Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtb



Original article http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtb.2015.11.008

# *In vitro* inhibitory effects on $\alpha$ -glucosidase and $\alpha$ -amylase level and antioxidant potential of seeds of *Phoenix dactylifera* L.



Shah Alam Khan<sup>1\*</sup>, Amira Rashid Al Kiyumi<sup>1</sup>, Manal Saif Al Sheidi<sup>1</sup>, Tagreed Salim Al Khusaibi<sup>1</sup>, Noura Mohammed Al Shehhi<sup>1</sup>, Tanveer Alam<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Oman Medical College, Muscat, Sultanate of Oman

<sup>2</sup>Oman Medicinal Plants & Marine Natural Products, University of Nizwa, Barkat Al Mouz, Nizwa, Sultanate of Oman

## ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 1 Sep 2015 Received in revised form 21 Sep, 2nd revised form 22 Oct 2015 Accepted 10 Nov 2015 Available online 11 Jan 2016

*Keywords: Phoenix dactylifera* L. Antioxidant *In vitro* antidiabetic activity Date pits **Objective:** To evaluate and compare the antioxidant activity, total phenolic contents (TPCs) and *in vitro* antidiabetic activity of various pits extracts obtained from five Omani date cultivars.

**Methods:** Sun-dried mature fruits of five Omani date varieties, namely, Fardh, Naghal, Khalas, Khinazi and Khasab were purchased from the local market in Muscat, Oman in the month of September 2014. Four seed extracts *viz.* water, ethanol, methanol and acetone were prepared for each date variety and their antioxidant activities were investigated by 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl, hydrogen peroxide scavenging method and reducing power assay method, respectively. *In vitro* antidiabetic activity of the date pit extracts was evaluated by measuring their inhibitory effect on  $\alpha$ -glucosidase and  $\alpha$ -amylase level. TPCs were also quantified colorimetrically.

**Results:** The results indicated that TPC of date seeds was solvent dependent. Acetone, ethanol and methanol were found to be significantly better solvents than water in extracting phenolic compounds from the date seeds. Pit extracts exhibited moderate to good *in vitro* antioxidant activity and increased reducing power. Among all date pit extracts, water extract exhibited significant *in vitro* antidiabetic activity in comparison to standard drug, acarbose.

**Conclusions:** The present study confirms that disposed waste of Omani dates is a rich source of dietary antioxidant because of its high TPC. The pits due to their inhibitory effects on  $\alpha$ -glucosidase and  $\alpha$ -amylase level could be used as a monotherapy along with an appropriate diabetic diet and exercise or might be in conjunction with antidiabetic therapy to manage and prevent progression of diabetes.

## **1. Introduction**

Plants have played an important role in drug development [1]. They have always been a common source of medications, either in the form of traditional preparations or as pure active principles [2]. Plants known for their curative powers are used for a wide spectrum of diseases, from the common cold and fever to paralysis and diabetes [3].

Nature has bestowed Oman with an enormous wealth of medicinal plants. One common plant is the date palm [*Phoenix dactylifera* (*P. dactylifera*)] with almost 10 million growing along the Northern Batinah coastal strip [4]. The fruit of these palms "dates" are considered as the most traditional and popular food especially for breaking the Ramadan fast. Eating dates after fasting helps to maintain blood sugar levels and is an excellent source of dietary fiber, potassium, magnesium, and complex sugars [5]. The beneficial effects of dates are not limited to the date fruit only but date seeds or pits, which are left after consuming date fruits, considered as disposed waste of date food have been used traditionally as

2221-1691/Copyright © 2016 Hainan Medical University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Dr. Shah Alam Khan, Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacy, Oman Medical College, Muscat, Sultanate of Oman.

Tel: +968 24504608, ext. 165

E-mails: shahalamkhan@yahoo.com, sakhan@omc.edu.om

Foundation Project: Supported by Faculty Mentored Undergraduate Research Award Program scheme wide grant No FRP/OMC/14/002.

Peer review under responsibility of Hainan Medical University. The journal implements double-blind peer review practiced by specially invited international editorial board members.

animal feed, a source of oil, a coffee substitute in "Arabic Qahwa", a raw material for activated carbon and an adsorbent for dye-containing waters throughout gulf region [6]. The date seeds contain a high percentage of carbohydrate (81.0%-83.1%), protein (5.17%-5.56%), oil (10.19%-12.67%), ash (1.12%-1.15%) and oleic acid (41.3%-47.7%). Date seed oil is also reported to contain various polyphenolic compounds, namely, hydroxytyrosol, protocatechuic acid, tyrosol, gallic acid, caffeic acid, *p*-coumaric acid and oleuropein [7]. Several reports have indicated that there may be a correlation between phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of plant extracts [8]. Hence, date seeds might be considered as a potential source of natural edible oil, antioxidants, and pharmaceuticals [9].

Preclinical studies have shown that the date fruits as well as seeds possess free radical scavenging, antioxidant, antimutagenic, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, gastroprotective, hepatoprotective, nephroprotective, anticancer and immunestimulant activities [10]. Crude methanol, acetone and water extracts obtained from pits of three varieties of P. dactylifera grown in Saudi Arabia were found to be more effective than the leaves extracts of the plant against the selected Gram positive and Gram negative pathogenic bacteria [11]. The study concluded that the antimicrobial activity of date pits might result from the selective or synergistic action of various chemicals present in date palm. It has also been reported that treatment with date seed extract could protect against cerebral ischemic damages in male rats most probably due to its antioxidant properties [12]. Ardekani et al., screened seed extracts of fourteen date varieties grown in Iran for their antioxidant activities and polyphenol contents. They observed a significant correlation between the total phenolic content (TPC) and antioxidant activity [13]. Seeds of five varieties of date palm grown in Qassim region, Saudi Arabia, were analyzed by Ammar and Habiba for their proximate composition, TPC and antioxidant activity by using different solvents for extraction viz. water, acetone, methanol and ethanol [14]. They reported that ethanol was the best solvent for extracting phenolic compounds, followed by acetone and methanol. All seed extracts also exhibited significant antioxidant activity determined as Trolox equivalent. However, results of another similar study conducted on Egyptian date seeds revealed that both non polar and polar fractions of the date seeds possess potent antioxidant and estrogen-like activity [15]. Many studies conducted elsewhere have shown a direct relationship between antioxidant, TPC and antidiabetic activity [16,17].

