

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine

journal homepage:www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtb



doi:10.1016/S2221-1691(12)60222-6 © 2012 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. All rights reserved. Document heading

Indications of antibiotic prophylaxis in dental practice- Review

C Ramu^{*}, TV Padmanabhan

¹Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dental Sciences, Sri Ramachandra University, Porur, Chennai – 600116, Tamil nadu, India ²Professor & Head of Department Of Prosthodontics, Faculty Of Dental Sciences, Sri Ramachandra University, Porur, Chennai – 600116, Tamil nadu, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 January 2012 Received in revised form 22 January 2012 Accepted 14 March 2012 Available online 28 September 2012

Keywords: Antibiotic prophylaxis Odontogenic infection Dental procedure

ABSTRACT

Antibiotics are frequently used in dental practice. Clinical and bacteriological epidemiological factors determine the indications of antibiotics in dentistry. Antibiotics are used in addition to appropriate treatment to aid the host defences in the elimination of remaining bacteria. It is indicated when there is evidence of clinical sign involvement and spread of infection. Antibiotics are prescribed in dental practice for treating odontoge nic infections, non-odontogenic infections, as prophylaxis against focal and local infection. Special care needs to be addressed to patients with organ transplants, poorly controlled diabetes and pregnancy. Antibiotics should be used only as an adjunct to dental treatment and never alone as the first line of care. The present paper reviews the indications of antibiotics in dental practice.

1. Introduction

The discovery of antibiotics occurred in 1929 when the Scottish bacteriologist Alexander Fleming, working in a London teaching hospital, reported on the antibacterial action of cultures of a penicillium species. Antibiotics are the greatest contribution of the 20th century to therapeutics. Endodontics is the field of choice where antibiotics are used extensively^[1,2]. The inflammatory process results in endodontic pain, which is most commonly related to microbial irritation, but can also be related to mechanical or chemical factors[3]. Judicious use of antibiotics in conjunction with surgical therapy is the most appropriate method to treat odontogenic infections. Medically compromised patients such as diabetics and organ transplant patients also require the service of antibiotics. Penicillin is the drug of choice in treating dental infections^[4]. Narrow spectrum antibiotics should be considered the first choice as it produces less alterations in the gastrointestinal tract. There is often a dilemma among the dental practioners concerning the use of antibiotics in conjunction with dental procedures. In this review article,

the indication of antibiotics in dental practice has been highlighted.

2. Indications of antibiotics

Antibiotics are not an alternative to dental intervention; they are adjunct^[5,6]. Antibiotics are indicated when clinical signs of involvement are evident. The major use of antibiotic prophylaxis for dental procedures, are cases which cause bleeding in the oral cavity, has become a common practice among dentists^[7]. Antibiotics are indicated in dental practice for treating immunocompromised patients, evident signs of systemic infection and if the signs and symptoms of infection progress rapidly[8].

2.1. Antibiotics for odontogenic infections

Penicillin is the drug of choice in treating odontogenic infections as it is prone to gram positive aerobes and intraoral anaerobes, organisms found in alveolar abscess, periodontal abscess and necrotic pulps. Both aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms are susceptible to penicillin[9]. Penicillinase-resistant penicillin or an ampicillinlike derivative is prescribed for infections caused by penicillinase-producing staphylococci or those involving

^{*}Corresponding author: Dr. C Ramu, MDS, Senior lecturer, Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dental Sciences, Sri Ramachandra University, Porur, Chennai-600116, India.

