



FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR SET VALUED CARISTI TYPE CONTRACTIONS ON GAUGE SPACES

MUHAMMAD USMAN ALI^{1,*}, TAYYAB KAMRAN^{1,2}, QUANITA KIRAN³

¹Department of Mathematics, School of Natural Sciences,

National University of Sciences and Technology H-12, Islamabad Pakistan

²Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad Pakistan

³School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences,

National University of Sciences and Technology H-12, Islamabad Pakistan

Abstract. In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying the Caristi type G -contraction conditions on gauge spaces.

Keywords: Gauge spaces; Graph; Fixed point; Contraction condition.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that there exists a lower semi-continuous function $\phi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying $d(x, Tx) \leq \phi(x) - \phi(Tx)$, then T is called Caristi mapping. Caristi proved in [1] that every Caristi mapping on a complete metric space has a

*Corresponding author

Received April 11, 2015.

fixed point. Then, Kirk [2] proved that the metric space (X, d) is complete if and only if every Caristi mapping for (X, d) has a fixed point. Jachymaski [3] introduced the notion of Banach G -contraction and proved some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying this notion on complete metric space with a graph. Afterwards, many authors extended Banach G -contraction in different ways, see for examples, [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. In literature we have several interesting results on gauge spaces, see for example [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. In this paper we prove some fixed point theorems for Caristi type multivalued mappings on a complete gauge space. For completeness we collect the following definitions.

Definition 1.1. [20] Let X be a nonempty set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called pseudo metric in X if for each $x, y, z \in X$

- : (i) $d(x, x) = 0$ for each $x \in X$;
- : (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;
- : (iii) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$.

Definition 1.2. [20] Let X be a nonempty set endowed with the pseudo metric d . The d -ball of radius $\varepsilon > 0$ centered at $x \in X$ is the set

$$B(x, d, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Definition 1.3. [20] A family $\mathfrak{F} = \{d_a | a \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ of pseudo metrics is said to be separating if for each pair (x, y) with $x \neq y$, there exists $d_a \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $d_a(x, y) \neq 0$.

Definition 1.4. [20] Let X be a nonempty set and $\mathfrak{F} = \{d_a | a \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ be a family of pseudo metrics on X . The topology $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{F})$ having subbases the family

$$\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{F}) = \{B(x, d_a, \varepsilon) : x \in X, d_a \in \mathfrak{F} \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0\}$$

of balls is called topology induced by the family \mathfrak{F} of pseudo metrics. The pair $(X, \mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{F}))$ is called a gauge space. Note that $(X, \mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{F}))$ is Hausdorff if we take \mathfrak{F} is separating.

Definition 1.5. [20] Let $(X, \mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{F}))$ be a gauge space with respect to the family $\mathfrak{F} = \{d_a | a \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ of pseudo metrics on X . Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then,

- : (i) the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_a(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ for each $n \geq N_0$. We denote it as $x_n \rightarrow^{\mathfrak{F}} x$;
- : (ii) the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_a(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for each $n, m \geq N_0$;
- : (iii) $(X, \mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{F}))$ is complete if each Cauchy sequence in $(X, \mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{F}))$ is convergent in X ;
- : (iv) a subset of X is said to be closed if it contains the limit of each convergent sequence of its elements.

A directed graph (V, E) consists of a set of vertices V , and a set of directed edges E . The elements of E are denoted by ordered pairs of vertices. A directed graph, can have loops and permits one or more edges joining the same vertices. More than one edges going in the same direction between same vertices called parallel edges, which are not allowed in our results. For basic terminologies of graph theory we refer [21].

Definition 1.6. [3] A mapping $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is said to be G -continuous if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.7. A mapping $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be G -lower semi continuous, if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $g(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n)$.

2. Main results

Through out this section we assume that $G = (V, E)$ is a directed graph such that $V = X$ and $\{(x, x) : x \in V\} \subset E$ and G has no parallel edges.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be endowed with a graph G and complete gauge structure $\{d_a : a \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ which is separating. Let $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ be an edge preserving mapping and let for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have $\phi_a : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a lower semi continuous function such that for each $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $(x, y) \in E$, we have*

$$(1) \quad d_a(y, Ty) \leq \phi_a(x) - \phi_a(y) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Assume that the following conditions hold:

- : (i) there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in E$;
- : (ii) there exists a sequence $\{q_a : q_a > 1\}_{a \in \mathfrak{A}}$ such that for each $x \in X$, we have $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$d_a(x, y) \leq q_a d_a(x, Tx) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

- : (iii) for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, a function $g_a : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ define by $g_a(x) = d_a(x, Tx)$ is G -lower semi continuous.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. By hypothesis (i), we have $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in E$. From (1), we have

$$(2) \quad d_a(x_1, Tx_1) \leq \phi_a(x_0) - \phi_a(x_1) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

