

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtd



Infectious disease research

doi: 10.1016/S2222-1808(16)61115-5

©2016 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease. All rights reserved.

Melissa officinalis efficacy against human influenza virus (New H1N1) in comparison with oseltamivir

Parvane Jalali¹, Afagh Moattari², Ali Mohammadi¹, Nima Ghazanfari³, Gholamhosein Pourghanbari^{4*}

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 23 Jun 2016
Received in revised form 17 Jul, 2nd revised form 8 Aug 2016
Accepted 12 Aug 2016
Available online 15 Aug 2016

Keywords: Melissa officinalis New H1N1 Antiviral Cell culture

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the antiviral activity of *Melissa officinalis* (MO) extract against the influenza virus H1N1 *in vitro*.

Methods: The cytotoxicity of MO extract was identified on Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cell culture by MTT assay. The virus was inoculated to the cells (multiplicity of infection = 0.1) in two protocols. In protocol 1, the MO extracts at concentrations of 0.005, 0.050, 0.010, 0.100 and 0.500 mg/mL were incubated with the virus for one hour preinoculation. In protocol 2, the mentioned concentrations of MO extracts were added to the cells one-hour post infection. Furthermore, the antiviral effect of oseltamivir with different concentrations was tested as the positive controls. The 50% tissue culture infective dose, neutralizing index and hemagglutination titer were determined.

Results: The medicine oseltamivir and MO extracts were not toxic for MDCK at concentrations less than 1 mg/mL. All utilized concentrations of MO extracts were vigorously efficient to decrease the viral yield in both experiments. The 50% tissue culture infective dose of the groups containing up to 0.100 mg/mL of MO extracts in the first experiment in compare with 0.050 mg/mL in the second experiment reduced to 0. Although hemagglutination tests showed little titers, the viral quantity significantly decreased in both experiments. By the way, the medicine oseltamivir could completely suppress viral replication in MDCK.

Conclusions: The present study suggests that MO extracts have a potent anti-influenza effect in cell culture.

1. Introduction

Influenza A viruses are considered to be one of the most important human pathogens and can cause severe viral respiratory infections. The influenza pandemics such as those occurred in 1918 resulted in high morbidity and mortality rates mainly due to the lack of sufficient protection against the new virus strains[1]. The pandemic new H1N1 virus spread rapidly throughout the world in 2009 and the virus was shown to be more transmissible than the seasonal H1N1[2].

Two groups of antiviral compounds have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration until now, but oseltamivir is regarded

as the drug of choice for influenza viruses[3,4].

Lemon balm is one of the most important member of the Lamiaceae family and it is native to Europe, central Asia and Iran.

The main ingredients of the *Melissa officinalis* (MO) are citral (neral and geranial), citronellal, linalool, geraniol and β -caryophyllene-oxide. Tannins such as triterpenylic acid, bitter principles, flavonoids including phenolic acids, terpenes, rosmarinic acid and caffeic acids were belonged to the Lamiaceae[5-8].

Several properties of lemon balm such as antioxidant, antihistamine, antispasmodic, anti-tumor/anticancer, antibacterial, antifungal, antidepressant and antiviral activities were reported[9,10].

It has been shown that the extract of MO is able to prevent protein synthesis in the herpes simplex virus type 1[11]. Some studies have shown that the antiviral activity of lemon balm was due to tannins and polyphenolic compounds[12].

There is no report on the efficacy of lemon balm on the human influenza viruses. In this study, the antiviral activity of MO hydroalcoholics extracts and their synergistic activity with oseltamivir on the replication of the influenza virus subtype H1N1

¹Division of Virology, Department of Pathobiology, School of Veterinary Medicine, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

²Department of Bacteriology and Virology, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

³Department of Pharmacology, School of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

⁴Department of Clinical Science, School of Veterinary Medicine, Ardakan University, Ardakan, Yazd, Iran

^{*}Corresponding author: Dr. Gholamhosein Pourghanbari, Department of Clinical Science, School of Veterinary Medicine, Ardakan University, Ardakan, Yazd, Iran.

Tel: 0098-35-32240926 Fax: 0098-35-32240926

E-mail: hpourghanbari@ardakan.ac.ir, hpourghanbari@gmail.com

The journal implements double-blind peer review practiced by specially invited international editorial board members.

were evaluated in Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cell line and the efficacy were analyzed by the 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID $_{50}$) and hemagglutination (HA) tests.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Reagents

Lemon balm extracts were prepared in the Pharmacy Department of Shiraz University of Medical Science. Antibiotic, trypsinethylene diamine tetraacetic acid, fetal bovine serum (FBS) and Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (DMEM) were supplied by Gibco BRL (Grand Island, NY, USA). Oseltamivir (F. Hofmann La Roche Ltd, Switzerland) was purchased from a pharmacy in Iran. Tissue culture plates and flasks were purchased from Falcon (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Stock solutions (10 mg/mL) of the materials were solved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and were subsequently diluted in appropriate culture media. The maximum DMSO concentration reached to 0.1%.

