Metal Contamination in Cultivated Vegetables and Agricultural Soils Irrigated with Untreated Industrial Wastewater

U.S. Banerjee^{*} and S. Gupta

Department of Environmental Science, The University of Burdwan, Golapbag 713104, West Bengal, India Email:banerjeeenvsc@gmail.com

Abstract. The present study was conducted to determine the metal contamination in cultivated vegetables and agricultural soils irrigated with untreated industrial wastewater. Untreated industrial wastewaters pose a serious threat to ecosystem health and therefore, to check metal contamination in soil, eco-toxicological assessment of industrial effluents is necessary. The study deals with the characterization of effluent released from various industries and distribution of heavy metals in soil near to effluent discharge channel. The samples were analyzed for physico-chemical parameters using standard laboratory procedures and giving prime thrust to determine the heavy metal concentrations (Pb, Cd, Mn, Cu and Cr) of wastewater. The five heavy metals in the wastewater irrigated soil viz. Pb, Cd, Mn, Cu and Cr were detected in most of the samples (mg/kg) ranged from 29.347–49.358, 0.169-2.265, 75.786-95.228, 2.852-15.532, 26.969- 49.245 respectively. Enrichment of metals in wastewater irrigated soil sample ranged between 1.467-2.468 (Pb), 0.563-7.550 (Cd), 0.089-0.112 (Mn), 0.063–0.345 (Cu), and 0.300–0.547 (Cr). The soil of the agricultural land showed significant enrichment with Pb and Cd indicating inputs from industrial sources. From the above study, it has been found that the wastewaters at various locations are rich in essential micronutrients (Mn and Cu) and have a good pH for agricultural use. The high values for Cd BCF may be explained by the fact that Cd is easily absorbed by plants. The depth wise study showed that metal concentrations were in higher magnitude at top-soil zone in comparison to sub-soil zone both in affected and control soils. The investigation showed pronounced metal contamination of agricultural soils irrigated with untreated industrial wastewater.

 $\label{eq:keywords: Metal contamination, industrial was$ tewater, enrichment factor, geoaccumulation index, bioconcentration factor

1 Introduction

The application of industrial and city effluents to agricultural land has become popular in recent years as an alternative means of disposal. The unscientific disposal of toxic untreated or undertreated effluents has resulted in an accumulation of heavy metals in land and water bodies. The problem of crop irrigation in India is becoming very serious due to the water shortage that is being faced frequently. Metals are introduced into the environment in a number of ways. Heavy metals in agricultural soils may be from natural occurrence, as well as anthropogenic sources, such as mining, smelting, waste disposal, urban effluent, vehicle exhausts, sewage sludge, pesticides and fertilizers [1,2]. Heavy metals are discharged into the environment, through the wastewater generated from a variety of industries, such as galvanic, metallurgical, electronic, chloralkali etc. and create environmental problems. Excessive metal accumulation in contaminated soils can affect the soil microbial activity, soil fertility and overall soil quality, entry of toxic materials into the food chain and ultimately reductions in yield. Metal transport and availability in a heterogeneous system also depends on the interactions of the different geochemical species of metals present in the system [3]. Heavy metals do not degrade in the environment and so can remain in soil and water bodies for a long period of time. As metals are immobile in soil due to their high affinity for the soil matrix, they tend to accumulate there, mainly in the surface soil. The removal of toxic metals from wastewaters is of critical importance due to their high toxicity and tendency to accumulate in living organisms.

Soil/sediments act as a sensitive indicator for the monitoring of contaminants in an aquatic environment and as a result, are essential components in many nations and international monitoring programmes. Like other toxic pollutants, heavy metals have been of great concern due to their environmental persistence, toxicity. The Cd toxicity in man includes kidney damage [4] and pains in bones [5,6], also has mutagenic, carcinogenic and tetratogenic effects [7]. Chromium (Cr) and its compounds are very toxic and are primarily used in the manufacture of steel and other alloys, chrome plating and pigment production [8,9]. Copper is, however characterized by the point sources of contamination i.e. uncontrolled, active or untended waste dumps. Current research has focused on the influence of wastewater on heavy metal contamination and controlling factors in the surface environment and its implications for soils.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Area

