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#### Abstract

Clothing is an integral and inseparable part of mankind．The clothing needs arise from the birth till old age．Every small change taking place in human activities change the world in total．Innovating and evolving technologies revolutionize the existing clothing market．The minds of consumer towards clothing is observed regarding the source and manner of purchase of clothing，clothing expenditure，place of purchase of clothes and clothing buying practice．The study mainly concentrate on thoughtful planning，considerable knowledge of buying practices to build an efficient，appropriate wardrobe with the best quality for the spent money and approach of sales people at the buying place．
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## INTRODUCTION

The fashion industry is a product of the modern age．Clothing production represents an opportunity to provide a source of earning and employment in the global market．Prior to mid $19^{\text {th }}$ century，most clothing was custom－made or home－made．By the beginning of $20^{\text {th }}$ century，the clothing had increasingly come to become produced in standard sizes in factory system and sold at fixed prices as ready－to－wear which fit most people．The four levels of the fashion industry are production of raw materials，fashion goods with designer and manufacturer，Retail sales and various forms of advertising and promotion（Kamal Kharuna 2012）．The Principal clothing needs of the people are comfort and cleanliness． Clothing should be easy to maintain，light in weight，soft，simple and purposeful comfort characteristics．The consumer demand towards clothing is influenced by income of the people，the price of the related goods and taste of the consumer． Clothing has certain influences on human attitudes．The clothes have always an integral part of a person＇s life views Deepika Kachihal（2016）

The clothing needs of consumer arise from the marriage of the couple beginning with infant＇s clothing， proceeding with clothing for school children，adolescent，adult ending with old age．The type of clothing should be suitable for the type of activities and depend on geographical condition and meeting condition of the home．

Most of the clothing for the family is purchased outright．Payment for item of apparel，dress material or tailoring service comes from the family income．The performance of a product is the measure of functional features and properties that make it suitable for the purpose．The value of the clothing can be increased by increasing the utility for the same cost or by decreasing the cost of some utility．（R．Pannerselvam，2010）．Whether the amount spent for clothing is small or large， purchase should be carefully planned for the most satisfying results．

When shopping，it is important to choose the right quality which serves its purpose．The consumer needs to know
the selling points used by sales people and he must focus on made of payment. Consumer literacy is essential to make decision related to safety, choice, managing financial transaction and resources says Suresh Miere and Sapna Gandhi 2012) keeping this in mind, the study is undertaken to analyze the consumer literacy about clothing purchase and their decision making power in clothes purchase.

## Statement of the Problem

Consumer satisfaction arises when the clothing manufacturer provides them with benefits that satisfy their needs and wants. If the consumer is satisfied with their clothing needs, they will buy more and do some. The pilot study with manufacturer, dealer, wholesalers and retailers at seven talks of Tuticorin district reveals that, it is essential to equip the consumers of clothing with enough knowledge and skills to make them capable of effective consumer decision making. Hence, in-depth information is required to provide an understanding of the consumer perception about clothing behavior.

## Objectives of the Study

- To analyze the consumer preference of clothing category
- To investigate the clothes buying behavior of consumers
- To study the clothing budget allocation and shopping duration of consumer
- To examine the place of purchase of clothes of the consumer


## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

## Sources of Data

The study has depended on primary data obtained by survey method using Interview schedule administrated with well-constructed Questions with Likert five point scales.

## Sampling Design

- Sampling size: 900 consumers.
- Sampling method: Convenience sampling
- Sampling area: Seven talks of Tuticorin district.


## Statistical Tools

Relevant statistical tools such as percentage analysis, descriptive analysis were used for the analysis and interpretation of survey data.

## Analysis and Interpretation of Data

The consumer's decision on purchase of clothes are assessed on the personal aspects of respondents such as age, gender, residential area, religion, marital status, occupation, income of the family, educational status, type and number of family member and figure details.