2.2. Cells and viruses

Human influenza A virus, 2009 pandemic new H1N1, which was taken from Influenza Virus Research Centre of Shiraz University of Medical Science, the Influenza virus (new H1N1) was replicated and passaged in the cells and virus titers were evaluated by using TCID $_{50}$. MDCK cells were grown in DMEM with penicillin (100 IU/mL), streptomycin (100 μ g/mL) and supplemented with 7% heatinactivated FBS.

2.3. Cell viability assay

The extract and oseltamivir efficacy against the MDCK was measured by MTT test. MDCK cells were propagated (1 \times 10 4 cells/well) in a 96-well plate for 26 h. The medium was taken place with DMEM including different concentrations of the extracts. After incubating the cells at 37 °C for 48 h, 100 μL of the Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (without phenol red) with 10 μL MTT (5 mg/mL in phosphate-buffered saline) was added to each well and the cells were incubated for 4 h. Then, the supernatant was removed and 50 μL of DMSO was inoculated to the each well and incubated for 30 min. A micro plate reader at the wave length of 540 nm was used for recording the absorbance[13].

2.4. Virus inoculation

MDCK cells were grown in the plates (including 96 wells) by using DMEM (1×10^4 /well), when the cells confluence was up to 90%. The residual FBS was removed by washing the cells with phosphate-buffered saline twice.

The inoculation of the cells with the virus at multiplicity of infection = 0.1 was carried out in two protocols. In protocol one (pre-infection), the virus was added with the different concentrations of MO extracts (0.500, 0.100, 0.050, 0.010 and 0.005 mg/mL) for 1 h then the inoculation was occurred. In protocol two (post-infection), the cells were incubated with the virus for 1 h, and then the medium containing those concentrations of MO extracts were added to the

wells and incubated for 72 h. The medium applied in both protocols contained trypsin at the concentration of 2 μ g/mL. The incubations were performed at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ and 80% humidity.

The oseltamivir was also used as the positive control. The medium containing the various concentrations (0.500, 0.100, 0.050, 0.010, 0.005 mg/mL) of oseltamivir were inoculated to the MDCK cells for 1 h after the virus inoculation (multiplicity of infection = 0.1) and incubated for 72 h.

The cell culture supernatants were collected and the viral HA titres and $TCID_{50}$ were calculated.

2.5. TCID₅₀ test and HA assay

A standard protocol by using a 2-fold dilution of each sample was used for TCID₅₀ test[14].

Cell culture supernatants containing virus was diluted 2-fold serially and 0.5% chicken red blood cell was inoculated at an equal amount. Then, the plate was incubated for 60 min at 4°C, the red buttons were composed in negative wells, whereas positive wells did not show any red buttons and the opaque appearance was observed. HA results are given as hemagglutination units/50 μ L (HAU/50 μ L).

2.6. Neutralizing index (NI)

The NI test was used to obtain the antiviral activity of the extracts or drugs. The NI of virus inactivation was calculated by subtracting the log10 titer of collected virus from the infected MDCK cells with extract/drug treated virus from the collected viral titer of the infected negative control cells[1]. Inactivation of the virus was evaluated to be effective when NI \geq 2.8 and the NI in positive control group was 4.0[15].

3. Results

3.1. Cell viability

The safe concentration of MO extract and oseltamivir in MDCK cells were calculated by using different concentrations of the components and adding them to the cells and the cytotoxicity was evaluated with MTT assay. The cytotoxicity of the MO extract and oseltamivir on the MDCK cells was reduced under to 50% as the concentration was decreased to 1 mg/mL.

3.2. MO extract efficacy in protocol 1

3.2.1. HA and TCID₅₀ test

The mean virus titer in the negative control reached to 53 HAU/50 μL , while it decreased to 20 HAU/50 μL at the least concentration 0.005 mg/mL and to 15 HAU/50 μL at 0.010 mg/mL. The mean virus titer was 5.5 HAU/50 μL at the rest concentrations.

The viral $TCID_{50}$ based on log10 reached to 4 in the negative control while it was 2 at the concentration of 0.005 mg/mL. It decreased to 0 at the concentrations of 0.100 and 0.500 mg/mL of MO.