Because there have been no studies assessing the *in vitro* antidiabetic effect of seeds of *P. dactylifera* dates grown in Oman, this study was carried out to evaluate the *in vitro* antioxidant potential and the inhibitory effect of date pits on  $\alpha$ -glucosidase and  $\alpha$ -amylase level as an indication of antidiabetic activity.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Drugs and chemicals

1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), caffeic acid,  $\alpha$ -glucosidase,  $\alpha$ -amylase and acarbose were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (USA). Folin–Ciocalteu reagent and ascorbic acid were obtained from Merck, Germany. All other

chemicals and solvents used in the study were of analytical grade procured locally.

## 2.2. Collection of plant material

Mature fruits of five locally grown Omani date varieties, namely, Fardh, Naghal, Khalas, Khinaizi and Khasab which are listed among top 10 date palm cultivar produce of Oman [18] and are commonly consumed, were purchased from the local market of Muscat, Oman in the month of September 2014. The dates were authenticated by the botanist and sample vouchers (DS/ PH/1–5) were also deposited in the pharmacy lab of Oman Medical College for future reference.

## 2.3. Evaluation of physical properties and preparation of date seed extracts

Five different cultivars of mature date fruits having uniform size, free of physical damage, injury from insects and fungal infections were picked up by hand and used for preparation of extract. Samples were washed with tap water and the pits were removed manually for preparation of extract of varying polarities.

## 2.3.1. Evaluation of physical characteristics of date fruits

Twenty dates from each variety were randomly selected and individually weighed using an analytical balance. The date pit was removed manually and it was re-weighed again. The average weight of date fruit, fruit pulp, date pit, fruit flesh percentage, total number of fruits/kg and pulp/pit ratio *etc*. were calculated.

## 2.3.2. Preparation of date seed extracts

Pits obtained from the date fruit were thoroughly washed under running tap water and then dried at 45 °C for 24 h. Date pits were coarsely powdered using heavy duty grinder and finally passed through 1 mm sieve to obtain fine powder of uniform particle size. Approximately 0.5 g of powdered date seeds was suspended in 10 mL of four different solvents *viz*. water, ethanol, methanol and acetone separately and kept overnight in a tight closed container. Each mixture was stirred briefly (5–10 min) at 6 h interval to speed up the extraction and finally centrifuged to obtain clear supernatant liquid extracts of varying polarities.

## 2.3.3. Qualitative phytochemical screening

The freshly prepared date pit extracts were also subjected to qualitative chemical tests to identify various classes of bioactive chemical constituents present in the date pits using standard procedures [19].

## 2.4. Estimation of TPCs

Total phenolics in date pit extracts were determined colorimetrically by using Folin–Ciocalteu reagent as per the reported method of Ardekani *et al.* <sup>[13]</sup>. Caffeic acid was used as a reference standard for constructing the external calibration curve in the concentration range of 4–64  $\mu$ g/mL. The linear regression equation from the standard plot of caffeic acid was used to calculate TPC in various extracts and TPC results were expressed as mg/g caffeic acid equivalent (CAE) of dry powder.

The TPCs were calculated using the following linear regression equation obtained from the external calibration curve of caffeic acid:

#### $y = 0.0133x - 0.0133; R^2 = 0.9925$

where y is absorbance and x is amount of caffeic acid in  $\mu g$ .

## 2.5. Determination of antioxidant activity

#### 2.5.1. DPPH free radical-scavenging assay method

The antioxidant activity of various date pit extracts (0.1 mL) was evaluated by using DPPH (1 mmol/L in methanol) free radicals as per the previously reported method [20]. Ascorbic acid (5–100  $\mu$ g/mL) was used as a positive control for comparison purpose.

## 2.5.2. Hydrogen peroxide $(H_2O_2)$ scavenging assay method

The ability of the date seed extracts (0.1 mL) to scavenge  $H_2O_2$  was determined according to the UV spectrophotometric method of Vadnere *et al.* [21]. Ascorbic acid (5–200 µg/mL) was used as a reference material for comparison of antioxidant activity.

#### 2.5.3. Ferric reducing power assay method

Total reducing power was determined by colorimetric method as previously described by Nizam and Mushfiq [22]. Ascorbic served as a positive control. Increased absorbance at 700 nm by the reaction mixture of standard or extracts indicated increased reducing power *i.e.* antioxidant action. Percentage increase in reducing power was also calculated by the following formula:

% Increase in reducing power =  $[(A_T/A_B) - 1] \times 100$ 

where,  $A_B$  is absorbance of blank (containing all reagents except the test material) and  $A_T$  is absorbance of test solution.

#### 2.6. In vitro antidiabetic activity

#### 2.6.1. $\alpha$ -Amylase inhibition

The  $\alpha$ -amylase (bovine pancreatic  $\alpha$ -amylase; EC 3.2.1.1, Sigma) inhibition by the standard drug acarbose (12.5–200 µg/ mL) and pit extracts (0.1 mL) was performed by using the chromogenic method adapted from Ali *et al.* [23]. Potato starch (0.5%, w/v) in 20 mmol/L phosphate buffer (pH 6.9) was used as a substrate solution while a mixture of sodium potassium tartrate and 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid was used as colorimetric reagent. Inhibition of  $\alpha$ -amylase activity by extract or standard was determined by measuring the absorbance at 540 nm which was due to the reduction of 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid to 3-amino-5-nitrosalicylic acid.

#### 2.6.2. Inhibition of $\alpha$ -glucosidase enzyme

 $\alpha$ -Glucosidase inhibitory activity of pit extracts (0.1 mL) was measured at 540 nm using a reported method [24]. Briefly, 2% w/ v sucrose and acarbose (12.5–200 µg/mL) served as a substrate and positive control, respectively.