Table 1: Profile of the Respondents

| Attributes | Description | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age-group | 10-25 | 337 | 37.4 |
|  | 26-35 | 235 | 26.1 |
|  | 36-60 | 217 | 24.1 |
|  | Above 60 | 111 | 12.3 |
|  | Total | 900 | 100.0 |
| Gender | Male | 449 | 49.9 |
|  | Female | 451 | 50.1 |
|  | Total | 900 | 100.0 |
| Resident status | Rural | 326 | 36.2 |
|  | Semi-urban | 379 | 42.1 |
|  | Urban | 195 | 21.7 |
|  | Total | 900 | 100.0 |
| Religion | Hindu | 547 | 60.8 |
|  | Christian | 210 | 23.3 |
|  | Muslim | 143 | 15.9 |
|  | Total | 900 | 100.0 |
| Marital status | Married | 495 | 55.0 |
|  | Unmarried | 337 | 37.4 |
|  | Widow | 68 | 7.6 |
|  | Total | 900 | 100.0 |
| Occupation | Coolie | 74 | 8.2 |
|  | Fisherman | 133 | 14.8 |
|  | Farmer | 82 | 9.1 |
|  | Employee | 150 | 16.7 |
|  | Business | 146 | 16.2 |
|  | Profession | 50 | 5.6 |
|  | Designer | 66 | 7.3 |
|  | Student | 168 | 18.7 |
|  | Home maker | 31 | 3.4 |
|  | Total | 900 | 100.0 |
| Income | Below 5000 | 74 | 8.2 |
|  | 5001-10000 | 334 | 37.1 |
|  | 10001-20000 | 284 | 31.6 |
|  | 20001-50000 | 94 | 10.4 |
|  | Above 50000 | 114 | 12.7 |
|  | Total | 900 | 100.0 |
| Educational status | Illiterate | 137 | 15.2 |
|  | Upto school level | 244 | 27.1 |
|  | Degree holder | 355 | 39.4 |
|  | Technical | 23 | 2.6 |
|  | Professional level | 141 | 15.7 |
|  | Total | 900 | 100.0 |
| Type of family | Nuclear | 512 | 56.9 |
|  | Joint | 388 | 43.1 |
|  | Total | 900 | 100.0 |
| Number of family members | 2 | 35 | 3.9 |
|  | 3 | 167 | 18.6 |
|  | 4 | 382 | 42.4 |
|  | 5 | 151 | 16.8 |
|  | 6 | 129 | 14.3 |
|  | Above 6 | 36 | 4.0 |
|  | Total | 900 | 100.0 |


| Table 1: Contd... |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Personal skin complexion | Black | 189 | 21.0 |
|  | White | 173 | 19.2 |
|  | Fair | 397 | 44.1 |
|  | Yellow | 64 | 7.1 |
|  | Yellowish white | 77 | 8.6 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Figure type | Slim | 214 | 23.8 |
|  | Short | 187 | 20.8 |
|  | Stout | 133 | 14.8 |
|  | Tall | 109 | 12.1 |
|  | Average | 257 | 28.6 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## Source: Primary Data

Table 1shows that, the socioeconomic statusof consumers in Tuticorin district. Out of 900 respondents, $50.1 \%$ of the respondents are female respondents, $42.1 \%$ of the respondents in Semi-urban areas, $60.8 \%$ of the respondents are Hindu, $55 \%$ respondents are Married, $37.1 \%$ of the respondents gets the income level i.e. $5001-10000,39.4 \%$ of the respondents are degree holders, $45.1 \%$ of the respondents have 2 family members, $44.1 \%$ respondents are fair skin complexion, $23.8 \%$ of the respondents are Slim.

Table 2: Clothing Category

| Attributes | Description | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledge of clothing category | Yes | 676 | 75.1 |
|  | No | 224 | 24.9 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
|  | Formal wear | 289 | 32.1 |
|  | Casual wear | 471 | 52.3 |
|  | Occasional wear | 31 | 3.4 |
|  | Sports wear | 27 | 3.0 |
|  | Work wear | 82 | 9.1 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
|  | Yearly basis | 208 | 23.1 |
|  | Twice a year | 307 | 34.1 |
|  | Thrice a year | 195 | 21.7 |
|  | More than four times a year | 191 | 21.1 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Primary data
Table 2 shows that $75.1 \%$ consumers are having the knowledge of clothing category and $24.9 \%$ are lacking in knowledge of clothing category. $23.1 \%$ consumers are purchasing the clothes on yearly basis, $34.1 \%$ twice a year, $21.7 \%$ thrice a year, and $21.1 \%$ more than four times a year. The majority ( $52.5 \%$ ) of the consumers prefers casual wear clothes, minority of consumers are preferring sportswear and occasional wear clothes and one fourth of the consumers prefer work wear.

