Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease





doi: 10.1016/S2222-1808(12)60080-2 © 2012 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease. All rights reserved. Document heading

Leptospirosis among zebu cattle in farms in Kaduna State, Nigeria

Emmanuel Ochefije Ngbede^{1*}, Mashood Abiola Raji¹, Clara N. Kwanashie¹, Emmanue¹ Chukwudi Okolocha², Nanven Abraham Maurice³, Emmanuella Nguavese Akange⁴, Leslie Ewache Odeh²

¹Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, P.M.B 1069 Zaria,

Kaduna State, Nigeria ²Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, P.M.B 1069

Zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria ³Nigerian Veterinary Research Institute (NVRI) South–South Zonal Laboratory, Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria

⁴Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology, University of Agriculture Makurdi, P.M.B. 2373 Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 July 2012 Received in revised form 27 July 2012 Accepted 28 October 2012 Available online 28 October 2012

Keywords: Cattle ELISA Farms Leptospirosis Leptospira hardjo Nigeria Prevalence Zebu breeds

1. Introduction

Leptospirosis is an economically important zoonotic disease caused by a spirochaete bacterium of the genus Leptospira^[1]. The cattle mainetained Leptospira spp. serovar Hardjo consist of two serologically indistinguishable but genetically distinct species; Leptospira interrogans serovar Hardjo and Leptospira borgpetersenii serovar Hardjo. Cattle-maintained leptospires of the serovar Hardjo are the major cause of bovine leptospirosis^[2]. This infection is responsible for considerable financial loss to the cattle industry as a consequence of agalactia, abortion, stillbirth, birth of weak calves and reduced fertility^[3,4]. The diagnosis of leptospirosis is commonly based on the demonstration of antibodies by serological test. Though in spite of its disadvantages, microscopic agglutination test (MAT) is still the gold standard serological test for the diagnosis of leptospirosis^[5]. Other serological test such as enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) has been employed

Tel: +2348065484070

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the occurrence of *Leptospira* spp serovar Hardjo among Zebu cattle in some livestock producing areas of Kaduna State, Nigeria. Methods: Sera samples were obtained from 164 Zebu breed of cattle above one year osf age in seven cattle farms were screened for antibodies to Leptospira spp. serovar Hardjo using Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Results: Antibodies to Leptospira spp. serovar Hardjo were detected in eighteen (10.98%) out of the 164 animals sampled. There was no significant difference (P>0.05) in seropositivity between the different age groups or between different Zebu breeds. Conclusions: The presence of Leptospirosis among the Zebu breeds of cattle may poses a threat to livestock production and has public health implication due to its zoonotic potential.

> as a useful alternative. It is a reliable test and gives good results in diagnosis that has correlation with those of MAT In spite of reports of the occurrence of leptospirosis in cattle worldwide and economic importance due to reproductive problems and overall impaired productivity, few studies have been conducted to assess its occurrence in cattle population in Nigeria and non after the work of Diallo about three decade ago especially in Kaduna State[7]. The intention of this study was therefore, to investigate the occurrence of the disease among Zebu cattle.

2. Materials and methods

Blood samples were collected from thirty percent of the total number of zebu cattle in each of seven farms located in Sabon Gari, Giwa and Zaria Local government areas of Kaduna State, Nigeria based on the world organisation for Animal Health recommendation of at least 10% of animals in a herd^[5]. The sampling area is located between latitudes 11°7′-11°12′N and longitudes 07°41′E. The area is characterized by a tropical climate; a mean monthly temperature of 13.8-36.7 ℃ and annual rainfall of 1092.8 mm[8].

Blood samples were collected from a total of one 164

^{*}Corresponding author: Ochefije Ngbede, Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, P.M.B 1069 Zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria.

E-mail: drngbede@hotmail.com

indigenous breeds of cattle (Whie Fulani, Sokoto Gudali and Rahaji) on the seven farms via venipuncture of the jugular vein into anticocoagulant free labelled sample bottles. Only animals above one year of age were sampled. The animals were aged using their dentition. Sera was separated by centrifugation of the clotted blood at 4000 r/min for 5 min and stored at -20 °C until use.

