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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a fuzzy graph. A subset $D$ of $V$ is said to be Fuzzy dominating set if every vertex $u \in V(G)$ there exists a vertex $v \in V-D$ such that $u v \in E(G)$ and $\mu(u v) \leqslant \sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(v)$. The minimum Cardinality of fuzzy dominating set is denoted by $\gamma^{f}$. A graph $G$ is said to be fuzzy excellent if every vertex of $G$ belongs to $\gamma^{f}$-sets of $G$. In this paper, we give a construction to imbedded non-excellent fuzzy graph $G$ in an excellent fuzzy graph $H$ such that $\gamma^{f}(H) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+2$. We also show that for a given non-excellent fuzzy graph $G$, there is subdivision of $G$ which is fuzzy excellent. Also, we introduce the concept of $\gamma^{f}$-flexible, fuzzy bridge independent dominating number $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}$ and obtain some interesting results for this new parameter in excellent fuzzy graphs.


## 1. Introduction

A mathematical frame work to describe the phenomena of uncertainty in real life situation is first suggested by L. A. Zadeh in 1965. Rosenfield [8] introduced the notion of fuzzy graphs and several fuzzy analogs of graph theoretic concepts Such as Path, Cycle and Connectedness. The study of dominating sets in graphs was begun by Orge and Berge. V. R. Kulli [10] wrote on theory of domination in graphs. A. Somasundaram, S. Somasundaram [9] presented the concepts of Domination in fuzzy graphs. Here we introduced the concept of Excellent domination in fuzzy graphs and their related concepts.

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A fuzzy graph $G=(\sigma, \mu)$ is a pair of functions $\sigma: V \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $\mu: V \times V \rightarrow[0,1]$ where for all $u, v \in V$, we have $\mu(u, v) \leqslant \sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(v)$.

[^0]Definition 2.2. The order $p$ and size $q$ of a fuzzy graph $G=(\sigma, \mu)$ are defined to be $p=\sum_{x \in V} \sigma(x)$ and $q=\sum_{x y \in E} \mu(x y)$.

Definition 2.3. The degree of vertex $u$ is defined as the sum of the weights of the edges incident at $u$ and is denoted by $d(u)$.

Definition 2.4. A subset $D$ of $V$ is called an fuzzy dominating set if for every $v \in V-D$ there exists a vertex $u \in D$ such that $u v \in E(G)$ such that $\mu(u v) \leqslant \sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(v)$. The minimum cardinality of such a dominating set is denoted by $\gamma^{f}$ and is called the fuzzy domination number of $G$.

## 3. Main definitions and Results

Definition 3.1. A fuzzy graph $G$ is said to be fuzzy excellent if for every vertex of $G$ belongs to $\gamma^{f}$-sets of $G$. A vertex which belongs to $\gamma^{f}$-set is called Fuzzy good. (i.e) A Fuzzy graph $G$ is said to be Fuzzy excellent if for every vertex of $G$ is Fuzzy good.

Example 3.1.


Here $\gamma^{f}$-sets of $G$ are $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{5}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{4}\right\}$. Hence Every vertex is Fuzzy good. Therefore $G$ is Fuzzy excellent.

Definition 3.2. A Fuzzy graph $G$ is said to be vertex-transitive if given any two vertices $u$ and $v(\neq u)$ of $G$, there is an automorphism $\phi^{f}$ of $G$ such that $\phi^{f}(u)=v$.