Tel: +919442322777

E-mail: dent_ramu@yahoo.com

gram-negative bacteria^[4]. A combinations of penicillin and clavulanic acid can be preferred for infections caused by staphylococcus, streptococci and pneumococci. Patients allergic to penicillin are treated with clindamycin 300 mg (65%) which is the ideal drug of choice and followed by azithromycin(15%) and metronidazole-spiramycin(13%) ^[10]. The first generation cephalosporins like cephadroxil, cephadrine provide a broad spectrum antibiotic when gram positive organisms are suspected to be the causative factor of the infection. Cephalosporin is advisable for delayedtype allergic reactions to penicillin and when erythromycin cannot be used. Cephalosporin is indicated in endodontic practice as they exhibit good bone penetration^[11]. Tetracyclines are bacteriostatic antibiotics that specifically inhibit the binding of aminoacyl-t-RNA synthetases to the ribosomal acceptor site[12]. For cases of acute necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis requiring systemic antibiotic therapy in which penicillin is precluded, tetracyclines are most beneficial. The side effects encountered most often by the usage of penicillin are hypersensitivity, which is found roughly in 3%-5% of the population[13]. As with most antibiotics the occurrence of allergic reactions of all degrees of severity is common. The penicillins, followed by the cephalosporins and tetracyclines, are most frequently implicated in these reactions. Azithromycin has shown enhanced pharmacokinetics in encountering the anaerobes involved in endodontic infection. The oral dosage of azithromycin is 500 mg loading dose followed by 250 mg once a day for five to seven days^[14]. Ciprofloxacin is one of the common drugs used for endodontic infections. The effective action against oral anaerobes, gram positive aerobic organisms (Staphylococcus aureus, Enterobacter species and Pseudomonas) demands the need of ciprofloxacin for endodontic infections^[15]. Metronidazole is a synthetic antimicrobial agent, which is bactericidal and most effective against anaerobes. Baumgartner has shown effective number of bacteria resistant to metronidazole^[16]. The recommended dosage is 1 000 mg loading dose followed by 500 mg every six hours for five to seven days^[14]. Clindamycin remains the second drug of choice next to penicillin in treating odontogenic infections. It was observed that 10% of the Streptococcus viridans bacteria were resistant to clindamycin^[17]. Gilad et al developed a new clindamycinimpregnated fiberas an intracanal medicament, which is effective against other common endodontic pathogens^[18]. Due to its adverse side effects the routine use of clindamycin is not advised. However, b lactum antibiotics still remain the drug of choice in odontogenic infections among the health professionals^[19].

2.2. Antibiotics for non-odontogenic infections

The non-odontogenic infections require a prolonged treatment. They include infections such as tuberculosis, syphilis, leprosy and non-specific infections of bone. New synthetic antibiotics such as fluoroquinolones are the drug of choice for management of non– odontogenic infections. Fluoroquinones are indicated for bone and joint infections, genitourinary tract infections, and respiratory tract infections^[20]. They have a broad spectrum of action and inhibit bacterial DNA replication. Bystedt *et al* demonstrated high clindamycin concentration in human mandibular bone corresponding to doxycycline^[21]. Bone and anaerobic infections are managed by prescribing clindamycin (orally) or lincomycin (parenterally). Tuberculosis management requires a long duration of antibiotic service which includes ethambutol, isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide and streptomycin. Penicillin G benzatine is administered in the management of syphilis .Clofazimine, dapsone and rifampicin are used for treating leprosy.

2.3. Antibiotic prophylaxis to prevent infective endocarditis

Infective endocarditis is an uncommon but serious and often life threatening condition. The pathogenesis of infective endocarditis comprises of a complex sequence of events^[22]. Anatomic localization of infection is determined by the adherence of microorganisms to various sites^[23]. The coincidence between bacterial infection and endocarditis was described before the turn of 20th century^[24]. Studies have shown that dental procedures are trigger factors for few cases of endocarditis^[25,26]. A poor condition of the periodontal health is a substantial risk factor^[27]. Lockhart reported more incidence of infective endocarditis following dental extraction and periodontal surgery^[28]. Ottent *et al* reported that bacteremia was associated with 74% of patients following tooth extraction^[29]. Antibiotic prophylaxis not only acts by destroying bacteria, but also by inhibiting bacterial adherence[30]. It is indicated in high risk dental procedures in patients with pre-existing high rate cardiac disorders[31]. The standard regimen includes high doses of amoxicillin in children and adults, one hour before the dental treatment. 2 g of oral amoxicillin should be given to adults before the dental procedure commencement^[32]. Dajani et al have reported that 2g of amoxicillin provides several hours of antibiotic coverage^[33]. Clindamycin is recommended in patients allergic to beta-lactamics[34]. Moreover best results have been achieved by usage of clindamycin in treating odontogenic infections^[35]. In patients allergic to penicillin or amoxicillin, the first generation oral cephalosporin is recommended^[4]. Vancomycin and streptomycin are used prophylactically for prevention of infective endocarditis in patients with prosthetic heart valves. Prophylactic failure is possible to occur in patients with congenital heart disease if the proper antibiotic is not selected^[36]. The negligence to administer antibiotic prophylaxis for dental procedures may result in SBE and will lead to worst consequences for the patient. Cunha et al documented a similar case which, resulted in a cerebral vascular accident, embolic occlusion of the leg, and mitral valve replacement^[37]. On the other

