BULLETIN OF THE INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICAL VIRTUAL INSTITUTE ISSN (p) 2303-4874, ISSN (o) 2303-4955 www.imvibl.org /JOURNALS / BULLETIN 7(2017), 527-538 DOI:10.7251/BIMVI1703527M

> Former BULLETIN OF THE SOCIETY OF MATHEMATICIANS BANJA LUKA ISSN 0354-5792 (o), ISSN 1986-521X (p)

GENERALIZED FUZZY RIGHT h-IDEALS OF HEMIRINGS REDEFINED BY FUZZY SUMS AND FUZZY PRODUCTS

G. Mohanraj and E. Prabu

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we redefine the concepts of (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] ideals of hemirings by using the notions of fuzzy sum and fuzzy product. And also the notions of (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] *h*-ideals of hemirings are redefined by fuzzy sum, fuzzy closure and fuzzy product. Further, using the notions of fuzzy *h*-sum and fuzzy *h*-product, we characterize (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideals. In particular, we investigate (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideals by using fuzzy h-sum and fuzzy h-intrinsic product.

1. Introduction

The notion of a fuzzy set, which was firstly proposed by Lotfi Aliasker Zadeh [20], provides a natural framework for generalizing many of the concepts of mathematics. Rosenfeld [14] combined fuzzy sets and groups in a fruitful way by defining fuzzy groups. Since then, the fuzzy set theory have been applied to many branch of mathematics and engineering. The notion of h-ideals of hemirings was initiated by Torre [6]. Young Bae Jun [5] introduced the concepts of fuzzy *h*-ideals of hemirings. In [2], Bhakat and Das introduced the concept of redefined fuzzy subrings and ideals. M. Shabir and W.A. Dudek explored the concepts of fuzzy *h*ideals and (α, β) -fuzzy ideals of hemirings in [15, 16]. Yao initiated the notions of (λ, μ) -fuzzy groups [18] and (λ, μ) -fuzzy subrings [19]. Moharaj et al. introduced fuzzy weakly interior ideals of ordered semigroups [13]. Recently, G.Moharaj and E.Prabu investigated the concepts of redefined generalized *L*-*h*-bi-ideals of

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 03E72, 08A72, 16Y99, 16D25.

Key words and phrases. Fuzzy h-sum, Fuzzy h-product, Fuzzy h-intrinsic product, Fuzzy h-closure, (λ, μ) -fuzzy right h-ideals.

⁵²⁷

hemirings [11] and characterizations of generalized fuzzy h-bi-ideals of hemirings [12].

In this paper, the concepts of (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideals are redefined by the notions of fuzzy sum, fuzzy closure and fuzzy product. Moreover, using the notions of fuzzy *h*-sum and fuzzy *h*-product, the concept of (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideals is redefined. In particular using the notions of fuzzy h-sum and fuzzy h-intrinsic product, the concept of (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideals are characterized.

2. Preliminaries

An algebraic structure $(R, +, \cdot)$ in which (R, +) and (R, \cdot) are semigroups that satisfy both distributive laws is called a hemiring if "+" is commutative and there is an absorbing element $0 \in R$ such that 0+x = x = x+0 and $0 \cdot x = 0 = x \cdot 0$ for all $x \in R$.

A subset $A \neq \emptyset$ of a hemiring R which is closed under addition is called a right [left] ideal of R if $ar \in A$ [$ra \in A$] for all $a \in A$, $r \in R$. A right [left] ideal A of Ris called a right [left] h-ideal of R if $p, q \in A$ and y + p + z = q + z imply $y \in A$ for $y, z \in R$. Further the h-closure of a subset A of R denoted by \overline{A} is defined as $\overline{A} = \{y \in R | y + p + z = q + z \text{ for some } p, q \in A, z \in R\}.$

An element "1" is called an unity of a hemiring R, if $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$ for all $a \in R$. Recall that a mapping $f : R \to [0, 1]$ is called a fuzzy set of a hemiring R. The level set of a fuzzy set f denoted by f_t of R is defined as $f_t = \{a \in R | f(a) \ge t\}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. The fuzzy set "1" is defined as $1 = 1(x) = \chi_R(x)$ for every $x \in R$. The intersection of fuzzy sets f and g of R, denoted by $f \cap g$ and is defined as $(f \cap g)(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\}$ for all $x \in R$. For fuzzy sets f and g of R, we denote $f \subseteq g$ if $g(x) \ge f(x)$ for all $x \in R$.