## 2.7. Data analysis

All samples were analyzed in triplicate and the results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. The obtained data were statistically analyzed by SPSS (version 19) using one way of ANOVA and significant difference between means of tested parameters was determined by using Turkey *post hoc* multi-comparison test. A *P*-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### 3. Results

### 3.1. Physical characteristics of date fruits

The physical characteristic data of five commonly consumed Omani date varieties were presented in Table 1. It could be seen from the results that a significant difference (P < 0.05) existed between varieties for almost all the physical parameters studied. Average number of fruits/kg in date varieties ranged from 125 to 239. Khalas and Fardh were found to contain the highest and lowest number of fruits/kg, respectively. Therefore, mean weights of fruit and flesh were also the highest (8.33 g and 8.01 g) for Fardh date. Though, Khalas date had the highest number of date fruits/kg i.e. smaller in size, the lowest fruit and flesh weights were observed for Khinazi variety (4.28 g and 3.65 g). However, there was no significant difference between the Khalas and Khinazi varieties. Average pit weights of all five cultivars ranged from 0.24 to 0.92 g. It was expected that Khalas being lighter and smaller in size (239 fruits/kg) would have the lowest pit weight (0.46 g) but contrary to this Fardh had the lowest pit weight (0.24 g). Naghal had the heaviest pit followed by Khinazi (0.63 g) and Khasab (0.54 g). A significant difference was observed in mean pit weights of all date varieties. The percentage of fruit flesh in date varieties ranged from 82.84% to 96.21% and Naghal date had the lowest edible portion (82.84%) with respect to other four varieties. The highest fruit flesh was found in Fardh (96.21%). On the other hand, the percentage of disposed waste i.e. seed or pit and flesh/pit ratio varied greatly from 3.79% to 17.16% and 4.83% to 33.36%, respectively (Table 1).

#### 3.2. Phytochemical screening

Preliminary phytochemical testing showed that pits of all date varieties contained bioactive macromolecules such as tannins, carbohydrates, proteins and amino acids in all date varieties, however, steroidal compound and alkaloids were found to be absent in the date pit extracts.

### 3.3. TPCs

The results of TPC of the various date pits extracted by using four different solvents indicated that acetone, ethanol and methanol were significantly better solvents than water in extracting phenolic compounds from the date seeds (Table 2). Among three organic solvents, acetone appeared to be the best solvent for the extraction of maximum phenolic compounds [ $(1.41 \pm 0.16)$ – $(1.58 \pm 0.06)$  mg/g CAE]. TPC of ethanol [ $(1.32 \pm 0.21)$ – $(1.51 \pm 0.01)$  mg/g] and methanol [ $(1.40 \pm 0.03)$ – $(1.46 \pm 0.04)$  mg/g] solvents showed little variation but were better than the content of phenolic compounds in water [ $(0.85 \pm 0.03)$ – $(1.29 \pm 0.04)$  mg/g]. For Fardh and Khinazi, the order of solvents according to extraction of phenolic compounds

Table 1			
Physical	characteristic	of date	fruits

Date variety	No. of fruits/kg	Average	Average weight in g (mean $\pm$ SD)		Flesh/pit ratio	Fruit/pit ratio	% Flesh	% Pit
		Fruit	Flesh	Pit				
Naghal	189 <sup>a</sup>	$5.36 \pm 0.32^{a}$	$4.44 \pm 0.26^{a}$	$0.92 \pm 0.07^{a}$	4.83	5.84	82.84	17.16
Khinazi	235 <sup>b</sup>	$4.28 \pm 0.20^{b}$	$3.65 \pm 0.19^{b}$	$0.63 \pm 0.03^{b}$	5.79	6.79	85.28	14.72
Khalas	239 <sup>b</sup>	$4.33 \pm 0.17^{b}$	$3.87 \pm 0.16^{b}$	$0.46 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	8.41	9.41	89.38	10.62
Khasab	155 <sup>c</sup>	$6.57 \pm 0.25^{\circ}$	$6.03 \pm 0.26^{\circ}$	$0.54 \pm 0.03^{d}$	11.17	12.17	91.78	8.28
Fardh	125 <sup>d</sup>	$8.33 \pm 0.19^{d}$	$8.01 \pm 0.19^{d}$	$0.24 \pm 0.03^{\rm e}$	33.36	34.69	96.21	3.79

Means within a column with no common letter differ significantly (P < 0.05).

was observed to be acetone > methanol > ethanol > water while for Khasab, it was in the order of ethanol > methanol > acetone > water. Fardh date pits showed the highest TPC in acetone [( $1.58 \pm 0.06$ ) mg/g] and methanol [( $1.46 \pm 0.04$ ) mg/g]. Further, no significant statistical difference was observed in TPC of date cultivars extracted by using acetone and methanol (Table 2).

## Table 2

TPC as mg/g of CAE in date seed using different solvents.

Date variety		mg/g CAE of total phenol					
	Acetone	Methanol	Ethanol	Water			
Fardh Khalas Khinazi Khasab Naghal	$\begin{array}{c} 1.58 \pm 0.06^{a} \\ 1.50 \pm 0.07^{a} \\ 1.45 \pm 0.01^{a} \\ 1.41 \pm 0.16^{a} \\ 1.48 \pm 0.06^{a} \end{array}$	$1.46 \pm 0.04^{a}$ $1.44 \pm 0.03^{a}$ $1.43 \pm 0.01^{a}$ $1.44 \pm 0.05^{a}$ $1.40 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.32 \pm 0.21^{a} \\ 1.45 \pm 0.03^{b} \\ 1.33 \pm 0.21^{a} \\ 1.51 \pm 0.01^{b} \\ 1.44 \pm 0.04^{b} \end{array}$	$1.24 \pm 0.01^{a^*}$ $1.08 \pm 0.01^{b^*}$ $0.85 \pm 0.03^{c^*}$ $1.16 \pm 0.18^{b^{**}}$ $1.29 \pm 0.04^{a^*}$			

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Means within a column with no common letter differ significantly (P < 0.05); \*: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from other extracts; \*\*: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from ethanol extract.

## 3.4. In vitro antioxidant activity

The antioxidant activity of four crude extracts of date pits was investigated by ferric reducing power assay method and by two other commonly used radical scavenging methods such as DPPH and  $H_2O_2$ . The scavenging effect of pit extracts on the DPPH and peroxide free radicals were expressed as % inhibition and were compared with standard antioxidant, ascorbic acid.

