü.

- 2005. Ankara. Türkiye.
- [24] Koç Z, Sağlam Z. Bir grup üniversite hemşirelik öğrencisinde yalnızlık. Aile ve Toplum Eğitim-Kültür ve Araştırma Dergisi. 2009; 11: 62-73.
- [25] Mijuskovic B. Loneliness: Counseling adolescents. Adolescence. 1986; 21: 941–950.
- [26] O'Neill K. Sex differences in social support, loneliness and depression among korean college students. College of Nursing Science. 2001; 88: 521-526.
- [27] Öztürk MO. Duygudurum bozuklukları, ruh sağlığı ve bozuklukları içinde. 11. Baskı. Ankara: Tuna Matbaacılık; 2008: 337-428.
- [28] Öztürk H, Sevindik NF, Yaman ÇS. Öğrencilerde yalnızlık ve sosyal destek ile bunlara etki eden faktörlerin incelenmesi. Fırat Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi. 2006; 16: 383-394.
- [29] Russell D, Peplau LA, Cutrona CE. The revised UCLA loneliness scale: Concurrent and discriminant validity evidence. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 1980; 39: 472-480.
- [30] Saraçoğlu Y. Lise öğrencilerinin yalnızlık düzeylerinin çeşitli değişkenlere göre incelenmesi. Yayımlanmamış Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Ondokuz Mayıs Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü. 2000. Samsun. Türkiye.
- [31] Sarıçam H. Üniversite öğrencilerinde reddedilme duyarlılıkları ile benlik saygıları ve yalnızlık düzeyleri arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi. Yüksek lisans Tezi, Atatürk Üniversitesi Eğitim Bilimleri Enstitüsü. 2011. Erzurum. Türkiye.
- [32] Sayıl I, Berksun OE. Depresyon ve intihar. Psikiyatri Dünyası. 1999; 2: 52-56.
- [33] Seçim YÖ, Alpar Ö, Algür S. Üniversite öğrencilerinde yalnızlık: Akdeniz üniversitesinde yapılan ampirik bir

- araştırma. Elektronik Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi. 2014; 13: 200-215.
- [34] Şenol S. Hemofili hastalarında kapsamlı bakım ve hemşirelik rolü. Türkiye Klinikleri Dergisi. 2006; 2: 59-64.
- [35] Şentürk SS. Liseli ergenlerin yalnızlık algısının sosyal beceri, benlik saygısı ve kişilik özellikleri bağlamında değerlendirmesi. Yüksek Lisans Tezi, İstanbul Maltepe Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü. 2010. İstanbul. Türkiye.
- [36] Tan D. Öğrencilerin yalnızlık düzeyleri ile denetim odaklarının aile destek düzeyleri ve özlük nitelikleri açısından karşılaştırmalı olarak incelenmesi. Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Selçuk Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü. 2000. Konya. Türkiye.
- [37] Uruk A. Role of Peers and families in predicting the loneliness level of adolescents. Yayımlanmamış Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Orta Doğu Teknik Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü. 2001. Ankara. Türkiye.
- [38] Woodward JC, Frank BD. Rural adolescent loneliness and coping strategies. Adolescence. 1988; 23. 559-65.
- [39] Van Rode V, Rotsaert M, Delhaye M. Loneliness and adolescence: Clinical implications and outlook. Literature review. Rev Med Brux. 2015; 36: 415-20.
- [40] Yaşar RM. Yalnızlık. Fırat Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi. 2007; 17: 237-260.
- [41] Yıldırım M. Şiddete başvuran ve başvurmayan ergenlerin yalnızlık düzeyleri ve akran baskısı düzeyleri açısından incelenmesi. Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Çukurova Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü. 2007. Adana. Türkiye.
- [42] Yılmaz E, Yılmaz E, Karaca F. Üniversite öğrencilerinin sosyal destek ve yalnızlık düzeylerinin incelenmesi. Genel Tıp Dergisi. 2008; 18: 71-79