ELISA kit obtained from Linnodee Animal Care, Ballyclare, Ireland was used to screen the sera for antibodies to *Leptospira* spp. serovar Hardjo. The ELISA kit has a sensitivity of 94.10%, a sensitivity of 94.80% and a Kappa index of 0.9. The ELISA was performed as described by the Scolamacchia et al and recommended by the manufacturer[9]. Briefly, positive and negative controls were diluted at 1:50 dispensed into duplicate wells on each plate. Sera were also diluted 1:50 in the kit diluents and 100ml was dispensed to each well. The plates were incubated for 40 minutes in the incubator at 37 °C, and then washed four times with the buffer provided alongside the kit. 100 μ L of the conjugate (HRP) was added to each of the wells and the plates incubated at 37 °C for 40 min, after which the plates were washed four times with the appropriate buffer. 100 μ L of the substrate (TMB-E) was then added to each well and the plate incubated at room temperature for 10 min, after which 50 μ L of the stop solution was then added to each well and the plates read using an ELISA reader at 450. The test results were expressed as a ratio of samples value related to positive control value (S/P) using the formula: $\bar{S}/P=$

<u>Mean sample optical density</u>—<u>Mean negative control optical density</u> Mean positive control optical density—<u>Mean negative control</u> optical density

Cattle whose serum has an S/P greater than 0.12 were considered seropositive, while titre plates with negative control sera optical density of above 0.25 was considered invalid.

Data obtained were presented in form of tables and analysed using Fisher's exact test with the aid of the SPSS (version 17.0). *P*<0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

Of 164 Zebu cattle sampled in seven farms, 33 (20.12%) were males while 131 (79.88%) were females, 26 (15.86%) were below 2 years of age, 23 (14.02%) were between 2–5 years of age and 115 (70.12%) were above 5 years of age. 131 (79.88%) were White Fulani, 29 (17.68%) were Sokoto Gudali and 4 (2.44%) were Rahaji breeds (Table 1).

Prevalence of cattle seropositive for antibodies to *Leptospira hardjo* varied between 11.11% in farm F to 30.30% in farm B. Out of 164 cattle, 18 (10.98%) were seropositive for *Leptospira hardjo* (Table 2).

None of the males sampled was seropositive for *Leptospira hardjo*, while 18 (13.74%) females were seropositive. There was a statistically significant difference in seropositivity of leptospirosis between the sexes. Based on age group; 1 (3.85%), 2 (8.70%) and 15 (13.04%) animals in the age groups < 2, 2–5 and > 5 years were respectively seropositive for *Leptospira hardjo*. There was no statistically significant difference in seropositivity of leptospirosis between different the age groups. Based on individual breeds prevalences; 13 (9.92%) White Fulani and 5 (17.24%) Sokoto Gudali were seropositive for *Leptospira hardjo* while none of the Rahaji

breed was seropositive for *Leptospira hardjo*. There was no statistically significant difference in seropositivity of leptospirosis between the different breeds (Table 3). **Table 1.**

Sex, age and breed distribution of zebu cattle in the different farms.

, 0								
Farms	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Total (%)
Sex								
Males	2	3	0	8	10	1	9	33 (20.12)
Females	20	30	16	12	27	8	18	131 (79.88)
Age								
<2	5	7	5	1	2	2	4	26 (15.86)
2-5	2	4	5	6	0	1	5	23 (14.02)
>5	15	22	6	13	35	6	18	115 (70.12)
Breeds								
Sokoto Gudali	9	16	0	0	0	2	2	29 (17.68)
Rahaji	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4 (2.44)
White Fulani	11	15	16	20	37	7	25	131 (79.88)

 Table 2.

Prevalence rate of leptospirosis in Zebu cattle in the different farms.

Farms	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Total
Total number of animals sampled	22	33	16	20	37	9	27	164
Number of animals seropositive	6	10	1	0	0	1	0	18
Prevalence (%)	22.27	30.30	6.25	-	-	11.11	-	10.98

Table 3.

Sex, Age and Breed prevalence of leptospirosis among the Zebu cattle.