Table 3: Clothing Budget

| Attributes | Description | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individual spending amount | Below Rs. 300 | 26 | 2.9 |
|  | 301-500 | 254 | 28.2 |
|  | 501-1000 | 357 | 39.7 |
|  | 1001-1500 | 164 | 18.2 |
|  | 1501-2000 | 41 | 4.6 |
|  | Above 2000 | 58 | 6.4 |
|  | Total | 900 | 100.0 |
| Family members spending money | Below Rs. 1000 | 99 | 11.0 |
|  | Rs. 1001 - 2000 | 264 | 29.3 |
|  | Rs. 2001-3000 | 193 | 21.4 |
|  | Rs. 3001-4000 | 96 | 10.7 |
|  | Rs. 4001 - 5000 | 81 | 9.0 |
|  | Above Rs. 5000 | 167 | 18.6 |
|  | Total | 900 | 100.0 |

Source: Primary data
Table 3 shows the spending amount of Individual consumers and family members for their clothing purchase. One fourth of consumers ( $28.2 \%$ ) are spending the amount of Rs. 301 - 500 for their clothes purchase. One sixth of consumers ( $18.2 \%$ ) are spending the amountof Rs. 1001 - 1500. Very few consumers ( $4.6 \%$ ) and ( $6.4 \%$ ) are spending the amount of 1501 - 2000 and above 2000, correspondingly.

The majority ( $29.3 \%$ ) of consumers is spending an amount of Rs.1001-2000 and minority ( $9 \%$ ) of the consumers is spending the amount of Rs 4001-5000 for their family members' clothing purchase.

Table 4: Shopping Duration \& Clothing Size

| Attributes | Description | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | Less than 1 hour | 381 | 42.3 |
|  | $1-3$ hours | 390 | 43.3 |
|  | $3-6$ hours | 71 | 7.9 |
|  | More than 6 hours | 51 | 6.5 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
|  | S | 77 | 8.6 |
|  | M | 167 | 18.6 |
|  | XL | 245 | 27.2 |
|  | XXL | 287 | 31.9 |
|  | Total | 124 | 13.8 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Table 4 shows the shopping duration of the consumers and the clothing size preferred by the consumers. In accordance with Shopping duration, nearly one half of the consumers are spending less than one hour and form 1-3 hours. Minority of the consumers are only spending the time of more than 3 hours for their shopping of clothes. Nearly one third of consumer is selecting their clothing size as 'L and XL'. Minority of consumers are only relating their clothing size as 'S'.

Table 5: Purchasing Area \& Place

| Attributes | Description | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Rural area | 190 | 21.1 |
|  | Urban area | 412 | 45.8 |
|  | Semi-urban area | 298 | 33.1 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
|  | Wholesale shop | 164 | 18.2 |
|  | Retail shop | 503 | 55.9 |
|  | Factory outlet | 74 | 8.2 |
|  | Online shopping | 69 | 7.7 |
|  | Street vending | 58 | 6.4 |
|  | Selling at Home | 32 | 3.6 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Table 5 shows that $18.2 \%$ of consumers select wholesale shop for their clothing purchase, $55.9 \%$ prefer retail shop, $8.2 \%$ prefer Factory outlet, $7.7 \%$ prefer Online shopping, $6.4 \%$ prefer street vending, and $3.6 \%$ prefer selling at home. The table also shows the purchasing area of consumers. The purchasing area of most of the consumers is urban and one third of consumers are semi-urban and nearly one half of consumer is rural place.

