

MARKET POTENTIAL OF AGROCHEMICALS USED IN MANGO AND OKRA FROM VALSAD AND SURAT DISTRICTS

Vaishnavi Nair

ASPEE Agribusiness Management Institute, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

| Received: 13 Jul 2018Accepted: 17 Jul 2018Published: 31 Jul 2018 | Received: 13 Jul 2018 | Accepted: 17 Jul 2018 | Published: 31 Jul 2018 |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|

ABSTRACT

Agriculture is the backbone of Indian economy. Agriculture sector needs to be on the boom if a country like India wants to feed its increasing population but it cannot be possible without solving the problems of pests, which dwindles the crop production. That's when the role of agrochemical sector comes into play. The rising population of our country demands that our agricultural practices are sufficient enough to feed the rising population. This process will lead to effective utilization of various agrochemicals that include fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides, plant growth regulators etc. The present study was conducted to measure the market potential of agrochemicals. 200 farmers were chosen for the study by purposive sampling. The present study has been conducted for mango in Valsad district and in Surat district for Okra. The study measures the market preference of farmers regarding agrochemicals used in mango and okra from Valsad and Surat districts. Majority of the farmers used Imidachloprid against the attack of mango hoppers. The study found that other insecticides such as Acephate, Thiomethoxam, and Fenobucarb were also used by the farmers. White fly is a major pest in okra and vector of yellow vein mosaic virus. To combat white fly infestation, insecticides such as Dimethoate, Thiamethoxam and Monocrotophos was used. From the study, market potential recorded for pesticides in mango was 40,481.8382 liters and in okra was 29,457.35 liters.

KEYWORDS: Agrochemicals, Market Preference, Pesticide Consumption, Market Potential

INTRODUCTION

India is an agrarian country, and much of the population rely on agriculture as their principle means of livelihood. It has remained the backbone of the Indian economy and contributes for about 7.68% of the country's GDP. India's diversified climate, geographical patterns, and variation in crops including cereals, fruits, vegetables, spices and condiments make the country's agriculture sector unique. And because there are variations in all these aspects, an influence of pests and diseases becomes a crucial factor which needs to be tended to with precision. Modern practices for use of agrochemicals must be looked into. The crop protection market is growing strong in the past and is expected to grow further at a whopping 12% per annum. The market for agrochemicals is pushed further because of the need for increasing food production and expands the economic growth of the country. The agrochemicals can be broadly classified into the following five types:

- **Insecticides:** Insecticides (also called pesticides) are agrochemicals that protect the crops from the pests by destroying them or by preventing their attack on the specific crop.
- **Fungicides:** Most of the crops are susceptible to fungi which are the causal organisms for multiple diseases. Fungicides are used against the attack of fungus and inhibit multiplication of fungal spores. This further helps in maintaining the quality, shelf life and improves the crop production adequately.
- **Herbicides:** Herbicides (also called as weedicides) are used to kill unwanted plants that grow around the vicinity of the main crop. They are of two types selective and non-selective. Selective herbicides kill targeted plants only and leave the main crop unscathed, while non-selective herbicides help in the prevention of upcoming weeds before the main crop is sown or planted in the soil.
- **Bio-Pesticides:** Bio-pesticides are manufactured from natural sources. This may include plant extracts, substances from animals (including microorganisms such as bacteria). The positive side of bio pesticides is that they are environment-friendly. This category of agrochemicals has huge market potential due to their nontoxic nature compared to chemical formulations of crop protectants.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Valsad and Surat have the highest cultivation of mango and okra respectively. Thus that area was selected purposively. For the survey, a semi- structured questionnaire was used. The sampling unit were farmers. The questionnaire contained questions related to socio economic profile of farmers, farmers usage of agrochemicals and research questions. Total 200 respondents were selected. By purposive sampling method, 100 Mango farmers and 100 Okra farmers were selected from Valsad and Surat districts respectively. Data were analyzed using standard tools like frequency distribution, average, percentage, tabular representations in MS Excel keeping in view the stipulated objectives of the study. Specifically for calculating market potential following formula was used: Market potential = Average use of pesticides*Total cultivated area under the crop; where, Average use of pesticide= Total consumption of the pesticide (as per the survey)/Total area of the crop under cultivation (as per survey)