Ascorbic acid showed a dose dependent scavenging activity of DPPH radical, inhibiting 93.83% of free DPPH radicals at a concentration of 100  $\mu$ g/mL. The IC<sub>50</sub> value of ascorbic acid was found to be 13.68  $\mu$ g/mL. The results of antioxidant activity of date pits presented in Table 3 indicated that the % inhibition of DPPH varied with the polarity of solvent. All the solvents exhibited moderate to good antioxidant activity by DPPH method. For Fardh, Khasab and Khinazi date pits, acetone extract exhibited the highest antioxidant activity (78.25  $\pm$  2.38,  $73.50 \pm 8.37$  and  $70.92 \pm 10.16$ , respectively) while surprisingly, it was the least active extract for Naghal variety  $(17.21 \pm 11.62)$ . Methanol extract displayed better activity than the ethanol and water extracts. In fact, antioxidant activity of aqueous extract for all date varieties except Fardh was noted to be better than the ethanol extract. In general, Fardh and Khasab pits were observed to possess significant antioxidant activity by DPPH scavenging method. Acetone and ethanol extract of Naghal variety appeared to be the weakest antioxidant but methanol and water extract showed promising activity. The highest inhibition of DPPH for each date variety in a particular solvent were observed as follows: Fardh in acetone (78.25), Khalas in water (66.27), Khinazi in acetone (70.92), Khasab in acetone (73.50) and Naghal in methanol (69.44). A significant statistical difference in activity of each extract was observed.

No significant difference in % inhibition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by ascorbic acid was noted at a concentration of 25  $\mu$ g/mL (55.76%) and 100 µg/mL (58.68%) or higher concentration of 200 µg/mL (59.33%). The H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> scavenging ability of prepared date pit extracts was shown in Table 4. The antioxidant activity of all extracts at a concentration of 5 mg/mL was found to be between 24.49 and 40.75 i.e. their activity was comparable to ascorbic acid at a concentration of 5-10 µg/mL (30.90%-46.15%). In other words, the percent inhibition produced by ascorbic acid at concentration of 25 µg/mL was much greater than the scavenging activity of all date pit extracts at a concentration of 5 mg/ mL. Acetone and water extracts exhibited better inhibition as compared to alcoholic extracts. In terms of H2O2 scavenging activity of Khinazi, Khasab and Naghal date pits, acetone appeared to be the best solvent while methanol and water seemed to be ideal for Fardh and Khalas, respectively. The highest antioxidant activity was exhibited by aqueous extract of

#### Table 3

Antioxidant activity	y of date seed	extracts at 5 m	g/mL concentration by	y DPPH method.
			. /	

Date variety		% Inhibition of DPPH						
	Acetone	Ethanol	Methanol	Water				
Fardh	$78.25 \pm 2.38^{a^{**}\phi}$	$63.63 \pm 4.75^{a} \psi_{\phi\gamma}$	$77.95 \pm 5.36^{a^{**}\phi}$	$50.15 \pm 10.53^{a^{**}\psi\gamma}$				
Khalas	$56.83 \pm 5.10^{b^{**}\psi\phi}$	$40.56 \pm 7.74^{b\psi\phi\gamma}$	$65.51 \pm 7.03^{b^{**\gamma}}$	$66.27 \pm 6.93^{b^{**\gamma}}$				
Khinazi	$70.92 \pm 10.16^{c^{**}\phi}$	$44.20 \pm 6.72^{b\psi\phi\gamma}$	$70.77 \pm 1.72^{c^{**}\phi}$	$56.47 \pm 6.64^{c^{**}\psi\gamma}$				
Khasab	$73.50 \pm 8.37^{d^{**}}$	$50.48 \pm 6.74^{c\psi\phi\gamma}$	$69.36 \pm 2.78^{c^{**}}$	$69.54 \pm 2.64^{d^{**}}$				
Naghal	$17.21 \pm 11.62^{e^{**}\psi\phi}$	$32.12 \pm 7.08^{d\psi\phi\gamma}$	$69.44 \pm 2.04^{c^{**}\phi\gamma}$	$55.58 \pm 5.01^{c^{**}\psi\gamma}$				

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Means within a column with no common letter differ significantly (P < 0.05); \*\*: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from ethanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ 

## Table 4

Antioxidant activit	y of date se	ed extracts at	5 mg/mL	concentration b	y H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	scavenging assay	y method.
	1				·		

Date variety		% Inhibition of H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>					
	Acetone	Ethanol	Methanol	Water			
Fardh	$28.62 \pm 3.90^{a}$	$27.12 \pm 1.50^{a}$	$33.82 \pm 1.34^{a}$	$31.96 \pm 0.60^{a}$			
Khalas	$28.82 \pm 1.18^{a\phi}$	$26.35 \pm 1.50^{a\phi}$	$30.49 \pm 0.54^{b\phi}$	$40.75 \pm 1.43^{b^{**}\psi\gamma}$			
Khinazi	$32.11 \pm 2.14^{b^{**}}$	$24.49 \pm 1.41^{a\gamma}$	$28.36 \pm 3.10^{b}$	$30.46 \pm 0.83^{a}$			
Khasab	$32.93 \pm 1.08^{b}$	$27.98 \pm 1.06^{a}$	$29.51 \pm 2.96^{b}$	$31.35 \pm 1.14^{a}$			
Naghal	$35.89 \pm 7.71^{b^{**}}$	$26.49 \pm 2.40^{a\gamma}$	$29.56 \pm 1.47^{b}$	$31.74 \pm 3.03^{a}$			

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Means within a column with no common letter differ significantly (P < 0.05); \*\*: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from ethanol;  $\psi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$  (P < 0.05) from

Khalas (40.75  $\pm$  1.43) and lowest activity by ethanol extract of Khinazi pits.

The reducing power of the date pit extracts at a concentration of 5 mg/mL was determined using the potassium ferricyanide reduction method and the results were given in Table 5. Ascorbic acid was used a positive control and a standard curve was plotted to show the increase in ferric reducing power at different concentration (Figure 1). A linear relationship was obtained in the concentration ranging from 10 to 100 µg/mL with a regression coefficient  $(r^2)$  of 0.979 4. The highest % increase in reducing power of Khinazi in acetone (81.80%) and Khasab in ethanol (80.88%) was found to be comparable to ascorbic acid (85.36%) obtained at 100 µg/mL. In general, it was observed that the reducing power of organic extracts for all date pits were better than the aqueous extract. The activity of acetone, methanol and ethanol extracts at tested concentration was very much similar to the ascorbic acid at concentration of 75 µg/mL. It was interesting to note that reducing power of aqueous extract of Fardh pits (67.39%) was significantly different from aqueous extract of other date varieties (31.57%-41.65%).