751

hand, a reduction of 78.6% in prescribing antibiotics was noticed after the unveiling of NICE guideline^[38]. The French agency for Health Product Health Safety advices against or contraindicates dental facial surgery, bone surgery, periodontal surgery, root canal treatment in these patients except under emergency situations, as these patients are prone to high risk of infection^[39].

2.4. Antibiotic prophylaxis to treat local infection

There are various surgical procedures and medical conditions that are routinely covered by systemic antimicrobials which include impacted third molars, orthognathic surgery, implant surgery, periapical surgery, benign tumorsurgery and immunocompromised patients. The service of antibiotics in endodontics should be indicated for patients with signs of local infection and fever[6]. Evidence shows prescribing antibiotics after removal of impacted third molars reduce the severity of postoperative pain[40,41]. Abu-Taa et al compared the benefits of pre- and post-operative antibiotics in patients undergoing periodontal surgery. Pertaining to the post operative antibiotics, remarkable reduction in the post operative discomfort was noticed^[42]. Amoxicillin 2 000 mg for five days at a suitable dose and interval helps to cover the treatment requirements after third molar surgerv^[43]. Studies show a decrease in postoperative infection, following the use of antibiotics after orthognathic surgery^[44,45]. Danda et al evaluated the prophylactic value of single-dose antibiotic prophylaxis on postoperative infection in patients undergoing orthognathic surgery, compared to single-day antibiotics. The documented results were clinically significant^[46].

Paluzzi et al have emphasized the need of antibiotic prophylaxis for implant surgery^[47]. Studies reveal that 2 g of amoxicillin given orally 1 hour preoperatively significantly reduce failures of dental implants^[48]. Rizzo et al analysed 521 endosseous implants placed under antibiotic coverage and reported efficient reduction in post operative infections^[49]. Larsen et al mentioned that most surgeons have prescribed antibiotics pre and post operatively, still the incidence of infection is less in implant surgery^[50]. Abduaziz et al compared the efficiency of prophylactic regimens commonly used in implant surgery. The prophylactic antibiotic use in implant surgery was of no credit over a single-dose preoperative antibiotic regimen in patients undergoing implant surgery^[51]. Further the literature review performed by Sharaf et al also substantiates that single dose of preoperative antibiotic coverage may slightly reduce the failure rate of dental implants^[52]. On the other hand, Gynther et al revealed that no significance was appreciated after dental implant installation without antibiotic prophylaxsis^[53]. Nabeel Ahmad et al conducted a literature review on the effects of antibiotics in 11 406 implants. Fairly no advantage was evident from the use of antibiotic regimen^[54]. The use of antibiotic regimen during implant placement is

controversial. As the surgical site of the periodontal surgery is contaminated with microorganisms, the use of antibiotics is quite necessary.