DATA ANALYSIS

| Age (In Years) | Fre | quency | Tot | al | Percentage (%) |
|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | Mango | Okra | | | |
| Below 30 | 8 | 6 | 14 | | 7 |
| 30-45 | 45 | 28 | 73 | 3 | 36.5 |
| 45-60 | 45 | 66 | 11 | 1 | 55.5 |
| Above 60 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| Land Holding | Μ | lango | Okra | | Percentage (%) |
| | Area(acre) | No. of Farmers | Area(acre) | No. of Farmers | |
| Marginal | 17.05 | 10 | 16.1 | 9 | 9.5 |
| Small | 72.94 | 23 | 93.7 | 28 | 25.5 |
| Medium | 202.6 | 31 | 250.85 | 51 | 41 |
| Large | 714.8 | 36 | 86 | 12 | 24 |
| Total | 1007.39 | 100 | 446.65 | 100 | |

Table 1: Profile of Sampled Farmers

Market Potential of Agrochemicals used in Mango and Okra from Valsad and Surat Districts

| Table 1 Contd., | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Mango | Percentage | Okra | Percentage | | | |
| Kesar | 78% | Prince | 44% | | | |
| Alphonsa | 65% | Lavanya | 4% | | | |
| Langra | 1% | Radhika | 17% | | | |
| Dasheri | 13% | Jani | 10% | | | |
| Totapuri | 10% | Venus plus | 32% | | | |
| Rajapuri | 17% | Тај | 28% | | | |
| Sonpari | 4% | Samrat | 67% | | | |
| Pairi | 18% | Singham | 35% | | | |
| | | Shikar | 26% | | | |

The profile of the sampled farmers is presented in Table 1. The table reveals that 55.5 percent of farmers belong to the age category of 45-60 years. From the study it was seen that out of 100 mango farmers from Valsad, 36 were large farmers whereas 51 okra farmers from Surat were medium farmers as per the land holdings. The total cultivated area in mango and okra as per the survey was 1007.39 acres and 446.65 acres respectively. The table also shows the various varieties of Mango and Okra cultivated in the study area. Multiple responses were recorded for this analysis and it was found that in Mango, *Kesar* (78%) was a widely grown variety and in Okra, *Samrat* (67%) wasthe maximum cultivated variety.

Table 2: Seasonal Expenditure of Agrochemicals Made on Crops

| Parameters (in Rupees) | f ₁ | Parameters (in Rupees) | \mathbf{f}_2 |
|------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | Mango | | Okra |
| Less than 20,000 | 36 | More than 10,000 | 56 |
| 20,000-40,000 | 48 | 10,000-8000 | 25 |
| 40,000-60,000 | 16 | 8000-6000 | 17 |
| More than 60,000 | 0 | Less than 6000 | 2 |

The seasonal expenditure of agrochemicals made on Mango an Okra is presented in Table 2. The analysis revealed that 48 mango growers spent Rs.20,000 to Rs.40,000 for agrochemicals whereas 56 okra farmers spent more than Rs. 10,000 for agrochemicals. The land holding and seasonality of crops owed to such expenditure difference in Mango and Okra.

| Pest In Mango | Percentage | Pests In Okra | Percentage |
|------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Mango hopper | 88% | White fly | 100% |
| Mango stem borer | 41% | Fruit and shoot borer | 58% |
| Mealy bug | 40% | Fruit borer | 52% |
| Bud mite | 27% | Mites | 49% |
| Red ant | 22% | Aphid | 33% |
| Aphid | 19% | | |
| Fruit fly | 18% | | |
| Thrips | 8% | | |

| Table 5: Fest Intestation: Multiple Response | Table 3: | Pest | Infestation: | Multiple | Responses |
|--|----------|------|--------------|----------|-----------|
|--|----------|------|--------------|----------|-----------|

Table 3. reveals the pest infestation in mango and okra. Multiple responses were recorded for the analysis. The major pest found in mango was mango hopper (88%). From the study, it was found that white fly infestation was maximum (100%) White fly is the root cause of the viral disease 'Yellow Vein Moaic Virus'.

| Doct | Destinida | Farmers | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------|----|-----|-----|-----------|
| rest | resticide | Mar | Sm | Med | Lar | Frequency |
| Mango-hopper, thrips, aphid | Acephate 75% SP | 5 | 12 | 20 | 21 | 58 |
| | Imidachloprid | 7 | 21 | 30 | 34 | 92 |
| | Thiomethoxam 25%WG | 3 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 21 |
| | Fenobucarb | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Mango stem borer | Quinalphos | 3 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 18 |
| Mealy bug | Dimethoate 30% EC | 7 | 8 | 10 | 16 | 41 |
| Bud mite | Ethion 50% EC | 0 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 28 |
| | Fenpyroximate 5%EC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |

| | Table 4: Pesticide | s used by Farm | ers in Mango: Mu | Itiple Responses |
|--|--------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
|--|--------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|