Table 6: Retail Store Selection

| S.No. | Prospects | HA | A | NO | DA | HDA | Mean | Standard deviation | Variance |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Catalogue show room | 187 | 171 | 147 | 28 | 367 | 2.76 | 1.620 | 2.625 |
| 2 | Fashion avenue | 183 | 164 | 176 | 38 | 339 | 2.79 | 1.583 | 2.505 |
| 3 | Speciality show room | 171 | 99 | 233 | 47 | 350 | 2.66 | 1.538 | 2.365 |
| 4 | Khadi show room | 112 | 116 | 249 | 37 | 386 | 2.48 | 1.454 | 2.114 |
| 5 | Boutique | 173 | 155 | 115 | 71 | 386 | 2.62 | 1.611 | 2.594 |
| 6 | Hyper market | 186 | 110 | 139 | 42 | 423 | 2.55 | 1.636 | 2.675 |
| 7 | Discount store | 238 | 146 | 113 | 38 | 365 | 2.84 | 1.692 | 2.861 |

Source: Primary Data
Table 6 shows that the mean, standard deviation, and Variance, for the prospects such as catalogue showroom, Fashion Avenue, Speciality showroom, Khadi showroom, Boutique, Hyper market, and Discount store. Discount store (2.84), selection by the consumer is the highest mean and Khadi showroom (2.48) selection is the lowest mean of the retail store selection, in the study area.

Table 7: Criteria for Store Selection

| S.No. | Criteria | HA | A | NO | DA | HDA | Mean | Standard <br> deviation | Variance |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Expertise of the salesman | 266 | 104 | 104 | 51 | 375 | 2.82 | 1.729 | 2.991 |
| 2 | Local ownership | 114 | 168 | 142 | 67 | 409 | 2.46 | 1.514 | 2.293 |
| 3 | Store location | 148 | 134 | 185 | 64 | 369 | 2.59 | 1.533 | 2.350 |
| 4 | One particular brand | 197 | 132 | 143 | 50 | 378 | 2.69 | 1.632 | 2.664 |
| 5 | Variety of brands | 209 | 121 | 105 | 33 | 432 | 2.60 | 1.693 | 2.865 |
| 6 | Variety in styles | 248 | 81 | 128 | 60 | 383 | 2.72 | 1.697 | 2.880 |
| 7 | Wide variety of different products | 208 | 92 | 108 | 73 | 419 | 2.55 | 1.664 | 2.769 |
| 8 | Virtual image of the store | 136 | 162 | 174 | 37 | 391 | 2.57 | 1.544 | 2.383 |
| 9 | Store layout | 116 | 133 | 193 | 63 | 395 | 2.46 | 1.482 | 2.197 |
| 10 | Frequent sales | 168 | 150 | 154 | 53 | 375 | 2.65 | 1.587 | 2.518 |
| 11 | Low prices | 197 | 145 | 114 | 43 | 401 | 2.66 | 1.660 | 2.754 |
| 12 | Product quality | 278 | 105 | 134 | 53 | 330 | 2.94 | 1.696 | 2.878 |

## Source: Primary Data

Table 7 shows that the Mean, Standard deviation and Variance for the Criteria for store selection. The mean value for product quality is 2.94 and layout is 2.46 .

Table 8: Manner of Purchase of Clothes

| S.No. | Manner | HA | A | NO | DA | HDA | Mean | Standard deviation | Variance |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Readymade clothing | 554 | 75 | 72 | 20 | 179 | 3.89 | 1.602 | 2.566 |
| 2 | Custom made clothing | 247 | 161 | 102 | 73 | 317 | 2.94 | 1.663 | 2.766 |
| 3 | Homemade clothing | 244 | 104 | 185 | 61 | 306 | 2.91 | 1.619 | 2.623 |

Source: Primary Data
Table 8, shows the mean, standard deviation, and Variance, for the Manner of purchase of clothing such as readymade clothing, Custom made clothing, and homemade clothing. The mean value for readymade clothing selection by consumers is 3.89 . The variance value for custom made clothing selection by consumers is 2.766 . The standard deviation value for homemade clothing selection is 1.619 .

