Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine

journal homepage:www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtm



Document heading

# In vitro antibacterial effect of aqueous and ethanolic Moringa leaf extracts

Jackson Rafael Oliveira Peixoto<sup>1</sup>, Giselle Cristina Silva<sup>1</sup>, Renata Albuquerque Costa<sup>2\*</sup>, Jose<sup>1</sup>res Lira de Sousa Fontenelle<sup>3</sup>, Gustavo Hitzschky Fernandes Vieira<sup>4</sup>, Antonio Adauto Fonteles Filho<sup>1</sup>, Regine Helena Silva dos Fernandes Vieira

<sup>1</sup> Sea Sciences Institute, Federal University of Ceará, Brazil

doi:

<sup>2</sup> Fisheries Engineering Department, Federal University of Ceará, Brazil

Federal University of Ceará, Brazil

<sup>t</sup> Biology Department, State University of Acaraú Valley, Brazil

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 October 2010 Received in revised form 27 January 2011 Accepted 15 February 2011 Available online 20 March 2011

Keywords: Moringa oleifera Activity antibacterial Gram positive and negative bacteria

# ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the antibacterial effect of aqueous and ethanolic moringa leaf extracts (Moringa oleifera) on the growth of gram-positive and negative bacteria. Methods: Paper disks were soaked with 100, 200, 300 and 400  $\mu$  L of extract at 20 g/180 mL and 10 g/190 mL. All extracts were tested against Escherichia coli (ATCC25922), Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC25923), Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Enterococcus faecalis (ATCC29212), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC27853), Salmonella enteritidis (IH) and Aeromonas caviae. The susceptibility tests were performed using the modified disk diffusion method. Results: The strains E. coli, P. aeruginosa and S. enteritidis (IH) were resistant to all treatments. In general, disks with 400  $\,\mu$  L extract were the most efficient against S. aureus, V. parahaemolyticus, E. faecalis and A. caviae. Conclusions: The study indicates a promising potential for aqueous and ethanolic Moringa leaf extracts as alternative treatment of infections caused by the tested strains.

### **1. Introduction**

Much research has been done worldwide to identify and study antibacterial compounds found in medicinal plants[1-3]. According to Ríos and Recio[4], studies using essential oils or isolated compounds such as alkaloids, flavonoids, sesquiterpenes, lactones, diterpenes, triterpenes and naphtoquinones to test antibacterial effects are necessary to validate the use of a range of popular medicines.

The moringa tree (Moringa oleifera), a phanerogamous plant native to India, has been the object of extensive study due to its multiple uses as raw material in the production of oils, foods, condiments and drugs[5]. Studies on this plant have revealed promising anti-inflammatory<sup>[6]</sup>, antifungal<sup>[7]</sup>, pro-coagulant<sup>[8]</sup>, flocculating<sup>[9]</sup> and antibacterial<sup>[10]</sup> properties. The latter has been attributed to different parts of the plant, such as the leaves, roots, seeds, flowers, fruit

peel and unripe pods<sup>[11]</sup>.

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the antibacterial effect of aqueous and ethanolic moringa leaf extracts on the growth of Escherichia coli (E. coli), Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus), Vibrio parahaemolyticus (V. parahaemolyticus), Enterococcus faecalis (E. faecalis), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa), Salmonella enteritidis (S. enteritidis) and Aeromonas caviae (A. caviae)

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Preparation of extracts

The experiments used leaves of Moringa oleifera (*M. oleifera*) Lam. supplied by the Nutrition and Food Production Center (NUNPRA) of the Vale do Acaraú State University (UVA). A specimen was deposited in the herbarium of the same institution under entry number of 5823. To prepare the aqueous extracts, 10 g and 20 g of Moringa leaves were homogenized in a magnetic stirrer with 190 mL and 180 mL sterile distilled water, respectively. The ethanolic extracts were prepared by homogenizing 10 g

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Renata Albuquerque Costa, Avenida Abolição 3207. 60165-081. Fortaleza-Ceará, Brazil.

E-mail: renata.albuq@gmail.com

e 20 g of *Moringa* leaves in 190 mL and 180 mL solution containing 50% sterile distilled water and 50% ethanol p.a., respectively. Paper disks were soaked with 100, 200, 300 and 400  $\mu$  L filtered homogenate and used immediately for susceptibility testing.

# 2.2. Bacteria

The antibacterial effect of the extracts was tested on the strains *E. coli* (ATCC25922), *S. aureus* (ATCC25923), *V. parahaemolyticus, E. faecalis* (ATCC29212), *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC27853), *S. enteritidis* (IH) and *A. caviae* supplied by the microbe bank of the Laboratory of Seafood and Environmental Microbiology (LABOMAR/UFC).

