



**Research Article** 

Field evaluation of entomopathogenic fungi against cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae* (L.) and their effect on *coccinellid predator*, *Coccinella septempunctata* (Linnaeus)

### B. RAMANUJAM<sup>\*</sup>, KRISHNA JAPUR and B. POORNESHA

Division of Insect Ecology, ICAR-National Bureau of Agricultural Insect Resources (NBAIR), H. A. Farm post, Bellary Road, Hebbal, Bengaluru – 560024, Karnataka, India \*Corresponding author E-mail: bonamramanujam58@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** The present study was carried out to assess the field efficacy of three isolates of entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) for management of cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae*. All the tested isolates showed suppressive effect on aphid. Two-year pooled data revealed lower incidence of 13.9–17.1 aphids/plant in the EPF treated plots compared to higher incidence of 107.5 aphids/plant in untreated control. Significantly higher yields were recorded in the plots treated with Bb-5a, Ma-4 and VI-8 isolates (28.0, 27.4 and 27.4 t/ha, respectively) compared to untreated control (22.3 t/ha). The populations of predatory coccinellid and spiders in EPF treated and untreated control plots were found statistically non-significant indicating the safety of entomopathogenic fungi to these predators.

**KEY WORDS:** Beauveria bassiana, Brevicoryne brassicae, Cabbage, Coccinella septempunctata, Entomopathogenic fungi, Lecanicillium lecanii, Metarhizium anisopliae

(Article chronicle: Received: 29-06.2017; Revised: 09-09-2017; Accepted: 22-09-2017)

## INTRODUCTION

Cabbage (Brassica oleracea var capitata) is an important vegetable crop in India and many countries of the world (Munthali et al., 2004). In India, cabbage is cultivated in an area of 3.9 lakh ha with 85 lakh tonnes production and productivity of 22 t/ha. In Karnataka, it is grown in an area of 10400 ha with production of 2.2 lakh tonnes and productivity of 20 t/ha (Anon. 2015). Cabbage aphid, Brevicoryne brassicae (L) is an important pest of cabbage in all growing areas of the world (Bhatia and Verma, 1994; Dattu and Dattu, 1995). In India, cabbage aphid plays a prominent role in reducing the yield, ranging from 35 to 80% (Rohilla et al., 1987; Ellis and Singh, 1993; .Shoaib, 2003). Cabbage aphid populations tend to build up quickly on infested plants because of its high reproductive capacity and results in stunted growth with deformation of plant (McKinley, 1992). Infestation reduces the quality of the produce through their presence and by contamination of the leaves with exuvae, wax, honeydew and sooty mould that grows on the honeydew (McKinley, 1992).

Major management strategy for cabbage aphid includes use of insecticides. But this is considered as environmentally hazardous as it increases residue problem and resistance development against insecticides. These factors have led to increased interest in finding alternative ways for managing pests. Biocontrol is considered as a safe and effective method. Aphids are attacked by many entomopathogens, predators and parasitoids (Skouras *et al.*, 2015). Many entomopathogenic fungi like *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) Vuillemin, *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschnikoff) Sorokin, *Lecanicillium lecanii* (Zimm.) Zare & Gams, have been reported to be effective against aphids (Jouda *et al.*, 2010 and Ujjan *et al.*, 2012). Prevalent high humidity favours development of entomopathogens and this may be the leading cause of insect mortality and avoids the build up of resistance to insecticides and also conserves its natural enemies.