Theorem 3.1. Every vertex transitive fuzzy graph $G$ is fuzzy excellent.
Proof. Let $G$ be a vertex transitive fuzzy graph and $D$ be a $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G$. Let $u \in V(G)$, select any vertex $v \in D$ such that $\mu(u v) \leqslant \sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(v)$. As $G$ is vertex transitive, there is an automorphism $\phi^{f}$ of $G$ which maps $v$ to $u$. Let $D^{\prime}=\phi^{f}(D)=\left\{\phi^{f}(w) / w \in D\right\}$. Now we claim that $D^{\prime}$ is also $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G$. As $\phi^{f}$ is an automorphism, $\left|D^{\prime}\right|=|D|=\gamma^{f}(G)$. Let $a$ be a vertex of $G$ not in $D^{\prime}$ and let $b \in V(G)$ be such that $\phi^{f}(b)=a$. As $a \notin D^{\prime}, b \notin D$ and $D$ is $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G, b \in N\left(w_{1}\right)$ for some $w_{1} \in D$. Then $a=\phi^{f}(b) \in N\left[\phi^{f}\left(w_{1}\right)\right]$. Hence $D^{\prime}$ is a
dominating set of $G$ and as $\left|D^{\prime}\right|=\gamma^{f}(G), D^{\prime}$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G$. Thus given any vertex ' $a$ ' of $G$, there is a $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G$ containing ' $a$ '. Therefore $G$ is Excellent fuzzy graph.

Theorem 3.2. Let $G$ be a non-fuzzy excellent graph. Then there exist a fuzzy graph $H$ such that
(i) $H$ is $\gamma^{f}$-fuzzy excellent.
(ii) $\gamma^{f}(G)<\gamma^{f}(H) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+2$.
(iii) $G$ is an induced subgraph of $H$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a non-fuzzy excellent graph. Let $Z$ be a set of all fuzzy good vertices of $G$ and $T$ be the set of all fuzzy bad vertices of $G$. Since $G$ is non-fuzzy excellent, $T \neq \phi$. Let $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots t_{n}\right\}$ and $T^{*}$ be non-empty subset of $T$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{f}\left(G-T^{*}\right) \geqslant \gamma^{f}(G)-\left|T^{*}\right|+1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\gamma^{f}\left(G-T^{*}\right) \geqslant \gamma^{f}(G)-\left|T^{*}\right|+1$, then we say that $T^{*}$ is an optimal fuzzy bad set. If $T^{*}$ is an optimal fuzzy bad set and $G-T^{*}$ is $\gamma^{f}$-fuzzy excellent then we say that $T$ is an extreme optimal fuzzy bad set.
Case 1: Let $|T|=1$ (i.e., $n=1$ ) and add a new vertex ' $t$ ' and join it with $t_{1}$ such that $\mu\left(t t_{1}\right) \leqslant \sigma(t) \wedge \sigma\left(t_{1}\right)$. In this case the resulting graph is fuzzy excellent graph $H$. Clearly $G$ is an induced subgraph of $H, \gamma^{f}(H)=\gamma^{f}(G)+1$. For every $\gamma^{f}$-set $D$ of $G, D \cup\{t\}$ and $D \cup\left\{t_{1}\right\}$ are $\gamma^{f}(H)$-sets of $G$. Therefore $H$ is $\gamma^{f}$-fuzzy excellent.
Case 2: Assume that $|T| \geqslant 2$ and $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\}$. Now we assume that there is a non-empty subset $T^{*}$ of $T$ such that $T^{*}$ is extreme optimal fuzzy bad set. Let $T^{*}=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$. In this case we construct $H$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(H)=V(G) \cup\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \\
E(H)=E(G) \cup\left\{v_{i} t_{i} / i=1,2, \ldots, k\right\} \text { and } \mu\left(v_{i} t_{i}\right) \leqslant \sigma\left(v_{i}\right) \wedge \sigma\left(t_{i}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then obviously
(i) $G$ is induced subgraph of $H$.
(ii) $\gamma^{f}(G) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(H)$
(iii) $\gamma^{f}(H)=\gamma^{f}(G)+1$, for each set $\gamma^{f}$-set $D$ of $G-T^{*}, D \cup T^{*}$ is a dominating set for $H$.
(iv) $H$ is fuzzy excellent.

