

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtd



Document heading

doi: 10.1016/S2222-1808(14)60738-6

© 2014 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease. All rights reserved.

# Fungal contamination of produced wheat flour in West Azerbaijan, northwest of Iran

Jafar Asadzadeh<sup>1</sup>, Ramin Teymori<sup>1</sup>, Naser Ghazanfarirad<sup>1</sup>, Maryam Fakhernia<sup>1</sup>, Nasrin Haghighat—Afshar<sup>1</sup>, Maryam Blouki<sup>1</sup>, Aghakhan Kheiri<sup>1</sup>, Hassan Hassanzadazar<sup>1</sup>, Mahmoud Bahmani<sup>1,2\*</sup>

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 Feb 2014 Received in revised form 30 Apr 2014 Accepted 2 Jun 2014 Available online 29 Aug 2014

Keywords: Wheat Flour Mold Fungal contamination West Azerbaijan Iran

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To investigate fungal contamination of produced wheat flours in West Azarbaijan Province, located in the North West of Iran as wheat flour is one of the most important food and nutrient in the Iranians diet.

**Methods:** This descriptive study was performed during March 2011 to April 2013 in flour mills of West Azerbaijan province. A total of 17 samples of produced wheat flour in Azerbaijan Province of Iran were tested for mold contamination based on Iran National Standard Method No. 2393.

**Results:** Presence of molds in all collected 151 samples from flour factories of Azerbaijan Province were at the limit based on Iranian national standard.

**Conclusions:** The obtained results showed that the process of flour production was hygienic quietly. Bread is staple ingredient of Iranian diet, and strict control on its processing of wheat flour, maintenance and distribution results nonpolluting or reduction of fungal contamination.

#### 1. Introduction

In recent years, the use of proteins with plant sources is recommended more than previously in diet. Consumption of these proteins cheaper than animal source. Reduction in saturated fats intake can prevent chronic diseases, particularly cardiovascular disease, diabetes, renal failure, hyperlipidemia, cancer and osteoporosis<sup>[1]</sup>.

Wheat is a strategic and important crop in human life. As

Tel: 0989 186157084

Email: mahmood.bahmani@gmail.com

Foundation Project: Supported by Food and Drug Deputy of Urmia University of Medical Sciences (Grant No. 23454/004/4).

one of the most consuming agriculture crops, it can play an important role in endangering human health if contaminate with pollutants.

Wheat flour is commonly used for baking bread that almost is used in all meals of Iranian food culture. Prepared foods of cereals such as breads are inexpensive with high nutritional value in diet[2].

The use of bread made from whole wheat flour or high extracted rate flouris recommended due to higher levels of fiber, minerals and vitamins[3]. Advancement of world health culture, Food science and technology and food hygiene caused declining of microbial and chemical food infection or toxicosis with exception of fungal contamination of foods. The aim of this study was investigation of fungal contamination of wheat flours

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Deputy for Food and Drug, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Food and Beverages Safety Research Center, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran

 $<sup>\</sup>hbox{$^*$Corresponding author: Dr. Mahmoud Bahmani, Food and Beverages Safety Research Center, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran.}$ 

produced in West Azarbaijan province of Iran.

## 2. Methods and materials

## 2.1. Sampling

A descriptive study was done since March 2011 to April 2013 in flour mills in West Azerbaijan province of Iran. Randomly, 151 samples of produced wheat flour from 17 plants were collected and sent to laboratory. Microbial total count and molds were counted, according to Iran national standard No. 2393 for assessment flours microbial count[4].

## 2.2. Methods

At first 5 g of flour samples were mixed in 45 mL Ringer solution (0.1) and serial dilution prepared (10<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>-5</sup>).

## 2.3. Total count

A total of 1 mL of desired dilution ( $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-5}$ ) pour plated in sterile plate count agar culture mediums and incubated at 30 °C for 24–48 h.

## 2.4. Mold count

A total of 1 mL of the desired dilution  $(10^{-1} \text{ or } 10^{-2})$  distributed on the plate count agar medium and incubated at 25 °C for 5–3 d.