DEFINITION 2.1. [16] The fuzzy sum f + g and the fuzzy h-sum $f +_h g$ of the fuzzy sets f and g are defined respectively as follows

$$(f+g)(x) = \bigvee_{x=y+z} [f(y) \land g(z)]$$

for $x, y, z \in R$.

$$(f +_h g)(x) = \bigvee_{x+a_1+b_1+z=a_2+b_2+z} [f(a_1) \wedge f(a_2) \wedge g(b_1) \wedge g(b_2)]$$

for $x, a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, z \in R$.

DEFINITION 2.2. [12] (i) The fuzzy product $f \cdot g$ of the fuzzy sets f and g of R is defined as

$$(f \cdot g)(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x=yz} [f(y) \land g(z)] & \text{if } x = yz \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ cannot be expressible as } x = yz \end{cases}$$

(ii) The fuzzy h-product $f \circ g$ of the fuzzy sets f and g of R is defined as

$$(f \circ g)(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee [f(a_1) \land f(a_2) \land g(b_1) \land g(b_2)] \\ x + a_1b_1 + z = a_2b_2 + z \\ 0 \text{ if } x \text{ cannot be expressible as } x + a_1b_1 + z = a_2b_2 + z. \end{cases}$$

DEFINITION 2.3. [16] For a fuzzy sets f and g of R, the h-intrinsic product $f \odot g$ of f and g of R is defined as

$$(f \odot g)(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{\substack{x + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i + z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a'_j b'_j + z}} \left[\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} [f(a_i) \land g(b_i)] \land \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} [f(a'_j) \land g(b'_j)] \right] \\ 0 \text{ if } x \text{ cannot be expressible as } x + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i + z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a'_j b'_j + z. \end{cases}$$

DEFINITION 2.4. [12] The fuzzy h-closure \overline{f} of fuzzy set f of R is defined by

$$(\overline{f})(x) = \bigvee_{x+a+z=b+z} [f(a) \wedge f(b)]$$

for $x, a, b, z \in R$.

DEFINITION 2.5. [13] Let f and g be two fuzzy sets of R. We write $f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} g$, if $g(x) \lor \lambda \ge f(x) \land \mu$ for all $x \in R$ and $0 \le \lambda < \mu \le 1$.

REMARK 2.6. If $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = 1$, then $f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} g$ coincides with $f \subseteq g$.

THEOREM 2.7. [15] The fuzzy set f of R is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy right [left] ideal of R if and only if

1. $f(x+y) \ge f(x) \wedge f(y) \wedge \frac{1-k}{2}$, 2. $f(xy) \ge f(x) \wedge \frac{1-k}{2} [f(xy) \ge f(y) \wedge \frac{1-k}{2}]$ for all $x, y \in R$.

3. Redefined (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideals

Throughout this paper, we represents R as a hemiring and $0 \leq \lambda < \mu \leq 1$.

DEFINITION 3.1. The fuzzy set f is called a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] ideal of R if for all $x, y \in R$

F1a. $f(x+y) \lor \lambda \ge f(x) \land f(y) \land \mu$, F1b. $f(xy) \lor \lambda \ge f(x) \land \mu \ [f(xy) \lor \lambda \ge f(y) \land \mu].$

REMARK 3.2. 1. By taking $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in Definition 3.1, f is known as a fuzzy right [left] ideal of a hemiring R.