Table 9: Times of Purchase of Clothes

| S.No. | Purchase time | HA | A | NO | DA | HDA | Mean | Standard deviation | Variance |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Diwali | 447 | 54 | 45 | 3 | 351 | 3.27 | 1.882 | 3.541 |
| 2 | Pongal | 331 | 76 | 93 | 21 | 379 | 2.95 | 1.807 | 3.265 |
| 3 | New year | 299 | 66 | 153 | 27 | 355 | 2.92 | 1.734 | 3.007 |
| 4 | Christmas | 192 | 56 | 264 | 12 | 376 | 2.64 | 1.573 | 2.473 |
| 5 | Ramzan | 193 | 36 | 331 | 12 | 328 | 2.73 | 1.515 | 2.297 |
| 6 | Birthday party | 352 | 97 | 101 | 59 | 291 | 3.18 | 1.733 | 3.003 |
| 7 | Wedding occasion | 225 | 58 | 225 | 50 | 342 | 2.75 | 1.606 | 2.580 |
| 8 | Wedding anniversary | 205 | 51 | 226 | 52 | 366 | 2.64 | 1.589 | 2.526 |
| 9 | Discount sales | 266 | 138 | 134 | 22 | 340 | 2.96 | 1.695 | 2.873 |
| 10 | Local festival | 251 | 100 | 154 | 42 | 353 | 2.84 | 1.679 | 2.819 |

Table 9 shows that the Mean, Standard deviation, and Variance for the purchase time. The highest mean value for purchase of clothes by consumer during Diwali is 3.27. The mean value for discount sales and local festival are 2.96 and 2.84 correspondingly. The lowest mean value is 2.64 for selection of clothes during wedding anniversary.

Table 10: Clothing Life Cycle

| S.No. | Clothing Life Cycle | HA | A | NO | DA | HDA | Mean | Standard <br> deviation | Variance |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | As soon as the clothes enter <br> the market (beginning stage) | 372 | 100 | 60 | 60 | 308 | 3.19 | 1.780 | 3.169 |
| 2 | When the clothes get <br> popularized (peak stage) | 199 | 179 | 132 | 52 | 338 | 2.83 | 1.617 | 2.616 |
| 3 | When the clothes attain out <br> of fashion stage (decline <br> stage) | 201 | 136 | 191 | 36 | 336 | 2.83 | 1.650 | 2.722 |
| 4 | Purchase of the same model <br> of clothes regularly (classic) | 226 | 82 | 131 | 73 | 388 | 2.65 | 1.672 | 2.794 |

Source: Primary Data
Table 10 shows that the mean, standard deviation and Variance for the clothing life cycle. The mean value for consumers as fashion leaders purchasing the clothes at the beginning stage is 3.19 . The mean value for classic fashion
followers is 2.65 .

## Summary of Findings

- Out of 900 respondents Maximum of the respondents are female respondents ( $50.1 \%$ )
- The majority of the respondents in Semi-urban area (42.1\%)
- The large number of respondents $(60.8 \%)$ are Hindu
- The higher amount of respondents are Married (55\%)
- The maximum respondents ( $37.1 \%$ ) got the income level is $5001-10000$
- The extreme number of respondents are degree holders (39.4\%)
- The large number of respondents ( $45.1 \%$ ) 2 family members
- The supreme level of ( $44.1 \%$ ) respondents are fair skin complexion
- The maximum of the respondents ( $23.8 \%$ ) are Slim.
- $75.1 \%$ consumers are having the knowledge of clothing category
- Consumers are purchasing the clothes twice a year (34.1\%)
- The majority ( $52.5 \%$ ) of the consumers are preferring casual wear clothes
- The extreme level (55.9\%) of the respondents give prefer to retail shop
- The majority ( $29.3 \%$ ) of consumers are spending the amount of RS1001-2000for their family members clothing purchase.
- Nearly one half of the consumers are spending less than one hour and form 1-3 hours
- Discount store (2.84) selection by the consumer is the highest mean and Khadi showroom (2.48) selection is the lowest mean of the retail store selection in the study area.
- The mean value for product quality is 2.94 and layout is 2.46 .
- The mean value for readymade clothing selection by consumers is 3.89 .
- The highest mean value for purchase of clothes by consumer during Diwali is 3.27
- The mean value for consumers as fashion leaders purchasing the clothes at the beginning stage is 3.19


## CONCLUSIONS

The study reveals the consumer's interest and buying practice in preference of clothing. The study assess the knowledge of clothing category, frequency and shopping duration of clothing purchase, budget allocation for buying clothes and store selection. The place, time and stage of purchase of clothes are analyzed by this study. Thus, the study shows the consumer's perception about clothing behavior in Tuticorin district. The majority of the consumers are purchase their clothes from retail shop and they are not consider store layout for purchasing cloth.
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