Seroprevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in Healthy Pregnant Women of Puducherry

Selvaraj Stephen^{1,*}, Seetesh Ghose², Velmurugan Anitharaj³, Jothimani Pradeep⁴

¹Professor, ^{3,4}PhD Scholar, Dept. of Microbiology, ²Professor & HOD, Dept. of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College & Research Institute, Puducherry

*Corresponding Author:

Email: stephens4950@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: So far, there has been no report of genital *Chlamydia trachomatis* prevalence in the pregnant women of Puducherry. The aim of our study is to determine the seroprevalence of *C. trachomatis* infection in healthy antenatal women attending Mahatma Gandhi Medical College & Research Institute, a tertiary care Teaching Hospital at Puducherry.

Materials & Method: We screened 266 healthy pregnant women in the first and second trimesters for anti-*C. trachomatis* IgG antibody by ELISA test.

Results: Only one out of 266 sera screened was positive for C. trachomatis IgG antibody (0.38%).

Conclusion: Seroprevalence of *C. trachomatis* in this part of southern India is very low. However, additional screening of more number of both asymptomatic and symptomatic pregnant women from different geographical locations in the union territory of Puducherry might give a clear picture.

Keywords: C. trachomatis, Genital Chlamydiasis, IgG ELISA, Seroprevalence

Introduction

Chlamydia trachomatis infection is a common sexually transmitted bacterial disease with various reproductive complications.⁽¹⁻⁶⁾ It is the chief cause of mucopurulent cervicitis and is responsible for about 50% of one million cases of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) reported in the United States each year.⁽⁵⁾ Worldwide C. trachomatis infection among pregnant women has ranged widely from 2 to 30 percent in various studies.⁽⁴⁾ World Health Organization has estimated a total global prevalence of 3.53% for C. trachomatis in women for 2005. At any point in 2005 there were approximately 98 million adults infected with C. trachomatis. Global prevalence of C. trachomatis infection varies from continent to continent and differences are seen in different parts of the same country.⁽¹⁾ Thus, a low prevalence of 3.78% in Cameroon,⁽⁷⁾ 4.6% in Australia,⁽⁸⁾ between 5%⁽³⁾ and 22%⁽⁹⁾ in USA, 10% in UK,⁽¹⁰⁾ 19.4% in Ghana⁽¹¹⁾ and 29.4% in Nigeria.⁽¹²⁾ In the Middle East countries, Hani et $al^{(13)}$ reported that 8.7% of the total number of 1600 Saudi Pregnant Women tested were positive for IgG antibodies to C. trachomatis. Fatholahzadeh et $al^{(14)}$ reported a seroprevalence of 9.23% asymptomatic women of Iran. Information regarding prevalence and risk factors of this infection in India are limited.^(6,15-21) Few document a prevalence of 3-20% and 18-30% among antenatal and symptomatic women respectively.⁽¹⁵⁾ Endocervical swab Culture, considered as gold standard, has several limitations. Therefore, non-cultural methods such as direct Immunofluorescence (DFA), EIA and PCR carried out with endocervical swabs. In a recent study which compared nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) and

cell culture, the NAAT was found to be significantly more sensitive and therefore it was recommended as "new gold standard".^(1-6,22-23) Analysis of the epidemiology of *C. trachomatis* infection, various risk factors, and usefulness of different non-culture methods will help in arriving at an effective strategy for accurate diagnosis and management of this disease. Due to paucity of data in India, especially Puducherry, present research was undertaken to determine the prevalence of *C. trachomatis* infection in healthy antenatal women attending a tertiary care Teaching Hospital at Puducherry.

Materials and Method

Our Institutional Human Ethical Committee (IHEC) has approved this research and the work was carried out during July 2015 to December 2016 at Mahatma Gandhi Medical College & Research Institute. Puducherry. Based on the national seroprevalence, 266 healthy pregnant women were included in this study. IgG ELISA serology for C. trachomatis was performed using NovaLisa Chlamydia trachomatis IgG ELISA - CHLG0070 kit (NovaTec Immunodiagnostica, GmbH, Dietzenbach, Germany). The procedure outlined in the kit's brochure was strictly adhered to. The microtitre wells are precoated with C. trachomatis antigen. About 10µl of participant's serum diluted 1:100 with IgG sample diluent was added to each well, incubated for one hour at 37°C and later washed with washing solution. After the addition of conjugate, further incubation for 30 minutes was done at room temperature. At the end of incubation, plates were again washed, TMB substrate was added and incubated for 15 mins at room temperature in the dark.