2. By taking $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = \frac{1-k}{2}$ in Definition 3.1, f coincides with $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy right *[left]* ideal of a hemiring R.

3. Therefore (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] ideal of R is a generalization of fuzzy right *[left] ideal and* $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ *-fuzzy right [left] ideal of R.*

Now, using fuzzy sum and fuzzy product, (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] ideals of R are redefined.

THEOREM 3.3. The fuzzy set f of R is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] ideal of R if and only if

 $\begin{array}{l} F2a) \ f+f\subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu}f\\ F2b) \ f\cdot 1\subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu}f \ [1\cdot f\subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu}f] \end{array}$

PROOF. Let f be a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R and for every $x \in R$. If x = y + z, then $f(x) \lor \lambda = f(y + z) \lor \lambda \ge f(y) \land f(z) \land \mu$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) \lor \lambda & \geqslant & \bigvee_{x=y+z} f(y) \land f(z) \land \mu \\ & = & \left[\bigvee_{x=y+z} f(y) \land f(z) \right] \land \mu \\ & = & (f+f)(x) \land \mu \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$.

If x cannot be expressible as x = yz, then $0 = (f \cdot 1)(x) = (f \cdot 1)(x) \land \mu \leq f(x) \lor \lambda$. If x = yz, then $f(x) \lor \lambda = f(yz) \lor \lambda \geq f(y) \land \mu$. Thus,

$$f(x) \lor \lambda \geqslant \bigvee_{x=yz} f(y) \land \mu$$
$$= \left[\bigvee_{x=yz} f(y) \land 1(z)\right] \land \mu$$
$$= (f \cdot 1)(x) \land \mu$$

Therefore $f \cdot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$. Similarly, we prove that $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ if f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy left ideal of R.

Conversely, for $x, y \in R$, $f(x + y) \lor \lambda \ge (f + f)(x + y) \land \mu \ge f(x) \land f(y) \land \mu$ and $f(xy) \lor \lambda \ge (f \cdot 1)(xy) \land \mu \ge f(x) \land \mu$. Therefore f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right ideal of R. Similarly, we prove that f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy left ideal of R if $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$. \Box

Now, the concept of (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideals of R are redefined by using the notions of fuzzy sum, fuzzy product and fuzzy closure.

DEFINITION 3.4. The (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] ideal f of R is called a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R if (F1c) for any $x, a, b, z \in R$, x + a + z = b + z implies $f(x) \lor \lambda \ge f(a) \land f(b) \land \mu$.

THEOREM 3.5. The (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] ideal f of R is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R if and only if F2c) $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$

PROOF. Let f be a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R and for all $x \in R$. By Theorem 3.3, $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $f \cdot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ $[1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f]$

If x + a + z = b + z, then $f(x) \lor \lambda \ge f(a) \land f(b) \land \mu$. Thus,

$$f(x) \lor \lambda \geqslant \bigvee_{\substack{x+a+z=b+z}} f(a) \land f(b) \land \mu$$
$$= \left[\bigvee_{\substack{x+a+z=b+z}} f(a) \land f(b)\right] \land \mu$$
$$= \overline{f}(x) \land \mu$$

Therefore $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$.

Conversely, by Theorem 3.3, f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] ideal of R. Now x + a + z = b + z implies $f(x) \lor \lambda \ge \overline{f}(x) \land \mu \ge f(a) \land f(b) \land \mu$. Therefore f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R.

THEOREM 3.6. A fuzzy set f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R if and only if F2a) $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ F2b) $f \cdot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ $[1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f]$ F2c) $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$

PROOF. By Theorem 3.3 and 3.5, the proof follows.

Now, we redefine (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R by using fuzzy h-sum and fuzzy product.

LEMMA 3.7. [12] If f is a fuzzy set of R such that $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$, then $f +_h f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$.