Immune compromised patients represent a special division for dental professionals as they are more prone to bacteremia, which may rapidly lead to septecemia[55]. Invasive dental procedure like dental extraction, deep periodontal scaling should be avoided whenever feasible^[56]. The dental procedures performed for the immune compromised patients should be carried after interacting with the hematologic, oncologic and microbiologic consultants. Other indications requiring the need of antibiotic regimen before the commencement of dental procedures include dental implant placement, surgery beyond tooth apex, intraligamentary local anaesthetic injections and subgingival placement of antibiotic fibers. Antibiotic coverage is also mandatory for uncontrolled diabetic patients, who are more prone to invasive dental treatment^[57]. Provided the risk factors are under control, patients with periodontal disease and diabetes can undergo implant treatment. The dentists play a vital role in treating medically compromised patients who undergo dental treatment. Because early detection of diabetes is ruled out during the treatment period^[58]. It is the dentist's job to be involved in the health care team to further reduce the consequences of diabetes. Numerous studies have been undertaken on the correlation between prosthetic joint infection and dental procedure. LaPorte et al have justified the late onset infection in hip replacement patients and had a coincidence with the dental procedures^[59]. Cephalexin 2 g given one hour preoperatively (dental procedure) is suggested for patients not allergic to penicillin and clindamycin 600 mg, one hour preoperatively for patients allergic to penicillin. Statistical data collected from the Medicare Beneficiary Survey reported that dental procedures do not pose a risk for patients undergoing prosthetic joint replacements^[60]. Antibiotic prophylaxis is not recommended for all dental patients with total joint replacements, but advised for patients with an increased risk of haematogenous infections of prosthetic joints[61].

3. Antibiotic regimen with precaution

Consideration for antibiotic prophylaxis should be given for patients with kidney, liver failure and pregnancy. Hard or soft tissues of the mouth are affected in patients with chronic renal failure. Physician consultation is advised before and after organ transplant. Patients treated with corticosteroids for a long time may require an additional need of corticosteroids to prevent adrenal crisis. The dose is doubled if the patient is on 30–40 mg per day of hydrocortisone for a month. An additional supplement is not required if the dose is up to 30 mg per day of hydrocortisone. Dental treatment is safer, when performed three months after surgery. Six months is considered as the best time

and antibiotic prophylaxis is necessary if any invasive dental treatment is to be performed[62]. Dose adjustments is required in patients with kidney failure to avoid increased plasma drug concentration^[63]. Penicillin, clindamycin and cephalosporin are the preferred antibiotics, with the dosing interval at a prolonged time[64]. Gudapati et al have suggested indomethacin, ibuprofen, naproxen and sodium diclofenac doses to be reduced or avoided in advanced stages of renal failure^[65]. Codeine, morphine, fentanyl are prescribed with no reduction in their dosage[66]. Presence of periodontitis disturbs the renal function in kidney transplant patients^[67]. Dialyzed patients are advised to get their dental treatment done on non-dialysis days, to ensure the absence of circulating heparin^[68]. Kerr has updated that desmopressin is effective to control severe bleeding in renal patients^[69]. Antibiotic prophylaxis is advisable before the invasive dental procedures are performed as these transplant patients are more susceptible to infection. The initial six months, after kidney transplant is considered unfavourable to do any elective treatment^[65]. 25 mg of hydrocortisone administered intravenously reduces the risk of adrenal crisis in renal failure patients with stress[70].

Pertaining to kidney transplant, prevention of odontogenic inflammation should be initiated in the pre-dialysis period, because periodontal diseases are the predisposing etiology of atherosclerosis^[71]. Patients with liver failure demand a dose reduction of erythromycin, clindamycin, metronidazole and anti-tuberculosis drugs. Oral zinc supplementation is effective in hepatic encephalopathy and consequently improves patients health-related quality of life^[72]. Recent research further confirms that treatment of HE with oral L-ornithine-L-aspartate in cirrhotic patients considerably improved health-related quality of life^[73]. Douglas et al have contraindicated the use of tetracyclines and antituberculosis drugs in patients with liver failure^[74]. The final category comprises of pregnancy, where tetracyclines and aminoglycosides usage is contraindicated as it leads to teratogenic effects on the foetus. Shrout et al have emphasised the need of antibiotic prophylaxis for pregnant patients as it reduces the bacterial load of periodontal pathogens, also ensures good oral hygiene habits^[75]. Azithromycin, cephalosporin, erythromycin, penicillin with or without beta-lactamase inhibitors are prescribed with caution during pregnancy. Haas et al have discussed about the drugs contraindicated for a lactating and pregnant patient where benzodiazepenes are contraindicated due to the risk of oral cleft developments during the first trimester^[76]. The use of nonaspirin NSAIDs during early pregnancy is associated with statistically significant risk (2.4-fold increase) of having a spontaneous abortion. Risk of having a spontaneous abortion was also associated with gestational use of diclofenac, naproxen, celecoxib, ibuprofen and rofecoxib alone or in combination^[77]. However, every gestational woman should be instructed to get medical and dental care during the course of her pregnancy, as failure to do so may affect the health of both the mother as well as the foetus^[78].