## 3. Results

All samples were clean with no microbial and fungal contamination. Obtained results were showed in Table 1.

**Table 1**Number, permissible levels mold (Standard No. 2393), test results and acceptable or unacceptable for samples.

	1	1		
Number	Permissible levelsmold	Test	Acceptable or unacceptable	
Number	(Standard No. 2393)	results		
1	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable	
2	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable	
3	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable	
4	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable	
5	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable	
6	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable	
7	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable	
8	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable	
9	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable	

0	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<102	acceptable
1	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
12	5×10 <sup>3</sup> 5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup> <10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
13 14	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10°	acceptable acceptable
15	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
16	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
17	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
18	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
19	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
20	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
21	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
22 23	5×10 <sup>3</sup> 5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup> <10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable acceptable
24	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
25	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
26	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
27	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	2×10 <sup>3</sup>	acceptable
28	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
29	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
30	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
31	5×10 <sup>3</sup> 5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
32 33	5×10 5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup> <10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable acceptable
34	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10°	acceptable
35	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
36	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	5×10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
37	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
38	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
39	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
10	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
¥1	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
12 13	5×10 <sup>3</sup> 5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup> <10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable acceptable
14	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
15	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	1×10 <sup>3</sup>	acceptable
16	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
17	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
18	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
19	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
50	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
51 52	5×10 <sup>3</sup> 5×10 <sup>3</sup>	3×10 <sup>3</sup> 2×10 <sup>3</sup>	acceptable acceptable
53	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup>	acceptable
54	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup>	acceptable
55	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	104>	acceptable
56	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	104>	acceptable
57	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
58	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
59	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
50 51	5×10 <sup>3</sup> 5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup> <10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable acceptable
52	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
53	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
54	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
55	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
56	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
57	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
58	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
59 70	5×10 <sup>3</sup> 5×10 <sup>3</sup>	$2 \times 10^{3}$ $2 \times 10^{2}$	acceptable acceptable
70 71	5×10 5×10 <sup>3</sup>	2×10 <10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable acceptable
72	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
73	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
74	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
75	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
76	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
77	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
78	5×10 <sup>3</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable
79 30	$5 \times 10^{3}$ $5 \times 10^{3}$	$1 \times 10^{2}$ $2 \times 10^{2}$	acceptable acceptable
31		2×10 1.5×10 <sup>2</sup>	acceptable

82 5×10 2×10 acceptable 83 5×103 <10 acceptable 84 5×10<sup>3</sup> 1×10<sup>3</sup> acceptable 85 5×103 1×102 acceptable acceptable 5×10 <10 86 5×10 <10 acceptable 88 5×10 1×10 acceptable 89 5×10 <10 acceptable 90 5×103 <10 acceptable 91 5×10<sup>3</sup> <102 acceptable 92 <10<sup>2</sup> acceptable 5×103 93 <10 acceptable 5×103 94 5×103 <10 acceptable 95  $5 \times 10^{3}$ <10 acceptable 5×10 <10 acceptable 5×10<sup>3</sup> 97 <10 acceptable 98 acceptable 5×10 <10  $5 \times 10^3$ 99  $<10^{2}$ acceptable acceptable <10 100 5×103 101 5×103 <10 acceptable 102  $5 \times 10^{3}$ <10 acceptable 103 5×10 <10 acceptable 104  $5 \times 10^{3}$ <10 acceptable acceptable <10 105 5×10 acceptable 106 5×103 <10 acceptable 107 5×10 1.4×103 108 5×10  $2 \times 10^{2}$ acceptable 109  $5 \times 10^{3}$ <10<sup>2</sup> acceptable  $1 \times 10^{2}$ acceptable 110 5×10 111 5×103 <10<sup>2</sup> acceptable acceptable 112  $5 \times 10^{3}$ 7.5×10<sup>2</sup> 113 5×103 2.13×10 acceptable 114 5×103  $1.1 \times 10^{2}$ acceptable 115 5×10 <10 acceptable 116 5×103 <10 acceptable 117 5×10 <10 acceptable <10<sup>2</sup> 118 5×103 acceptable 119  $5 \times 10^{3}$ <10 acceptable 120 5×103 3×102 acceptable acceptable 121  $5 \times 10^{3}$  $1 \times 10^{2}$ 122 5×10  $1 \times 10^{2}$ acceptable 123 5×103  $2 \times 10^{2}$ acceptable 124 5×10 1×10 acceptable 125 5×103  $6 \times 10^{2}$ acceptable acceptable 126 5×10 4×10 127 5×10 1×102 acceptable 128  $5 \times 10^{3}$  $1 \times 10^{3}$ acceptable 129 5×10<sup>3</sup> 1.1×10<sup>2</sup> acceptable 130  $5 \times 10^{3}$ <10<sup>2</sup> acceptable acceptable 131 5×103 <10 132 5×103 <10 acceptable 133 acceptable 5×103 <10 134  $5 \times 10^{3}$ <10 acceptable 135 5×103 <10 acceptable 136  $5 \times 10^{3}$ <10 acceptable acceptable 137  $5 \times 10^{3}$ <10 5×103 138 <10 acceptable acceptable 139 5×103 <10 140 5×103 <10 acceptable 141 5×103 <10 acceptable 142 5×103 <10 acceptable 143  $5 \times 10^{3}$ <10 acceptable 5×10<sup>3</sup> <102 144 acceptable 145 5×103 <10 acceptable 5×10<sup>3</sup> 146 <10 acceptable acceptable 147 5×103 <10 acceptable 148 5×103 <10 149 5×10 <10 acceptable 150 5×10 <10 acceptable acceptable 151 5×10 <10

