

CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB ISSN: 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF

PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1036530

Available online at: http://www.iajps.com

Research Article

FREQUENCY AND SOURCE OF INFECTION IN PATIENTS WITH **SEPSIS**

Dr. Tariq Zaffar Shaikh 1*, Dr. Rafi Ahmed Ghori 1, Dr. Mona Humaira 1 Dr. Syed Nadeem Shah², Dr. Hamid Nawaz Ali Memon³, Dr. Umair Ahmed Ghori⁴, Dr. Sidra Ghori ⁴, Dr. Muhammad Ayyaz ⁵ and Dr. Zulfigar Ali Qutrio Baloch ⁵

¹Department of Medicine, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences (LUMHS) Jamshoro ²Internal Medicine / Geriatric Presbyterian Healthcare Services Albuquerque NM 87019, USA ³ Zulekha Hospital Dubai United Arab Emirates

> ⁴Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences (LUMHS) Jamshoro ⁵ Brandon Regional Hospital Brandon, Florida, U.S.A

Abstract:

Objective: To determine the frequency and source of infection in patients with sepsis.

Patients and Methods: This one year cross sectional study was carried at tertiary care teaching hospital. The criteria for selection is any patient of ≥ 12 years of age, either gender admitted in ward who came under the criteria for sepsis accordingly as systemic inflammatory response syndrome due to infection as existent etiology or at least with clinical evidence of infection while the necessary specific and relevant investigations were also advised and sort accordingly whereas the blood and urine sample for bacterial culture / sensitivity was collected and sent soon to confirm the diagnosis. The frequency and percentages was calculated while the numerical statistics were used to compute mean ±SD. Results: During one year study period total fifty patients diagnosed with sepsis with the mean age ±SD for whole population was 40.84±6.85 years, of fifty individuals 28 (56%) were males and 22 (44%) were females and majority belonged to rural population (60%). The organ system dysfunction identified were coagulopathy (50%), central nervous system (40%), hepatic (38%) and renal (42%). The common source of infection detected were Respiratory tract infection (16%), urinary tract infections (16%), intravenous catheters (16%) and urethral catheterization (18%) respectively. Conclusion: The study detected higher incidence of infection with gram negative pathogen was common microorganism while the common source of infections identified urethral catheterization (18%), intravenous catheters (16%), urinary tract infections (16%) and respiratory tract infections (16%).

Keywords: Sepsis, Blood culture, Source of infection & Systemic inflammatory response syndrome.

Corresponding author:

Dr. Tariq Zaffar Shaikh,

Department of Medicine,

Liaguat University of Medical and Health Sciences (LUMHS),

Jamshoro.

Email: zulfikar229@hotmail.com



Please cite this article in press as Tariq Zaffar Shaikh et al , Frequency and Source of Infection in Patients with Sepsis, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2017; 4(10).

INTRODUCTION:

Sepsis common cause of morbidity and mortality in settings and present as inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) due to infection (underlying etiology) or clinical evidence for infection [1-3]. The presence of ≥ 2 components out of 4 criteria as b temperature of body ≥38°C or $<36^{\circ}$ C, WBC count >12,000 cells/cumm or <4000cells/cumm, the rate of heart and respiration > 90 b/min & >20 breaths / minute respectively [4-6]. If organ dysfunction persists along with above mentioned criteria and arterial hypotension will be labeled as septic shock and severe sepsis [7]. Previous data suggests the rising incidence of sepsis worldwide and simultaneously the rate of mortality remained raised despite of advance management of sepsis [8]. The risk for prolonged illness and delayed recovery might be the aging of the population indwelling catheters, chronic disorders. antimicrobials misuse, mechanical devices and prolonged bed bound and hospital stay [9, 10]. This study was attempted to conduct in our population and by keeping this in mind the current study was planned to conduct at tertiary care teaching hospital to estimate the frequency and organ dysfunctions associated due to the sepsis in our population and health institute so that early effective and appropriate measure can be taken to combat the spread of infection at life threatening level.