By using (ii) and (2), we have $q_a > 1$ for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$(3) \quad d_a(x_1, x_2) \leq q_a d_a(x_1, Tx_1) \leq q_a \phi_a(x_0) - q_a \phi_a(x_1) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Since T is edge preserving, we have $(x_1, x_2) \in E$. Continuing in the same way we get a sequence $\{x_m\}$ in X such that $(x_m, x_{m+1}) \in E$ and

$$d_a(x_m, x_{m+1}) \leq q_a d_n(x_m, Tx_m) \leq q_a \phi_a(x_{m-1}) - q_a \phi_a(x_m) \text{ for each } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

This implies that for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ the sequence $\{\phi_a(x_m)\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence, there exists $r_a \geq 0$ such that $\phi_a(x_m) \rightarrow r_a$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Now consider $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(x_m, x_{m+p}) &\leq d_a(x_m, x_{m+1}) + d_a(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}) + d_a(x_{m+2}, x_{m+3}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + d_a(x_{m+p-1}, x_{m+p}) \\ &\leq q_a(\phi_a(x_{m-1}) - \phi_a(x_m)) + q_a(\phi_a(x_m) - \phi_a(x_{m+1})) + \\ &\quad q_a(\phi_a(x_{m+1}) - \phi_a(x_{m+2})) + \cdots + q_a(\phi_a(x_{m+p-2}) - \phi_a(x_{m+p-1})) \\ (4) \quad &= q_a(\phi_a(x_{m-1}) - \phi_a(x_{m+p-1})) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\{x_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , since $\phi_a \rightarrow r_a$ for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. By completeness of X , we have $x^* \in X$ such that $x_m \rightarrow x^*$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since each $g_a(x)$ is G -lower semi

continuous then we have $d_a(x^*, Tx^*) \leq \liminf_m d_a(x_m, Tx_m) = 0$ for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. This implies $x^* \in Tx^*$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be endowed with a graph G and complete gauge structure $\{d_a : a \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ which is separating. Let $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ be an edge preserving mapping and let for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have $\phi_a : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a lower semi continuous function such that for each $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $(x, y) \in E$, we have*

$$(5) \quad d_a(x, y) \leq \phi_a(x) - \phi_a(y) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Assume that the following conditions hold:

- : (i) there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in E$;*
- : (iii) T is G -continuous.*

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. By hypothesis (i), we have $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in E$. From (5), we have

$$(6) \quad d_a(x_0, x_1) \leq \phi_a(x_0) - \phi_a(x_1) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Since T is edge preserving, we have $(x_1, x_2) \in E$. Thus, continuing in same way we get a sequence $\{x_m\}$ in X such that $(x_m, x_{m+1}) \in E$ and

$$d_a(x_m, x_{m+1}) \leq \phi_a(x_m) - \phi_a(x_{m+1}) \text{ for each } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

This implies that for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ the sequence $\{\phi_a(x_m)\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence, there exists $r_a \geq 0$ such that $\phi_a(x_m) \rightarrow r_a$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Now consider $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(x_m, x_{m+p}) &\leq d_a(x_m, x_{m+1}) + d_a(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}) + d_a(x_{m+2}, x_{m+3}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + d_a(x_{m+p-1}, x_{m+p}) \\ &\leq \phi_a(x_m) - \phi_a(x_{m+1}) + \phi_a(x_{m+1}) - \phi_a(x_{m+2}) + \\ &\quad \phi_a(x_{m+2}) - \phi_a(x_{m+3}) + \cdots + \phi_a(x_{m+p-1}) - \phi_a(x_{m+p}) \\ &= \phi_a(x_m) - \phi_a(x_{m+p}) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\{x_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , since $\phi_a \rightarrow r_a$ for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. By completeness of X , we have $x^* \in X$ such that $x_m \rightarrow x^*$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. As T is G -continuous then we have $x^* \in Tx^*$. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be endowed with a graph G and complete gauge structure $\{d_a : a \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ which is separating. Let $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ be an edge preserving mapping and let for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have $\psi_a : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a upper semi continuous function such that for each $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E$, we have*

$$(7) \quad d_a(y, Ty) \leq \psi_a(x) - \psi_a(y) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Assume that the following conditions hold:

- : (i) there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in E$;
- : (ii) there exists a sequence $\{q_a : q_a > 1\}_{a \in \mathfrak{A}}$ such that for each $x \in X$, we have $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$d_a(x, y) \leq q_a d_a(x, Tx) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

- : (iii) If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_n, x) \in E$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. By hypothesis (i), we have $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in E$. From (7), we have

$$(8) \quad d_a(x_1, Tx_1) \leq \psi_a(x_0) - \psi_a(x_1) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