Case 3: Let us consider the dominating set $D$ of $G$ such that $T \subset D$ and $|D|=\gamma^{f}(G)+1$. We construct a fuzzy graph $H_{1}$ as follows. Let $\left\{t, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{n}, w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots w_{n}\right\}$ be a set disjoint with $V(G)$. Let

$$
V\left(H_{0}\right)=V(G) \cup\left\{t, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}, w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots w_{n}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(H_{0}\right)=E(G) \cup\left\{v_{i} t_{i} / i\right. & =1,2, \ldots, n\} \\
\cup\left\{v_{i} w_{j}, w_{i} w_{j} / i \neq j, i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\} & \cup\left\{t w_{j} / j=1,2, \ldots, n\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $G$ is an induced subgraph of $H_{1}$. Let

$$
V_{1}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}, V_{2}=\left\{t, w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\} \text { and } V_{0}=V(G) .
$$

If $D$ is a dominating set of $G$, then $D \cup\left\{v_{1}, w_{1}\right\}$ is a dominating set of $H_{1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{f}\left(H_{1}\right) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+2 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $S$ is a minimum dominating set of $H_{1}$, then $\left|S \cap V_{2}\right| \geqslant 1$. If $\left|S \cap V_{2}\right|=1$, then either $S \cap V_{1} \neq \emptyset$ or $S \cap T \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $S \cap V_{1}$ is empty, since any $v_{i} \in S$ can be replaced by $t_{i}$. Then $S-V_{2}$ is a dominating set of $G$. If $\left|S \cap V_{2}\right|=1$, then by our assumption $S \cap V_{1}$ is empty, the set $S-V_{2}$ contains a vertex from $T$ and $\left|T-V_{2}\right| \geqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+1$. If $\left|T \cap V_{2}\right| \geqslant 2$ then $\left|S \cap V_{2}\right| \geqslant \gamma^{f}(G)$. In either case $|S| \geqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+2$ and $\gamma^{f}\left(H_{1}\right)=\gamma^{f}(G)+2$. We now show that $H_{1}$ is fuzzy excellent,
(1) If $a \in Z$, there is a $\gamma^{f}(G)$-set $D$ of $G$ containing ' $a$ '. Then $D \cup\left\{v_{1}, w_{1}\right\}$ is a $\gamma^{f}\left(H_{1}\right)$-set;
(2) For each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, D \cup\left\{t_{i}, w_{i}\right\}$ and $D \cup\left\{v_{i}, w_{i}\right\}$ are $\gamma^{f}\left(H_{1}\right)$ sets of $H_{1}$, where $D$ is any $\gamma^{f}(G)$ set of $G$;
(3) If $T$ is an optimal fuzzy bad set, take a $\gamma^{f}$-set $S$ for $G-T$, then $\left|S_{1}\right|=$ $\gamma^{f}(G)-n+1$ and $S \cup V_{1} \cup\{t\}$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set for $H_{1}$.

Case 4: Let $G$ be a fuzzy graph which cannot be considered under any of the above cases. Construct the graph $H_{1}$ as in Case 3. Let $H=H_{1}-\{t\}$, whenever $D$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G, D \cup\left\{v_{1}, w_{1}\right\}$ is a dominating set for $H$. So $\gamma^{f}(H) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+2$. Let $S$ be a $\gamma^{f}$-set for $H$. The set $S$ should contain atleast one element from $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, where $V_{1}=\left\{v_{i} / i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}, V_{2}=\left\{w_{i} / i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. Let $V_{0}=V(G)$.
Subcase 1: Let $S \cap V_{1}=\emptyset$. Then either $\left|S \cap V_{2}\right|=2$ or $\left|S \cap V_{2}\right|=1$ and $S \cap T \neq \emptyset$. As $S \cap V_{1}=\emptyset, S \cap V_{0}$ is a dominating set for $G$ and hence

$$
\left|S \cap V_{0}\right| \geqslant \begin{cases}\gamma^{f}(G) & \text { if } S \cap T=\emptyset \\ \gamma^{f}(G)+1 & \text { if } S \cap T \neq \emptyset\end{cases}
$$