## 3.5. In vitro antidiabetic activity

In vitro antidiabetic activity of the date pits was investigated by studying the inhibitory effects on the level of  $\alpha$ -amylase and  $\alpha$ -glucosidase. Acarbose was used as a standard drug to compare the inhibitory effects.

The standard curve of the inhibitory effects of acarbose (12.5–200 µg/mL) against intestinal  $\alpha$ -glucosidase showed the dose dependent activity ( $r^2 = 0.952$  9). The IC<sub>50</sub> value of acarbose was found to be 137.64 µg/mL. At concentration of 5 mg/mL, pits extract of five date varieties exhibited moderate to good inhibitory activity, ranging from 5.91% to 51.71% (Table 6). It was quite evident from the results that solvent had pronounced



Figure 1. Plot showing increase in ferric reducing power of standard ascorbic acid solution at different concentration. FRP: Ferric reducing power.

effect on the inhibitory activity of  $\alpha$ -glucosidase. Water extract by far exhibited superior % inhibition (34.46 ± 2.33 to  $51.71 \pm 8.20$ ) in comparison to the organic extracts  $(5.91 \pm 0.65)$ to  $42.40 \pm 6.43$ ). It was observed to be the best solvent for all date varieties in exhibiting potent in vitro antidiabetic activity by  $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibition method followed by methanol and ethanol. It could be concluded that the inhibitory effect of water extract at 5 mg/mL was approximately equivalent to IC<sub>50</sub> value of acarbose *i.e.*, 137.64 µg/mL. Interestingly, acetone extract exhibited the weakest activity (5.91%-24.78%) among all pit extracts with Fardh and Naghal showing the highest and the lowest activity. For Khalas, Khinazi and Naghal, the order of solvents according to activity from the highest to lowest was as follows: water > methanol > ethanol > acetone; for Fardh it was, water > acetone > ethanol > methanol and for Khasab it was water > methanol > acetone > ethanol.

The IC<sub>50</sub> value calculated from the standard curve of acarbose (12.5–200  $\mu$ g/mL) on the inhibitory effects on the level of  $\alpha$ -amylase was found to be 95.37  $\mu$ g/mL. The results presented

#### Table 5

Ferric reducing power of date seed extracts at 5 mg/mL concentration.

Date variety		% Increase in reducing power					
	Acetone	Ethanol	Methanol	Water			
Fardh	$68.39 \pm 1.00^{a,b}$	$73.84 \pm 0.98^{a,b}$	$67.40 \pm 0.18^{a}$	$67.39 \pm 3.49^{a}$			
Khalas	$76.37 \pm 3.75^{a\phi}$	$75.82 \pm 2.30^{a\phi}$	$72.15 \pm 2.44^{a\phi}$	$34.11 \pm 1.94^{b^{**}\psi\gamma}$			
Khinazi	$81.80 \pm 0.83^{a,c\phi}$	$79.71 \pm 3.05^{a\phi}$	$79.05 \pm 0.15^{b\phi}$	$41.65 \pm 0.61^{b^{**}\psi\gamma}$			
Khasab	$70.26 \pm 7.53^{a^{**}\phi}$	$80.88 \pm 2.21^{a,c\gamma\phi}$	$74.26 \pm 10.28^{a\gamma\phi}$	$31.57 \pm 15.82^{b^{**}\psi\gamma}$			
Naghal	$69.76 \pm 5.75^{a\phi}$	$77.03 \pm 2.03^{a\phi}$	$74.83 \pm 5.82^{a\phi}$	$34.07 \pm 7.14^{b^{**}\psi\gamma}$			

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Means within a column with no common letter differ significantly (P < 0.05); \*\*: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from ethanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\stackrel{\phi}{:}$ 

Т	a	bl	e	6

α-Glucosidase	inhibitory	activity	of date	seed extrac	ts at 5	mg/mL	concentration.
						<i>u</i>	

Date variety		% Inhibition of $\alpha$ -glucosidase					
	Acetone	Ethanol	Methanol	Water			
Fardh Khalas Khinazi Khasab Naghal	$24.78 \pm 3.45^{a\psi\phi} \\ 10.91 \pm 1.32^{a^{**}\psi\phi} \\ 8.26 \pm 0.98^{a,b^{**}\psi\phi} \\ 16.97 \pm 1.45^{a\psi\phi} \\ 5.91 \pm 0.65^{a,c\psi\phi} \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{l} 21.21 \pm 2.43^{a\psi\phi} \\ 18.56 \pm 1.54^{a\psi\phi\gamma} \\ 33.87 \pm 2.65^{a\gamma\phi} \\ 10.92 \pm 0.32^{a.b\psi\phi} \\ 9.93 \pm t0.55^{a.c\psi\phi} \end{array}$	$10.91 \pm 1.98^{a^{**}\gamma\phi} 38.26 \pm 3.50^{b^{**}\gamma} 39.09 \pm 5.20^{b\gamma} 42.40 \pm 6.43^{b,c^{**}\gamma\phi} 31.12 \pm 4.31^{b,d^{**}\gamma}$	$\begin{array}{l} 34.46 \pm 2.33^{a^{**}\psi\gamma} \\ 46.90 \pm 6.33^{b^{**}\gamma} \\ 47.51 \pm 4.98^{b^{**}\psi} \\ 51.71 \pm 8.20^{b,c^{**}\psi\gamma} \\ 36.59 \pm 2.69^{a^{**}\gamma} \end{array}$			

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Means within a column with no common letter differ significantly (P < 0.05); \*\*: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from ethanol;  $\psi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$ : Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol;  $\phi$  (P < 0.05) from

in Table 7 indicated the percentage inhibition shown by water extract (13.71%-51.45%) at concentration of 5 mg/mL was greater than all other extracts (1.44%–26.23%). Further, it could be seen that water was the best solvent for displaying antidiabetic activity by inhibition of  $\alpha$ -amylase. It exhibited approximately 2, 2.24 and 8.21 folds greater activity for Fardh pits than methanol, ethanol and acetone extracts, respectively. The inhibitory activities for water, methanol, ethanol and acetone were found in the range of 13.71%-51.45%, 8.55%-26.23%, 4.96%-22.99% and 1.44%-6.26%, respectively. Thus, it could be inferred that water was almost 2-3 folds better than ethanol and methanol and approximately 7-10 folds better than acetone solvent. The inhibitory effects on the level of  $\alpha$ -amylase by water and acetone extracts of date varieties from highest to lowest was observed to be in the following order: Fardh > Khasab > Khinazi > Khalas > Naghal; for methanol it was, Fardh > Khinazi > Khalas > Khasab > Naghal; for ethanol it was, Fardh > Khalas > Khinazi > Khasab > Naghal. Thus, Fardh was the most potent and Naghal was the least potent varieties in all solvent extracts (Table 7).