For the control of sucking pests, entomopathogenic fungi are the most appropriate microbial bioagents as they infect the insect cuticle directly by contact and do not require ingestion for infection. Mass production techniques of entomofungal pathogens are much simpler, easier and cheaper (Rabindra and Ramanujam, 2007). However, field efficacy of different isolates of entomofungal pathogens was not tested extensively for the management of cabbage aphid. Hence the present study was undertaken to evaluate the field efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi, *viz.*, *Beuveria bassiana*, *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Lecanicillium leca*- nii and their effect on natural enemies.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field trial on evaluation of entomopathogenic fungi on cabbage aphid, Brevicoryne brassicae and their effect on Coccinella septempunctata (Linnaeus) in cabbage eco-system was carried out at ICAR-NBAIR, Yalahanka Farm, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India during kharif season (June-September) in 2014 and 2015 by using Unnati hybrid with three entomopathogenic fungi, B. bassiana (ICAR-NBAIR-Bb-5a strain), M. anisopliae (ICAR-NBAIR-Ma-4 strain) and L. lecanii (ICAR-NBAIR-VI-8 strain). The trial was laid out in RBD design with five replications for each treatment and with a plot size of 43 m<sup>2</sup> for each replication with 1 m apart. All the agronomic practices with recommended dose of fertilizers were followed till the harvest of crop as per the package of practices of University of Agricultural Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India. Four rounds of foliar sprays of oil formulations of entomopathogenic fungi at the spore dose of 1x10<sup>8</sup> cfu/ml were given at 10 days interval during July-August. Observations on population count of aphids (nymphs and adults) were recorded before and after each spray. Yield of cabbage was recorded at harvest. The population of C. septempunctata (larvae and adults) in each of the treatment was recorded before and after every spray and they were removed each time after recording throughout the experimental period to avoid their effect on pest population in the experimental plots. All the recorded observations were statistically analyzed by using SPSS v16 software and later analyzed data of all the sprays were averaged and separated by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). The yield of cabbage was recorded for each treatment and converted to tonnes per hectare. The weather parameters during experimental period of June to September 2014 were T<sub>max</sub> (28.28 °C), T<sub>min</sub> (19.35 °C), RH-I (93%), RH-II (55%) and RF (754.8 mm) and in 2015 were  $T_{max}$  (29.1 °C),  $T_{min}$  (19.35 °C), RH-I (90.75%), RH-II (52.25%) and RF (472.8 mm). The meteorological data recorded was observed with meteorological observatory from the University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India for experimental purpose.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Effect of oil formulations of entomopathogenic fungi on the population of *Brevicoryne brassicae* and cabbage yield

Among the three isolates tested during 2014, Bb-5a isolate of B. bassiana showed the least post aphid population of 2.33 aphids/plant followed by VI-8 isolate of L. lecanii and Ma-4 isolate of M. anisopliae of 6.33 and 8.0 aphids/plant with percent reduction of 98.5, 96.1 and 95.1 respectively over control as presented in Table 1. Similarly during 2015, the minimum post aphid populations were recorded in the plots treated with Bb-5a isolate of *B. bassi*ana and Ma-4 of M. anisopliae (25.45 and 26.73 aphids / plant) with 49.14 and 46.58 percent reduction respectively over control and were on par with each other (Table 1 and Fig 1). Pooled data analysis of two years indicated the least post aphid population of 13.9 aphids/plant in the plots treated with Bb-5a isolate of B. bassiana followed by VI-8 isolate of L. lecanii and Ma-4 isolate of M. anisopliae of 17.1 and 17.4 aphids/plant with percent reduction of 87.1, 84.1 and 83.9 respectively over control (Table 1). Mandi et al., (2016) reported the field efficacy of B. bassiana with 54.4% reduction of aphid population of Myzus persicae (Sulzer) in cabbage in Mohanpur, West Bengal. Similarly Meena et al., (2013) reported that B. bassiana, V. lecanii and M. anisopliae @ 5g/l showed 78, 75 and 74% reduction of mustard aphid, Lipaphis erysimi population over control in Bharatpur, Rajasthan. Ahmed Al-Keridis, (2) reported



Fig. 1. A Mycosis of ICAR-NBAIR-Bb-5a on Brevicoryne *brassicaeon* cabbage leaf and B. untreated control leaf with healthy aphids.