In this study, 151 samples were negative for fungal and were in normal range. All samples taken were acceptable.

#### 4. Discussion

The results showed that 100 samples did not have any pollution and were consumable. It showed sanity of the process of flour production in West Azarbaijan Province. Consumption of bread products without any contamination in livestock and poultry reduced the risk of mycotoxins in foods<sup>[5]</sup>.

Unfavorable conditions such as storage in high temperature of flour in bakery, factory or warehouse location or whereabouts and microbial or fungal contamination of grains and flour during the manufacturing process can be effective on mycotoxin production<sup>[6]</sup>.

Fungal contamination of wheat with *Aspergillus* species was seen in Egypt[6].

Okhovvat *et al.* have done a study on imported wheat varieties to Iran and reported fungal contamination<sup>[7]</sup>.

A study was done by Li et al. in India to assess gastrointestinal illness that associated with consumption of bread made of damaged wheat and their obtained results showed contamination of breads by Aspergillus and Fuzarium genera<sup>[8]</sup>. The flour products maintenance, personnel hygiene, transporting hygiene, raw materials maintenance, packaging and equipment hygiene affects on reducing corruption and microbial contamination. In all items storing should be done at temperatures below 20 °C. it's recommended that flour with best quality and low heat—resistant spores, used for white bread<sup>[9–12]</sup>.

Investigation on flour samples in Tabriz bakeries showed contamination of 31.5% of 89 samples with fungi above the limit of 10<sup>4</sup> colonies per each gram of the flour<sup>[13]</sup>.

Quality control mean is development, design, manufacture and deliver high quality products and services, which use economic and consumer satisfaction is a permanent. Many people are interested to buying breads which is healthy<sup>[14–16]</sup>. Food intake possibility of transmission of pathogens (bacteria, viruses and parasites) provides the human body<sup>[17]</sup>.

To prevent microbial contamination of food, educate people, and supervision of the hygiene principles in preparing, transport, storage and supply of food is essential[18].

Previous studies were reported flour contamination but no contamination was seen in this study. The results of this study showed that production of flour in west Azerbaijan is quite hygienic. Consideration and following of health departments and authorities at all stages of production, storage and distribution of wheat flour is necessary to reduce and eliminate the fungal contamination.

## **Conflict of interest statement**

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Food And Drug Deputy of UrmiaUniversity of medical sciences for funding in this study (Grant No. 23454/004/4).

