

CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB ISSN: 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1254389

Available online at: http://www.iajps.com Research Article

ASSESSMENT OF PROGNOSTIC BIOMARKERS FOR ORAL TONGUE SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA (SCC)

Dr. Mohammad Ahmad Shahbaz¹, Dr. Ramsha Jalal², Dr. Aleena Akram³

- 1. Dental Surgeon at DHQ Hospital, Khanewal, Pakistan
- 2. Dental Surgeon at DHQ Hospital, Lodhran, Pakistan
- 3. Demonstrator at Multan Medical & Dental College, Multan, Pakistan

Abstract:

Introduction: Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the most common malignancy of the head and neck (excluding non-melanoma skin cancer), with more than 300,000 new cases reported annually worldwide. Objectives of the study: The basic aim of the study is to find the some important biomarkers which are useful in the diagnosis and treatment of oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). Material and methods: The study was conducted according to the rules and regulations of ethical committee of hospital. The patients who was suffering from oral cancer was selected for this study during the time period of 2016 to 2017. 5.0 ml blood sample was taken from vein. Blood was further processed for the estimation of serum biomarkers i.e Sialic acid, NO, Vita-A, Vit-E and GSH. Results: The data represents that there is a statistical difference among control group and patients. The data shows all the expected prognostic markers which used for the assessment of OSC in humans. The value of GSH and SOD shows that these are the most important biomarkers for the analysis of OSC in humans. Conclusion: According to analysis of data it is concluded that GSH, MDA and SOD are the important diagnostic biomarkers in the assessment of OSSC.

Key words: OSSC, GSH, Antioxidants, Cancer

Corresponding author:

Dr. Mohammad Ahmad Shahbaz, Dental Surgeon at DHQ Hospital, Khanewal, Pakistan



Please cite this article in press Mohammad Ahmad Shahbaz et al., Assessment of Prognostic Biomarkers for Oral Tongue Squamous Cell Carcinoma (SCC), Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2018; 05(05).

INTRODUCTION:

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the most common malignancy of the head and neck (excluding non-melanoma skin cancer), with more than 300,000 new cases reported annually worldwide [1]. The look for prognostic markers speaks to a proceeding with challenge for biomedical science. A malignancy biomarker might be a particle emitted by a tumor cell or a particular reaction of the body to the nearness of growth. Biomarkers can be utilized for understanding appraisal in various clinical settings, including evaluating the danger of illness and recognizing kind from harmful tissues [2]. Malignancy biomarkers can be characterized in view of the sickness state, including prescient, analysis and visualization biomarkers. A prognostic biomarker illuminates about a probable malignancy result (e.g., general survival, infection free survival, and cause-particular survival) autonomous of treatment got [3]. From the distinguishing proof of a promising biomarker to its clinical use, there is a long pathway including entangled obstacles, numerous for example, evaluating the quantity of patients required for the approval stage and measurable approval, among others [3]. This approval and capability are in charge of connecting the promising biomarker with an organic procedure to clinical endpoints. Considering a few tumor biomarkers have been recommended to anticipate the visualization of OSCC patients, we played out an efficient audit, which is generally acknowledged as a "best quality level" pharmaceutical in light of confirmation, distinguish, assess and condense the proof for OSCC revealed markers [4].

Objectives of the study

The basic aim of the study is to find some important biomarkers which are useful in the diagnosis and treatment of oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma (SCC).

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

The study was conducted according to the rules and regulations of ethical committee of hospital. The patients who was suffering from oral cancer was selected for this study during the time period of 2016 to 2017. 5.0 ml blood sample was taken from vein. Blood was further processed for the estimation of serum biomarkers i.e Sialic acid, NO, Vita-A, Vit-E and GSH. Commercially available enzymatic kits of Randox were used. Blood was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes and serum was separated. Blood samples will be collected into EDTA tubes from fasting proteins. The blood will be centrifuged and indomethacin and butylated hydroxytoluene will be added into the plasma samples before they will be stored at -80°C until analysis.

Statistical analysis

Student's t-test was performed to evaluate the differences in roughness between group P and S. Two-way ANOVA was performed to study the contributions. A chi-square test was used to examine the difference in the distribution of the fracture modes (SPSS 19.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., USA).

RESULTS:

The data represents that there is a statistical difference among control group and patients. The data shows all the expected prognostic markers which used for the assessment of OSC in humans. The value of GSH and SOD shows that these are the most important biomarkers for the analysis of OSC in humans. A Blood sample shows the clear values of Vit-A, Vit-C, Vit-E, GSH, Catalase, SOD and MDA with the correlation of some micronutrients e.g zinc and iron.

Table 01: Statistical analysis of prognostic biomarkers of OSC

Variables					
	group	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Vit_A	control	10	1.97432E2	91.729355	29.007369
	patients	17	7.89106E1	69.624623	16.886451
Vit_C	control	10	2.41350	1.242764	.392996
	patients	17	1.06559	.386902	.093838
Vit_E	control	10	8.33150	.946245	.299229
	patients	17	2.47400	.798902	.193762
GSH	control	10	.93150	.283559	.089669
	patients	17	4.15765	.534536	.129644
GPx	control	10	.78900	.344970	.109089
	patients	17	.17750	.038108	.009242
Catalase	control	10	4.33600	.748750	.236776
	patients	17	3.69382	1.188499	.288253
SOD	control	10	.38020	.165513	.052340
	patients	17	.92471	.814660	.197584
MDA	control	10	2.57200	.814886	.257690
	patients	17	1.80729	1.379333	.334537
Zn	control	10	9.71250E1	11.703929	3.701107
	patients	16	6.16988E1	21.376463	5.344116
Fe	control	10	8.89530E1	3.331970	1.053661
	patients	16	9.10994E1	14.039887	3.509972

DISCUSSION:

Cancer is fundamentally an occasion start from gene level and finally it leads various variables assume essential part in carcinogenesis, for example, chemicals, infections, light and hereditary synthesis of a person [5]. While, ROS and RNS are two essential elements which prompts DNA harm. The degree of DNA harm depends on ROS/RNS levels as well as on the body's opposition systems close by an assortment of cell cancer prevention agents [6].

