# Effect of Basal Density of Mulched Materials on the Growth and Fruiting Performance of Table Banana (Latundan var.)

Mario C. Nierras

Naval State University–Biliran Campus, Biliran Province, Philippines *marionierras@gmail.com* 

Date Received: November 19, 2015; Date Revised: December19, 2015

Asia Pacific Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Vol. 3 No.5, 104-109 December 2015 Part I P-ISSN 2350-7756 E-ISSN 2350-8442 www.apjmr.com

**Abstract** - This experiment aimed to find out the effect of basal thickness of mulched materials on the growth and fruiting performance of table banana (Latundan var.). The experimental field was a portion of an existing table banana plantation. It was laid out using Randomized Complete Block Designwith three replications. The four treatments were designated as follows:  $T_0$  (control - no mulch applied);  $T_1$  (applied with 15-cm thick mulch);  $T_2$  (applied with 30-cm thick mulch); and  $T_3$  (applied with 45-cm thick mulch). Results showed that table banana clumps mulched with organic materials at 30-cm thick appeared most favorable with the highest increase in plant height (319 cm) and number of suckers produced (4.25). The highest weight of fruit bunch and fruit hand were obtained by plants mulched at 45cm thick with weights of 6.64 kg and 0.87 kg, respectively. The above findings were statistically significant from the control treatment.

**Keywords**: banana fruiting, fruiting performance, growth performance, mulching, mulching thickness, organic fertilization

#### INTRODUCTION

Mulching is a process of overlayingmaterial usually organic residueson the ground surface in order to conserve moisture, control weed growth and provide organic matter to the plant. It is especially important to be done during periods of the year when rainfall occurrence is lean or when the area is prone to runoff [1]. Because of improved moisture and lesser competition of nutrients, the application of mulch on the base of a plant promotesa favorable environment for better growth. Such an enhanced condition could bring about positive effects on vital agronomic parameters like plant height, stem size, number of leaves, leaf length, leaf width, number of suckers, and fruit yield.But even if the area is receiving sufficient rainfall, mulching is still good to be done because it will limit the growth of weeds on the base of the banana clump.

This study looked into the basal density of the applied mulches vis-à-vis their effect on selected agronomic and yield parameters of table banana (Latundanvar). Though some studies on mulching exist, there was no study yet done that probe into the effect of basal density of the applied mulching materials on the growth and fruiting performance of table banana (Latundan var.).The spreading over of organic mulches on the base of banana clumps instead of throwing them away or worse by burning them is considered environment-friendly thus sustainable.Table banana is one of the crop commodities that plays a big contribution to the Philippine economy because it is consumed both locally and abroad[2].

A research on the leaf concentration of three banana cultivars (Jahaji, Chenichampa and Manohar) as affected by different organic mulches (paddy straw, paddy husk, and water hyacinth) showed thatthe organic mulches promoted the increase in the amount of total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium concentration of the banana leaves[3].

A mulching study of white potato revealed that plants mulched with rice hay, banana and cogon emerged much earlier than the unmulched plants [4].While a mulching and intercropping of upland taro have shown a higher yield of mulched taro compared to unmulched ones despite effective weed control of the unmulched treatment [5].

McIntyre et al. [6] [7]on the effect of mulching on the biomass of banana revealed that mulched treatments produced three times more biomass than bare soil treatments. The increase in biomass due tomulching has resulted to improvedsoil fertility. They further noted that mulched treatments had higher concentrations of soil organic carbon, phosphorus, potassium, and magnesium. A follow-up study has reported that in spite of the higher weevildamage, mulched treatments still yielded significantly heavier bunches. Thus, the effects of mulching prevailed over the injurious damage of banana weevil.

A parallel finding was obtained in Gandhi and Bains [8] on the effect of mulching and date of transplanting on the yield of tomato planted during cold months. Results showed that the number of branches, average fruit weight and total yield were maximum under the transparent polythene mulch followed by black polythene, straw mulch and control.