Finally, stop solution was added to all the wells and Optical Density (OD) readings were taken with the wavelength of 450/620 nm using iMark Microplate Reader, Bio-Rad, Japan.

Cut-off value calculation:

- Cut-off value is the mean absorbance value of cutoff controls kept in duplicate wells.
- Samples are considered positive if OD readings are 10% higher than the cut-off values and those with 10% less than cut-off are negative. Those in the middle are in Grey zone and were repeated.

Calculation in Nova Tec Units

Antibody index = $(\text{Sample O.D/Cut-off O.D}) \times 10.$

The samples with OD values above 11 NTU (NovaTec units) were considered as positive and those below 9 NTU were taken as negative. Borderline samples with 9-11 NTU were repeated in triplicate.

Results

Only one healthy antenatal mother was positive for IgG antibodies to *C. trachomatis* (0.38%). Three samples in the grey zone were negative on repeat testing.

Discussion

C. trachomatis infections are often most asymptomatic.⁽¹⁻⁶⁾ In symptomatic women, the symptoms usually appear within 1 to 3 weeks after exposure. The most common symptoms are abnormal vaginal discharge, burning micturition, cervical fragility and hypertrophic cervical erosions. Lower abdominal pain, low back pain, nausea, fever, pain during intercourse and bleeding between menstrual periods are the other symptoms. The clinical syndromes associated with genital C. trachomatis infections include acute urethral syndrome, cervicitis, bartholinitis, cervical dysplasia and pelvic inflammatory disease (PID). Women with untreated C. trachomatis infections may develop pelvic inflammatory disease, which can result in long-term sequelae, such as infertility, ectopic pregnancy, and chronic pelvic pain.^(6,15,17-20) The risk factors for C. trachomatis infections observed in several studies are young age, single status, oral contraceptive use, intrauterine devices (IUDs) use, inconsistent condom use, multiple sex partners and a previous history of sexually transmitted infection.⁽²⁴⁾ Age dependency was seen in symptomatic patients, with a high chlamydial prevalence rate (28%) found in younger women.⁽²⁵⁾

The culture of endocervical swab is generally considered as the diagnostic gold standard for detection of cervical chlamydial infection, but due to the complexity of this technique and the difficulties in cytological staining and microscopy, a variety of noncultural tests are in use. These include antigen detection by rapid kits/EIA, nucleic acid amplification, direct fluorescent antibody (DFA) and ELISA.^(1-6,22,23) Three classes of immunoglobulins are produced during genital *C. trachomatis* infection, viz., IgM, IgG and IgA. Presence of IgG antibody indicates previous exposure to *C. trachomatis* and does not point to present infection. Hence, seroprevalence surveys on genital *C. trachomatis* infections in healthy pregnant women target this immunoglobulin. Detection of *C. trachomatis* antigen in endocervical specimens by IFA/PCR would be a better indicator of infection. Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) have been developed for the diagnosis of genital Chlamydia infection.^(22,26)