α-Amylase inhibitory activity of date seed extracts at 5 mg/mL concentration.

geographical conditions and other environmental factors, *etc* [4]. Thus, it could be hypothesized that this variation might also affect the chemical composition and other physical characteristics of disposed waste or pits of dates. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to investigate the beneficial actions of pits of five date (*P. dactylifera*) varieties widely grown and consumed in Oman by assessing their physical characteristics, *in vitro* antioxidant activity, TPC and inhibitory effects against  $\alpha$ -amylase and  $\alpha$ -glucosidase enzymes.

The selected date cultivars showed variation in the physical characteristics such as average weight of date fruit, fruit pulp, date pit, fruit flesh percentage, total number of fruits/kg and pulp/pit ratio which is mainly due to genetic variations, different environmental and growth conditions. A good quality date is generally characterized by a high flesh percent and fruit/pit ratio. Though Fardh is commonly used for industrial purposes and is a moderate quality date, it showed the highest pulp and flesh/pit ratio, even much higher than the Khalas, a premium quality date. Similarly, the other two moderate quality dates, Naghal and Khinazi had the greatest pit weights as expected.

Date variety		% Inhibition of α-amylase					
	Acetone	Ethanol	Methanol	Water			
Fardh	$6.26 \pm 2.33^{a^{**}\psi\phi}$	$22.99 \pm 6.20^{a\phi\gamma}$	$26.23 \pm 8.49^{a\gamma\phi}$	$51.45 \pm 5.29^{a^{**}\psi\gamma}$			
Khalas	$3.37 \pm 1.43^{a^{**}\psi\phi}$	$15.33 \pm 1.30^{a\phi\gamma}$	$15.39 \pm 7.01^{a\gamma\phi}$	$33.31 \pm 1.39^{b^{**}\psi\gamma}$			
Khinazi	$4.91 \pm 3.38^{a^{**}\psi\phi}$	$14.36 \pm 6.68^{a\phi\gamma}$	$19.98 \pm 6.14^{a\gamma\phi}$	$41.69 \pm 2.08^{b,c^{**}\psi\gamma}$			
Khasab	$7.66 \pm 4.60^{a\phi}$	$12.92 \pm 2.66^{a\phi}$	$13.40 \pm 1.35^{a\phi}$	$48.93 \pm 1.30^{a^{**}\psi\gamma}$			
Naghal	$1.44 \pm 0.43^{a\psi\phi}$	$4.96 \pm 2.11^{a,b\phi}$	$8.55 \pm 0.84^{a,b\gamma}$	$13.71 \pm 4.23^{d^{**}\gamma}$			

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Means within a column with no common letter differ significantly (P < 0.05); <sup>\*\*</sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from ethanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup>: Within a horizontal row differ significantly (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup> (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup> (P < 0.05) from methanol; <sup> $\phi$ </sup> (P < 0.05) from me

## 4. Discussion

Table 7

*P. dactylifera* or date palm is one of the oldest and most popular fruit trees in the hot arid regions of the world, particularly in the gulf countries of the Middle East [25]. Date fruits are popular worldwide due to their taste, health benefits and nutritional values owing to the presence of good amount of essential nutrients such as sugars, proteins, fibers, trace elements, *etc.*, thus forming an important part of the daily diet, especially in Oman [26]. A high degree of biodiversity has been reported in size, shape, color, texture, quality and chemical composition among numerous date fruits varieties grown in different parts of the Sultanate which probably could be due to difference in cultivation time, harvesting time,

The preliminary phytochemical screening was also done to confirm the presence of various classes of biologically active plant metabolites in date pit extracts which revealed the presence of poly phenols (tannins), carbohydrates, proteins and amino acids. Numerous *in vitro* studies have suggested that antioxidant potential and other useful biological actions of medicinal plants could be attributed to their high phenolic contents <sup>[27]</sup>. The phenolic compounds by virtue of their reducing properties can absorb and neutralize free radicals, quench singlet and triplet oxygen, or decompose peroxides to act as antioxidant <sup>[28]</sup>. Therefore, the TPC of date pits and effect of solvents of varying polarity in extraction of TPC was determined by using a colorimetric reagent Folin–Ciocalteu, which reacts non specifically with phenolic compounds and forms a complex to be measured at 765 nm <sup>[29]</sup>.

The TPC determined in different solvent extracts of date pits is expressed as CAE and ranges from 0.85 to 1.58 mg/g of dry date pit powder. Al Harthy et al. determined the TPC of four date fruits native to Oman and it ranged from 32.24 to 35.84 mg/ 100 g of CAE. Therefore, it can be deduced that phenolic content of date pits is lower than the date fruits [20]. It was observed that organic solvents, namely, acetone, ethanol and methanol are significantly better than water in extracting phenolic compounds from the date seeds. This difference is mainly due to their polarity and good solubility for phenolic components from plant materials [30]. Acetone extract showed the highest content of total phenol followed by ethanol and methanol. A similar study conducted on different varieties of date pits also reported that organic solvents extract phenolic compounds better than water; however, they observed ethanol to be the best solvent followed by acetone and methanol [14].