PATIENTS AND METHODS:

This one year cross sectional study was carried at tertiary care teaching hospital. The criteria for selection is any patient of ≥ 12 years of age, either gender admitted in ward who came under the criteria for sepsis accordingly as systemic inflammatory response syndrome due to infection as existent etiology or at least with clinical evidence of infection while the necessary specific and relevant investigations were also advised and sort accordingly whereas the blood and urine sample for bacterial culture / sensitivity was collected and sent soon to confirm the diagnosis. The detail history was taken and complete data was obtained during the stay in the hospital and was saved on proforma after taking the consent from the parents / next to kin. The exclusion criteria of the study were the patients with no evidence of infection and non cooperative subjects / attendants who didn't want to participate in the study. The SPSS version 16 was used to manipulate the data as frequencies and percentages.

RESULTS: During one year study period total fifty patients diagnosed with sepsis with the mean age ±SD for whole population was 40.84±6.85 years, of fifty individuals 28 (56%) were males and 22 (44%) were females. The demographical, clinical and etiological profiles of the patients are shown in Table 1-2.

TABLE 01: THE DEMOGRAPHICAL AND CLINICAL PROFILE OF THE PATIENTS

| AGE (years) | FREQUENCY (N=50) | PERCENTAGE (%) |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 12-19 | 04 | 8 |
| 20-29 | 08 | 16 |
| 30-39 | 10 | 20 |
| 40-49 | 10 | 20 |
| 50-59 | 12 | 24 |
| 60+ | 06 | 12 |
| GENDER | | |
| Male | 28 | 56 |
| Female | 22 | 44 |
| RESIDENCE | | |
| Urban | 20 | 40 |
| Rural | 30 | 60 |
| Organ dysfunction | | |
| Coagulopathy | 25 | 50 |
| Central nervous system | 20 | 40 |
| Hepatic | 19 | 38 |
| Cardiovascular system | 13 | 26 |
| Respiratory system | 14 | 28 |
| Renal system | 21 | 42 |
| DURATION OF Illness | | |
| 1-3 days | 15 | 30 |
| 3-7 days | 17 | 34 |
| ≥ 7 days | 18 | 36 |

TABLE 02: THE FREQUENCY & PATTERN FOR SOURCE OF INFECTION

| Source of Infection | Frequency (N=50) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Respiratory tract infection | 08 | 16 |
| Skin infection | 04 | 08 |
| Urinary tract infections | 08 | 16 |
| Gastrointestinal & liver | 05 | 10 |
| Intravenous catheters | 08 | 16 |
| Urethral catheterization | 09 | 18 |
| CNS (meningitis) | 04 | 08 |
| Human immunodeficiency virus | 01 | 02 |
| Puerperal sepsis | 02 | 04 |
| Infective endocarditis | 01 | 02 |

DISCUSSION:

The pathological process for sepsis that results in multi organ dysfunction is a complicated process & both pro as well as anti-inflammatory compounds are identified to be involved. The two common elements responsible for multi organ dysfunction are prolonged vasodilatation leads to endothelial cellular injury & hypotension results in organ malfunction [11, 12]. During one year study period total 50 patients were enrolled as the cases of sepsis. In present study the incidence of the disease were found to be high in patients with 30 plus year age group with strikingly predominant involvement of the male gender (56%) with females comprising (44%) with a mean age of 40.84±6.85 years. The observations are in concordance with Guidet B, et al [13] who observed that the incidence of sepsis steeply increases above the age of 40 years and frequently involving male gender. While Silva, E et al [14] observed that the mean age for patients with sepsis was 65.21 years with 58.7% of them being male population. Silva E, et al [14] also detected that the frequency of chronic disorders coexisting are as follows: hypertension (35%), diabetes mellitus (25%), malignancy (20%), COPD (17%), chronic renal failure (8%), liver cirrhosis (5%) and CCF (6%).