The research work was conducted at Raniganj industrial complex in the Raniganj coalfield, situated in the western part of West Bengal, India. This region under the current status of a developing industrial zone consists of mainly large-scale industries such as steel plant, sponge iron and ferro alloy, along with petro-chemical and thermal power plants. These industries are thought to be prime contributors of water-borne heavy metals and responsible for the deterioration in water quality in the surrounding areas. The field study component of this research was performed in two different zones from which water and plant samples were collected. (1) *Industrial area*. The industrial sites were located within a 1-2 km radius of the core industrial area. This zone is enriched with a number of large-scale industries with a higher density of heavy vehicles. (2) *Control area*. To compare data from industrial site, an unpolluted site was selected and treated as the control. This zone is a residential area, located 8–10 km from industrial area. This zone has abundant green vegetation and a comparably lower traffic density.

2.2 Sampling Strategy and Analytical Procedures

Soil samples were collected both from wastewater irrigated and control site and kept immediately into polythene bags. Soil samples were air dried, crushed and sieved through 2-mm mesh and homogenized for further metal analysis. Plant samples were collected separately in polythene bags and taken to the laboratory as soon as possible. In laboratory, plant samples were thoroughly washed first with tap water followed by distilled water to get rid of soil/contaminants. For further metal analysis, plant samples were dried in room temperature, and then oven dried (80 °C) for 24 h. A tri-acid mixture (70% HNO₃, 65% HClO₄ and 70% H₂SO₄; 5:1:1) was used to digest dried soil and plant samples [10]. Digested samples were filtered (Whatman 42 filter paper), diluted to 50 ml and analysed for Pb, Cd, Mn, Cu and Cr in an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (GBC Avanta).

2.3 Index for Assessing Metal Pollution in Soil

The concentrations of metals in agricultural soil can be sensitive indicators of contaminants. To assess the degree of contamination of heavy metals in the soil samples, the enrichment factor (EF), and geoaccumulation index (Igeo) is applied for the study.

2.3.1 Enrichment Factor (EF)

In order to assess the enrichment of metals in soils and to quantify the industrial input, the geochemical normalization approach is applied, and it is calculated according to the following equation. EF = (M/X) sample/(M/X) background

where M is the measured concentration of the element in the sediment, X is the selected normalizer (reference metal) and (M/X) sample and (M/X) background are the ratios of target metal and the normalizer in the interest and background sediments, respectively. Enrichment factor (EF) is a method to estimate the anthropogenic impact on soil/sediments is to calculate a normalized enrichment factor

(EF) for metal concentrations above uncontaminated background levels [11]. Inert elements Al and Fe are less anthropogenic contamination in soil/aquatic sediment and were used as the normalizer most frequently [12]. In this study iron is used as normalizer. A five-category ranking system is used to express the degree of anthropogenic contamination. EF < 2 is deficiency to minimal contamination, EF = 2-5 moderate contamination, EF = 5-20 significant contamination, EF = 20-40 very high contamination, and EF > 40 extremely high contamination [13].

2.3.2 Geoaccumulation Index (Igeo)

The Igeo method was used to calculate the metal contamination levels in soil. Geoaccumulation index (Igeo) estimates the enrichment of metal concentrations in soil above background or baseline concentrations and is calculated according to the equation below:

$$Igeo = \log 2 \operatorname{Cn} / 1.5 \operatorname{Bn}$$

where Cn is the concentration of the element in the enriched samples, and the Bn is the background value of the element. Following descriptive classification for geoaccumulation is given for the index of accumulation [14]: <0= uncontaminated, 0-1= uncontaminated to moderately contaminated, 1-2= moderately contaminated, 2-3= moderately to heavily contaminated, 3-4= heavily contaminated, 4-5= heavily to extremely contaminated, >5 extremely contaminated.

2.4 Bioconcentration Factor (BCF)

For estimating metal concentrations in vegetables, the important parameter is the Bioconcentration Factor (BCF). It is the ratio of metal concentration in the vegetable and metal concentration in soil, i.e.