#### 2.3. Antibacterial activity

Ten susceptibility tests were performed using the modified disk diffusion method<sup>[12]</sup>. Following adjustment to the 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard ( $10^{8}$  CFU/mL)<sup>[13]</sup>, the selected strains were seeded in Mueller Hinton agar (Difco). Aliquots were spread on disks soaked with different volumes and concentrations of extract and incubated at 35 °C for 24 hours. Following incubation, the inhibition halos were measured with a caliper. Strains were considered to be susceptible when the diameter of the halo measured  $\geq 13$  mm<sup>[14]</sup>.

results of the antibiogram for aqueous and ethanolic extracts at the concentration of 20 g/180 mL. The most promising results were those for disks with 400  $\mu$  L extract, producing halos measuring on the average 23.3 mm (*S. aureus*), 19.4 mm (*E. faecalis*), 23.8 mm (*A. caviae*) and 21.9 mm (*V. parahaemolyticus*). The corresponding values for aqueous extracts were 25.4 mm, 17.8 mm, 22.3 mm and 20.7 mm.

At the concentration of 10 g/190 mL (Table 2), ethanolic extracts produced halos measuring 9 – 23mm. Disks with 400  $\mu$  L extract displayed the largest halos: 22.3 mm (*S. aureus*), 17.0 mm (*E. faecalis*), 21.2 mm (*A. caviae*) and 17.8 mm (*V. parahaemolyticus*).

Aqueous extracts produced halos measuring 9–26 mm. Disks with 400  $\mu$  L extract displayed the largest average halos for *S. aureus* (22.0 mm), *A. caviae* (21.4 mm) and *V. parahaemolyticus* (20.7 mm). However, the largest average halos for *E. faecalis* (16.3 mm) were observed for disks with 300  $\mu$  L.

The variance analysis (Table 3) revealed a statistically significant relation between the variables (cells, extract, concentration and volume) and the size of the inhibition halo at the level of 1% and 5% probability for the species *V. parahaemolyticus*, *A. caviae* and *E. faecalis*. The relation between the variable 'extract' and halo size was not significant for *S. aureus* (P>0.05).

#### 3. Results

The strains *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. enteritidis* (IH) were resistant to all treatments (Table 1). Table 1 shows the

## 4. Discussion

The strains *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. enteritidis* (IH) were resistant to all treatments. The observed resistance of *E. coli* matches findings from a study on the antibacterial

#### Table 1

Susceptibility of E. coli, S. aureus, V. parahaemolyticus, E. faecalis, P. aeruginosa, S. enteritidis and A. caviae to aqueous and ethanolic Moringa leaf extracts (M. oleifera Lam.) at a concentration of 20 g/180 mL.

Species S. aureus		Halo (mm)										
Species	$\mu$ L/disc		Ethanolic		Aqueous							
		Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean					
S. aureus	100	15.0	20.0	17.9	12.0	25.0	16.5					
	200	19.0	21.0	19.9	15.0	30.0	19.8					
	300	20.0	27.0	22.3	16.0	35.0	22.9					
	400	22.0	27.0	23.3	19.0	38.0	25.4					
E. faecalis	100	14.0	16.0	14.4	12.0	15.0	Aqueous         Mean           25.0         16.5           30.0         19.8           35.0         22.9           38.0         25.4           15.0         30.0           17.0         14.9           20.0         16.4           20.0         16.4           20.0         16.4           20.0         15.9           17.0         16.4           20.0         15.9           21.0         18.3           22.0         20.0           24.0         20.0					
	200	15.0	18.0	16.7	13.0	Aqueous           in         Max         Mean           2.0         25.0         16.5           5.0         30.0         19.8           5.0         35.0         22.9           0.0         38.0         25.4           2.0         15.0         30.0           8.0         17.0         14.9           4.0         20.0         16.4           5.0         20.0         16.4           5.0         20.0         16.4           5.0         20.0         17.8           5.0         17.0         16.4           5.0         20.0         17.8           5.0         17.0         16.4           5.0         20.0         18.4           7.0         22.0         20.4           5.0         18.0         15.9           5.0         21.0         18.3           7.0         22.0         20.0           7.0         22.0         20.0           7.0         22.0         20.0	14.9					
	300	16.0	19.0	18.2	14.0	20.0	16.4					
	400	18.0	21.0	19.4	16.0	20.0	17.8					
A. caviae	100	16.0	24.0	20.2	16.0	2.0 $25.0$ $16.5$ $5.0$ $30.0$ $19.8$ $5.0$ $35.0$ $22.9$ $9.0$ $38.0$ $25.4$ $2.0$ $15.0$ $30.0$ $3.0$ $17.0$ $14.9$ $4.0$ $20.0$ $16.4$ $6.0$ $20.0$ $17.8$ $6.0$ $17.0$ $16.4$ $6.0$ $20.0$ $18.4$ $7.0$ $22.0$ $20.4$ $1.0$ $25.0$ $22.3$ $5.0$ $18.0$ $15.9$ $6.0$ $21.0$ $18.3$ $7.0$ $22.0$ $20.0$	16.4					
	200	18.0	25.0	21.9	16.0	20.0	18.4					
	300	20.0	26.0	23.4	17.0	22.0	20.4					
	400	20.0	26.0	23.8	21.0	25.0	22.3					
V. parahaemolyticus	100	11.0	19.0	15.5	15.0	18.0	15.9					
	200	12.0	22.0	18.7	16.0	21.0	18.3					
	300	20.0	22.0	20.8	17.0	22.0	20.0					
	400	20.0	23.0	21.9	17.0	24.0	20.7					