CT prevalence in Puducherry is very low with only 0.38%. Several studies in India from different states like Tamil Nadu, Haryana, Karnataka and Maharashtra, reported prevalence rates of 3-15% in asymptomatic women, 9.7% in commercial sex workers and 15-60% in young women with infertility or PID or STD.^(1,15,17,25,27) A recent report from Assam highlights C trachomatis infection in infertile women. While 7.5% of the control group has C. trachomatis IgG antibodies, 25% of the infertile women had IgG antibodies.⁽²⁸⁾ Chandhok et $al^{(15)}$ reported a range of 1.2 to 33% seroprevalence. The lowest prevalence of 0.2% was recorded by Brabin et al in 1998.⁽²⁷⁾ Similar low prevalence of $0.57\%^{(17)}$ and $1.7\%^{(16)}$ were reported by Mania-Pramanik et al in asymptomatic controls in Mumbai. Other states like Orissa recorded 7.04%, whereas New Delhi recorded 23% as well as a higher 29%.^(25,29) Our results are comparable to seroprevalence in Mumbai. The detection of specific anti-chlamydial antibodies (IgA, IgM, IgG) by ELISA is a valuable, non-invasive diagnostic procedure.^(2,29-30) A study from Chennai, which evaluated the various serological markers of Chlamydia trachomatis infection, suggested that a single serological marker cannot be of diagnostic help and so simultaneous detection of IgA, IgM and IgG is needed to diagnose this infection. The authors also opined that a single elevated serum IgG titer may be diagnostically more helpful to identify deep-seated upper genital tract infection with C. trachomatis than paired serum specimens, which are difficult to collect from patients suspected to have STD as they often receive treatment on syndromic basis.(30)

Conclusion

Notwithstanding a very low seroprevalence of 0.38%, genital chlamydial infection in Puducherry needs to be further explored with more number of samples collected from various geographical locations of Union Territory of Puducherry.

Acknowledgement

This Faculty Research Project has been funded by Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth University, and the authors are grateful to the Chairman, Vice-Chancellor, Dean-Academic and Dean – Research & Allied Health Sciences of Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Puducherry.

References

- World Health Organization. Prevalence and Incidence of Selected Sexually Transmitted Infections. *Chlamydia trachomatis*, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, syphilis and Trichomonas vaginalis. Geneva: WHO, 2011.
- Black CM. Current methods of laboratory diagnosis of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections. Clin Microbiol Rev 1997;10(1):160-84.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Chlamydia screening among sexually active young female enrollees of health plans – United States, 2000-2007. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2009;58;362-5.
- Adimora AA, Hamilton H, Holmes KK, Sparling PF, editors. Sexually transmitted diseases in pregnancy. In: Sexually transmitted diseases. Companion Handbook. 2nd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1994. p. 335-51.
- Ohio Department of Health Infectious Disease Control Manual. [Cited 2010 Oct 12], Available from: http://www.odh.ohio.gov/pdf/idcm/chlamyd.pdf.
- Joyee AG, Thyagarajan SP, Rajendran P, Hari R, Balakrishnan P, Kurien T. *Chlamydia trachomatis* genital infection in apparently healthy adult population of Tamil Nadu, India: a population-based study. Int J STD AIDS 2004;15(1):51–5.
- Ngandjio A, Clerc M, Fonkoua MC, Thonno J, Njock F, Pouillot R, et al. Screening of volunteer students in Yaoundé (Cameroon, Central Africa) for *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection and genotyping of isolated *Chlamydia trachomatis* strains. J Clin Microbiol 2003;4(9)1:4404-7.
- Vajdic CM, Middleton M, Bowden FJ, Fairley CK, Kaldor JM. The prevalence of genital *Chlamydia trachomatis* in Australia 1997-2004: A systematic review. Sex Health 2005;2(3):169-83.
- Shrier LA1, Dean D, Klein E, Harter K, Rice PA. Limitations of screening tests for the detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in asymptomatic adolescent and young adult women. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2004 Mar;190(3):654-62.
- Tobin JM. Chlamydia screening in primary care: Is it useful, affordable and universal? Curr Opin Infect Dis 2002;15(1):31-6.
- Seimer J, Theile O, Larbi Y, Fasching PA, Danso KA, Kreienberg R, *et al. Chlamydia trachomatis* infection as a risk factor for fertility among women in Ghana, West Africa. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2008;78(2):323-7.
- Ikeme AC, Ezegwui HU, Ikeako LC, Agbata I, Agbata E. Seroprevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in Enugu, Nigeria. Niger J Clin Pract 2011;14(2):176-80.
- Ghazi HO, Daghestani MH, Mohamed MF. Seropositivity of *Chlamydia trachomatis* Among Saudi pregnant women in Makkah. J Family Community Med. 2006;13(2): 61–64.
- Fatholahzadeh B, Bahador A, Hasanabad MH, Bazarjani F, Haghighi F. Comparative Screening of *Chlamydia trachomatis* Infection in Women Population in Tehran, Iran. Iran Red Crescent Med J 2012; 14(5):289-293.
- Chandhok N, Datey S, Gaur LN, Saxena NC. Prevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in women attending different clinics at tertiary hospitals. J Obstet Gynecol India. 2003;53(5):463-7.
- Mania-Pramanik J, Meherji P, Gokral J, Donde U. *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in an urban setting. Sex Transm Infect. 2001;77(2):141.
- Mania-Pramanik J, Kerkar S, Sonawane S, Mehta P, Salvi V. Current *Chlamydia trachomatis* Infection, A Major Cause of Infertility. J Reprod Infertil. 2012;13(4):204-210.