 $\label{eq:BCF} \mbox{Boconcentration Factor (BCF)} = \frac{\mbox{Metal concentration in vegetable (mg/kg of vegetab)}}{\mbox{Metal concentration in soil (mg/kg of soil)}}$

2.5 Quality Control Assurances

As a quality control measure, care was taken to collect fresh, uninfected, healthy plant samples from both the control and polluted sites for investigation. E-mark standard solutions (AR grade, Germany) were used for atomic absorption spectrophotometer calibration to detect heavy metal concentrations in digested samples. To maintain accuracy, control standard solutions (blanks) were used at the beginning and after every five samples. Each analytical method was performed in triplicate to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the obtained results and only mean values with standard deviation (SD) were considered.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Characterizations of the Untreated Wastewater

Characterizations of the untreated wastewater are presented in Table 1. The pH level of a water system determines its usefulness for a variety of purposes. The very high fluctuation of pH has been reported to be toxic to aquatic life and alter the solubility of other chemical pollutants as well as some essential elements in water systems [15], thereby causing adverse effects on the ecosystem and those who depend on it. The pH value of the analyzed samples varied from 7.15 to 8.00 with a mean value of 7.58 ± 0.29 . Specific conductance is related to the total concentration of the ionized substances in the water at a particular temperature [16]. Electrical conductivity, an indicator of the concentration of total dissolved ions is a valuable tool in assessing water quality [17]. Electrical conductivity is an important parameter to assess the water quality for irrigation use as the high salt content in irrigation water causes an increase in soil solution osmotic pressure. The high level of salts besides affecting the growth of the plants directly, also affects the soil structure, permeability and aeration which indirectly affect the plant growth. The value of electrical conductivity ranged from 400 to 650 μ S/cm with a mean value of 522.2 ± 76.35 µS/cm for measured samples. Total dissolved solid (TDS) as a measure of salinity is an important agricultural water quality parameter with respect to soil salinity. The total dissolved solids (TDS), which is the sum of the dissolved ionic concentrations, ranged from 262.44 mg/l to 431.26 mg/l with a mean value of 339.99 ± 50.69 mg/l.

 Cr 3.3573.5263.427 3.4752.6341.9642.3370.9852.9143.1953.3760.9890.996

	1 /	,					
	pН	EC	TDS	Pb	Cd	Mn	Cu
S1	7.53	630	416.75	43.685	0.365	0.687	12.754
S2	7.35	650	431.26	44.525	1.268	0.725	17.523
S3	7.15	560	368.54	39.964	0.325	0.653	15.289
S4	7.83	530	338.28	36.786	0.315	0.752	16.875
S5	8.00	470	298.75	35.421	0.296	0.526	8.539
S6	7.75	510	329.43	36.972	0.314	2.786	16.754
S7	7.65	480	309.79	42.415	0.309	0.457	12.759
S8	8.00	550	362.53	39.541	1.254	0.634	14.969
S9	7.86	400	262.44	40.571	0.568	2.324	12.645
S10	7.25	600	384.64	43.654	1.635	0.329	16.298
S11	8.00	510	335.69	40.241	0.892	2.241	16.997
S12	7.54	460	305.79	39.964	0.639	0.452	12.927
S13	7.29	530	338.64	37.254	1.589	0.527	10.650

Table 1. pH, EC, TDS and metal concentrations of the untreated wastewater

3.726EC is expressed as μ S/cm and TDS as mg/L other parameters (except pH) are in µg/L

41.425

29.324

39.524

38.248

34.634

29.324

44.525

39.119

0.875

0.786

0.526

1.345

0.428

0.296

1.635

0.763

0.467

0.415

0.429

0.245

0.474

1.247

0.245

2.786

0.884

0.759

17.632

16.967

18.215

14.415

12.897

8.539

18.215

14.728

2.699

2.587

3.652

3.991

2.118

3.973

0.985

3.991

2.750

0.998

Relationships of the metals with each other and with the pH, electrical conductivity and total dissolved solid in water when studied through correlation analysis indicated some statistically significant relationships, which are depicted in Table 2. Amongst the studied metals, Cr and Pb showed a strong positive correlation indicating that they may be entering through common source and mutually favoring their adsorption on the soils. The significant positive correlations within these metals reveal their common source viz., industrial contamination, and sinks in soils of the study area. Lead is released from smelting, motor-vehicle exhausts fumes and from corrosion of lead pipe work and its solubility is controlled principally by PbCO₃ and low-pH waters can have higher Pb concentrations. Cd and Pb concentration in the analysed wastewater samples ranged from 0.296 to 1.635 μ g/l and 29.324 to 44.525 µg/l respectively. The manganese, copper and chromium concentration in the analysed wastewater samples ranged from 0.245 to 2.786 μ g/l, 8.539 to 18.215 μ g/l and 0.985 to 3.991 μ g/l respectively. Long-term use of contaminated wastewater can enrich heavy metal to phototoxic levels and result in reduced plant growth and/or enhanced metal concentration in plants which has an ultimate detrimental effect on the livestock.