LEMMA 3.8. [12] If f is a fuzzy set of R such that $f(0) \lor \lambda \ge f(x) \land \mu$ for all $x \in R$ and $f +_h f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$, then $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$.

LEMMA 3.9. If $f \cdot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ or $1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ for a fuzzy set f of R, then $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f +_h f$.

PROOF. Let f be a fuzzy set of R such that $f \cdot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ or $1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$. Then for all $x \in R$, $f(0) \lor \lambda \ge (f \cdot 1)(0) \land \mu \ge f(x) \land 1(0) \land \mu = f(x) \land \mu$. Similarly, $1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ implies $f(0) \lor \lambda \ge f(x) \land \mu$ for all $x \in R$. Now,

$$(f+_{h}f)(x) \lor \lambda = \left(\bigvee_{x+a_{1}+b_{1}+z=a_{2}+b_{2}+z} f(a_{1}) \land f(a_{2}) \land f(b_{1}) \land f(b_{2})\right) \lor \lambda$$

$$\geqslant \left(\bigvee_{x+0+0+z=a+b+z} f(0) \land f(a) \land f(0) \land f(b)\right) \lor \lambda$$

$$= \bigvee_{x=a+b} (f(0) \lor \lambda) \land (f(a) \lor \lambda) \land (f(0) \lor \lambda) \land (f(b) \lor \lambda)$$

$$\geqslant \bigvee_{x=a+b} f(a) \land \mu \land f(b) \land \mu$$

$$= \left(\bigvee_{x=a+b} f(a) \land f(b)\right) \land \mu$$

$$= (f+f)(x) \land \mu$$

Therefore $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f +_h f$.

REMARK 3.10. Let f be a (λ, μ) -fuzzy left or right ideal of R, then by Lemma 3.9, $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f +_{h} f$.

LEMMA 3.11. If $f +_h f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $f \cdot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ or $f +_h f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ for a fuzzy set f of R, then $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$.

PROOF. Let f be a fuzzy set of R such that $f +_h f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $f \cdot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ or $f +_h f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$. Then for all $x \in R$, $f(0) \lor \lambda \ge f(x \cdot 0) \land \mu \ge f(x) \land \mu$. Now,

$$(f(x) \lor \lambda) \lor \lambda \geqslant \left((f+_h f)(x) \land \mu \right) \lor \lambda$$

$$\geqslant \left[\left(\bigvee_{x+a+0+z=0+b+z} f(a) \land f(0) \land f(0) \land f(b) \right) \lor \lambda \right] \land \mu$$

$$= \left(\bigvee_{x+a+z=b+z} (f(a) \lor \lambda) \land (f(0) \lor \lambda) \land (f(0) \lor \lambda)$$

$$\land (f(b) \lor \lambda) \right) \land \mu$$

$$\geqslant \left(\bigvee_{x+a+z=b+z} (f(a) \land \mu) \land f(a) \land (f(b) \land \mu) \land f(b) \right) \land \mu$$

$$\geqslant \left(\bigvee_{x+a+z=b+z} f(a) \land f(b) \land \mu \right) \land \mu$$

$$= \left(\bigvee_{x+a+z=b+z} f(a) \land f(b) \right) \land \mu$$

$$= \overline{f}(x) \land \mu$$

Therefore $\overline{f} \subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu} f$.

THEOREM 3.12. The fuzzy set f of R is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R if and only if $\begin{array}{l} F3a) \ f+_h \ f \subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu} \ f \\ F2b) \ f\cdot 1 \subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu} \ f \ [1 \cdot f \subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu} \ f] \end{array}$

PROOF. Now, f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R then by Theorem 3.6

and Lemma 3.7, we have F3a and F2b. Conversely, by Lemma 3.9, $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f +_{h} f$ implies $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and by Lemma 3.11, we have $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$. Then by Theorem 3.6, f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R.

4. Fuzzy h-product and (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideals

In this section, using fuzzy h-sum and fuzzy h-product, the concept of (λ, μ) fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R is characterized.