Philippines has a significantly wide area planted to table bananas, hence finding alternative ways of improving production such as the application of mulches is a goodresearch agenda.

## **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

This research has the following objectives:

- 1. Find out the effect of basal density of mulched materials on selected agronomic parameters of table banana (Latundan var.).
- 2. Determine the effect of basal density of mulched materials on the fruit yield of table banana (Latundan var.).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Site identification/characterization.* This study was conducted in Biliran, Philippines on a portion of an existing one-hectare table banana plantation. Such area was chosen as experimental locale due to its accessibility. The site is plain with silty clay loam soil. During the experiment (November 2013 to October 2014), the area received very little rainfall due to El Niño phenomenon which occurred in the area.

*Experimental design and layout.* The experimental area measuring 1,024sq m was laid out using the Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with four (4) replications. Sixteen banana clumpsspaced at 8m x 8mwere used asthe experimental plants. Each clumphasa basal size of 4sq m.The treatments are:

 $T_0 =$ control (no mulch materials)

 $T_1$  = with 15-cm high mulchmoderately-pressed on the base

 $T_2$  = with 30-cm high mulchmoderately-pressed on the base

 $T_3$  = with 45-cm high mulchmoderately-pressed on the base

Application of mulching materials. Grasses and organic residues of same nature taken from the surrounding areas were applied at different density(thickness) by moderately-pressingthem around every clump about 1-meter from the base.

*Cleaning the experimental area.* Weeding the experimental area was done every two weeks to maintain the cleanliness of the surroundings, particularly the control (no mulch treatment).

*Trimming of old leaves.* Old banana leaves that have turned yellow or brown wereoccasionally removed to improve the stand of the crop.

*Cutting of excess suckers*. Plant number per clump was maintained at 5 big plants and 5 suckers per clump only. Excess suckers were cut down after they have been properly recorded. The smallest suckers were the ones removed.

*Harvesting of fruits*. Banana fruit bunch was harvested when about 90% of the fingers werealready mature.

*Data Analysis*. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using SPSS was used in determining whether significant differences exist among treatments, while LSD was used to find specific differences between pair of means.

# **Data Gathered**

*Initial plant height (cm).* The initial plant heights (from the base up to the shoot) of the test plants were measured in order to serve as point of reference for the succeeding measurements.

Monthly increase in stem height (cm). Monthly increases in stem heights in all treatments were measured to monitor the treatment effects on plant growth.

*Plant height at harvest time (cm)*. This was taken at harvesting time by measuring the length from the ground up to the tip of base of the youngest shoot.

Stem circumference of adult plant (cm). This was taken prior to harvesting by measuring the circumference of the banana stem at two feet above the ground.

P-ISSN 2350-7756 | E-ISSN 2350-8442 | www.apjmr.com Asia Pacific Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, Vol. 3, No. 5, December 2015 *Number of leaves per plant.* The total number of leaves per plant were notedfrom the time it produced the first true leaf up to the time of harvest.

Length of leaves (cm). Three longest mature leaves of the experimental plants in every clump were measured at harvest time. Monthly data was not taken for this parameterbecause the plants were tall and measurements could have high inaccuracies.

*Width of leaves (cm).* Three widest mature leaves of the experimental plants in every clump were measured at harvest time. Also, no monthly data was taken for this parameter for same reason as above-stated.

*Number of sucker sprouts per month.* All sucker sprouts every month were counted, but some were cut down in pursuance of the maximum five suckers per clump limit.

*Weight of fruit bunch (kg).* The weight of the whole fruit bunch was taken by using only one standard weighing scale.

*No. of hands per bunch.* The number of fully-developed hands per bunch was counted and recorded.

*Weight of oldest hand per bunch (kg).* The oldest hand in every bunch was removed using a uniform style, then weighed and recorded.

