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#### Abstract

A convenient new method for simultaneous estimation of Beclomethasone dipropionate and Salbutamol sulphate, with minimum sample pretreatment is described in this paper. The procedure, based on the multivariate analysis of spectral data in the $220-260 \mathrm{~nm}$ region by the partial least squares method (based on SIMPLS algorithm) has been evaluated. The evaluation of calibration model is relied on the coefficient of determination $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ and root mean square error of calibration (RMSEC). The coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) for the relationship between actual values and predicted values of both drugs was higher than 0.9930 , indicating good accuracy of the developed method while RMSEC values ( 0.8637 ) obtained were relatively low which indicate acceptable precision of analytical method. The experimental calibration and validation matrixes were constructed with 55 and 24 samples, respectively. The concentration range considered was $10.0-60.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for both the drugs. The method was successfully applied for estimation of drugs in pharmaceutical formulation, with no interference with excipients as indicated by the recovery studies. The proposed method is simple, rapid and can be easily used in the quality control of drugs as alternative analysis tools.
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## Introduction

Beclomethasone dipropionate (BEC) chemically is $9 \alpha$-chloro-11 $\beta$-hydroxy-16 $\quad \beta$-methyl-3, $\quad 20$ -dioxopregna-1, 4-diene-17, 21-diyl dipropionate (Fig. 1 A) and Salbutamol sulphate (SAL) chemically is (RS)-1-(4-hydroxy-3-hydroxy-methylphenyl)-2-(tert-
butylamino) ethanol sulphate (Fig. 1-B). Beclomethasone dipropionate is a steroidal drug used in asthma while Salbutamol Sulphate is a $\beta 2$ agonist. There are many drugs in individual form and in combination forms available in the market for the management of bronchial asthma but Salbutamol sulphate in combination with Beclomethasone dipropionate is highly prescribed ${ }^{1}$.

Literature survey reveals, simple $\mathrm{UV}^{2}$, RPHPLC ${ }^{3,4}$, stability indicating HPLC $^{5}$ and UPLC $^{6}$ methods for estimation of Beclomethasone dipropionate and Salbutamol sulphate in combination.

Under computer controlled instrumentation, chemometric methods (multivariate calibration) are playing a very prominent role in the multicomponent analysis of mixtures by UV-VIS spectrophotometry${ }^{7}$. The approach is useful in the resolution of band overlapping in quantitative analysis. The chemometric
methods have been found to be the method of choice for complexed mixtures. The advantage of multicomponent analysis using multivariate calibration is the speed of the determination of the components in a mixture, avoiding a preliminary separation step ${ }^{8}$. Control analysis on pharmaceutical preparations using the chemometric method has been proved to be a valid alternative to HPLC.

To the best of our knowledge no chemometricassisted UV spectrophotometric method has been reported for simultaneous estimation of Beclomethasone dipropionate and Salbutamol sulphate hence, the work was undertaken.

## Materials and Methods

Instrumentation: Double beam UV- Vis spectrophotometer (Jasco V-550, Japan) with matched pair of 1 cm quartz cells were used to record spectra of all solutions. Spectra were acquired between 220-260 nm (data pitch 1 nm ) i.e. from 41 different wavelengths. The spectra were recorded at scanning speed 400 $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{min}$. PLS toolbox (version 4) with MATLAB 7.0 and Microsoft Excel 2007 were used for application of chemometric and mathematical calculations.
Reagents and chemicals: Authentic sample of Beclomethasone dipropionate and Salbutamol sulphate were obtained from Cipla Pharmaceuticals Ltd (Mumbai, MH, India) as gift samples. The formulation Aerocort Rotacaps ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Cipla Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Mumbai, MH, India) labeled to contain Beclomethasone dipropionate (IP) 100 mg and Levosalbutamol sulphate (IP) equivalent to salbutamol 100 mg was procured from local market. Methanol AR
grade was obtained from S.D. Fine Chem. Ltd (Mumbai, MH, India).
Preparation of standard stock and working solutions: Standard solutions of each drug BEC and SAL were prepared by separately dissolving 10 mg of each drug in 10 ml methanol ( $1000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). From this solution, further 1 ml was pipetted and diluted to 10 ml $(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$. Working solutions were prepared from standard stock solution of $(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ by appropriate dilution to obtain final concentration of $10.0-60.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for both the drugs.
Construction of calibration and validation set: The calibration and validation mixture sets were prepared by mixing the BEC and SAL solutions in different ratios varying within their individual linearity range viz. $10.0-60.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. A total of 79 mixtures were prepared out of which 55 were used for calibration set whereas, the rest 24 served as validation set (Table 1 and Table 2). The validation set was randomly selected from linearity range. All the mixtures were scanned at 220 260 nm range. The PLS model was developed utilizing absorption data using MATLAB software.
Preparation of sample solution for assay: Twenty capsules (Aerocort Rotacaps ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) were emptied and weighed. Powder equivalent to 10 mg of BEC and 12.04 mg of SAL (equivalent to 10 mg of salbutamol) was transferred to 10 ml volumetric flask and was diluted with methanol, sonicated for 10 minutes and volume was made to 10 ml . Solution was filtered and further dilutions were made with methanol to get final concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of BEC and $12.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of SAL. Procedure was repeated for six times. \% recovery was determined by applying developed PLS model (Table 3).
Accuracy: To check accuracy of the method, recovery studies were carried out by spiking the standard drug to the Aerocort Rotacaps ${ }^{\circledR}$ sample solution (assay solution) at three different levels of 50,100 and $150 \%$. Basic concentration of sample solution chosen was 10 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $12.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for BEC and SAL, respectively. Results are depicted in Table 4 as \% recovery.