LEMMA 4.1. If f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] ideals of R, then $f \cdot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f \circ 1$ [$1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} 1 \circ f$].

PROOF. By Theorem 3.6, we have $f \cdot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f \ [1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f]$. For each $x \in R$,

$$f(0) \lor \lambda \ge (f \cdot 1)(x0) \land \mu \ge f(x) \land \mu.$$

If f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy left ideal of R, then for each $x \in R$,

$$f(0) \lor \lambda \ge (1 \cdot f)(0x) \land \mu \ge f(x) \land \mu.$$

Now x = ab implies x + 0b + z = ab + z. Then,

$$\begin{array}{ll} (f \circ 1)(x) \lor \lambda & \geqslant & [f(0) \land f(a) \land 1(b) \land 1(b)] \lor \lambda \\ & \geqslant & (f(a) \land \mu) \land (f(a) \lor \lambda) \land (1(b) \land \mu) \land (1(b) \lor \lambda) \\ & \geqslant & f(a) \land 1(b) \land \mu \end{array}$$

Thus,

$$(f \circ 1)(x) \lor \lambda \geqslant \bigvee_{x=ab} f(a) \land 1(b) \land \mu$$
$$= \left[\bigvee_{x=ab} f(a) \land 1(b)\right] \land \mu$$
$$= (f \cdot 1)(x) \land \mu$$

Therefore $f \cdot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f \circ 1$. Similarly we prove that $1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} 1 \circ f$ if f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy left ideal of R.

THEOREM 4.2. A fuzzy set f of R is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R if and only if F2a) $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ F4b) $f \circ 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ $[1 \circ f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f]$ F2c) $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$

PROOF. Let f be a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R. By Theorem 3.6, we have F2a, F2b and F2c. If $x + a_1b_1 + z = a_2b_2 + z$, then by F2c and F2b,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) \lor \lambda & \geqslant \quad (\overline{f}(x) \land \mu) \lor \lambda \\ & \geqslant \quad (f(a_1b_1) \land f(a_2b_2) \land \mu) \lor \lambda \\ & = \quad (f(a_1b_1) \lor \lambda) \land (f(a_2b_2) \lor \lambda) \land \mu \\ & \geqslant \quad ((f \cdot 1)(a_1b_1) \land \mu) \land ((f \cdot 1)(a_2b_2) \land \mu) \land \mu \\ & \geqslant \quad f(a_1) \land f(a_2) \land 1(b_1) \land 1(b_2) \land \mu \end{aligned}$$

Now $x + a_1b_1 + z = a_2b_2 + z$ implies $f(x) \lor \lambda \ge f(a_1) \land f(a_2) \land 1(b_1) \land 1(b_2) \land \mu$. Thus,

$$f(x) \lor \lambda \geqslant \bigvee_{\substack{x+a_1b_1+z=a_2b_2+z}} f(a_1) \land f(a_2) \land 1(b_1) \land 1(b_2) \land \mu$$
$$= \left[\bigvee_{\substack{x+a_1b_1+z=a_2b_2+z}} f(a_1) \land f(a_2) \land 1(b_1) \land 1(b_2)\right] \land \mu$$
$$= (f \circ 1)(x) \land \mu$$

Therefore $f \circ 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$. Similarly, we prove that $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$, $1 \circ f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ if f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy left h-ideal of R.

Conversely, by Lemma 4.1, $f \cdot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f \circ 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ implies $f \cdot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda}$ $1 \circ f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ implies $1 \cdot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$. Therefore by Theorem 3.6, f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R.

