

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtd



Letter to editor

doi: 10.1016/S2222-1808(15)61026-X

©2016 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease. All rights reserved.

Diagnosis of Zika virus infection: Some important forgotten issues

Beuy Joob^{1*}, Viroj Wiwanitkit^{2,3,4,5}

¹Sanitation 1 Medical Academic Center, Bangkok, Thailand

²Hainan Medical University, Haikou, China

³Faculty of Medicine, University of Niš, Niš, Serbia

⁴Joseph Ayo Babalola University, Ikeji-Arakeji, Nigeria

⁵Dr. D.Y. Patil Medial University, Navi Mumbai, India

Dear editor,

The recent global public health threat is emerging Zika virus infection. This infection is a mosquito-borne infection that can result in acute febrile illness with thrombocytopenia[1,2]. The diagnosis of this new infecion is a challenge for practitioners to fight against this emerging problem. Since the infection has the same clinical features with dengue and many arboviral infections, it can be easily missed. In addition, the problem in diagnostic test should be mentioned. False positive dengue test can be seen and this is the big problem leading to underdiagnosis of Zika virus infetion[1,3,4]. Focusing on the presently available confirmation test for Zika virus infection, PCR test is limited avialable in several resouce-limited settings. In addition, the problem in diagnostic property of PCR test for Zika virus should also be mentioned. Based on our experience in Thailand[5], the problem of false positive dengue test and false negative Zika virus PCR test can be seen. Finally, a more complex situation, the co-infection between Zika virus and other arboviral infections, espeically for dengue virus infection, is possible. The recent publication by Dupont-Rouzeyrol et al. is the best evidence[6]. It can be seen that the practitioner has to carefully interprete and be aware of problems in available diagnostic tests for Zika virus detection.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Joob B, Wiwanitkit V. Zika virus infection and dengue: a new problem in diagnosis in a dengue-endemic area. Ann Trop Med Public Health 2015; 8(4): 145-6.
- [2] Wiwanitkit S, Wiwanitkit V. Acute viral hemorrhage disease: a summary on new viruses. *J Acute Dis* 2015; **4**(4): 277-9.
- [3] Gyurech D, Schilling J, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Cassinotti P, Kaeppeli F, Dobec M. False positive dengue NS1 antigen test in a traveller with an acute Zika virus infection imported into Switzerland. Swiss Med Wkly 2016; 146: w14296.
- [4] Chen LH, Hamer DH. Zika virus: rapid spread in the Western Hemisphere. *Ann Intern Med* 2016; doi: 10.7326/M16-0150.
- [5] Buathong R, Hermann L, Thaisomboonsuk B, Rutvisuttinunt W, Klungthong C, Chinnawirotpisan P, et al. Detection of Zika virus infection in Thailand, 2012–2014. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2015: 93(2): 380-3.
- [6] Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, O'Connor O, Calvez E, Daurès M, John M, Grangeon JP, et al. Co-infection with Zika and dengue viruses in 2 patients, New Caledonia, 2014. Emerg Infect Dis 2015; 21(2): 381-2.

*Corresponding author: Beuy Joob, Sanitation 1 Medical Academic Center, Bangkok, Thailand.

Tel: +6624658292

E-mail: beuyjoob@hotmail.com

The journal implements double-blind peer review practiced by specially invited international editorial board members.

Article history: Received 1 Mar 2016 Received in revised form 11 Mar 2016 Accepted 11 Apr 2016 Available online 18 Apr 2016