Antibiotic therapy is mandatory and essential in medicine and dentistry. Penicillin is the drug of choice in treating dental infections. Patients at high risk include those with infective endocarditis, immunocompromised conditions and dental procedures which may produce bacteremias. Invasive dental procedures if performed in such patients should be preceded with an antibiotic prophylaxis. Consultation with the physicians and specialists is required before any dental treatment is carried out in organ transplant and pregnant patients. Special caution needs to be addressed to the above patients to determine the best outcome of dental procedure and to provide the required dose adjustments and thereby preventing the complications in the dental clinic. And hence it is clear that apart from invasive dental procedures in high risk patients not all dental procedures require the need for antibiotic prophylaxis. Recommendations on antibiotic prescribing are essential to prevent overprescribing of antibiotic. The prescription of antibiotics should be considered adjunctive to the dental treatment.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

References

- Thomas DW, Satterthwarte, Absi EG. Antibiotic prescription for acute dental infection conditions in the primary care setting. *British Dent J* 1996; 181: 401–404.
- [2] Whitten BH, Gardiner DL, Jeansonne BG, Lemon RR. Current trends in endodontic treatment report of a national survey. *J the Am Dent Ass* 1996; **127**: 1333–1341.
- [3] Fouad AF. Are antibiotics effective for endodontic pain-An evidence based review. *Endodontic Topics* 2002; 2: 52-66.
- [4] Montogomery EH, Kroger DC. Use of antibiotics in dental practice. Dent Clin North America 1984; 28; 3: 433-453.
- [5] Pallasch TJ. Antibiotics in endodontics. Dent Clin North America 1979; 23: 737–746.
- [6] Abbott PV, Hume WR, Pearrmar JW. Antibiotics and endodontics. Australian Dent J 1990; 35: 50–60.
- [7] Tong DC, Rothwell BR. Antibiotic prophylaxsis in dentistry. A review and practice recommendation. JADA 2000; 131: 366–374.
- [8] Henry M, Al Reader, Beck M. Effect of Penicillin in postoperative endodontic pain and swelling in symptomatic necrotic teeth. *J Endodontics* 2001; 27(2): 117–123.
- [9] Sabiston CB, Gold WA. Anaerobic bacteria in oral infection. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1974; 38: 187–192.
- [10] Sequra-Eqea JJ, Velasco-Ortega E, Torres-Logares D, Velasco-PonFerrade MC, Monsalve-Guilt, Liames-carreras JM. Pattern of antibiotic prescription in the management of endodontic infections amongst Spanish oral surgeons. *Int Endod J* 2010; **43**(4):

342-350.