Thus in this case $|S| \geqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+2$.
Subcase 2: Let $S \cap V_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\left|S \cap\left(V_{1} \cap V_{2}\right)\right| \geqslant 2$. Let $T^{\prime}=\left\{t_{i} / v_{i} \in S\right\}$. Then $S \cap V_{0}$ dominates $G-T^{\prime}$. Hence $\left(S \cap V_{0}\right) \cup T^{\prime}$ dominates of $G$ and contains atleast one bad vertex in $G$. Then $\left|\left(S \cap V_{0}\right) \cup T^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+1$ and $\left|\left(S \cap V_{0}\right)\right| \geqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+1-\left|T^{\prime}\right|$. As $\left|T^{\prime}\right|=\left|\left(S \cap V_{1}\right)\right|$ it follows that if $T \cap V_{2} \neq \emptyset$, then $|S| \geqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+2$. As $S \cap V_{1}$ does not dominate any vertex in $V_{1}-S$, if $S \cap V_{2}=\emptyset$, then $S \cap V_{0}$ must contain $T-T^{\prime}$. In this case $\left(S \cap V_{0}\right) \cup T^{\prime}$ is a dominating set for $G$, containing $T$. Hence $\left|\left(T \cap V_{0}\right) \cup T^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+2$. So, $|S|=\left|S \cap V_{0}\right|+\left|S \cap V_{1}\right|=\left|S \cap V_{0}\right|+\left|T^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+2$. $H$ is $\gamma^{f}$-excellent:
(1) Given any vertex $a \in Z$, let $D$ be any $\gamma^{f}(G)$ set for $G$ containing ' $a^{\prime}$. then $D \cap\left\{v_{i}, w_{i}\right\}$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set for $H$ containing $v_{i}, w_{i}$ and $a$.
(2) Let $D$ be any $\gamma^{f}(G)$-set for $G$ and $t_{i} \in T$. Then $D \cap\left\{t_{i}, w_{i}\right\}$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set for $H$ containing $t_{i}$ and $w_{i}$.

Definition 3.3. (Subdivision of fuzzy graph). Let $G$ be a fuzzy graph. Then $S^{f}(G)$ denotes the Subdivision of fuzzy graph $G$ and is obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge of $G$ once. A fuzzy graph $H$ is said to be a Subdivision of $G$, if it is obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge of $G$ at most once.

Theorem 3.3. If a fuzzy graph $G$ is not excellent, then there is a Subdivision of fuzzy graph $H$ of $G$ which is excellent.