## References

- [1] Yang VR, Scrimshaw NS, Torun B, Viteri F. Soybean protein in hunan nutrition: an overview. *J Am Oil Chemists Soc* 1979; **56**(3): 110–120.
- [2] Mentes O, Bakkalbassi E, Ercan R. Effect of the use of ground flaxseed on quality and chemical composition of bread. Food Sci Technol Int 2008; 14(4): 299–306.
- [3] Parvaneh V. Quality control and the chemical analysis of foods testing. Tehran: Tehran University Press; 1992.
- [4] Institute of Standards and Industrial Research of Iran. Cereal product and pulses, flour and soya protein-microbiological specification and test methods. Karaj, Iran: Standard Square; 2014. [Online] Available from: http://www.isiri.org/portal/files/ std/2393.PDF/2393.htm [Accessed on 18 December, 2013]
- [5] Ghazi-Khansari M, Hadiyani MR. The effect of estrogenic mycotoxins in fertility disorder. J Reprod Infertil 2003; 4: 75– 82.
- [6] Raji HG, Saxena M, Allameh A, Mukerji KG. Metabolism of foreign compoundsbu fungi. In: Arora DK, Elander RP, Mukerji KG. Handbook of applied mycology. Volume 4: Fungal Biotechnology. New York; Marcel Dekker Inc; 1992, p. 881–905.
- [7] Okhovvat SM, Zakeri Z. Identification of fungal diseases assotiated with imported wheat in Iranian soils. *Commun*

- Agric Appl Biol Sci 2003; 68(4 pt B): 533-535.
- [8] Li MH, Ji C, Cheng SJ. Occurrence of nitroso compound in fungi-contaminated foods: a review. *Nutr Cancer* 1986; **8**(1):
- [9] Pussemier L, Pierrard JY, Anselme M, Tangni EK, Mottle JC, Larondlle Y. Development and application af analytical methods for the determination on mycotoxin in organic and conventional wheat. Food Addit Contam 2006; 23(11): 1208–1218.
- [10] Gelli DS, Jakabi M, Souza A. Botulism: a laboratory investigation on biological and food samples from cases and outbreaks in Brazil (1982–2001). Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo 2002; 44(6): 321–324.
- [11] Berghofer LK, Hocking AD, Miskelly D, Jansson E. Microbiology of wheat and flour milling in Australia. Int J Food Microbiol 2003; 85(1-2): 137-149.
- [12] Kabak B, Dobson AD, Var I. Strategies to prevent mycotoxin contamination in food and animal feed: a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 2006; 46(8): 593-619.
- [13] Kazemi AA, Razavie SV, Rezazade A, Pirzeh L, Hosseini M, Vahed-Jabari M, et al. Fungal contamination of flours in bakeries of Tabriz city. Med J Mashad Univ Med Sci 2008; 50(98): 411-418.
- [14] Fossati D, Kleeijer G, Brabant C. Practical breeding for bread quality. In: Lammerts van Bueren ET, Goldringer I, Ostergard H, editors. Proceedings of the COST SUSVAR/ ECO-PB Workshop on organic plant breeding strategies and the use of molecular markers; 2005 Jan 17-19; Driebergen, The Netherlands. Driebergen, The Netherlands: Louis Bolk Institute; 2005. p. 31-35.
- [15] Hildermann I, Thommen A, Dubois D, Boller T, Wiemken A, Mader P. Yield and baking quality of winter wheat cultivars in different faring systems of the DOK long-term trial. J Sci Food Agric 2009; 89(14): 2477-2491.
- [16] Uhlen AK, Sahlstrom S, Magnus EM, Faergestad EM, Dieseth JA, Ringlund K. Influence of genotype and protein content on the baking quality of hearth bread. J Sci Food Agric 2004; 84(8): 887-894.
- [17] Newell DG, Koopmans M, Verhoef L, Duizer E, Aidara-Kane A, Sprong H, et al. Food-borne diseases-the challenges of 20 years ago still persist while new ones continue to emerge. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2010; 139(Suppl 1): S3-S15.
- [18] Faramarzi T, Jonidijafari A, Dehghani S, Mirzabeygi M, Naseh M, Arasteh HR. A survey of bacterial contamination of food supply in the West of Tehran. J Fasa Univ Med Sci 2012; 2(1): 11–18.