- Mittal A, Kapur S, Gupta S. Screening for genital chlamydial infection in symptomatic women. Indian J Med Res 1993;98:119-23.
- Singh V, Sehgal A, Satyanarayana L, Gupta MM, Parashari A, Chattopadhya D. Clinical presentation of gynecologic infections among Indian women. Obstet Gynecol. 1995;85(2):215–9.
- Malhotra M, Sood, S, Mukherjee A, Muralidhar S Bala M. Genital *Chlamydia trachomatis*: An update. Indian J Med Res 2013;138(3):303-16.
- 21. Vidhani S, Mehta S, Bhalla P, Bhalla R, Sharma VK, Batra S. Seroprevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection amongst patients with pelvic inflammatory diseases and infertility. J Commun Dis 2005;37(3):233-8.
- Jesperson DJ, Flatten KS, Jones MF, Smith TF. Prospective comparison of cell cultures and nucleic acid amplification tests for laboratory diagnosis of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43(10):55324-6.
- 23. Dhawan B, Rawre J, Ghosh A, Malhotra N, Ahmed MM, Srinivas VB, *et al.* Diagnostic efficacy of a real-time PCR assay for *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in infertile women in north India. Indian J Med Res 2014;140 (2):252-261.
- Leon SR, Konda KA, Klausner JD, Jones FR, Caceres CF, Coates TJ. *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection and associated risk factors in a low-income marginalized urban population in costal Peru. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2009;26(1):39-45.
- 25. Singh V, Salhan S, Das BC, Mittal A. Predominance of *Chlamydia trachomatis* serovars associated with urogenital infections in females in New Delhi, India. J Clin Microbiol 2003;41(6):2700–2.
- 26. Mahony JB, Song X, Chong S, Faught M, Salonga T, Kapala J: Evaluation of the Nucli Sens Basic Kit for detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in genital tract specimens using nucleic acid sequence-based amplification of 16S rRNA. J Clin Microbiol 2001;39(4):1429-1435.
- Brabin L, Gogate A, Gogate S, Karande A, Khanna R, Dollimore N. Reproductive tract infections, gynaecological morbidity and HIV seroprevalence among women in Mumbai, India. Bull World Health Organ 1998;76(3):277-87.
- 28. Mohan DG, Borthakur AK. Seroprevalence of Chlamydia trachomatis in infertile women in a tertiary care hospital: A pilot study. Indian J Med Microbiol 2015;33(2):331-2.
- Diwivedi B, Pramanik JM, Sahu P, Kar SK, Moharana T. Prevalence of genital chlamydia infection in females attending an Obstetrics and Gynecology outpatient department in Orissa. Indian J Dermatol Venerol Leprol 2009;75(6):614-16.
- Joyee AG, Thyagarajan SP, Vikram RE, Rajendran P, Venkatesan C, Ganapathy M. Diagnostic utility of serologic markers for genital chlamydial infection in STD patients in Chennai, India. J Assoc Physicians India 2007;55(11):777-80.

How to cite this article: Stephen S, Ghose S, Anitharaj V, Pradeep J. Seroprevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in Healthy Pregnant Women of Puducherry. Indian J Microbiol Res 2017;4(3):295-297.