| Table 1. Effect of oil formulations of entomofungal pathogens on cabbage aphid population, yield and natural enemy population | of oil form  | ulations of e   | ntomofung   | gal path          | ogens on c  | abbage aphi            | id populat | ion, yiel  | d and nat        | ural enemy        | populatio   | u                 |               |            |
|---|--------------|---|-------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------------|------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|------------|
| Isolates  |              | 2014  |             |                   |             | 2015                   |            |            |                  |                   | Pooled data | ata               |               |            |
|   | Pre count    | Post count % reduc-   | % reduc-    | Yield             | Pre count   | Post count             | % reduc-   | Yield      | Pre count        | Post count        | % reduc-    | Yield             | Natural enemy | enemy      |
|   | aphids/      | Aphids/Plant tion over  | tion over   | (t/ha)            | aphids/     | Aphids/Plant tion over | tion over  | (t/ha)     | of aphids        | Aphids/           | tion over   | (t/ha)            | population    | ttion      |
|   | plant        | (Mean) *  | control     |                   | plant       | (Mean) *               | control    |            | /plant           | Plant             | control     |                   | Pre           | Post       |
|   |              |   |             |                   |             |                        |            |            |                  | (Mean) *          |             |                   | count         | count      |
| B. bassiana   | 253.33ª      | 2.33ª   | 98.5        | $19.0^{a}$        | $97.00^{a}$ | 25.45 <sup>a</sup>     | 49.14      | $37.0^{a}$ | 175 <sup>a</sup> | $13.9^{a}$        | 87.1        | $28.0^{a}$        | $0.07^{a}$    | $0.26^{a}$ |
| (NBAIR-Bb-5a)   | (15.63)      | (1.22)  |             | (4.42)            | (9.87)      | (5.09)                 |            | (6.12)     | (13.23)          | (3.79)            |             | (5.34)            | (0.75)        | (0.87)     |
| M. anisopliae   | 264.33ª      | 8.0°  | 95.1        | 18.5 <sup>a</sup> | $89.80^{a}$ | $26.73^{a}$            | 46.58      | 36.3ª      | 177 <sup>a</sup> | $17.4^{b}$        | 83.9        | 27.4ª             | $0.00^{a}$    | $0.08^{a}$ |
| (NBAIR-Ma-4)  | (16.20)      | (2.82)  |             | (4.36)            | (9.50)      | (5.22)                 |            | (6.06)     | (13.33)          | (4.23)            |             | (5.28)            | (0.71)        | (0.76)     |
| L. lecanii  | $266.00^{a}$ | $6.33^{\mathrm{b}}$   | 96.1        | 18.5 <sup>a</sup> | 98.53ª      | 27.95 <sup>b</sup>     | 44.15      | 36.2ª      | $182^{a}$        | 17.1 <sup>b</sup> | 84.1        | $27.4^{a}$        | $0.07^{a}$    | $0.11^{a}$ |
| (NBAIR-VI-8)  | (16.26)      | (2.05)  |             | (4.36)            | (9.95)      | (5.33)                 |            | (6.06)     | (13.52)          | (4.20)            |             | (5.28)            | (0.75)        | (0.78)     |
| Control   | $310.00^{a}$ | 165 <sup>d</sup>  | ı           | 14.5 <sup>b</sup> | $95.00^{a}$ | $50.04^{\circ}$        | 1          | $30.0^{b}$ | 203ª             | 107.5°            |             | 22.3 <sup>b</sup> | $0.20^{a}$    | $0.31^{a}$ |
|   | (17.06)      | (12.59)   |             | (3.87)            | (6.77)      | (7.11)                 |            | (5.52)     | (14.25)          | (10.39)           |             | (4.77)            | (0.84)        | (06.0)     |
| CD@5%   | NS           | 2.32  | ı           | ı                 | NS          | 1.44                   | I          | I          | NS               | 1.88              | 1           | I                 | NS            | NS         |
| CV  | ,            | 13.60   | 1           | 1                 | ı           | 7.83                   | ı          | ı          | ı                | 10.71             |             | ı                 |               | ı          |
| * Mean of   | four observ  | * Mean of four observations recorded at ten days intervals during July-August | ed at ten d | ays inter         | vals during | g July-Augus:          |            |            |                  |                   |             |                   |               |            |

the field efficacy of V. lecanii against Brevicorvne brassicae after 3rd spray with 97% reduction over control.

Field evaluation of entomopathogenic fungi against cabbage aphid

Pooled analysis of two years yield data revealed that significantly higher yields were noticed in Bb-5a isolate of B. bassiana, VI-8 isolate of L. lecanii and Ma-4 isolate of M. anisopliae with 28.0, 27.4 and 27.4 t/ha respectively and were on par with each other compared to untreated control which recorded 22.3 t/ha as mentioned in Table 1. Suppression of aphid population by these isolates (Fig. 1) might have resulted in higher yields. Mandi et al., (2016) reported 25.41% increase of cabbage yield (41.13 t/ha) in the B. bassiana treated plots  $(2x10^7 \text{ spores/g}) @ 1.0\%$ .

#### Effect of oil formulations of entomopathogenic fungi on natural enemies

Pooled data analysis of both years on C. septempunc*tata* population in the experimental plots revealed that the pre-count population were ranged 0-0.2/plant and postcount ranged 0.08-0.31/plant which were statistically on par with each other. This clearly indicates that the tested entomopathogenic fungal isolates have no detrimental on C. septempunctata and can be considered as safe. Meena et al., (2014) reported the maximum population of coccinellid (C. septempunctata) in cabbage crop treated with V. lecanii (a) 5g/L. Similarly Derakhshan et al., (2007) reported that the V. lecanii was not pathogenic to natural enemies viz., Chrysoperia carnea (Stephens), Coccinella septempunctata (Linnaeus), Episyrphus baiteatus (De Geer) and Sumia cynthia ricini (Boisduval).