Variables	Total no.	No. of	Prevalence	P value
	of animals	animals	(%)	
	sampled	seropositive		
Sex				0.0252
Males	33 (20.12)	0	-	
Females	131 (79.88)	18	13.74	
Age (year)				0.3719
< 2	26 (15.85)	1	3.85	
2-5	23 (14.02)	2	8.70	
>5	115 (70.12)	15	13.04	
Breeds				0.4052
Sokoto Gudali	29 (17.68)	5	17.24	
Rahaji	4 (2.44)	0	-	
White Fulani	131 (79.88)	13	9.92	

4. Discussion

Leptospira spp. serovar Hardjo antibodies were detected in the Zebu cattle with a prevalence of 10.98%. Cattle are not routinely vaccinated against leptospirosis in Nigeria and none of the farms sampled had reportedly vaccinated their cattle against leptospirosis^[8]. All animals sampled were above one year of age, thereby ruling out cross-reactions or interference by maternal antibodies. Therefore, the presence of *Leptospira*l antibodies in these animals is suggestive of natural exposure to the organism.

Bovine leptospirosis has been previously reported among cattle in other parts of Nigeria^[7,10–13]. Although, the Zebu cattle in the current study were tested for antibodies against *Leptospira* spp. serovar Hardjo as opposed to cultural isolation, some infected animals have been reported to remain so for life and continue to shed the organism^[14–17]. It is therefore, likely that the seropositive animals are still shedding the organism.

Though, cows had a higher (13.74%) prevalence of the disease compared to males where none was positive. The presence of statistically significant difference (P<0.05) in seropositivity of leptospirosis between the bulls and cows may have resulted from the higher number of females sampled compared to males as both sexes face the same risk of being infected by the organism.

Though, the age group >5 had more seropositive animals (12.50%) compared to the other age groups, this does not necessarily indicate that the older animals are at higher risk of infection by the organism but may be a reflection of the long duration/persistence of antibodies against the organism and more exposure time. This is supported by the absence of statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) in seropositivity of leptospirosis between the various age groups indicating that all ages groups face the same risk of being infected by the organism.

White Fulani, Sokoto Gudali, Rahaji, Adamawa Gudali, are the predominant breeds in Nigeria^[18]. The most predominant indigenous breeds in the study area are White Fulani and Sokoto Gudali. Most of the cattle in the farms are obtained from nearby cattle markets and herds^[19,20]. The presence of Rahaji breed of cattle among the sample population is a reflection of the diversity of the sources/location of cattle brought to these cattle markets. There was no statistically significant difference (P>0.05) in seropositivity across the three breeds indicating they all face the same risk of infection by *Leptospira* species. The low number of the Sokoto Gudali and Rahaji breeds compared to the White Fulani breed which are the most common breed in this area and the country at large may have contributed to the high number of seropositive animals among the White Fulani breed. Zebu breeds are commonly purchased from herdsmen or cattle marketers for stocking of new farms or restocking of old farms. They are then cross bred with exotic breeds to produce offspring with greater vigour and characteristics desired by the farmer. The presence therefore, of this disease among the Zebu cattle implies an impending economic loss to the farmers who intends to use these animals for crossbreeding as *Leptospira* spp. serovar Hardjo has been reported to cause agalactia, abortion, stillbirth, birth of weak calves and reduced fertility asides it zoonotic potential^[3, 4]. The findings of this study indicate that the leptospirosis is present among Zebu cattle despite the paucity of reports on clinical cases. Therefore, the close contact and cohabitation that exists between some of the farm workers and cattle may result in the spread of this zoonosis.

The findings of these study suggests the need for enlightenment of livestock health workers especially veterinarians on the need to include leptospirosis among the diseases to be screened for before addition of new animals into a herd.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Adler B, Lo M, Seemann T, Murray GL. Pathogenesis of

leptospirosis: The influence of genomics. *Vet Microbiol* 2011; **153**(1-2): 73-81.