Lipid peroxidation relies on the level of Lipid Hydro peroxides (LHP) and MDA. In this examination, our test brings about oral tumor patients indicated expanded levels of MDA which may ascribed to expanded design or deficient leeway of free radicals by the phone cancer prevention agents [7,8]. Beforehand, it was hypnotized that expanded levels of lipid peroxidation was the consequence of extensive measure of free radicals deliver by the tumor cells and demonstrate a solid association with free radical movement and danger [8].

Moreover, non-protein thiol, for example, GSH in conjugation with glutathione-S-transferase (GST) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx), assumes a vital part in cautious component of cells against ROS. In our investigation a noteworthy diminishment of plasma GSH watched reflects improved ace oxidant level of the cells and communicates with the expanded lipid

peroxides in the patients with oral tumor [9]. The harming poisonous impacts of free radicals is forestalled by antioxidative catalysts, for example, SOD, CAT and GPx assume vital part inside the cell by specifically responding with oxygen free radicals [10]. GPx is selenium subordinate antioxidative chemicals which complete the corruption of both H₂O₂ and LHP by utilizing GSH because of which intracellular DNA harm is restrained in charge of carcinogenesis. Already, oxidative harm to the cell layer has been accounted for to inactivate GPx [11].

There are a large number of papers announcing disease biomarker disclosure, yet just few clinically helpful biomarkers have been effectively approved for routine clinical practice. Quality appraisal instruments have been created for prognostic examinations to help recognize think about inclinations and reasons for heterogeneity when performing meta-investigation [12]. We utilized the REMARK announcing rules, which give a helpful begin to evaluating tumor prognostic biomarkers (every single included examination was prognostic) [13]. We found that the examinations detailed a normal of 19 of 20 REMARK things. Be that as it may, all examinations neglected to report the example measure computation. Without this computation, the discoveries of each exploration ought to be deciphered with alert. The example measure necessities that permit the ID of an advantage past existing biomarkers are much all the more requesting to the DNA damage.

CONCLUSION

According to analysis of data it is concluded that GSH, MDA and SOD are the important diagnostic biomarkers in the assessment of OSSC.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest of financial and fiduciary activities from any author.

Contribution of authors

All the authors contributed equally in this research and for writing this manuscript.

REFERENCES:

- National Cancer Institute. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results program publicuse data, 1973–1998. Rockville, MD: National Cancer Institute, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, Surveillance Research Program, Cancer Statistics Branch; 2001.
- Schantz SP, Spitz MR, Hsu TC. Mutagen sensitivity in patients with head and neck cancers: a biologic marker for risk of multiple primary malignancies. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1990;82(22):1773–5.
- Regezi JA, Dekker NP, Ramos DM, Li X, Macabeo-Ong M, Jordan RC. Proliferation and invasion factors in HIV-associated dysplastic and nondysplastic oral warts and in oral squamous cell carcinoma: an immunohistochemical and RT-PCR evaluation. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2002;94(6):724–31.
- 4. Silverman S, Jr, Gorsky M, Lozada F. Oral leukoplakia and malignant transformation. A follow-up study of 257 patients. Cancer. 1984;53(3):563–8.
- Jullien JA, Downer MC, Speight PM, Zakrzewska JM. Evaluation of health care

- workers' accuracy in recognizing oral cancer and pre-cancer. Int Dent J. 1996;46(4):334–9.
- 6. Nagao T, Ikeda N, Fukano H, Miyazaki H, Yano M, Warnakulasuriya S. Outcome following a population screening programme for oral cancer and precancer in Japan. Oral Oncol. 2000;36(4):340–6.
- Sankaranarayanan R, Ramadas K, Thomas G, Muwonge R, Thara S, Mathew B, et al. Effect of screening on oral cancer mortality in Kerala, India: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2005;365(9475):1927–33.
- Yu BK, Kuo BI, Yen MS, Twu NF, Lai CR, Chen PJ, et al. Improved early detection of cervical intraepithelial lesions by combination of conventional Pap smear and speculoscopy. Eur J Gynaecol Oncol. 2003;24(6):495–9.
- 9. Wünsch-Filho V. The epidemiology of oral and pharynx cancer in Brazil. Oral Oncol. 2002;38:737–746.DOI:10.1016/S1368-8375(02)00066-0
- Lee SY, Cho NH, Choi EC, Baek SJ, Kim WS, Shin DH. Relevance of human papilloma virus (HPV) infection to carcinogenesis of oral tongue cancer. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2010;39(7):678–683. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijom.2010.03.014.
- Pintos J, Black MJ, Sadeghi N, Ghadirian P, Zeitouni AG, Viscidi RP. Human papillomavirus infection and oral cancer: a case-control study in Montreal, Canada. Oral Oncol. 2008;44(3):242– 250. DOI: 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2007.02.005
- 12. Hristozov D, Gadjeva V, Vlaykova T, Dimitrov G. Evaluation of oxidative stress in patients with cancer. Arch Physiol Biochem. 2001;109:331–336. DOI: 10.1076/apab.109.4.331.4248.
- 13. Geetha A, Karthiga S, Surendran G, Jayalakshmi G. Biochemical studies on the level of lipid hydroperoxide and antioxidants in different types of obstructive jaundice. J Lab Med. 2001;2:20–27.