3.2.2. NI

According to the findings, NI was shown to be equal or more than

2.8 in the groups containing 0.050, 0.100 and 0.500 mg/mL of MO.

3.3. MO extract efficacy in protocol 2

3.3.1. HA and TCID₅₀ test

The mean virus titer in the negative control reached to 53 HAU/50 μ L, whereas it decreased to 15 HAU/50 μ L at the concentration of 0.005 mg/mL. MO extract could considerably decrease the virus HA titer in all concentrations (Table 1).

Table 1The effect of the MO extract and oseltamivir on the human influenza virus subtype new H1N1 replication.

Protocol	Concentration	Evaluation tests		
	(mg/mL)	HA (HAU/50 μL)	TCID ₅₀	NI
			(Log10/mL)	
Pre-incubation	0.500	5.5	$(t_a) \ 0.0$	4.0
	0.100	5.5	$(t_a) \ 0.0$	4.0
	0.050	5.5	$(t_a) 1.2$	2.8
	0.010	15.0	$(t_a) 1.8$	2.3
	0.005	20.0	$(t_a) 2.0$	2.0
Post-inoculation	0.500	3.5	$(t_a) \ 0.0$	4.0
	0.100	7.5	$(t_a) \ 0.0$	4.0
	0.050	11.0	$(t_a) \ 0.0$	4.0
	0.010	12.0	$(t_a) 1.2$	2.8
	0.005	15.0	$(t_a) 1.5$	2.5
Oseltamivir	0.500	0.0	$(t_a) 0.0$	4.0
	0.100	0.0	$(t_a) 0.0$	4.0
	0.050	0.0	$(t_a) \ 0.0$	4.0
	0.010	0.0	$(t_a) \ 0.0$	4.0
	0.005	0.0	$(t_a) 0.0$	4.0
Negative control	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0
Positive control	0.000	53.0	$(t_{pc}) 4.0$	-

 t_a : Log 10 titer of collected virus from the infected MDCK cells with extract/drug treated virus; t_{pc} : Viral titer of the infected control cells (positive).

The $TCID_{50}$ and HA results were decided based on log10/mL and HAU/50 μL , respectively. NI was calculated by the equation:

$$NI = t_{pc} - t_a$$

where t_a was log10 titer of collected virus from the infected MDCK cells with extract/drug treated virus and $t_{\rm pc}$ was viral titer of the infected control cells (positive).

3.3.2. NI

The $t_{\rm pc}$ was 4 TCID₅₀/mL. NI of MO extract was equal or more than 2.8 at the concentrations equal or more than 0.010 mg/mL.

3.4. Oseltamivir efficacy on virus growth

3.4.1. HA and TCID₅₀ test

The mean virus titer in MDCK in the presence of all concentrations of oseltamivir reached to 0. Also the viral $TCID_{50}$ based on log10 was 0 in all concentrations of oseltamivir.

3.4.2. NI

NI of oseltamivir was equal to 4 in all concentrations. Oseltamivir had a definite inhibitory effect on the growth of influenza virus H1N1 in MDCK.

4. Discussion

New H1N1 strain of influenza virus may spread to the environment

from humans, swines and birds. Due to antigenic changes between subtypes of influenza A viruses, there is a probability of the emergence of any subtype with dangerous antigenic properties among human populations and also in the livestock and poultry industry[16].

Recently, Food and Drug Administration has emphasized that the drugs which affect M2 and NA influenza virus proteins have created drug resistant viruses. According to recent reports, the majority of viruses circulating during the years 2007–2008 and later are resistant to oseltamivir in America and Australia[17-20]. Due to the resistance of influenza viruses to synthetic antiviral drugs, it would be necessary to develop other compounds such as traditional and herbal medicines.

In this study, the effect of the hydroalcoholic extract of MO on the growth of influenza virus subtype H1N1 in the MDCK cell culture was evaluated and compared with oseltamivir.

Reviewing the efficacy of MO extract on the growth of flu virus (H1N1) showed that the hydroalcoholic extract of MO reduces the virus growth in both protocols as compared to the control group. According to the results, it seems that the extract through several mechanisms, including direct destructive effect on the virus and effect on the internal mechanisms of the cell, can reduce the virus titer of influenza A subtype H1N1, suggesting the suppressing effect of MO extract on the growth of influenza virus H1N1. Pourghanbari *et al.*[21] recently have found that MO essential oil was able to suppress the propagation of the avian influenza virus (H9N2), especially throughout the direct interaction with the virus particles. Also, melissa extract exhibits virucidal activity and affects herpes simplex virus-1 attachment to host cells *in vitro*[22,23]

According to many studies, lemon balm extract has antioxidant, antihistamine, antispasmodic and anti-cancer effects. The extract also stimulates the immune system and rosmarinic acid compound inhibits several inflammatory pathways of the complement system, especially C5-convertas[24,25]. Also the aqueous extract of lemon balm has antiviral effects against HIV-1 and flu viruses. This herb also has antibacterial and antifungal effects[26,27].