Proof. Let $G$ be a fuzzy graph which is not excellent. Let $A$ be the set of all good vertices of $G$ and let $B=V(G)-A$. Since $G$ is not excellent, $B \neq \emptyset$. Then fix one $x \in B$. Among all the $\gamma^{f}$-sets of $G$, select one $\gamma^{f}$-set $D_{1}$ such that $\left|N^{f}(x) \cap D_{1}\right|$ is maximum. Let $V_{1}=N^{f}(x) \cap D_{1} \subseteq A$. For each $y \in N^{f}(x) \cap D_{1}$, subdivide the edge $x y$. Let $w_{y}$ be the vertex introduced while subdividing the edge $x y$, such that $\mu(x y) \leqslant \sigma(x) \wedge \sigma(y)$. Let the resulting graph be $H_{1}$. Also, $V\left(H_{1}\right)=V(G) \cup\left\{w_{y} / y \in N^{f}(x) \cap D_{1}\right.$ in $\left.G\right\}$. As $D_{1} \cup\{x\}$ is dominating set for $H_{1}, \gamma^{f}\left(H_{1}\right) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+1$. Now we have to prove that $\gamma^{f}\left(H_{1}\right)=\gamma^{f}(G)+1$. Assume that $\gamma^{f}\left(H_{1}\right)=\gamma^{f}(G)$ and let $S$ be a $\gamma^{f}$-set of $H_{1}$. If $x \notin S$ and $x_{y} \notin S, \forall y \in V_{1}$, then $V_{1} \subseteq S$. Therefore, $S$ must contain at least one vertex of $N^{f}(x) \cap(V(G)-$ $\left.V_{1}\right)$. As $|S|=\gamma^{f}(G)$ and $w_{y} \notin S, \forall y \in S, S$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set for $G$ also. Hence, $S \cap\left(N^{f}(x) \cap\left(V(G)-V_{1}\right) \subseteq A,\left|S \cap N^{f}(x)\right|>\left|D_{1} \cap N\left(x_{1}\right)\right|\right.$ which is contradiction to the selection of $D_{1}$. Thus $S$ must contain either $x$ or at least one $w_{y}$. If $x \in S$, then take $S_{1}=\left(S \cup\left\{y / w_{y} \in S\right\}\right)-\left\{w_{y} / w_{y} \in S\right\}$. Then $S_{1}$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set for $G$ and as $x \in S, x \in A$ which is a contradiction. Hence $x \notin S$ and $w_{y} \in S$ for some $y$. Fix one $y_{0}$ such that $y_{0} \in S$. Then $S_{2}=\left(S \cup\left\{y / y \neq y_{0}, w_{y} \in S\right\}\right)-\left\{w_{y} / y \neq y_{0}, w_{y} \in S\right\}$ is also a dominating set for $H_{1}$. Note that $x \notin S_{2}, w_{y} \notin S_{2}$ for every $y \neq y_{0}$ and $w_{y} \in S_{2}$. Thus $S_{2} \cup\{x\}-\left\{w y_{0}\right\}$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set for $G$ which is a contradiction as $x \notin A$. Then $\gamma^{f}\left(H_{1}\right) \neq \gamma^{f}(G)$. Hence $\gamma^{f}\left(H_{1}\right)=\gamma^{f}(G)+1$. For each $y \in V_{1}, D_{1} \cup\left\{w_{y}\right\}$ and $D_{1} \cup\{x\}$ are $\gamma^{f}$-sets of $H_{1}$. Let $a \in A$ and $D^{*}$ be a $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G$ such that $a \subseteq D^{*}$. Then $D^{*} \cup\{x\}$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set of $H_{1}$ containing ' $a$ '. In $H_{1}$, the set of all vertices which are good and contains $A \cup\left\{x, w_{y} / y \in V_{1}\right\}$ and hence the set of all bad vertices in $H_{1}$, is a proper subset of $B$. Thus we see that
(i) $H_{1}$ is a subdivision of $G$.
(ii) The set of all bad vertices of $H_{1}$, is a proper subset of the set of all bad vertices of $G$.
(iii) If $x_{0}$ is a bad vertex of $H_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set of $H_{1}$ such that, $\left|N^{f}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap D_{2}\right|$ is maximum, then obtain a subdivision $H_{2}$ of $H_{1}$ by subdividing the edges $x_{0} y, y \in N^{f}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap D_{2}, N^{f}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset V(G)$. As $N^{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is contained in $V(G)$, the subdivision $H_{2}$ of $H_{1}$ is a subdivision of $G$. That is, the edges $H_{1}$ which are subdivides to obtain $H_{2}$ are edges in $G$ and they are not subdivided while obtaining $H_{2}$.
Therefore, the number of bad vertices in $H_{2}<$ the number of bad vertices of $H_{1}<$ the number of bad vertices of $G$.
Proceeding like this, we obtain a finite sequence $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{k}$ of subdivision of $G$ with the following property:
(i) Each $H_{i+1}$ is a subdivision of $H_{i}$.
(ii) The number of bad vertices of $H_{i+1}<$ the number of bad vertices of $H_{i}$. Hence for some $k_{1},(\leqslant|B|)$, we obtain an excellent graph $H_{k}$.