3.2Characterizations of the Wastewater Irrigated Soil

S14

S15

S16

S17

S18

Min

Max

Ave SD

7.74

7.15

7.25

7.65

7.49

7.15

8.00

7.58

0.29

410

470

550

650

440

400

650

522.2

76.35

272.66

299.89

363.35

418.89

282.56

262.44

431.26

339.99

50.69

Depth wise distributions of heavy metals in wastewater irrigated soil and control soil (mg/kg) in studied area are presented in Table 3. The top-soil layer in wastewater irrigated area (depth 0-20 cm) contains Pb concentration higher (49.358 mg/kg) than sub-soil layer 5 (depth 100–150 cm) (29.347 mg/kg). In wastewater irrigated soil the Cd and Mn concentration at top-soil layer was 2.265 mg/kg and 95.228 mg/kg respectively and at the sub-soil layer 5 the concentration was 0.169 mg/kg and 75.786 mg/kg respectively. In wastewater irrigated soil the Cu and Cr concentration was 15.532 mg/kg and 49.245 mg/kg respectively in top-soil layer and 2.852 mg/kg and 26.969 mg/kg respectively in sub-soil layer 5 soils. The five heavy metals in the control soil viz. Pb, Cd, Mn, Cu and Cr that were detected in most of the samples (mg/kg) ranged from 16.469–37.272, 0.027–0.863, 25.896–54.145, 1.241–5.786, 9.773– 28.125 respectively. These studied heavy metals decreased with depth in both affected and control soils.

The increment of the studied heavy metals in the top-soil layer (0-20 cm) is due to the impact of untreated industrial wastewater. The results of the study show the impact of anthropogenic agents on abundances of heavy metals in soils of the study area and it is extremely contaminated due to many years of random dumping of hazardous waste and free discharge of effluents by a number of industries. Though the wastewater contains low levels of the heavy metals (Pb, Cd, Mn, Cu and Cr), the soil samples show higher values due to accumulation.

Table 2. Correlation matrix of physicochemical variables in wastewater

	pН	EC	TDS	Pb	Cd	Mn	Cu	Cr
pН	1							
\mathbf{EC}	-0.660	1						
TDS	-0.689	0.999	1					
\mathbf{Pb}	-0.731	0.798	0.800	1				
Cd	-0.420	0.688	0.686	0.438	1			
Mn	0.171	-0.138	-0.137	0.060	-0.120	1		
Cu	-0.458	0.463	0.454	0.786	0.443	0.416	1	
Cr	-0.672	0.624	0.623	0.904	0.450	-0.197	0.757	1
	* Values in bold are significant $p < .01$							

Table 3. Depth wise distribution of heavy metals (mg/kg) in studied soils

Soil	Layer	Depth (cm)	Pb	Cd	Mn	Cu	\mathbf{Cr}
	Top-soil layer	0 - 20	49.358	2.265	95.228	15.532	49.245
ter soil	Sub-soil layer 1	20 - 40	45.258	1.349	87.235	10.514	42.232
ed s	Sub-soil layer 2	40 - 60	42.326	0.939	84.639	8.232	36.531
Waste irrigat	Sub-soil layer 3	60 - 80	39.425	0.786	82.321	6.242	30.342
	Sub-soil layer 4	80 - 100	37.329	0.526	72.532	3.755	28.327
	Sub-soil layer 5	100 - 150	29.347	0.169	75.786	2.852	26.969
Jontrol soil	Top-soil layer	0 - 20	37.272	0.863	54.145	5.786	28.125
	Sub-soil layer 1	20 - 40	34.122	0.543	44.528	4.547	24.443
	Sub-soil layer 2	40 - 60	29.425	0.142	40.471	4.247	17.214
	Sub-soil layer 3	60 - 80	19.758	0.063	32.426	2.127	12.325
)	Sub-soil layer 4	80 - 100	16.469	0.027	25.896	1.241	9.773