## Results and Discussion

The overlay of absorption UV spectra of pure BEC and SAL in methanol in wavelength range of $220-260 \mathrm{~nm}$ is shown in Fig. 2, which exhibited excessive overlapping of drugs making the difficulty of analysis of these drugs using UV spectrophotometry without any treatment, hence PLS was applied.

Quantitative analysis of BEC and SAL was performed with the aid of PLS calibration (multivariate method). The first step in multivariate methods involves constructing the calibration matrix. To confirm good predictability of generated model the 2D scores plot for the first two latent variables (LVs) of the whole calibration matrix was obtained to confirm the well position of the mixtures in space, orthogonality, symmetry and rotatability as indicated in Fig. 3. Mean centering of the data was done for getting the optimum results. Leave one out (LOO) cross validation is used in our study for optimizing the number of PLS components and is calculated using below formula,

$$
\mathrm{RMSECV}=\sqrt{\sum \frac{(\text { Cact-Cpre })^{2}}{I c}}
$$

Where,
RMSECV = Root mean square error of cross validation Cact $=$ actual concentration of calibration set Cpre $=$ predicted concentration of validation set Ic = Total number of samples in calibration set

The generated model showed RMSECV value of 0.9088 . The selection of the optimum number of LVs was a very important preconstruction step, if the number of factors retained was more than required, more noise would be added to the data; if the number retained was too small, meaningful data that could be necessary for the calibration might be lost. After the PLS model has been constructed, it was found that the optimum number of LVs described by the developed models was two factors as shown in Fig. 4.

After optimization of parameters and calibration step, model was applied successfully for analysis of BEC and SAL in validation set consisting of 24 samples (Table 2). The plot of the predicted concentration versus actual values is shown in Fig. 5. The results suggested that the present method is accurate in concern to the validation samples, as suggested by the low RMSE (root mead square error) and REP (relative error of prediction) value for validation set.

In order to test the applicability of the proposed method, the produced model was used to predict the concentrations of the BEC and SAL in pharmaceutical formulation. The mean assay results obtained were $100.04 \%$ and $99.99 \%$ for BEC and SAL respectively (Table 3). Accuracy results obtained also showed almost 100 \% recovery for both the drugs with \% RSD of less than 2 (Table 4).

Table 1: Concentration data of the different mixtures of BEC and SAL used in the calibration set

| Mix. No. | BEC $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | SAL ( $\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Mix. No. | BEC $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | SAL $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | 10 | 29 | 30 | 10 |
| 2 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 15 |
| 3 | 10 | 20 | 31 | 30 | 20 |
| 4 | 10 | 25 | 32 | 30 | 25 |
| 5 | 10 | 30 | 33 | 30 | 30 |


| 6 | 10 | 35 | 34 | 30 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 10 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 40 |
| 8 | 15 | 10 | 36 | 35 | 10 |
| 9 | 15 | 15 | 37 | 35 | 15 |
| 10 | 15 | 20 | 38 | 35 | 20 |
| 11 | 15 | 25 | 39 | 35 | 25 |
| 12 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 35 | 30 |
| 13 | 15 | 35 | 41 | 35 | 35 |
| 14 | 15 | 40 | 42 | 35 | 40 |
| 15 | 20 | 10 | 43 | 40 | 10 |
| 16 | 20 | 15 | 44 | 40 | 15 |
| 17 | 20 | 20 | 45 | 40 | 20 |
| 18 | 20 | 25 | 46 | 40 | 25 |
| 19 | 20 | 30 | 47 | 40 | 30 |
| 20 | 20 | 35 | 48 | 40 | 35 |
| 21 | 20 | 40 | 49 | 40 | 40 |
| 22 | 25 | 10 | 50 | 50 | 10 |
| 23 | 25 | 15 | 51 | 50 | 20 |
| 24 | 25 | 20 | 52 | 10 | 50 |
| 25 | 25 | 25 | 53 | 15 | 60 |
| 26 | 25 | 30 | 54 | 10 | 60 |
| 27 | 25 | 35 | 55 | 60 | 15 |
| 28 | 25 | 40 | - | - | - |