THEOREM 4.3. If R has an unity, then the fuzzy set f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R if and only if $F2a) f + f \subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu} f$ $F4b) \ f \circ 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f \ [1 \circ f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f]$

PROOF. Let f be a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R. By Theorem 4.2, we have $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$, $f \circ 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ $[1 \circ f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f]$. Conversely, x + a + z = b + z implies $x + a \cdot 1 + z = b \cdot 1 + z$. Now,

$$f(x) \lor \lambda \quad \ge \quad (f \circ 1)(x) \land \mu$$

$$= \left[\bigvee_{x+a_1b_1+z=a_2b_2+z} f(a_1) \land f(a_2) \land 1(b_1) \land 1(b_2) \right] \land \mu$$

$$\geqslant \left[\bigvee_{x+a\cdot 1+z=b\cdot 1+z} f(a) \land f(b) \land 1 \land 1 \right] \land \mu$$

$$= \left[\bigvee_{x+a+z=b+z} f(a) \land f(b) \right] \land \mu$$

$$= \overline{f}(x) \land \mu$$

Thus $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and by Theorem 4.2, f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R.

THEOREM 4.4. A fuzzy set f of R is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R if and only if

 $\begin{array}{l} F3a) \ f+_h f \subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu} f \\ F4b) \ f \circ 1 \subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu} f \ [1 \circ f \subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu} f] \end{array}$

PROOF. Let f be a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R. Then by Theorem 4.2 and by Lemma 3.7, we have F3a and F4b.

Conversely, by Lemma 4.1, 3.9, 3.11 and by Theorem 4.2, f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R.

5. Fuzzy h-intrinsic product and (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal

In this section, using the notion of fuzzy *h*-sum and fuzzy *h*-intrinsic product, we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for a fuzzy set to be a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R.

LEMMA 5.1. If f and g are fuzzy sets of R, then $f \circ g \subseteq f \odot g$.

PROOF. Let f and g be fuzzy sets of R. Now, for all $x \in R$,

$$(f \odot g)(x) = \bigvee_{\substack{x + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i + z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a'_j b'_j + z}} \left[\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} (f(a_i) \land g(b_i)) \right) \land \\ \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} (f(a'_j) \land g(b'_j)) \right) \right] \\ \geqslant \bigvee_{\substack{x + a_1 b_1 + z = a'_1 b'_1 + z}} f(a_1) \land g(b_1) \land f(a'_1) \land g(b'_1) \\ = \bigvee_{\substack{x + a_1 b_1 + z = a'_1 b'_1 + z}} f(a_1) \land f(a'_1) \land g(b_1) \land g(b'_1) \\ = (f \circ g)(x)$$

Therefore $f \circ g \subseteq f \odot g$.

THEOREM 5.2. The fuzzy set f of R is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of Rif and only if F2a) $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ F5b) $f \odot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ $[1 \odot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f]$ F2c) $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$

PROOF. Let f be a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R. By Theorem 3.6, we have F2a, F2c and F2b. Now, $x + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i + z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a'_j b'_j + z$, then by F2a, F2b and F2c,

$$\begin{split} f(x) \lor \lambda &= (f(x) \lor \lambda) \lor \lambda \\ &\geqslant (\overline{f}(x) \land \mu) \lor \lambda \\ &\geqslant (f(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i) \land f(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a'_j b'_j) \land \mu) \lor \lambda \\ &= (f(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i) \lor \lambda) \land (f(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a'_j b'_j) \lor \lambda) \land \mu \end{split}$$

$$(5.1) \qquad \geqslant \qquad ((f+f+\ldots+f)(\sum_{i=1}^{m}a_{i}b_{i})\wedge\mu) \\ \wedge ((f+f+\ldots+f)(\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{j}^{'}b_{j}^{'})\wedge\mu)\wedge\mu \\ \geqslant \qquad \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}(f(a_{i}b_{i})\right)\wedge\left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{n}f(a_{j}^{'}b_{j}^{'})\right)\wedge\mu$$

Now by Equation 5.1,

$$f(x) \lor \lambda = (f(x) \lor \lambda) \lor \lambda$$

$$\geqslant \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} (f(a_{i}b_{i}) \lor \lambda) \land \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} (f(a_{j}^{'}b_{j}^{'}) \lor \lambda) \land \mu \right) \land \mu$$