3.3 Soil Quality Assessment of the Control and Wastewater Irrigated Site

3.3.1 Enrichment Factor (EF)

The top-soil layer in wastewater irrigated area (depth 0–20 cm) contains Pb EF higher (2.468) than sub-soil layer 5 (depth 100–150 cm) (1.467). In wastewater irrigated soil the EF of Mn at top-soil layer was 0.112 and at the sub-soil layer 5 the EF was 0.089. In wastewater irrigated soil the Cu and Cr EF was 0.345 and 0.547 respectively in top-soil layer and 0.083 and 0.315 respectively in sub-soil layer 5 soils. The wastewater irrigated soils thus recorded minimal enrichment to significant enrichment of Cd contamination as evident from the enrichment factor found in the present study, ranging from 0.563 to 7.550 (Table 4). This indicates substantial anthropogenic flux of Cd into the study area. The five heavy metals in the control soil viz. Pb, Cd, Mn, Cu and Cr that were detected in most of the samples (mg/kg) ranged from 0.823–1.864, 0.090–2.877, 0.030–0.064, 0.028–0.129, 0.109–0.314 respectively. The high value of EF indicates substantial anthropogenic flux of heavy metals into the study area.

20	

Soil	Layer	Depth (cm)	Pb	Cd	Mn	Cu	\mathbf{Cr}
	Top-soil layer	0 - 20	2.468	7.550	0.112	0.345	0.547
ter soil	Sub-soil layer 1	20 - 40	2.263	4.497	0.103	0.234	0.469
ed a	Sub-soil layer 2	40 - 60	2.116	3.130	0.100	0.183	0.406
Waste irrigat	Sub-soil layer 3	60 - 80	1.971	2.620	0.097	0.139	0.337
	Sub-soil layer 4	80 - 100	1.866	1.753	0.085	0.083	0.315
	Sub-soil layer 5	100 - 150	1.467	0.563	0.089	0.063	0.300
Control soil	Top-soil layer	0 - 20	1.864	2.877	0.064	0.129	0.314
	Sub-soil layer 1	20 - 40	1.706	1.810	0.052	0.101	0.272
	Sub-soil layer 2	40 - 60	1.471	0.473	0.048	0.094	0.191
	Sub-soil layer 3	60 - 80	0.988	0.210	0.038	0.047	0.137
	Sub-soil layer 4	80 - 100	0.823	0.090	0.030	0.028	0.109

Table 4: Depth wise Enrichment factor (EF) values of the soil in the studied area

3.3.2 Index of Geoaccumulation (Igeo)

On the basis of geoaccumulation index (*Igeo*), the study revealed that manganese, copper and chromium was practically uncontaminated in the control and wastewater irrigated site. In wastewater irrigated soil the Igeo of Pb ranged from minimum of -0.032 to maximum of 0.718 (Table 5) which indicates uncontaminated to moderately contaminated status. Igeo of Cd in wastewater irrigates soil ranged from minimum of -1.414 to 2.332 and this indicates uncontaminated to heavily contaminated soil. The metal enrichment factor and geoaccumulation index of Cd, and Pb suggest in general concentrations above background levels in the study area, while concentrations of other metals (Cu, Mn and Cr) are generally within background concentration ranges. It can be noticed that the soils in the study areas have considerably higher concentrations for the Pb and Cd metals. This characterizes the agricultural soil as polluted due to proximity to industrial sites and sewage disposal points.

Soil	Layer	Depth (cm)	Pb	Cd	Mn	Cu	\mathbf{Cr}
er oil	Top-soil layer	0 - 20	0.718	2.332	-3.743	-2.120	-1.455
	Sub-soil layer 1	20 - 40	0.593	1.584	-3.869	-2.683	-1.677
ed a	Sub-soil layer 2	40 - 60	0.497	1.061	-3.913	-3.036	-1.886
Waste irrigat	Sub-soil layer 3	60 - 80	0.394	0.805	-3.953	-3.435	-2.154
	Sub-soil layer 4	80 - 100	0.315	0.225	-4.136	-4.168	-2.253
	Sub-soil layer 5	100 - 150	-0.032	-1.414	-4.072	-4.565	-2.324
Control soil	Top-soil layer	0 - 20	0.313	0.939	-4.558	-3.544	-2.263
	Sub-soil layer 1	20 - 40	0.186	0.271	-4.840	-3.892	-2.465
	Sub-soil layer 2	40 - 60	-0.028	-1.664	-4.977	-3.990	-2.971
	Sub-soil layer 3	60 - 80	-0.603	-2.837	-5.297	-4.988	-3.453
	Sub-soil layer 4	80 - 100	-0.865	-4.059	-5.622	-5.765	-3.788