Table 5: Total Pesticide Consumption as Per Survey In Mango

| Pesticide | Recommended Dosage | Usage of Insecticide by Farmers (Lit) | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|-------|--------|--|--|
| | | Mar | Sm | Med | Lar | | |
| Acephate 75% SP | 400 ml | 2820 | 14336 | 51520 | 189520 | | |
| Imidachloprid | 45ml | 591.75 | 2994.3 | 8874 | 30114 | | |
| Thiomethoxam 25%WG | 40 gm or ml | 150 | 240 | 3000 | 3916 | | |
| Fenobucarb | 200 ml | 0 | 0 | 2320 | 12260 | | |
| Quinalphos | 400 ml | 1580 | 2800 | 16240 | 52600 | | |
| Dimethoate | 180 | 1953 | 4446 | 12780 | 55296 | | |
| Ethion 50% EC | 102, 400-600 ml | 0 | 1948.2 | 5661 | 28468 | | |
| Fenpyroximate 5%EC, | 120 gm/ml | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9120 | | |
| Malathion 50 EC | formulation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7120 | | |
| TOTAL | | 515548.45ml= 515.5485 litre | | | | | |

Where, Mar=Marginal, Sm=Small, Med=Medium, Lar=Large

Table 4. shows the major pesticides used by the farmer in mango. Majority of the farmers used *Imidachloprid* against the attack of mango hoppers. Since multiple responses were recorded for the parameter, it was also found that other insecticides such as *Acephate*, *Thiomethoxam*, and *Fenobucarb* were also used by the farmers. Table 5. shows the total pesticide consumption in Mango. Major pesticides used in mango has been recorded. The number of farmers (land holding wise) using these pesticides have been multiplied with the approximate pesticide dosage used by farmers and total pesticide consumed/used has been calculated.

| Table 6: Pesticides Used by Farmers in Okra: Multiple Respons | ses |
|---|-----|
|---|-----|

| Pest | Pesticide | Farmers | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|----|-----|-----|-----------|
| | | Mar | Sm | Med | Lar | Frequency |
| White fly | Dimethoate | 9 | 17 | 24 | 5 | 55 |
| | Thiamethoxam | 0 | 0 | 28 | 11 | 39 |
| | Monocrotophos | 1 | 5 | 14 | 7 | 27 |
| Shoot and fruit borer | Cypermethrin | 2 | 4 | 36 | 6 | 48 |
| | Deltamethrin 2.8% EC | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 9 |
| Mite | Spiromesifen | 5 | 22 | 43 | 4 | 74 |

40

| Pesticide | Dosage | Usage of insecticide by farmers | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|--|--|
| | | Mar | Sm | Med | Lar | | |
| Dimethoate | 1000gm | 16100 | 54700 | 116900 | 36000 | | |
| Thiamethoxam | 40ml | 0 | 0 | 5892 | 3120 | | |
| Monocrotophos | 450ml | 540 | 7245 | 31365 | 22500 | | |
| Cypermethrin | 300ml | 450 | 4170 | 56385 | 12600 | | |
| Deltamethrin 2.8% EC | 250ml | 500 | 0 | 6600 | 6500 | | |
| Spiromesifen | 250ml | 1925 | 17475 | 53212.5 | 7000 | | |
| TOTAL | | 461179.5 ml= 461.1795 litre | | | | | |

Table 7: Total Pesticide Consumption as Per Survey in Okra

Where, Mar=Marginal, Sm=Small, Med=Medium, Lar=Large

Table 6. shows the major pesticides used by farmers during the survey. To combat white fly infestation, insecticides such as *Dimethoate*, *Thiamethoxam* and *Monocrotophos* was used. The commonly insecticides against Shoot and fruit borer are *Cypermethrin* and *Deltamethrin*. *Spiromesifen* was used againstmites. Table 7. shows the total pesticide consumption in okra. Major pesticides used in okra during the survey have been recorded. A Total pesticide used has been calculated as done for Table 5.