By using (ii) and (8), we have $q_a > 1$ for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$(9) \quad d_a(x_1, x_2) \leq q_a d_a(x_1, Tx_1) \leq q_a \psi_a(x_0) - q_a \psi_a(x_1) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Since T is edge preserving, we have $(x_1, x_2) \in E$. Continuing in the same way we get a sequence $\{x_m\}$ in X such that $(x_m, x_{m+1}) \in E$ and

$$d_a(x_m, x_{m+1}) \leq q_a d_a(x_m, Tx_m) \leq q_a \psi_a(x_{m-1}) - q_a \psi_a(x_m) \text{ for each } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

This implies that for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ the sequence $\{\psi_a(x_m)\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence, there exists $r_a \geq 0$ such that $\psi_a(x_m) \rightarrow r_a$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Now consider $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_a(x_m, x_{m+p}) &\leq d_a(x_m, x_{m+1}) + d_a(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}) + d_a(x_{m+2}, x_{m+3}) \\
 &\quad + \cdots + d_a(x_{m+p-1}, x_{m+p}) \\
 &\leq q_a(\psi_a(x_{m-1}) - \psi_a(x_m)) + q_a(\psi_a(x_m) - \psi_a(x_{m+1})) + \\
 &\quad q_a(\psi_a(x_{m+1}) - \psi_a(x_{m+2})) + \cdots + q_a(\psi_a(x_{m+p-2}) - \psi_a(x_{m+p-1})) \\
 (10) \quad &= q_a(\psi_a(x_{m-1}) - \psi_a(x_{m+p-1})) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\{x_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , since $\phi_a \rightarrow r_a$ for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. By completeness of X , we have $x^* \in X$ such that $x_m \rightarrow x^*$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. By hypothesis (iii), we have $(x_m, x^*) \in E$. From (7), we have

$$d_a(x^*, Tx^*) \leq \psi_a(x_m) - \psi_a(x^*) \text{ for each } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in above inequality, we have $d_a(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$ for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Thus, we have $x^* \in Tx^*$. \square

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Caristi, Fixed point theorems for mapping satisfying inwardness conditions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 215 (1976), 241-251.
- [2] W. A. Kirk, Caristi's fixed point theorem and metric convexity, *Collo. Math.* 36 (1976) 81-86
- [3] J. Jachymski, The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136 (2008), 1359-1373.
- [4] J. Tiammee, S. Suantai Coincidence point theorems for graph-preserving multi-valued mappings, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014 (2014), 70.
- [5] M. Samreen, T. Kamran, Fixed point theorems for integral G -contractions, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013 (2013), 149.

- [6] T. Kamran, M. Samreen, N. Shahzad, Probabilistic G-contractions, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013 (2013), 223.
- [7] M. Samreen, T. Kamran, N. Shahzad, Some Fixed Point Theorems in b -Metric Space Endowed with Graph, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 967132.
- [8] F. Bojor, Fixed point of ϕ -contraction in metric spaces endowed with a graph, *Anna. Uni. Crai. Math. Comp. Sci. Ser.* 37 (2010), 85-92.
- [9] F. Bojor, Fixed point theorems for Reich type contractions on metric spaces with a graph, *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012), 3895-3901.
- [10] A. Nicolae, D. O' Regan, A. Petrusel, Fixed point theorems for single-valued and multivalued generalized contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph, *Georgian Math. J.* 18 (2011), 307-327.
- [11] S. M. A. Aleomraninejad, S. Rezapour, N. Shahzad, Some fixed point results on a metric space with a graph, *Topology Appl.* 159 (2012), 659-663.
- [12] J. H. Asl, B. Mohammadi, S. Rezapour, S. M. Vaezpour, Some Fixed point results for generalized quasi-contractive multifunctions on graphs, *Filomat* 27 (2013), 313-317.
- [13] F. Bojor, Fixed points of Kannan mappings in metric spaces endowed with a graph, *An. St. Univ. Ovid. Consta.* 20 (2012), 31-40.
- [14] M. Frigon, Fixed point results for generalized contractions in gauge spaces and applications, *Proceed. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128 (2000), 2957-2965.
- [15] R. P. Agarwal, Y. J. Cho, D. O'Regan, Homotopy invariant results on complete gauge spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 67 (2003), 241-248.
- [16] C. Chifu, G. Petrusel, Fixed point results for generalized contractions on ordered gauge spaces with applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2011 (2011), 979586.
- [17] M. Cherichi, B. Samet, Fixed point theorems on ordered gauge spaces with applications to nonlinear integral equations, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012 (2012), 13.
- [18] T. Lazara, G. Petrusel, Fixed points for non-self operators in gauge spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 6 (2013), 29?4.
- [19] M. Jleli, E. Karapinar, B. Samet, Fixed point results for α - ψ_λ -contractions on gauge spaces and applications, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 730825.
- [20] M. Cherichi, B. Samet, C. Vetro, Fixed point theorems in complete gauge spaces and applications to second order nonlinear initial value problems, *J. Funct. Space Appl.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 293101.
- [21] G. Chartrand, L. Lesniak, P. Zhang, *Graphs and Digraphs*, Fifth Edition, CRC Press.