- [11] Kettering JD, Torabinejad M. Microbiology and immunology. In: Cohen S, Burns RC, editors. Pathways of the pulp. 7 th ed. St. Louis, MO. Mosby; 1998, p. 463–475.
- [12] Sanz M, Herrera D. Individual drugs. In: Newman MG, Vanwinkelhoff AJ, eds. Antibiotic and antimicrobial use in dental practice. Chicago: Quintessence; 2001, p. 33–52.
- [13] Swift JQ, Gulden WS. Antibiotic therapy managing odontogenic infections. Dent Clin North America 2002; 46: 623–633.
- [14] Endodontics Colleagues for Excellence. News Lett Summer 2000.
- [15] Ferreira MB, Myiagi S, Nogales CG, Campos MS, Lage–Marques JL. Time and concentration dependent cytotoxicity of antibiotics used in endodontic therapy. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2010; **18**; 3: 259–263.
- [16] Baumgartnar JC, Hutter JW, Siqueira JF. Endodontic microbiology and treatment of infection In: Cohen S, Hargreaves KH, editors. Pathways of the pulp. 9th ed. St.Louis, MO. Mosby; 2006.
- [17] Maestre JR, Gimenes MJ, Bascones A Odontopathogen susceptibility to amoxicillin clavulanic acid and other common antibiotics in odontology.7 thEuropean Congress of Chemotherapy and Infection. Oct 19–22. Florence, Italy; 2005, p. 209.
- [18] Gilad JZ, Teles R, Goodson M, White RR, Stashenko P. Development of a clindamycin impregnated fibre as an intracanal medication in endodontic therapy. *J Endod* 1999; 25: 722–727.
- [19] Gonzalez-Martinez R, Cortell-Ballester I, Herraez-Vilas JM, Arnau-de Boles, Gay Escoda C. Antibiotic prescription of odontogenic infection by health professionals: A factor to consensus. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Buccal 2011.
- [20] Bysted H, Dahlback A, Dornbusch K, Nord CE. Concentration of azidocillin, erythromycin, doxycycline and clindamycin in human mandibular bone. *Int J Oral Surg* 1978; 7: 442–449.
- [21] Frei CR, Labreche MJ, Attridge RT. Fluoroquinolones in community-acuired pneumonia. Drugs 2011; 71; 6: 757-770.
- [22] Gopalakrishnan PP, Shukla SK, Tak T. Infective Endocarditis: Rationale for revised guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxsis. *Clin Med Res* 2009; 7; 3: 63–68.
- [23] Wilson W, Taubert KA, Gewitz M, Lockhart PB, Baddour LM, Levison M, et al. Prevention of infective endocarditis. Guidelines from the American Heart Association Circulation Oct 9. Circulation 2007: 1736-1754.
- [24] Cowper T. Pharmacologic mamagement of the patient with disorders of the cardiovascular system: Infective endocarditis. *Dent Clinic North Am* 1996; **40**: 611–617.
- [25] Vander Meer JT, Thompson J, Valkenburg HA, Michael MF. Epidemology of bacterial endocarditis in the Netherlands II antecedent procedures and uses of prophylaxis. Arch Intern Med 1992; 152: 1869–1873.
- [26] Storm BL, Abruptyn E, Berlin JA, Finman JL, Feldman RS, Stolley PD, et al. Dental and cardiac risk factors for infective endocarditis. A population based case–control study. *Arch Intern Med* 1998; **129**: 761–769.
- [27] Guntheroth WG. How important are dental procedures as a cause of infective endoarditis. Am J Cardiol 1984; 54: 797–801.
- [28] Lockhart PB. An analysis of bacteremias during dental extraction. A double-blind placebo-controlled study of chlorhexidine. Arch Intern Med 1996; 156: 513-520.

- [29] Otten JE, Pelz K, Christmann G. Anaerobic bacteremia following tooth extraction and removal of osteosynthesis plates. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1987; 45: 477–480.
- [30] Gauser MP, Bernard JP, Moreillon P, Francioli P. Succesful single dose amoxicillin prophylaxis against experimental streptococcal endocarditis: evidence for two mechanisms of protection. J Infect Dis 1983; 147: 568–575.
- [31] Vera JRM, Gomez–Lus Centelles ML. Antimicrobial prophylaxis in oral surgery and dental procedures. *Med Oral Patol Circ Buccal* 2007; **12**: 44–52.
- [32] Dajani AS, Taubert KA, Wilson W, Bolger AF, Bayer A, Ferrieri P, Gewitz MH, et al. Prevention of bacterial endocarditis: Recommendations by the American heart association. *Clinic Infect Dis* 1979; 25: 1448–1458.
- [33] Dajani A, Bawden RE, Berry MC. Oral amoxicillin as a prophylaxis for endocarditis: What is the optimal dose. *Clin Infect Dis* 1994; 18: 157–160.
- [34] Durack DT. Prophylaxis of infective endocarditis. in: Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R, editors. Mandell, Douglas and Bennett principles and practice of infectious diseases. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Churchill Livingstone; 2005, p. 1044–1050.
- [35] Gilmore WC, Jacobus NV, Gorbach SL, Doku HC. A prospective double-blind evaluation of penicillin versus clindamycin in the treatment of odontofenic infections. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1988; 46: 1065–1070.
- [36] Pogrel MA, Welsby PD. The dentist and prevention of infective endocardititis. Br Dent J 1975; 139: 12–16.
- [37] Cunha BA, D'Ella AA, Pawar N, Scoch D. Viridans streptococcal (Streptococcus intermedius) mitral valve sub acute bacterial endocarditis(SBE) in a patient with mitral valve prolapsed after a dental procedure: the importance of antibiotic prophylaxis Heart Lung 2010; **39**(1): 64–72.
- [38] Thornhill MH, Dayer MJ, Forde JM, Corey GR, Hock G, Chu VH, et al. Impact of the NICE guideline recommending cessation of antibiotic prophylaxis for prevention of infective endocarditis: before and after study. *BMJ* 2011; **342**: d2392.
- [39] French Health Products Safety Agency, Prescribing antibiotics in odontology and stomatology. Recommendations by the French Health Products Safety Agency. *Fundament Clin Pharmacol* 2003; 17: 725–729.
- [40] Piecuch JF, Arzadon J, Lieblich SE. Prophylactic antibiotics for third molar surgery: a supportive opinion. J Oral Maxillofacial Surg 1995; 53: 53-60.
- [41] Rood JP, Murgatroyd J. Metronidazole in the prevention of dry socket. Br J Oral Surg 1979; 17: 62–70.
- [42] Abu-Ta'aM, Quirynen M, Teughles W, Van Steenberghe D. J Clinic Periodontol 2008; 35; 1: 58-63.
- [43] Martinez Lacasa J, Jimence J, Ferras VA. A double blind, placebo-controlled, randomised, comparative phase III clinical trial of pharmacokinetically enhanced amoxicillin\clavunate 2000\125, as prophylaxis or as treatment versus placebo for infectious and inflammatory morbidity after third mandibular removal. Program and Abstracts of the 43rd International Science Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Chicago. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC; 2003.