Definition 3.4. (Fuzzy excellent subdivision number) For a given fuzzy graph $G$, if $S^{f}(G)$ is a subdivision of $G,\left|V\left(S^{f}(G)\right)-V(G)\right|$ is denoted by $P\left(S^{f}(G)\right)$, then
$\min \left\{P\left(S^{f}(G)\right): S^{f}(G)\right.$ is a subdivision of $G$ and $S^{f}(G)$ is fuzzy excellent $\}$ is called the fuzzy excellent subdivision number of $G$ and is denoted by $E S^{f} d_{n}(G)$, We note that
(i) If $G$ itself is fuzzy excellent, then $E S^{f} d_{n}(G)=0$.
(ii) For $G=K_{1, n}(n \geqslant 2), E S^{f} d_{n}(G)=n-1$.
(iii) $E S^{f} d_{n}(G)=1$ if $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. If $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $n \geqslant 6, P_{n}$ has exactly $\frac{n}{3}$ good vertices and $\frac{2 n}{3}$ bad vertices, while subdividing any one of the edges of $P_{n}$, it becomes an excellent graph. Thus $E S^{f} d_{n}(G)=1$ while $\left|B\left(P_{n}\right)\right|=\frac{2 n}{3}$.

## Example 3.2.



Also $P_{3}$ contains one good vertex and two bad vertex and $E S^{f} d_{n}\left(P_{3}\right)=1$.

## 4. Fuzzy Bridge independent graph

Definition 4.1. (Fuzzy Bridge) $A$ subset $S$ of $G$ is said to be fuzzy bridge independent dominating set of $G$ if every $u \in S$, there exists a vertex $v \in V-S$ such that $u v \in E$ and $\mu(u v) \leqslant \sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(v)$ does not increase the component of $G$.

Definition 4.2. (Fuzzy Bridge dominating set) $A$ dominating set $D$ of $G$ is said to be an fuzzy bridge independent dominating set, if $\langle D\rangle$ contains no bridge of $G$. The minimum cardinality of a fuzzy bridge independent dominating set of $G$ is said to be the fuzzy bridge independent domination numner and is denoted by $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}(G)$.

Definition 4.3. A fuzzy graph $G$ is said to be $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}$-excellent if each vertex of $G$ is in some $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}$-set of $G$.

Example 4.1.


Therefore every vertex of $G$ belongs to $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}(G)$. Hence $G$ is $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}$-fuzzy excellent.
Definition 4.4. A fuzzy graph $G$ is said to be $\gamma^{f}$-flexible, if given any vertex $u \in G$, there is a $\gamma^{f}$-set $S$ of $G$ not containing $u$.

Proosition 4.1. Every connected fuzzy graph $G$ of order $P$ is an fuzzy induced subgraph of a $\gamma^{f}$-excellent, $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}$-excellent, $\gamma^{f}$-flexible graph $H$ of order $P+\gamma^{f}(G)+1$ and further $\gamma^{f}(G) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(H) \leqslant \gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}(H) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+1$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a connected fuzzy graph of order $P$. Let $D=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{m}\right\}$ be a $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G$. Let us construct a fuzzy graph $H$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(H)=V(G) \cup\left\{d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{m}^{\prime}, w\right\} \\
E(H)=E(G) \cup\left\{d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime} / i=1,2, \ldots, m \text { and } \mu\left(d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \sigma\left(d_{i}\right) \wedge \sigma\left(d_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
\cup\{d w / d \subset V(G)-D \& \mu(d w) \leqslant \sigma(d) \wedge \sigma(w)\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly $\gamma^{f}(H)=\gamma^{f}(G)+1$. Now let $S=\left\{d_{i}, w / i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$. To each $i$, let $S_{i}=\left\{d_{i}, d_{i}^{\prime}, w / j \neq i\right\}$. To each $u \in V(G)-D$, let $S_{u}=\left\{v, d_{i} / i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$ and $S_{0}=\left\{d_{i}^{\prime}, w / i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$. The sets $S, S_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant m), S_{u}(u \notin D)$ and $S_{0}$ are $\gamma^{f}-$ sets of $H$. It follows that $H$ is $\gamma^{f}$-excellent, $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}$-excellent, $\gamma_{i}^{f}$-excellent, $\gamma^{f}$-flexible and $\gamma^{f}(G) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(H) \leqslant \gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}(H) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(G)+1$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be two $\gamma^{f}$-sets for $G$. Let uv be an edge in $X_{1}$ such that $\mu(u v) \leqslant \sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(v)$, which is a fuzzy bridge in $G$. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the components of $G-u v$. Let $A_{i}=X_{1} \cap G_{i}$ and $B_{i}=X_{2} \cap G_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then $A_{i} \cup B_{j}$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set for $G$, where $\{i, j\}=\{1,2\}$.