Then by F2b,

$$f(x) \lor \lambda \quad \geqslant \quad \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} (f \cdot 1)(a_{i}b_{i}) \land \mu\right) \land \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} (f \cdot 1)(a_{j}^{'}b_{j}^{'}) \land \mu\right) \land \mu$$
$$= \quad \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} f(a_{i}) \land 1(b_{i})\right) \land \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} f(a_{j}^{'}) \land 1(b_{j}^{'})\right) \land \mu$$

Thus,

$$f(x) \lor \lambda \geqslant \bigvee_{\substack{x + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i + z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a'_j b'_j + z}} \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} (f(a_i) \land 1(b_i)) \right) \land$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} (f(a'_j) \land 1(b'_j)) \right) \right) \land \mu \\ = \left[\bigvee_{\substack{x + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i + z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a'_j b'_j + z}} \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} (f(a_i) \land 1(b_i)) \right) \land \mu \\ \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} (f(a'_j) \land 1(b'_j)) \right) \right] \land \mu \\ = (f \odot 1)(x) \land \mu$$

Therefore $f \odot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$. Similarly we prove that $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$, $1 \odot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ if f is (λ, μ) -fuzzy left h-ideal of R. Conversely, by Lemma 5.1, $f \circ 1 \subseteq f \odot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ implies $f \circ 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$. Similarly $1 \circ f \subseteq 1 \odot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ implies $1 \circ f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$. By Theorem 4.2, f is (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R.

THEOREM 5.3. If R has an unity, then the fuzzy set f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R if and only if F2a) $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ F5b) $f \odot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f [1 \odot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f]$

PROOF. Let f be a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R. By Theorem 5.2, we have $f + f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and $f \odot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ $[1 \odot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f]$.

Conversely,
$$x + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i + z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a'_j b'_j + z$$
 implies
 $f(x) \lor \lambda \ge (f \odot 1)(x) \land \mu$
 $\geqslant \left[\bigvee_{x+a\cdot 1+z=b\cdot 1+z} f(a) \land f(b) \right] \land \mu$
 $= \left[\bigvee_{x+a+z=b+z} f(a) \land f(b) \right] \land \mu$
 $= \overline{f}(x) \land \mu$

Thus $\overline{f} \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ and by Theorem 5.2, f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R. \Box

THEOREM 5.4. A fuzzy set f of R is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R if and only if F3a) $f +_h f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f$ F5b) $f \odot 1 \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f [1 \odot f \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f]$

PROOF. Now, f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R and by Theorem 5.2 and by Lemma 3.7, we have F3a and F5b.

Conversely, by Lemma 5.1 and by Theorem 4.4, f is a (λ, μ) -fuzzy right [left] h-ideal of R.

THEOREM 5.5. If f and g are (λ, μ) -fuzzy right h-ideal and (λ, μ) -fuzzy left h-ideal of R respectively, then $f \odot g \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f \cap g$.

PROOF. Let f and g be $(\lambda,\mu)\text{-fuzzy}$ right h-ideal and $(\lambda,\mu)\text{-fuzzy}$ left h-ideal of R respectively.

Then,
$$f \odot g \subseteq f \odot 1$$

 $\subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu} f$
 $f \odot g \subseteq 1 \odot g$
 $\subseteq^{\lambda}_{\mu} g$

Therefore $f \odot g \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f \cap g$.

COROLLARY 5.6. If f and g are (λ, μ) -fuzzy h-ideals of a hemiring R, then $f \odot g \subseteq_{\mu}^{\lambda} f \cap g$.

PROOF. Straightforward

COROLLARY 5.7. [16] If f and g are fuzzy h-ideals of a hemiring R, then $f \odot g \subseteq f \cap g$.

PROOF. By taking $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in Corollary 5.6, we get the result.