#### Table 2

Susceptibility of E. coli, S. aureus, V. parahaemolyticus, E. faecalis, P. aeruginosa, S. enteritidis and A. caviae to aqueous and ethanolic Moringa leaf extracts (M. oleifera Lam.) at a concentration of 10 g/190 mL.

				Halo	(mm)		
Species	$\mu$ L/disc		Ethanolic				
		Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean
S. aureus	100	15.0	20.0	17.3	11.0	20.0	15.2
	200	17.0	21.0	18.5	15.0	23.0	18.6
	300	19.0	23.0	21.3	16.0	25.0	20.2
	400	20.0	24.0	22.3	18.0	26.0	22.0
E. faecalis	100	9.0	12.0	10.8	8.0	17.0	12.1
	200	12.0	15.0	13.9	11.0	18.0	13.4
	300	15.0	17.0	16.1	13.0	21.0	16.3
	400	16.0	19.0	17.0	13.0	20.0	16.1
A. caviae	100	14.0	18.0	16.3	13.0	19.0	15.6
	200	17.0	21.0	19.5	18.0	21.0	18.8
	300	18.0	22.0	20.2	10.0	24.0	19.1
	400	19.0	23.0	21.2	17.0	25.0	21.4
V. parahaemolyticus	100	13.0	15.0	14.3	15.0	17.0	15.7
	200	15.0	23.0	16.7	17.0	19.0	17.6
	300	16.0	24.0	17.5	17.0	20.0	19.0
	400	17.0	20.0	17.8	18.0	22.0	20.7

#### Table 3

Variance analysis of susceptibility of E. coli. S. aureus. V. arahaemolyticus. E. faecalis. P. aeruginosa. S. enteritidis and A. caviae to aqueous and ethanolic Moringa leaf extracts.

SV	S. aureus				V. parahaemolyticus				A. caviae				E. faecalis							
	SS	DF	MS	F	P	SS	DF	MS	F	Р	SS	DF	MS	F	P	SS	DF	MS	F	Р
Cells	1152.98	15	76.86	5.291	< 0.01	743.89	15	49.59	23.104	< 0.01	1001.18	15	66.74	18.853	< 0.01	819.49	15	54.64	22.407	< 0.01
Extract	3.03	1	3.03	0.208	> 0.05	13.81	1	13.81	6.432	< 0.05	184.90	1	184.90	52.228	< 0.01	26.41	1	26.41	10.830	< 0.01
Concentration	99.22	1	99.22	6.831	< 0.05	97.66	1	97.66	45.495	< 0.01	202.50	1	202.50	57.199	< 0.01	142.51	1	142.51	58.447	< 0.01
Volume	982.13	3	327.38	22.537	< 0.01	553.37	3	184.46	85.932	< 0.01	445.48	3	148.49	41.944	< 0.01	599.57	3	199.86	81.969	< 0.01
Interaction	68.60	10	6.86	0.472	> 0.05	79.06	10	7.91	3.683	< 0.05	168.30	10	16.83	4.753	< 0.05	51.00	10	5.10	2.092	< 0.05
Error	2091.80	144	14.53			309.10	144	2.15			509.80	144	3.54			351.10	144	2.44		

\* SV: Sources of variation. SS: Sum of squares. DF: Degree of freedom. MS: Mean square.

properties of Indian plants showing *Moringa* extracts to be ineffective against *E. coli*<sup>[15]</sup>. Rajendrhan *et al.*<sup>[16]</sup> also reported *E. coli* to be resistant to *Moringa* extracts.