# CONCLUSION

Among the three isolates tested, Beuveria bassiana (Bb-5a) showed superior effect in suppression of cabbage aphid (Brevicoryne brassicae L.) under field conditions during Kharif seasons. It is eco-friendly and doesn't have any adverse affect on C. septempunctata, environment and human or animal health. It can be recommended to farmers against cabbage aphid control as an alternative to chemicals insecticides.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful for the constant support provided throughout the research from the Director, ICAR-National Bureau of Agricultural Insect Resources, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous. 2015. www.indiastat.com Ministry of Agri culture & Farmers welfare, Govt. of India.
- Ahmed Al-Keridis L. 2016. Virulence of Some Entomo pathogenic Fungi on Cabbage phids, Brevicoryne brassica L. Int J Chem Tech Res. 9: 61-67.

- Bhatia R, Verma A. 1994. Seasonal incidence of major insect pests associated with winter crops of cabbage in Himachal Pradesh. *Ann Agri Res.* **15**: 222–225.
- Dattu SK, Dattu BC. 1995. A preliminary survey of insect pests of rape seed mustard in Central Brahmaputra valley zone of Assam. *Pl. Health* **1**: 15–20.
- Derakhshan ASH, Rabindra RJ, Ramanujam B. 2007. Impact of Entomopathogenic Fungus, Verticillium lecanii (Zimmerman) Viegas on natural enemies of Cabbage aphid, Brevicoryne brassicae (Linnaeus) and other beneficial insects. J Biol Control 21: 133–140.
- Ellis PR, Singh R. 1993. A review of the host plants of the cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae* (Homoptera: Aphididae). *IOBS/WPRS Bull.* **16**: 192–201.
- Jouda G, Halima B, Monia K, Naima B. 2010. First report of aphidopathogenic fungi *Fusarium semitectum* (Berkeley and Ravenel, 1875) and *Fusarium sacchari* (Butler and Hafiz Khan) Gams (1971) on *Capitophorus elaeagni* (Del Guercio) (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Afr J Agric Res. 5: 290–293.
- Mandi R, Pramanik A, Baskey S. 2016. Efficacy of ecofriendly pesticides on the management of cabbage aphid (*Myzus persicae* sulzer) on cabbage. *Bioscan*. 11: 1223–1226.
- Mckinley RG. 1992. *Vegetable Crop Pests*. 1st Edition. MacMillan Academic and Professional, Hounmills, Basingstoke and London. Crossref
- Meena H, Singh SP, Nagar R. 2013. Evaluation of microbial agents and bio-products for the management of

mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) *Bioscan.* 8: 747–750.

- Munthali DC, Obopile M, Modise DM, Madisa ME, Malope P, Kwerepe BC, Emongor V. 2004. *A Guide* to Commercial Production of Selected Vegetables in Botswana. Printing and Publishing Company, Camborne, Botswana.
- Rabindra RJ, Ramanujam B. 2007. Microbial control of sucking pests using entomopathogenic fungi. *J Biol Control* **21**: 21–28.
- Rohilla HR, Singh M, Kalra UK, Kharub SS. 1987. Losses caused by cabbage aphid (*Brevicoryne brassicae* L.) in different Brassica genotype. In: *Proceedings 7th international rapeseed congress, Poland.* 5. pp. 1077–1083.
- Shoaib U. 2003. Spatio-temporal distribution of aphid (Brevicoryne brassicae L.) in Canola (Brassica napus L.). Dissertation Bahauddin Zakaria University, Multan, Pakistan.
- Skouras PJ, Margaritopoulos JT, Zarpas KD, Tsitsipis JA. 2015. Development growth, feeding and reproduction of *Ceratomegilla undecimnotata*, *Hippodamia variegate and Coccinella septempunctata* fed on the tobacco aphid, *Myzus persicae nicotianae*. *Phytoparasitica*. 43: 159–169. Crossref
- Ujjan AA, Shahzad S. 2012. Use of entomopathogenic fungi for the control of Mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi*) on Canola (*Brassica napus* L.). *Pak J Bot.* **44**: 2081–2086.