Table 2: Concentration data of the different mixtures of BEC and SAL used in the validation set along with its prediction data and \% recovery

| Mix. No. |  | SAL <br> Actual <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { BEC } \\ \text { Predicted } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BEC } \\ \text { \% recovery } \end{gathered}$ | SAL <br> Predicted ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | SAL <br> \% recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | 15 | 10.024 | 100.241 | 14.882 | 99.213 |
| 2 | 10 | 25 | 10.018 | 100.184 | 25.101 | 100.406 |
| 3 | 10 | 35 | 10.051 | 100.510 | 35.073 | 100.208 |
| 4 | 15 | 10 | 14.931 | 99.541 | 9.991 | 99.907 |
| 5 | 15 | 20 | 14.880 | 99.197 | 19.888 | 99.439 |
| 6 | 15 | 30 | 14.885 | 99.232 | 29.963 | 99.877 |
| 7 | 15 | 40 | 14.898 | 99.318 | 40.090 | 100.225 |
| 8 | 20 | 15 | 19.901 | 99.503 | 14.879 | 99.191 |
| 9 | 20 | 25 | 20.371 | 101.854 | 25.013 | 100.052 |
| 10 | 20 | 35 | 20.206 | 101.031 | 34.874 | 99.640 |
| 11 | 25 | 10 | 25.313 | 101.253 | 10.119 | 101.186 |
| 12 | 25 | 20 | 24.894 | 99.576 | 19.997 | 99.984 |
| 13 | 25 | 30 | 25.258 | 101.032 | 30.044 | 100.146 |
| 14 | 25 | 40 | 25.190 | 100.761 | 40.422 | 101.055 |
| 15 | 30 | 15 | 30.292 | 100.972 | 15.203 | 101.353 |
| 16 | 30 | 25 | 30.261 | 100.870 | 25.278 | 101.111 |
| 17 | 30 | 35 | 30.015 | 100.052 | 35.112 | 100.319 |
| 18 | 35 | 10 | 34.887 | 99.678 | 10.106 | 101.058 |
| 19 | 35 | 20 | 34.859 | 99.598 | 19.808 | 99.040 |
| 20 | 35 | 30 | 35.055 | 100.157 | 30.186 | 100.619 |
| 21 | 35 | 40 | 34.960 | 99.885 | 39.875 | 99.688 |
| 22 | 40 | 15 | 40.112 | 100.281 | 14.982 | 99.877 |
| 23 | 40 | 25 | 40.134 | 100.335 | 25.188 | 100.751 |
| 24 | 40 | 35 | 39.890 | 99.726 | 34.802 | 99.435 |
| Mean \% recovery |  |  | - | 100.199 | - | 100.157 |

Table 3: Result for BEC and SAL obtained from commercial formulations (assay)

| Mix. <br> No | BEC |  |  | SAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual Conc. ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Predicted Conc. ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | \% Purity | Actual Conc. ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Predicted Conc. ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | \% Purity |
| 1 | 10 | 9.8983 | 98.983 | 12.04 | 12.0116 | 99.6896 |
| 2 | 10 | 9.9708 | 99.708 | 12.04 | 12.2321 | 101.52 |
| 3 | 10 | 10.1038 | 101.038 | 12.04 | 12.1136 | 100.536 |
| 4 | 10 | 10.0212 | 100.212 | 12.04 | 12.1001 | 100.424 |
| 5 | 10 | 9.9191 | 99.191 | 12.04 | 11.9212 | 98.9393 |
| 6 | 10 | 10.1104 | 101.104 | 12.04 | 11.9111 | 98.8555 |
| Mean | - | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10.0039 \\ & 0.09062 \end{aligned}$ | 100.039 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12.0483 \\ & 0.12414 \end{aligned}$ | 99.9941 |
| SD |  | 0.90584 | 0.9062 |  | 1.03036 | 1.0303 |
| \%RSD |  |  | 0.90584 |  |  | 8.55143 |

Table 4: Accuracy results for BEC and SAL by proposed PLS method



Fig. 1: Chemical structures of (A) Beclomethasone dipropionate and (B) Salbutamol sulphate


Fig. 2: Overlaid spectra of Beclomethasone dipropionate and Salbutamol sulphate ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ each )


Fig. 3: 2D scores plot for the first two latent variables (LV 1 Versus LV 2) generated by using MATLAB software
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Fig. 4: Plot of PRESS versus number of factors by PLS method


Fig. 5: Plots of predicted concentration versus actual concentration for BEC and SAL by PLS method

## Conclusion

Partial least-square regression model was successfully developed and validated for determination of Beclomethasone dipropionate and salbutamol sulphate. The resulting model was proved for its efficiency by determination of these drugs in test set samples. The proposed methods do not need prior separation of drugs before analysis. The model was also successfully used to evaluate the content of these drugs in Aerocort Rotacaps ${ }^{\circledR}$ capsules. In addition, the proposed methods can be applied for analysis of drugs in quality control lab as well as for in-process quality control.
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