A number of studies have ascribed the antioxidant activity of medicinal plants to the presence of polyphenolic compounds. Also natural antioxidants are known to play an important role in the prevention of many age-related diseases and promotion of health. Due to the complexity of the antioxidant mechanism, it is always preferred to adopt the multi-method approach to test the antioxidant activity [31]. The antioxidant activity of date seeds was therefore, evaluated by reducing power assay method and against DPPH and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> anion radicals by in vitro experiment. Positive control, ascorbic acid significantly scavenged the DPPH and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> radicals and also showed increased reducing power in a concentration dependent manner. Though all the tested extracts exhibited moderate to good antioxidant activity, a significant difference (P < 0.05) in the activity of different solvents was observed by One way ANOVA. The acetone extract showed better antioxidant activity as compared to other extracts which could be due to the fact that extraction of antioxidant compounds is solvent dependent or in other words it depends on the TPC. Therefore, this difference in antioxidant activity could be attributed to variation in their TPC [22]. Several other studies have also shown a good correlation between TPC and antioxidant activity [32]. In contrary to this, water extract of Khalas and Khasab in DPPH assay method, Khalas against H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and Fardh in ferric reducing power assay also showed potent antioxidant activity suggesting that phenols are not the only phytochemicals responsible for antioxidant activity, and there might be some other water soluble non phenolic phytoconstituents which contribute to the antioxidant activity. Nevertheless, acetone seems to be the best choice for the extraction of phenolic compounds irrespective of the date variety, vis-a-vis it exhibited high antioxidant activity. Thus, it could be concluded that date seeds can serve as a natural source of natural antioxidant due to their phenolic content.

Diabetes mellitus is a chronic metabolic disorder affecting millions of people worldwide. Its prevalence is on rise globally at an alarming rate which makes it as one of the major growing health problem [33]. Oral hypoglycemic agents and insulin are the main components of antidiabetic therapy in addition to life style modification. One of the therapeutic strategies to control postprandial hyperglycemia in diabetics is to retard the hydrolysis of carbohydrates by the inhibition of  $\alpha$ -glucosidase and  $\alpha$ -amylase enzymes in order to slow down the intestinal absorption of glucose [34]. These two enzymes,  $\alpha$ -glucosidase and  $\alpha$ -amylase, in the digestive tract are responsible for the hydrolysis of starch and disaccharides to glucose and breakdown of long chain carbohydrates, respectively. The

inhibitors of these enzymes are considered as the potential targets in the management of diabetes mellitus.

Many natural products of phenolic nature have been shown to inhibit the activity of  $\alpha$ -glucosidase and  $\alpha$ -amylase. Moreover, a positive relationship between the phenolic content and inhibitory activity has been reported [16,17]. In the present study, aqueous extract of almost all date pits exhibited significant  $\alpha$ glucosidase and  $\alpha$ -amylase inhibition activity by indicating the presence of natural  $\alpha$ -glucosidase and  $\alpha$ -amylase inhibitors in date pits. However, unlike a previous study, no direct correlation between the content of total phenols or antioxidant activity and inhibitory activity against  $\alpha$ -glucosidase and  $\alpha$ amylase could be observed [35]. Contrary to this, water extract which extracted the lowest amount of phenolic components exhibited the best inhibitory activities. Thus, it could be proposed that date pits contain some water soluble non phenolic bioactive compounds in addition to phenolic acids and flavonoids, which have the ability to act as  $\alpha$ -glucosidase and  $\alpha$ -amylase inhibitors. Thus, it can be concluded that date pits might prove promising and beneficial in lowering the blood glucose in diabetics by inhibiting the digestion and suppressing the hydrolysis of dietary carbohydrates. Further, detailed phytochemical investigation of date pits can provide a lead compound that can be used effectively in the prevention and management of diabetes and its complications.

The results of the present study confirmed that disposed waste of Omani dates is a rich source of dietary antioxidant because of its high TPC. Acetone was found to be a better solvent than alcohol or water for extraction of phenolic compounds from the date pits and for exhibiting antioxidant activity. Pits of all date varieties possess significant *in vitro* antidiabetic activity. However, no direct correlation was observed in the antidiabetic activity and the antioxidant activity. Water extract displayed the maximum inhibition of  $\alpha$ -glucosidase and  $\alpha$ -amylase suggesting presence of some non phenolic water soluble compounds as the potential inhibitor of these enzymes. The pits due to their inhibitory effects on  $\alpha$ glucosidase and  $\alpha$ -amylase level could be used as a monotherapy along with an appropriate diabetic diet and exercise or might be in conjunction with antidiabetic therapy to manage and prevent progression of diabetes.

#### **Conflict of interest statement**

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgments

Authors are grateful to management of Oman Medical College for providing infrastructure and necessary research facilities. We would also like to thank the Research Council of Oman for financially supporting this research project under Faculty Mentored Undergraduate Research Award Program scheme wide grant No FRP/OMC/14/002.

## References

- Veeresham C. Natural products derived from plants as a source of drugs. J Adv Pharm Technol Res 2012; 3(4): 200-1.
- [2] Katiyar C, Gupta A, Kanjilal S, Katiyar S. Drug discovery from plant sources: an integrated approach. *Ayu* 2012; 33: 10-9.
- [3] Keter LK, Mutiso PC. Ethnobotanical studies of medicinal plants used by traditional health practitioners in the management of

diabetes in Lower Eastern Province, Kenya. J Ethnopharmacol 2012; **139**: 74-80.