Table 5. Depth wise Geoaccumulation Index (Igeo) values of the soil in the studied area

3.3.3 Heavy Metal Accumulation in Plant

The results of the heavy metal content in plant material along with bio-concentration factor (BCF) values of the wastewater-irrigated and control sites are presented in Table 6. Generally biomass uptakes trace metals naturally available to them through soil and store them in their tissues. According to [18] Sharma et al. 2007 absorption and accumulation of heavy metals in plant tissue depend upon several factors, which include temperature, moisture, pH, and nutrient availability. All the samples were analyzed to determine the heavy metal content of plants. The Cd concentration in cauliflower (8.958 μ g/g) and its BCF value (3.955) was found to be highest in wastewater irrigated area. At the control site the Cd concentration of cauliflower was 1.745 and the BCF value was 2.022. The highest Pb concentration (35.842 μ g/g) and its BCF value (0.726) was found in spinach at the wastewater irrigated

area. In wastewater irrigated area the Mn concentration was highest in the root of *Raphanus sativus* (109.87 µg/g) and the fruit of *Solanum melongena* (83.256 µg/g) with BCF values 1.154 and 0.874 respectively. Cu concentration in *Solanum melongena* is 29.786 µg/g with the BCF value 1.918. The highest Cr concentration (78.328 µg/g) and its BCF value (1.591) was found in spinach at the wastewater irrigated area. At the control site the Cr concentration was 48.246 µg/g and the BCF value was 1.751. Higher concentrations of metals in soil where the vegetable is cultivated by the wastewater may be the reason for higher BCF. From the study it was observed that, other than Pb, the Cd, Mn, Cu and Cr had a remarkable BCF values greater than 1 in wastewater irrigated area. In all cases, the BCF values for Pb are less than 1 indicating the low mobilities of this metal to plants. It is also observed that in most of the cases the BCF of Cd is greater than 1 indicating its high mobility from soil to plants. The high values for Cd BCF may be explained by the fact that Cd is easily absorbed by plants.

		Pb	Cd	Mn	Cu	\mathbf{Cr}
Spinach (Leaf)	Wastewater	35.842	7.786	90.528	25.824	78.328
		(0.726)	(3.438)	(0.951)	(1.663)	(1.591)
	Control	6.357	1.546	42.024	5.326	48.246
		(0.171)	(1.791)	(0.776)	(0.920)	(1.751)
Cauliflower (Inflorescence)	Wastewater	25.265	8.958	87.245	10.472	72.635
		(0.512)	(3.955)	(0.916)	(0.674)	(1.475)
	Control	4.187	1.745	34.258	2.965	42.379
		(0.112)	(2.022)	(0.633)	(0.512)	(1.507)
Raphanus sativus (root)	Wastewater	20.324	5.524	109.87	18.412	62.985
		(0.412)	(2.439)	(1.154)	(1.185)	(1.279)
	Control	3.425	0.896	65.324	4.269	36.398
		(0.092)	(1.038)	(0.686)	(0.738)	(1.294)
Raphanus sativus (shoot)	Wastewater	12.968	4.527	98.527	16.987	50.951
		(0.263)	(1.999)	(1.035)	(1.094)	(1.035)
	Control	3.587	0.786	30.247	3.951	24.832
		(0.096)	(0.911)	(0.559)	(0.683)	(0.883)
Solanum melongena (fruit)	Wastewater	32.632	6.635	83.256	29.786	48.934
		(0.661)	(2.929)	(0.874)	(1.918)	(0.994)
	Control	5.268	0.934	29.657	7.657	19.974
		(0.141)	(1.082)	(0.548)	(1.323)	(0.710)

Table 6. Metal concentrations (mg kg-1 DM) in wastewater irrigated crop plants

Values in parenthesis are BCF (bio-concentration factor)