Table 8: Year-Wise Cultivated Area of Mango and Okra in Valsad and Surat Districts Respectively

| | Valsad | | | Surat | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Year | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| Cultivated Area (Hectare) | 29988 | 31476 | 34624 | 10980 | 11627 | 12045 |
| Average Area | 32029.33 ha = 79112.4451 acre | | | 11550.66 ha = 28530.1302 acre | | |
| Source: https://dob.guiaret.gov.in/index.htm | | | | | | |

Source: <u>https://doh.gujarat.gov.in/index.htm</u>

For the calculation of market potential, primary as well as secondary data was used. Primary data has been used from Table 1, Table 4, Table 5, Table 6 and Table 7. Secondary data was used in the company product manual government websites and various agri-information portals. As per the records (previous three years) from the Director of Horticulture- Government of Gujarat, an average of the total cultivated area in Valsad for mango was 79112.4451 acre and that of okra in Surat district was 28530.1302 acre. Then, the market potential was calculated assuming that all the farmers of the study area used only pesticides. The market potential has been carried out by a specific formula which has been discussed in the methodology section.

For mango, the total area of cultivation in Valsad as per survey was 1007.39 acre (Refer Table 1.) and the total quantity of pesticides usage as per survey was 515.5485 liter (Refer Table 5). The total estimated Market Potential for Valsad district approximately for the year 2017-2018 obtained was 40,481.8382 liter.

For okra, the total area of cultivation in Surat as per survey was 446.65 acre (Refer Table 1.) and the total quantity of pesticides usage as per survey was 461.1795 liter (Refer Table7.). Total estimated Market Potential for Surat District approximately for the year 2017-2018 obtained was 29,457.35 liter.

CONCLUSIONS

This paper makes an attempt to study the market potential of pesticides in selected districts of Gujarat. i.e. Valsad and Surat for mango and okra respectively. Valsad is primarily an agricultural district with mango, paddy, and sugarcane as the predominant crops. About 36 per cent of land holdings are with large farmers under mango crop and the land

holdings of about 51 per cent under okra crop are with medium farmers. The total usage of the major agrochemicals in mango at Valsad district was 515.5485 liters. A white fly was found as a major pest in okra and vector of yellow vein mosaic virus. To combat white fly infestation, insecticides such as Dimethoate, Thiamethoxam and Monocrotophos was used. The total usage of the major agrochemicals in okra at Surat district was 461.1795 liter. It was estimated that the total market potential of pesticide purchased in Valsad and Surat district will be 40,481.8382 liter and 29,457.35 liter respectively. The findings of the study can help the organization to take strategic decisions for expanding the business and evaluating the current situation regarding usage of agrochemicals.

REFERENCES

- 1. Funk, T. F., & Dijk, M. V. (1980). Fertilizer Marketing Report, School of Agricultural Economics and Extension Education, Ontario Agricultural College, University of Guelph, 1, 35.
- 2. Gandhi and Vasant (1997), Growth and Economics of Pesticide Use in India: Overview Analysis of the Environment, Patterns and Market Potential, Indian Institute of Management Ahmedabad (IIMA). Research and Publication Department in, working paper WP 1997-05-01_01448.
- 3. Gonga, Y., Baylisb, K., Kozakc, R., & Bullc, G. (2016). Farmers' risk preferences and pesticide use decisions: evidence from field experiments in China. International Association of Agricultural Economists, 47, 411-421.
- 4. Huo, D. (2013). Cluster analysis of market potential in emerging markets: a dynamic research based on markov chain. Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting, 16(4), 218-P
- 5. U.K. Srivastava and N.T. Patal (1988), An Overview of Pesticide Industry in India", Pesticide Information, 13(4): pp. 19-28.
- 6. Nirupma Sood, Sharanjeet Kaur & M. L. Sharma, Synthesis of Bis-Quaternary Salts of Ammonia from Succinic Acid and Their Evaluation as Agrochemicals, IMPACT: International Journal of Research in Applied, Natural and Social Sciences (IMPACT: IJRANSS), Volume 3, Issue 12, December 2015, pp. 1-8
- 7. Yadav, R. N., Singh, D. and Sharma, T. D. (2007.) Relationship between extent of adoption of improved mango cultivation practices and socio- economic features of mango orchardist of western Uttar Pradesh. Progressive Agriculture. 7(1 & 2): 31-33.
- 8. Report of Indian Agrochemical Industry 2015, Retrieved from <u>http://ficci.in/spdocument/20662/Agrochemicals-</u> <u>Knowledge-report.pdf</u>
- 9. Report of Indian Agrochemical Industry 2016, Retrieved from <u>http://ficci.in/spdocument/20662/Agrochemicals-</u> <u>Knowledge-report.pdf</u>
- 10. Area-Production-Yield, District wise of Gujarat, Retrieved from <u>https://doh.gujarat.gov.in/index.htm</u>
- 11. http://www.indiastat.com/agriculture/2/consumptionofpesticides/206872/stats.aspx