In recent years, the effects of medicinal herbs on the virus have been studied. In a study, the antiviral activity of pomegranate extract, pomegranate juice and fulvic acid were evaluated on the growth of influenza viruses H1N1, H3N2, H5N1. All of these compounds had an immediate inhibitory action on the growth of influenza virus and the electron microscope test revealed that virus particles were neutralized by these compounds and covered with unknown substances and particles were damaged, but H5N1 virus was affected less than other viruses[28].

According to Song and Choi[29], silymarin compound, which is a flavonoid extracted from *Silybum mariamum*, has anti-influenza virus efficacy when compared with oseltamivir and the inhibitory effect of the compound is in the last stages of the virus synthesis.

The results of this study suggest MO extracts act as an antiviral substance like oseltamivir that was shown in table 1.

In a study, one of the most important phenolic compounds called punicalagin had synergistic effects with oseltamivir on the growth of influenza A virus subtypes H1N1 and H3N2[13]. Song *et al.*[30] also reported that polyphenolic compounds in green tea have synergistic effects with oseltamivir.

In conclusion, Although oseltamivir had a perfect influence

to inhibit influenza virus growth in MDCK, MO extract also had considerable effect especially in concentrations more than 0.050 mg/mL. The studies on *in vivo* impact of the extract in prevention or treatment of the disease would be necessary.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant from School of Veterinary Medicine at Shiraz University and Shiraz University of Medical Science.

References

- [1] Trombetta C, Piccirella S, Perini D, Kistner O, Montomoli E. Emerging influenza strains in the last two decades: a threat of a new pandemic? *Vaccines (Basel)* 2015; **3**: 172-85.
- [2] Christman MC, Kedwaii A, Xu J, Donis RO, Lu G. Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus revisited: an evolutionary retrospective. *Infect Genet Evol* 2011; 11(5): 803-11.
- [3] Dobson J, Whitley RJ, Pocock S, Monto AS. Oseltamivir treatment for influenza in adults: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Lancet* 2015; 385(9979): 1729-37.
- [4] Heneghan CJ, Onakpoya I, Jones MA, Doshi P, Del Mar CB, Hama R, et al. Neuraminidase inhibitors for influenza: a systematic review and meta-analysis of regulatory and mortality data. *Health Technol Assess* 2016; 20(42): 1-242.
- [5] Shakeri A, Sahebkar A, Javadi B. Melissa officinalis L. A review of its traditional uses, phytochemistry and pharmacology. J Ethnopharmacol 2016; 188: 204-28.
- [6] Pereira RP, Boligon AA, Appel AS, Fachinetto R, Ceron CS, Tanus-Santos JE, et al. Chemical composition, antioxidant and anticholinesterase activity of *Melissa officinalis*. *Ind Crops Prod* 2014; 53: 34-45.
- [7] Jalal Z, Atki YE, Lyoussi B, Abdellaoui A. Phytochemistry of the essential oil of *Melissa officinalis* L. growing wild in Morocco: preventive approach against nosocomial infections. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed* 2015; 5(6): 458-61.
- [8] Allahverdiyev A, Duran N, Ozguven M, Koltas S. Antiviral activity of the volatile oils of *Melissa officinalis* L. against herpes simplex virus type-2. *Phytomedicine* 2004; 11: 657-61.
- [9] Moradkhani H, Sargsyan E, Bibak H, Naseri B, Sadat-Hosseini M, Fayazi-Barjin A, et al. *Melissa officinalis* L., a valuable medicine plant. A review. *J Med Plants Res* 2010; 4: 2753-9.
- [10] Lin SH, Chou ML, Chen WC, Lai SY, Lu KH, Hao CW, et al. A medicinal herb, *Melissa officinalis* L. ameliorates depressive-like behavior of rats in the forced swimming test via regulating the serotonergic neurotransmitter. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2015; 175: 266-72.
- [11] Ulbricht C, Brendler T, Gruenwald J, Kligler B, Keifer D, Abrams TR, et al. Lemon balm (*Melissa officinalis* L.): an evidence-based systematic review by the Natural Standard Research Collaboration. *J Herb Pharmacother* 2005; **5**: 71-114.
- [12] Ibragić S, Salihović M, Tahirović I, Toromanović J. Quantification of some phenolic acids in the leaves of Melissa officinalis L. from Turkey