Gestel AV, et al [15] observed that diabetes, congestive cardiac failure, history of cerebrovascular accidents and chronic renal disease were predominant disorders commonly identified in patients with sepsis. The present study population also revealed comorbidities as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabetes, chronic cerebrovascular accident (CVA), hypertension and malignancy in patients with sepsis. In present study we found 58% of patients having positive blood culture with predominant gram negative growth, pseudomonas and E. coli were the most common pathogens and staphylococcus aureus the common gram positive microorganism. Guidet B, et al [13] observed positive blood cultures in 37% of patients with sepsis with pseudomonas being the

most common gram negative pathogen and staphylococcus as the common gram positive microorganism which is consistent with our findings. The common source of infections identified in present series is urethral catheterization (18%), Intravenous catheters (16%), urinary tract infections (16%) and respiratory tract infections (16%) and it is consistent with the findings of the former studies [16-18].

CONCLUSION:

Our findings were also in accordance with previous studies, additionally detected higher incidence of infection among male population and blood cultures was positive in 58% patients with gram negative pathogen was common microorganism while the common source of infections identified in present series is urethral catheterization (18%), Intravenous catheters (16%), urinary tract infections (16%) and respiratory tract infections (16%).

REFERENCES:

- 1. Martin GS. Sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock: changes in incidence, pathogens and outcomes. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2012 Jun; 10(6): 701–706.
- 2. Remick DG. Pathophysiology of Sepsis. Am J Pathol. 2007 May; 170(5): 1435–1444.
- 3. Stearns-Kurosawa DJ, Osuchowski MF, Valentine C, Kurosawa S, Remick DG. The Pathogenesis of Sepsis. Annu Rev Pathol. 2011; 6: 19–48.
- 4. Polat G, Ugan RA, Cadirci E, Halici Z. Sepsis and Septic Shock: Current Treatment Strategies and New Approaches. Eurasian J Med. 2017 Feb; 49(1): 53–58.
- 5. O'Brien JM, Ali NA, Aberegg SK, Abraham E. Sepsis. Am J Med. 2007 Dec;120(12):1012-22
- 6. Odeh M. Sepsis, septicaemia, sepsis syndrome, and septic shock: the correct definition and use. Postgrad Med J. 1996 Feb; 72(844): 66.
- 7. Maloney PJ. Sepsis and septic shock. Emerg Med Clin North Am. 2013 Aug;31(3):583-600

- 8. Lever A. Sepsis: definition, epidemiology, and diagnosis. BMJ. 2007 Oct 27; 335(7625): 879–883.
- 9. Mayr FB, Yende S, Angus DC. Epidemiology of severe sepsis. Virulence. 2014 Jan 1; 5(1): 4–11.
- 10.Gul F, Arslantas MK, Cinel I, Kumar A. Changing Definitions of Sepsis. Turk J Anaesthesiol Reanim. 2017 Jun; 45(3): 129–138.
- 11. Angus DC, van der Poll T. Severe sepsis and septic shock. N Engl J Med. 2013 Aug 29;369(9):840-51
- 12.Martin-Loeches I, Levy MM, Artigas A. Management of severe sepsis: advances, challenges, and current status. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2015; 9: 2079–2088.
- 13. Guidet B, Aegerter P, Gauzit R, Meshaka P, Dreyfuss D; CUB-Rea Study Group.. Incidence and impact of organ dysfunction associated with sepsis. The CardioPulmonary and critical care journal 2005; 127(3): 942-51.

- 14. Silva E, Pedro Mde A, Sogayar AC, Mohovic T, Silva CL, Janiszewski M et al. Brazilian Sepsis Epidemiological Study (BASES study). Crit Care. 2004 Aug;8(4):R251-60
- 15.Gestel AV, Bakker V, Veraart C PM, Hout BAV. Prevalence and incidence of severe sepsis in Dutch ICUs. Critical care forum 2004; 8(5): 153-62.
- 16. Silva E, Passos RDH, Ferri MB, de Figueiredo LFP. Sepsis: From Bench to Bedside. Clinics. 2008;63(1):109-120
- 17. Walkey AJ, Lagu T, Lindenauer PK. Trends in sepsis and infection sources in the United States. A population-based study. Ann Am Thorac Soc.2015;12(2):216-20
- 18. Gahlot R, Nigam C, Kumar V, Yadav G, Anupurba S. Catheter-related bloodstream infections. Int J Crit Illn Inj Sci.2014;4(2):162-67.