Doughari *et al.*<sup>[10]</sup> observed inhibition halos up to 8 mm when challenging Salmonella with aqueous and ethanolic *Moringa* leaf extracts. The authors attributed the antibacterial effect to the presence of saponine, tannic, phenolic and alkaloid phytoconstituents. However, due to the absence in our study of antibacterial activity against *Salmonella*, their findings cannot be compared to ours.

The antibacterial activity of the extract was greater against gram-positive species (S. aureus and E. faecalis) than against gram-negative strains (E. coli, Salmonella, P. aeruginosa, V. parahaemolyticus and A. caviae). Similar effects have been reported for other medicinal plant extracts in several studies<sup>[17–19]</sup>.

Cáceres et al.<sup>[20]</sup> found Moringa leaf extracts (M. oleifera) can inhibit the growth of S. aureus and P. aeruginosa. Likewise, in a study by Valsaraj et al.<sup>[21]</sup> evaluating the antibacterial effect of 78 plants used in India to treat infectious diseases, P. aeruginosa and S. aureus were inhibited by extracts of *Moringa* peel. In the present study, aqueous and ethanolic Moringa leaf extracts had an antibacterial effect on *S. aureus*, but not on *P. aeruginosa*.

*E. faecalis* was susceptible to all the extracts tested, contrary to findings published by Suarez *et al.*<sup>[22–26]</sup> who found Moringa extracts to be ineffective against *E. faecalis* isolated from clinical samples. On the other hand, their extracts produced bacteriostatic and bactericidal effects on *S. aureus*.

The susceptibility of *V. parahaemolyticus* to aqueous and ethanolic *Moringa* leaf extracts suggests the presence of vibriocidal compounds. In a study testing 60 medicinal plants (including *Moringa* pterygosperma) for activity against vibrios, Sharma *et al.*<sup>[27]</sup> only identified three species with antivibrio properties: *Syzygium cumini, Lawsonia inermis* and *Terminalia bellerica*. The authors attributed the antibacterial effect on *V. cholerae* and *V. parahaemolyticus* to the presence of gallic acid and tannin.

Obi *et al.*<sup>[28]</sup> evaluated the susceptibility profiles of aeromonad bacteria to medicinal plant extracts and found the species *A. hydrophila*, *A. sobria* and *A. caviae* to be sensitive to extracts of *Pterocarpus angolensis*, *Syzygium cordatum* and *Zornia milneana*. This indicates a potential alternative use for medicinal plants in the treatment of infections involving *Aeromonas–a* possibility supported by the susceptibility of *A. caviae* to Moringa extracts observed in the present study.

In conclusion, aqueous and ethanolic Moringa leaf extracts were shown to contain compounds with wide-spectrum antibacterial activity, capable of inhibiting the growth of gram-positive and negative bacteria.

## **Conflict of interest statement**

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Lugman S, Dwivedi GR, Darokar MP, Kalra A, Khanuja SP. Potential of rosemary oil to be used in drug-resistant infections. *Altern Ther Health Med* 2007; 13(5): 54–59.
- [2] Silva LL, Nascimento MS, Cavalheiro AJ, Silva DH, Castro-Gamboa I, Furlan M, et al. Antibacterial activity of labdane diterpenoids from Stemodia foliosa. J Nat Prod 2008;71 (7): 1291-1293.
- [3] Mbosso EJT, Ngouela S, Nguedia JCA, Beng VP, Rohmer M, Tsamo E. *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of extracts and compounds of some selected medicinal plants from Cameroon. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2010; **128**(2):476–481.
- [4] Rios JL, Recio MC. Medicinal plants and antimicrobial activity. J Ethnopharmacol 2005; 100: 80–84.
- [5] Makkar HPS, Becker K. Nutrients and antiquality factors in different morphological parts of the *Moringa oleifera* tree. *J Agric Sci* 1997; **128**(3): 311–322.
- [6] Ezeamuzie IC, Ambakederemo AW, Shode FO, Ekwebelem SC. Antiinflammatory effects of *Moringa oleifera* root extract. *Pharm Biol* 1996; 34(3):207–212.
- [7] Chuang PH, Lee CW, Chou JY, Murugan M, Shieh BJ. Chen HM. Anti-fungal activity of crude extracts and essential oil of *Moringa* oleifera Lam. Bioresour Technol 2007; 98(1): 232–236.
- [8] Nkurunziza T, Nduwayezu JB, Banadda EN, Nhapi I. The effect of turbidity levels and *Moringa oleifera* concentration on the effectiveness of coagulation in water treatment. *Water Sci technol* 2009; 59(8): 1551–1558.
- [9] Beltrán-Heredia J, Sánchez-Martín J. Improvement of water treatment pilot plant with *Moringa oleifera* extract as flocculant agent. *Environ Technol* 2009; **30**(6): 525–534.
- [10]Doughari JH, Pukuma MS, De N. Antibacterial effects of Balanites aegyptiaca L. Drel. and Moringa oleifera Lam. on Salmonella Typhi. Afr J Biotechnol 2007; 6(19): 2212–2215.
- [11]Anwar F, Latif S, Ashraf M, Gilani AH. Moringa oleifera: a food plant with multiple medicinal uses. Phytother Res 2007; 21(1):17– 25.
- [12]Bauer AW, Kirby WMM, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. Am J