- [44] Ruggles JE, Hann JR. Antibiotic prophylaxis in intraoral orthognathic surgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1984; 42: 797-801.
- [45] Zijderveld SA, Smeele LE, Kostense PJ, Tuinzing DB. Preoperative antibiotic prophlaxis in orthognathic surgery. A randomised, double blind and placebo-controlled clinical study. *J Oral Maxillofacial Surg* 1999; 57: 1403-1406.
- [46] Danda AK, Wahab A, Narayanan V, Siddareddi A. single dose versus single day antibiotic prophylaxis for orthognathic surgery: a prospective randomized, double blind clinical studt. J Oral Maxillofacial Surg 2010; 68(2): 344–346.
- [47] Paluzzi RG. Antimicrobial propylaxis for surgery. *MedClin N Am* 1993; 77: 427–441.
- [48] Esposito M. Worthigton HV, Loliv, Coutland P, Grusovin MG. Interventions for replacing missing teeth: antibiotics at dental implant placement to prevent complications. *Cochraine Database Syst Rev* 2010; 7: CD 004152.
- [49] Rizzo S, Zampeth P, Rodriquez Y, Baena R, Svanosio P, Lupi SM. Retrospective analysis of 521 endosseus implant placed under antibiotic propylaxis and review of literature, *Minerva Stomatol* 2010; **59**(3): 75–88.
- [50] Larsen PE. Antibiotic prophylaxis for placement of dental implants. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1993; 51(Suppl 3): 194-195.
- [51] Binahmed A, Stoykewych A, Peterson L. Single pre-operative dose versus long term prophylactic antibiotic regimens in dental implant surgery. *Int J Oral Maxillofacial Imp* 2011; 115–117.
- [52] Sharaf B Jandali-Rifai M, Susaria SM, Dodson TB. Do perioperative antibiotics decrease implant failure? J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2011; 69(9): 2345-2350.
- [53] Gynther GW, Kondell PA, Moberg LE, Hemimdahl A. Dental implant installation without antibiotic prophylaxis. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1998; 85: 509-511.
- [54] Ahmad N, Saad N. Effects of antibiotics on dental implants. J Clin Med Res 2012; 4(1): 1–6.
- [55] Harris R, Kelly MA. Antibiotic prophylaxis of the dental patient. Gen Dent 1990; 38: 212–215.
- [56] Pallasch TJ, Slots J. Antibiotic prophylaxis and the medically compromised patient. *Periodontology* 1996; 10; 107–138.
- [57] Hupp J. Medical management of the surgical patient. In: Peterson LJ. Principles of oral and maxillofacial surgery. Philadelphia: Lippincott; 1992, p. 3–18.
- [58] Ali D, Kunzci C. Diabetes Mellitus: Update and relevance for dentistry. *Dent Today* 2011; 12; 45-50.
- [59] LaPorte DM, Waldman BJ, Mont MA, Hungerford DS. Infections associated with dental procedures in total hip arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1999; 81: 56–59.
- [60] Skaar DD, O'Connor H, Hodges JS, Michalueicz BS. Dental procedures and subsequent prosthetic joint infection: Findings from the medicare current beneficiary survey. J Am Dent Assoc 2011; 142(12): 1343–1351.
- [61] Advisory statement. Antibiotic prophylaxis for dental patients with total joint replacements. J Am Dent Assoc 1997; 128: 1004-1008.