- and Bosnia. Bull Chem Technol Bosnia Herzegovina 2014; 42: 47-50.
- [13] Haidari M, Ali M, Ward Casscells S 3rd, Madjid M. Pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) purified polyphenol extract inhibits influenza virus and has a synergistic effect with oseltamivir. *Phytomedicine* 2009; 16: 1127-36.
- [14] Reed LJ, Muench H. A simple method of estimating fifty per cent endpoints. *Am J Hygiene* 1938; **27**: 493-7.
- [15] Alphin RL, Johnson KJ, Ladman BS, Benson ER. Inactivation of avian influenza virus using four common chemicals and one detergent. *Poult Sci* 2009; 88: 1181-5.
- [16] Baguelin M, Jit M, Miller E, Edmunds WJ. Health and economic impact of the seasonal influenza vaccination programme in England. *Vaccine* 2012; 30: 3459-62.
- [17] Yi H, Lee JY, Hong EH, Kim MS, Kwon D, Choi JH, et al. Oseltamivirresistant pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus, South Korea. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2010; 16(12): 1938-42.
- [18] McKimm-Breschkin JL. Influenza neuraminidase inhibitors: antiviral action and mechanisms of resistance. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* 2013; 7: 25-36.
- [19] Okomo-Adhiambo M, Fry AM, Su S, Nguyen HT, Elal AA, Negron E, et al. Oseltamivir-resistant influenza A(H1N1) pdm09 viruses, United States, 2013–14. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2015; 21(1): 136-41.
- [20] Huan W, Li X, Cheng Y, Tan M, Guo J, Wei H, et al. Characteristics of oseltamivir-resistant influenza A (H1N1) pdm09 virus during the 2013–2014 influenza season in Mainland China. *Virol J* 2015; **12**: 96.
- [21] Pourghanbari G, Nili H, Moattari A, Mohammadi A, Iraji A. Antiviral activity of the oseltamivir and *Melissa officinalis* L. essential oil against avian influenza A virus (H9N2). *Virusdisease* 2016; 27(2): 170-8.
- [22] Astani A, Reichling J, Schnitzler P. Melissa officinalis extract inhibits attachment of herpes simplex virus in vitro. Chemotherapy 2012; 58(1): 70-7
- [23] Vahabpour-Roudsari R, Shamsi-Shahrabadi M, Monavari SHR, Sajjadi SE, Sajjadi N. Evaluation of potential antiviral activity of hydroalcoholic extract of lemon balm L. against herpes simplex virus type-I. *Iran J Virol* 2010; 4: 52-7.
- [24] Kamdem JP, Adeniran A, Boligon AA, Klimaczewski CV, Elekofehinti OO, Hassan W, et al. Antioxidant activity, genotoxicity and cytotoxicity evaluation of lemon balm (*Melissa officinalisL.*) ethanolic extract: Its potential role in neuroprotection. *Ind Crops Prod* 2013; 51: 26-34.
- [25] Ondrejovič M, Kraic F, Benkovičová H, Šilhár S. Optimisation of antioxidant extraction from lemon balm (*Melissa officinalis*). Czech J Food Sci 2012; 30: 385-93.
- [26] Araújo C, Sousa MJ, Ferreira MF, Leão C. Activity of essential oils from Mediterranean Lamiaceae species against food spoilage yeasts. *J Food Prot* 2003; 66(4): 625-32.
- [27] Hussain AI, Anwar F, Nigam PS, Sarker SD, Moore JE, Rao JR, et al. Antibacterial activity of some Lamiaceae essential oils using resazurin as an indicator of cell growth. LWT Food Sci Technol 2011; 44: 1199-206.
- [28] Sundararajan A, Ganapathy R, Huan L, Dunlap JR, Webby RJ, Kotwal GJ, et al. Influenza virus variation in susceptibility to inactivation by pomegranate polyphenols is determined by envelope glycoproteins. *Antiviral Res* 2010; 88: 1-9.
- [29] Song JH, Choi HJ. Silymarin efficacy against influenza A virus replication. *Phytomedicine* 2011; **18**(10): 832-5.
- [30] Song JM, Lee KH, Seong BL. Antiviral effect of catechins in green tea on influenza virus. Antiviral Res 2005; 68(2): 66-74.