- [2] Tabatabaeizadeh E, Tabar GH, Farzaneh N, Seifi HA. Prevalence of *Leptospira hardjo* antibody in bulk tank milk in some dairy herds in Mashhad suburb. *Afri J Microbiol Res* 2011; 5(14): 1768–1772.
- [3] Van De Weyer L, Hendrick, S, Rosengren L, Waldner CL. Leptospirosis in beef herds from western Canada: Serum antibody titers and vaccination practices. *Can Vet J* 2011; **52**(6): 619626.
- [4] Doosti A, Hoveizeh HN. Diagnosis of *Leptospiral* abortion in bovine by polymerase chain reaction. *Global Veterinaria* 2011; 7(1): 79–82.
- [5] Office International des Epizooties. World Organisation for animal health. Manual of standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines. 2008.
- [6] Shekatkar SB, Harish BN, Menezes GA, Parija SC. Clinical and serological evaluation of leptospirosis in Puducherry, India. J Infect Dev Ctries 2010; 4(3): 139–143.
- [7] Diallo AA. Public health significance of leptospirosis in northern Nigeria (PhD thesis). Nigeria: Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria; 1978, p. 234
- [8] Agbogu VN, Umoh VJ, Okuofu CA, Smith SI, Ameh JB. Study of the bacteriological and physicochemical indicators of pollution of surface waters in Zaria, Nigeria. *Afr J Biotechnol* 2006; 5(9): 732–737.
- [9] Scolamacchia F, Handel IG, Fèvre EM, Morgan KL, Tanya VN, Bronsvort BMD. Serological patterns of brucellosis, ;eptospirosis and Q fever in Bos indicus Cattle in Cameroon. *PLoS ONE* 2010; 5(1): e8623.
- [10] Agunloye CA, Ogundipe GAT, Ajala OO. Serological bacteriological examination of slaughtered cattle for leptospirosis in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Bull Anim Hlth Prod* 1997; **48**: 45–48.
- [11] Ezeh AO, Addo PB, Adesiyun AA Lawande RV. Leptospiral antibody reponses in four cattle herds in Plateau State of Nigeria. Bull Anim Hlth Prod 1987; 53(3): 263–265.
- [12] Ezeh AO, Addo PB, Adesiyun AA, Bello CSS, Makinde AA. Serological prevalence of bovine leptospirosis in Plateau State, Nigeria. *Elev Med Vet Pays Trop* 1989; 42: 505–508.
- [13] Agunloye CA, Adeniyi AI, Aremu ON, Oladeji JO, Ojo MO, Ogundipe GAT. An evaluation of an IgG ELISA for the diagnosis of bovine leptospirosis. *Bull Anim Hlth Prod* 2000; **48**: 45–48.
- [14] Zuerner RL, Alt DP, Palmer MV, Thacker TC, OlsenSC. A Leptospira borgpetersenii serovar Hardjo vaccine induces a Th1 response, activates NK cells, and reduces renal colonization. Clin Vaccine Immunol 2011; 18(4): 684–691.
- [15] Shafighi1 T, Abdollahpour G, Salehi ZT, Tadjbakhsh H. Serological and bacteriological study of leptospirosis in slaughtered cattle in north of Iran (Rasht). *Afr J Microbiol Res* 2010; 4(20): 2118–2121.
- [16] Victoriano AFB, Smythe LD, Gloriani-Barzaga N, Cavinta LL, Kasai T, Khanchit LK, et al. Leptospirosis in the Asia Pacific region. *BMC Infect Dis* 2009; 9: 147.
- [17] Bharti AR, Nally DE, Ricaldi JN, Mattias MA, Diaz MM, Lovett MA, et al. Leptospirosis: a zoonotic disease of global importance. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2003; **3**(12): 757–71.
- [18] Taiwo BAA, Olaniran ODD, Aluko FA. Breed and environmental factors Affecting body measurements of beef cattle in Yewa, Nigeria. Agric J 2010; 5(3): 211–214.
- [19] Adama JY, Shiawoya EL, Michael N. Incidence of foetal wastages of cows slaughtered in Minna abattoir. J Appl Biosci 2011; 42: 2876–2881.
- [20] Khalili M, Sakhaee E, Aflatoonian MZ, Shahabi-Nejad N. Herdprevalence of *Coxiella burnetii* (Q fever) antibodies in dairy cattle farms based on bulk tank milk analysis. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; 4(1): 58–60.