Clin Pathol 1966; 45(4): 493-496.

- [13]Hindler AJ, Jorgensen JHB. Procedures in antimicrobial susceptibility testing. In: Mahon CR, Manuselis Jr. G. *Textbook of diagnostic microbiology*. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 1995, p. 63–64.
- [14]Vieira GHF, Mourão JA, Ângelo AM, Costa RA, Vieira RHSF. Antibacterial effect (*in vitro*) of Moringa oleifera and Annona muricata against gram positive and gram negative bacteria. Rev Inst Med Trop 2010; 52(3): 129–132.
- [15]Bhawasar GC, Guru LV, Chadda AK. Antibacterial activity of some indigenous medicinal plants. *Med Surv* 1965; 5(2):11–14.
- [16]Rajendrhan J, Mani MA, Navaneethakannan K. Antibacterial activity of some selected medicinal plants. *Geobios* 1998;25(4): 280–282.
- [17]Grosvenor PW, Supriono A Gray DO. Medicinal plants from Riau Province, Sumatra, Indonesia. Part 2: antibacterial and antifungal activity. *J Ethnopharmacol* 1995; **45**(2): 97–111.
- [18]Kudi AC, Umoh JU, Eduvie LO, Gefu J. Screening of some Nigerian medicinal plants for antibacterial activity. J Ethnopharmacol 1999; 67(2): 225-228.
- [19]Awadh Ali NA, Jülich WD, Kusnick C, Lindequist U. Screening of Yemeni medicinal plants for antibacterial and cytotoxic activities. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2001; 74(2): 173–179.
- [20]Cáceres A, Cabrera O, Morales O, Mollinedo P, Mendia P. Pharmacological properties of *Moringa oleifera*. 1: Preliminary screening for antimicrobial activity. *J Ethnopharmacol* 1991; 33(3): 213–216.
- [21]Valsaraj R, Pushpangadan P, Smitt UW, Adsersen A, Nyman U. Antimicrobial screening of selected medicinal plants from India. J Ethnopharmacol 1997; 58(2): 75–83.
- [22]Suarez M, Entenza JM, Doerries C, Meyer E, Bourquin L, Sutherland J, et al. Expression of a plant-derived peptide harboring water-cleaning and antimicrobial activities. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2003; 81(1):13-20.
- [23]Prabhll K, Murugan K, Nareshkumar A, Ramasubramanian N, Bragadeeswaran S. Larvicidal and repellent potential of *Moringa* oleifera against malarial vector, Anopheles stephensi Liston (Insecta: Diptera: Culicidae). Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2011; 1(2):124–129.
- [24]Paul RK, Irudayaraj V, Johnson M, Patric RD. Phytochemical and anti-bacterial activity of epidermal glands extract of *Christella* parasitica (L.) H. Lev. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2011; 1(1): 8–11.
- [25]Mandal MD, Mandal S. Honey: its medicinal property and antibacterial activity. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2011; 1(2): 154– 160.
- [26]Habbal O, Hasson SS, El-Hag AH, Al-Mahrooqi Z, Al-Hashmi N, Al-Bimani Z, et al. Antibacterial activity of *Lawsonia inermis* Linn(Henna) against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2011; 1(3): 173–176.
- [27]Sharma A, Patel VK, Ramteke P. Identification of vibriocidal compounds from medicinal plants using chromatographic fingerprinting. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2009; 25(1): 19–25.
- [28]Obi CL, Ramalivhana J, Samie A, Igumbor EO. Prevalence, pathogenesis, antibiotic susceptibility profiles, and *in-vitro* activity of selected medicinal plants against *Aeromonas* isolates from stool samples of patients in the Venda region of South Africa. *J Health Popul Nutr* 2007; 25(4): 428–435.