Proof. If $A_{i}$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set for $G_{i}$, then $A_{i}$ is a fuzzy dominating set for $G_{i}$. Suppose $A_{i}$ is not a $\gamma^{f}$-set for $G_{i}$. Let C be a $\gamma^{f}$-set for $G_{i}$. Clearly $|C|<\left|A_{i}\right|$ and $C \cup A_{j}, j \neq i$ is a dominating set for G . Thus

$$
\left|C \cup A_{j}\right|=|C|+\left|A_{j}\right|<\left|A_{i}\right|+\left|A_{j}\right|=\left|X_{1}\right|=\gamma^{f},
$$

which is contradiction. Therefore, $A_{i}$ is $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G_{i}$. Let $A_{i}$ dominates $G_{i} \cup\{u v\}$ and $B_{i}$ dominates $G_{i}-\{u v\}$. Therefore $D_{1}=A_{1} \cup B_{2}$ and $D_{3}=A_{2} \cup B_{1}$ are fuzzy dominating sets for G.

$$
2 \gamma^{f}(G) \leqslant\left|D_{1}\right|+\left|D_{2}\right|=\left|A_{1}\right|+\left|B_{2}\right|+\left|A_{2}\right|+\left|B_{1}\right|=\left|X_{1}\right|+\left|X_{2}\right|=2 \gamma^{f}(G) .
$$

Hence $\left|D_{1}\right|=\left|D_{2}\right|=\gamma^{f}(G)$. Thus $A_{i} \cup B_{j}, i \neq j$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set for $G$.
Lemma 4.2. If $G$ is $\gamma^{f}$-excellent and $\gamma^{f}$-flexible, then $G$ is $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}$-excellent. Further $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}(G)=\gamma^{f}(G)$.

Proof. Let us assume that $G$ be connected. Let $u$ be a vertex in $G$. Since $G$ is $\gamma^{f}$-excellent, there is a $\gamma^{f}$-set $X_{1}$ containing $u$. Let $e=a b$ be bridge in $G$ and let $d^{f}(e)=\min \left\{d^{f}(u, a), d^{f}(u, b)\right\}$. Label the bridges of $G$ as $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}$ such that, $i<j$ and $d^{f}\left(e_{i}\right) \leqslant d^{f}\left(e_{j}\right)$. This is possible only when each $e_{i}$ is an bridge. If $\left\langle X_{1}\right\rangle$ contains no bridge $e_{i}$, then $X_{1}$ is a $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}$-set containing $u$. Suppose that $\left\langle X_{1}\right\rangle$ contains some $e_{i}$. Assume that $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots e_{i-1} \notin\left\langle X_{1}\right\rangle$ and $e_{i} \in\left\langle X_{1}\right\rangle$. Let $e_{i}=a b$, then both $a, b \in X_{1}$. Let $G_{1}$ be the component of $G-e_{i}$ which contains $u$ and a \& the component $G_{2}$ contains $b$. Since $G$ is flexible, there is $\gamma^{f}$-set $X_{2}$ of $G$ not containing $b$. By the above lemma, $D=\left(X_{1} \cap G_{1}\right) \cup\left(X_{2} \cap G_{2}\right)$ is a $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G$. By using labelling procedure, $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots e_{i-1} \in G_{1}$ as $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots e_{i-1} \notin\left\langle X_{1}\right\rangle$ they are not in $\langle D\rangle$ also. Thus $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots e_{i-1}, e_{i} \notin\langle D\rangle$. Therefore, we get a $\gamma^{f}$-set $D$ of $G$ containing $u$ and the edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots e_{i} \notin\langle D\rangle$. Proceeding like this, we get $\gamma^{f}$-set $D^{\prime}$ of G containing $u$ and $e_{j} \notin\left\langle D^{\prime}\right\rangle$, for every $j$. This dominating set $D^{\prime}$ is a $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}$-set of $G$ containing $u$. Hence, as $u$ is arbitrary, $G$ is $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}$-excellent and $\gamma_{b_{i}}^{f}(G)=\gamma^{f}(G)$.