- [62] Proctor R, Kumar N, Stein A, Moles D, Porter S. Oral and dental aspects of chronic renal failure. J Dent Res 2005; 84(3): 199–208
- [63] Fabuel LC, Esteve CG, Perez MGS. Dental management in transplant patients. J Clin Exp Dent 2011; 3(1): e43-e52.
- [64] Livornese LL Jr, Slavian D, Gilbert B, Robbins P, Santoro J. Use of antibacterial agents in renal failure. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2004; 18: 551–579.
- [65] Gudapati A, Ahmed P, Rada R. Dental management of patients with renal failure. *GenDent* 2002; 50(6): 508-510.
- [66] De Rossi SS, Glick M. Dental considerations for the patient with renal disease receiving hemodialysis. J Am Dent Assoc 1996; 127(2): 211–219.
- [67] Wu DY, Li G, Zhang Q, Teng LZ, Lu HY. Dental implant restoration in 248 patients with periodontal disease and type 2 diabetes. *Zhonghua Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi* 2011; 46(11): 650-654.
- [68] Klassen JT, Krasko BM. The dental health status of dialysis patients. J Can Dent Assoc 2002; 68(1): 34-38.
- [69] Kerr AR. Update on renal disease for the dental practioner. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2001; 92(1): 9–16.
- [70] Davidovich E, Davidovitis M, Eidleman E, Sshwarz Z, Bimstein E. Pathophysiology, therapy, and oral implications of renal failure in children and adolescents: an update. *Paediatr Dent* 2005; 27(2): 98–106.
- [71] Wilczynska–Borawska M, Baginska J, Malysko J. Dental problems in a potential kidney transplant recipient: Case report and literature review. Ann Acad Med Stetin 2010; 56(2): 51–54.
- [72] Ioannidou E, Shaqman M, Burleson J, Dongari-Bagtzoglou A. Periodontitis case definition affects the association with renal function in kidney transplant recipients. *Oral Dis* 2010; 16(7): 636-642.
- [73] Takuma Y, Nousok K, Makino Y, Hayashi M, Takahashi H. Clinical trial: Oral zinc in hepatic encephalopathy. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2010; **32**(9): 1080–1090.
- [74] Ong JP, Oehler G, Krüger-Jansen C, Lambert-Baumann J, Younossi ZM. Oral L-ornithine-L-aspartate improves healthrelated quality of life in cirrhotic patients with hepatic encephalopathy: an open-label, prospective, multicentre observational study. *Clin Drug Investig* 2011; **31**(4): 213-220.
- [75] Douglas LR, Douglas JB, Sieck JO, Smith PJ. Oral management of the patient with end-stage liver disease and the liver transplant patient. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1998; 86: 55-64.
- [76] Shrout MK, Comer RW, Powell BJ, McCoy BP. Treating the pregnant dental patient: Four basic rules addressed. J Am Dent Assoc 1992; 123(5): 75–80.
- [77] Haas DA, Pynn BR, Sands TD. Drug use for the pregnant and lactating patient. *Gen Dent* 2000; 48(1): 54–60.
- [78] Nakhai–Pour HR, Broy P, Sheehy O, Bérard A. Use of nonaspirin non steroidal anti inflammatory drugs during pregnancy and the risk of spontaneous abortion. CMAJ 2011.