References

- [1] S. K. Bhakat and P. Das. $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy group, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **80**(1996), 359-368.
- [2] S. K. Bhakat and P. Das. Fuzzy subrings and ideals redefined, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 81(1996), 383-393.
- [3] P. Dheena and G. Mohanraj. (λ, μ)-fuzzy ideals in Semirings, Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, 6(2)(2011), 183-192.
- [4] P. Dheena and G. Mohanraj. On (λ, μ)-fuzzy Prime ideals of semirings, J. Fuzzy Math., 20(4)(2012), 889-898.
- [5] Y. B. Jun, M. A. Öztürk and S.Z. Song. On fuzzy h-ideals in hemirings, *Information Science*, 162(3-4)(2004), 211-226.
- [6] D. R. La Torre. On h-ideals and k-ideals in hemirings, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 12(1965), 219-226.
- [7] X. Ma and J. Zhan. Generalized fuzzy h-bi-ideals and h-quasi-ideals of hemirings, *Informa*tion Sciences, 179(9)(2009), 1249-1268.
- [8] G. Mohanraj and E. Prabu. Weakly fuzzy prime ideals of hemiring, International Conference on Mathematical Sciences (ICMS2014), Sathyabama University, Chennai, Conference, Tamil Nadu, July 17-19 2014, (Vol. 1, pp. 480-483), Elsevier, ISBN-978-93-5107-261-4
- G. Mohanraj and E. Prabu. Generalized Fuzzy Right h-Ideals of Hemirings, Inter. J. Fuzzy Math. Archive, 7(2)(2015), 147-155.
- [10] G. Mohanraj and E. Prabu. Redefined *T*-fuzzy right *h*-ideals of hemirings, *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Print ISSN 0973-1768, Volume 12, Number 4, (2016), pp.35-38. Link: http://ucepktmaths.wixsite.com/amase-2016/gjpam
- [11] G. Mohanraj and E. Prabu. Redefined generalized *L-h*-bi-ideals of hemirings, (to appear in *Fuzzy Information and Engineering*).
- [12] G. Mohanraj and E. Prabu. Characterizations of generalized fuzzy h-bi-ideals of hemirings by fuzzy sums and products. (to appear in *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*).
- [13] G. Mohanraj, D. Krishnasamy and R. Hema. On generalized fuzzy weakly interior ideals of ordered semigroups, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Infor., 8(5)(2014), 803-814.
- [14] A. Rosenfeld. Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 35(3)(1971), 512-517.
- [15] Y. B. Jun, W. A. Dudek, M. Shabir and M. S. Kang. General types of (α, β) -fuzzy ideals of hemirings, *Honam Math. J.*, **32**(3)(2010), 413-439.
- [16] W.A. Dudek, M. Shabir and R. Anjum. Characterizations of hemirings by their h-ideals, Comput. Math. Appl., 59(9)(2010), 3167-3179.
- [17] X. Ma, Y. Yin and J. Zhan. Characterizations of h-intra- and h-quasi-hemiregular hemirings, Comput. Math. Appl., 63(4)(2012), 783-793.
- [18] B. Yao. (λ, μ) -fuzzy normal subgroups and (λ, μ) -fuzzy quotients subgroup, J. Fuzzy Math., **13**(3)(2005), 695-705.
- [19] B. Yao. (λ, μ) -fuzzy subrings and (λ, μ) -fuzzy ideals, J. Fuzzy Math., **15**(4)(2007), 981-987.
- [20] L. A. Zadeh. Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8(3)(1965), 338-353.
- [21] J. Zhan and W. A. Dudek. Fuzzy h-ideals of hemirings, *Information Science*, 177(3)(2007), 876-886.

Received by editors 02.02.2017; Revised version 24.03.2017; Available online 24.04.2017.

Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608002, India E-mail address: gmohanraaj@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY, ANNAMALAINAGAR-608002, INDIA *E-mail address*: prabumathsrep@gmail.com