Definition 4.5. (Fuzzy distance) For any two points $u$, v of a fuzzy graph we define fuzzy distance between $u$ and $v$ by
$d^{f}(u, v)=\{$ the sum of the edges weights of the edges in the shortest $u-v$ path such that $\mu(u v) \leqslant \sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(v), \forall u, v \in P\}$.

Corollary 4.1. Let $G$ be a $\gamma^{f}$-excellent graph. Let $u \in V(G)$ such that $u$ is in every $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G$. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k} \in V(G)$ such that $u v_{i}$ is a bridge in $G$, $\forall i=1,2, \ldots, k$ and $\mu\left(u v_{i}\right) \leqslant \sigma(u) \wedge \sigma\left(v_{i}\right)$. Then there is a $\gamma^{f}$-set $S$ of $G$ containing all $v_{i}$ 's.

Corollary 4.2. Let $G$ be a $\gamma^{f}$-excellent graph. Let u be a vertex which belongs to every $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G$. Then there is at least one edge uw such that $\mu(u w) \leqslant$ $\sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(w)$, which is not a bridge.

Definition 4.6. Let $G$ be a fuzzy graph and for each $u \neq v \in V(G)$ there exist $u v \in E(G)$ such that $\mu(u v) \leqslant \sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(v)$. Then $\gamma^{u f}(G, u)=\min \{|D|: D \subseteq$ $V ; D$ dominates $G-u, N[v] \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$.

Definition 4.7. (i) If $\gamma^{u f}(G, u)=\gamma^{f}(G)$ then $u$ is fuzzy level vertex of $G$. (ii) If $\gamma^{u f}(G, u)=\gamma^{f}(G)-1$ then $u$ is fuzzy non-level vertex of $G$.

Example 4.2. 1).
G:



Here $\gamma^{f}(G)=1$ and $\gamma^{v_{3} f}\left(G, v_{3}\right)=1 . v_{3}$ is level vertex of $G$.
$2)$.


Here $\gamma^{f}(G)=3 . v_{6}$ is non-level vertex of G.
Lemma 4.3. For every $u \in V(G), \gamma^{u f}(G, u) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(G) \leqslant \gamma^{u f}(G, u)+1$.
Proof. As every $\gamma^{f}$-set of $G$ dominates $G-u$, we have $\gamma^{u f}(G, u) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(G)$. Let $D$ be a $\gamma^{u f}(G, u)$-set of $G$. If $N[u] \cap S \neq \emptyset$, then $D$ dominates $G$, so in this case $\gamma^{f}(G) \leqslant|D|=\gamma^{u f}(G, u) \leqslant \gamma^{f}(G)$ and $\gamma^{u f}(G, u)=\gamma^{f}(G)$. If $N[u] \cap S=\emptyset$, then $D \cup\{u\}$ is a dominating set of $G$ and hence $\gamma^{f}(G) \leqslant|D \cup\{u\}|=|D|+1 \leqslant$ $\gamma^{u f}(G, u)+1$.

## 5. Applications

The fuzzy relations are wide spread and important in the field of Clustering analysis, Computer networks and Pattern recognition. The earliest ideas of dominating sets data back, to the origin of game of Chess in India. In this game, one studies of chess pieces which cover various opposing pieces or various squares of the board.

## 6. Conclusion

In this paper we define new concept called Excellent Domination in fuzzy graphs and fuzzy bridge independent domination graph. Further, We can extend this concept to various types of Excellent fuzzy graphs.
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