- [4] Al-Yahyai R, Al-Khanjari S. Biodiversity of date palm in the Sultanate of Oman. Afr J Agric Res 2008; 3: 389-95.
- [5] Ashraf Z, Hamidi-Esfahani Z. Date and date processing: a review. Food Rev Int 2011; 27: 101-33.
- [6] Chandrasekaran M, Bahkali AH. Valorization of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*) fruit processing by-products and wastes using bioprocess technology – review. *Saudi J Biol Sci* 2013; 20: 105-20.
- [7] Besbes S, Blecker C, Deroanne C, Bahloul N, Lognay G, Drira NE, et al. Date seed oil: phenolic, tocopherol and sterol profiles. *J Food Lipids* 2004; 11: 251-65.
- [8] Piluzza G, Bullitta S. Correlations between phenolic content and antioxidant properties in twenty-four plant species of traditional ethno-veterinary use in the Mediterranean area. *Pharm Biol* 2011; **49**: 240-7.
- [9] Al-Shahib W, Marshall RJ. Fatty acid content of the seeds from 14 varieties of date palm *Phoenix dactylifera* L. Int J Food Sci Technol 2003; 38: 709-12.
- [10] Baliga MS, Baliga BRV, Kandathil SM, Bhat HP, Vayalil PK. A review of the chemistry and pharmacology of the date fruits (*Phoenix dactylifera* L). *Food Res Int* 2011; **44**: 1812-22.
- [11] Perveen K, Bokhari NA, Soliman DAW. Antibacterial activity of *Phoenix dactylifera* L. leaf and pit extracts against selected Gram negative and Gram positive pathogenic bacteria. *J Med Plants Res* 2012; 6: 296-300.
- [12] Kalantaripour TP, Asadi-Shekaari M, Basiri M, Najar AG. Cerebroprotective effect of date seed extract (*Phoenix dactylifera*) on focal cerebral ischemia in male rats. *J Biol Sci* 2012; 12: 180-5.
- [13] Ardekani MR, Khanavi M, Hajimahmoodi M, Jahangiri M, Hajiakhoondi A. Comparison of antioxidant activity and total phenol contents of some date seed varieties from Iran. *Iran J Pharm Res* 2010; **9**: 141-6.
- [14] Ammar ASM, Habiba RAA. Phenolic content and antioxidant activity of date seeds. *J Agric Vet Sci* 2010; **3**: 3-8.
- [15] Ammar NM, Al-Okbi SY, Mohamed DA, El-Kassem LTA. Antioxidant and estrogen like activity of the seed of *Phoenix dactylifera* L. palm growing in Egyptian oases. *Rep Opin* 2009; 1: 1-8.
- [16] Ramkumar KM, Thayumanavan B, Palvannan T, Rajaguru P. Inhibitory effect of *Gymnema montanum* leaves on  $\alpha$ -glucosidase activity and  $\alpha$ -amylase activity and their relationship with polyphenolic content. *Med Chem Res* 2010; **19**: 948-61.
- [17] Xiao J, Kai G, Ni X, Yang F, Chen X. Interaction of natural polyphenols with α-amylase *in vitro*: molecular property-affinity relationship aspect. *Mol Biosyst* 2011; 7: 1883-90.
- [18] Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries. Agricultural census. Sultanate of Oman: Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries; 2012. [Online] Available from: http://maf.gov.om/Pages/PageCreator. aspx?lang=EN&I=0&CId=0&CMSId=800631&DId=0 [Accessed on 25th August, 2015]
- [19] Cai LU, Shi FX, Gao X. Preliminary phytochemical analysis of Acanthopanan trifoliatus (L.) Merr. J Med Plants Res 2011; 5: 4059-64.
- [20] Al Harthi SS, Mavazhe A, Al Mahroqi H, Khan SA. Quantification of phenolic compounds, evaluation of physicochemical

properties and antioxidant activity of four date (*Phoenix dacty-lifera* L.) varieties of Oman. *J Taibah Univ Med Sci* 2015; **10**: 346-52.

- [21] Vadnere GP, Patil AV, Wagh SS, Jain SK. In vitro free radical scavenging and antioxidant activity of Cicer arientinum (L.) Fabaceae. Int J PharmTech Res 2012; 4: 343-50.
- [22] Nizam I, Mushfiq M. Antioxidant activity of five different solvent extracts of the edible fruits of *Ficus racemosa*. Orient Pharm Exp Med 2012; 12: 189-95.
- [23] Ali H, Houghton PJ, Soumyanath A. α-Amylase inhibitory activity of some Malaysian plants used to treat diabetes; with particular reference to *Phyllanthus amarus*. J Ethnopharmacol 2006; **107**: 449-55.
- [24] Manikandan R, Anand AV, Muthumani GD. Phytochemical and in vitro anti-diabetic activity of methanolic extract of *Psidium* guajava leaves. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 2013; 2: 15-9.
- [25] Saleh EA, Tawfik MS, Abu-Tarboush HM. Phenolic contents and antioxidant activity of various date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) fruits from Saudi Arabia. *Food Nutr Sci* 2011; 2: 1134-41.
- [26] Benmeddour Z, Mehinagic E, Le Meurlay D, Louaileche H. Phenolic composition and antioxidant capacities of ten Algerian date (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) cultivars: a comparative study. *J Funct Foods* 2013; **5**: 346-54.
- [27] Alhakmani F, Kumar S, Khan SA. Estimation of total phenolic content, *in-vitro* antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activity of *Moringa oleifera*. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2013; 3: 623-7.
- [28] Kumar RS, Rajkapoor B, Perumal P. Antioxidant activities of *Indigofera cassioides* Rottl. Ex. DC. using various *in vitro* assay models. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed* 2012; 2: 256-61.
- [29] Blainski A, Lopes GC, de Mello JCP. Application and analysis of the Folin Ciocalteu method for the determination of the total phenolic content from *Limonium brasiliense* L. *Molecules* 2013; 18: 6852-65.
- [30] Boeing JS, Barizão EO, Silva BC, Montanher PF, de Cinque Almeida V, Visentainer JV. Evaluation of solvent effect on the extraction of phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacities from the berries: application of principal component analysis. *Chem Cent J* 2014; 8: 48.
- [31] Hossain SJ, El-Syed M, Aoshima H. Antioxidative and anti-αamylase activities of four wild plants consumed by pastoral nomads in Egypt. *Orient Pharm Exp Med* 2009; 9: 217-24.
- [32] Farasat M, Khavari-Nejad RA, Nabavi SM, Namjooyan F. Antioxidant activity, total phenolics and flavonoid contents of some edible green seaweeds from Northern Coasts of the Persian Gulf. *Iran J Pharm Res* 2014; 13: 163-70.
- [33] Al Bimani ZS, Khan SA, David P. Evaluation of T2DM related knowledge and practices of Omani patients. *Saudi Pharm J* 2015; 23: 22-7.
- [34] Manaharan T, Ling LT, Appleton D, Ming CH, Masilamani T, Palanisamy UD. Antioxidant and antihyperglycemic potential of *Peltophorum pterocarpum* plant parts. *Food Chem* 2011; 129: 1355-61.
- [35] Manaharan T, Palanisani UD, Ming CH. Tropical plant extracts as potential antihyperglycemic agents. *Molecules* 2012; 17: 5915-23.