4 Conclusion

The investigation shows that effluent is the main source of pollution of agricultural land and thereby irrigation with contaminated wastewater leads to increase in metal concentration in soil. From the above study, it has been found that the wastewaters at various locations are rich in essential micronutrients (Mn and Cu) and have a good pH for agricultural use. Results reveal that variations in element concentrations in soils of the study area have both natural and anthropogenic influences among which anthropogenic influence is more significant. Metal concentrations were in higher magnitude at surface soil zone in comparison to bottom layer both in wastewater irrigated and control soils. This is important to note that the effluent from the wastewater channel under study is a threat to agricultural land. The high values for Cd BCF may be explained by the fact that Cd is easily absorbed by plants. There were variations in the metal properties of soils affected by wastewater when compared with unaffected (control) soils. So, proper care should be taken to treat the agricultural soils before use for irrigation purposes. Therefore, the wastewater should be subjected to suitable chemical treatments especially for keeping the metals within the safe levels.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Prof. J.K. Datta, Prof A.R. Ghosh, Dr N.K. Mondal, Dept of Environmental Science, The University of Burdwan for their valuable suggestions and cooperation throughout this research work. The authors also acknowledge the help and encouragement rendered by Mr. Jagadish Mondal, Asst. teacher, Mohanpur High School, Burdwan, West Bengal, India.

References

- 1. B. J. Alloway, Heavy metals in soils (2nd Ed.). London: Blackie and Son, Chap. 3, pp. 368, 1995.
- A. G. Kachenko and B. Singh, "Heavy metals contamination in vegetables grown in urban and metal smelter contaminated sites in Australia," Water Air & Soil Pollution, vol. 169, pp. 101–123, 2006.
- Z. L. He, M. K. Zhang, D. V. Calvert, P. J. Stoffella, X. E. Yang and S. Yu, "Transport of heavy metals in surface runoff from vegetable and citrus fields," Soil Science Society of America Journal, vol. 68, pp. 1662–1669, 2004.
- 4. F. R. M. Herber, M. A. Verschoor and A. A. E. Wibowo, "A review of kinetics and kidney effects of cadmium. Recent epidemiological studies," Environmental Toxins, Berlin: Springer, vol. 2, 1988, pp. 115–133.
- 5. K.Tsuchiya, Cadmium studies in Japan A review. Tokyo: Kodansha, 1978.
- A. Bernard, "Cadmium & its adverse effects on human health," Indian J Med Res, vol. 128, October, pp 557-564, 2008.
- L. Frieberg, C. G. Elinder, T. Kjellstroem, and C. F. Nordberg, Cadmium and Health: A toxicological and epidemiological appraisal. Volume II, effects and response. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC, 1986.
- P. K. Govil, G. L. N. Reddy and A. K. Krishna, "Soil Contamination due to heavy metals in Patancheru industrial development area," Environmental Geology, vol. 41, pp. 461–469, 2001.
- A. K. Krishna and P. K. Govil, "Heavy metal distribution and contamination in soils of Thane-Belapur industrial development area, Mumbai, Western India," Environmental Geology, vol. 47, no.8, pp. 1054–1061, 2005.
- 10.S.E. Allen, H.M. Grimshaw and A.P. Rowland, Chemical analysis, in Methods in Plant Ecology, P.D. Moore and S.B. Chapman, eds., Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, pp. 285–344, 1986.
- W. Dickinson, G. B. Dunbar, and H. McLeod, "Heavy metal history from cores in Wellington Harbour, New Zealand," Environmental Geology, vol. 27, pp. 59–69, 1996.
- 12.T. Liaghati, M. Preda and M. Cox, "Heavy metal distribution and controlling factors within coastal plain sediments, Bells Creek Catchment, southeast Queensland, Australia," Environment International, vol. 29, pp.935–948, 2003.
- 13.R. A. Sutherland, "Bed sediment-associated trace metals in an urban stream, Oahu, Hawaii," Environmental Geology, vol. 39, pp.611–627, 2000.
- 14.G. Muller, "Index of geoaccumulation in sediments of the Rhine River," Geojournal, vol. 2, pp.109–118, 1969.
- 15.DWAF, South African water quality guidelines (Vol. 7), aquatic ecosystems (1st ed.). Pretoria: Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 1996.
- 16.APHA, Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 17th Edn. Washington DC, USA, 1985.
- 17.M. Shariatpatanahi, and A. C. Anderson, "Survey of chemical constituents of Tehran's groundwater," Journal of Environmental Geochemistry and Health, vol. 9, pp. 55-601, 1987.
- 18.R. K. Sharma, M. Agrawal, and F. Marshal, "Heavy metal contamination of soil and vegetables in suburban areas of Varanasi, India," Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 258–266, 2007.