The initial height of banana suckers for the control treatment (176 cm) showed to be tallest which was statistically different from the other treatments at the onset of the experiment (Table 1). But at harvest time, the plant height in the control treatment ( $T_0$  – no mulch) showed the lowest increase in height (215 cm), followed by  $T_1$  (15-cm mulch) at 271 cm,  $T_3$  (30-cm mulch) at 302 cm and  $T_2$  (45-cm mulch) at 319 cm. However, the plant heights of  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  are not statistically different. A similar trend of result with the above was observed in the leaf length.

While the leaf widths of  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$ , and  $T_2$  (54 cm, 58 cm, and 58 cm, respectively) showed no statistical difference from each other. These leaf widths however were statistically different from  $T_3$  with 67 cm. Stem circumference of adult plant were almost statistically the same for all treatments except in the control ( $T_0$ ), which was the smallest of all treatments. The number of leaves per plant did not bare any difference in all the treatments (Table 1).

Based on the results, it could be gleaned that the application of mulching materials at the base of the clump at different density could bring about significant effects on the agronomic parameters of the banana plants. These marked differences in some of the agronomic characteristics measured could be attributed to mulching.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1: Agronomic characteristics of table banana (Latundanvar.) as affected by the basal density of mulched materials

TREATMENTS	Initial plant height (cm)	Plant height at harvest time (cm)	Stem circumference of adult plant (cm)	No. of leaves per plant	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf Width (cm)
$T_0 = $ control (no mulch materials applied)	176 b	215c	43b	20	183 c	54b
$T_1$ = with 15-cm high mulch materials applied on the base	154 a	271b	53 a	29	204 b	58 b
$T_2$ = with 30-cm high mulch materials applied on the base	153 a	319 a	54 a	30	220 a	58 b
$T_3$ = with 45-cm high mulch materials applied on the base	152 a	302 a	57 a	30	219 a	67 a
S.E.	8.08	10.45	1.49	0.70	3.40	1.36
CV (%)	5.10	3.80	2.90	2.50	0.40	2.30
p-value	0.008*	0.000*	0.000*	0.129	0.000*	0.000*

Means with a common letter within a column are not significantly different at 0.05 level of significance based on ANOVA and LSD.

Mulching may have effectively provided a favorable physical condition for the growth of banana plants. This assertion is supported in Afrifa et al. [9] on the effects of mulching on the yield of Robusta coffee. Their results showed that coffee yield has been significantly higher in those plants with mulched materials.

The above statement was also sustained by the findings in Mal et al. [10]on the effect of mulching in pomegranate. Here, maximum increase in plant height (14.9%) and basal girth (13.1%) were recorded with dry leaves and banana leaves used as mulches, respectively.

The monthly increase in stem height and sucker production revealed no significant differences among treatments until the sixth and seventh month of the experiment, respectively. Significant differences in stem height was found on the sixth, seventh and eighth month of measurement (245 cm, 252 cm, and 263 cm) where T<sub>2</sub>got the tallest stem height. Plants on T<sub>3</sub> obtained the next highest stem heights relative to the thickness of mulch materials at 224 cm, 234 cm, and 248 cm on the same time interval.

On the other hand, sucker sprouts showed significant differences across treatments based on

LSD on the seventh and eighth month of mulching. Treatment 2 obtained the most number of suckers of 4.25 followed by  $T_3$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_0$  of 4.00, 2.50, and 0.50 respectively. A similar trend in sucker production was observed on the eighth month but with comparatively fewer number of sucker sprouts (Table 2).

Results showed that thickness of mulching materials had both a positive and negative effect on stem height and on sucker production. When no mulch is applied ( $T_0$ ) or were thinly applied ( $T_1$ ) or thickly ( $T_3$ ),the increase in stem height and sucker production were lower than when mulches were applied in moderation ( $T_2$ ). The beneficial effects of mulched materials on stem height and sucker production of table banana is highly attributable to their varying density (thickness) which necessarily saved soil moisture plus minimal weed competition.

The recent result in Dipika et al. [11]on the effect of mulching on the growth and flowering of gerbera, though using a synthetic mulching material(black polyethylene) is comparable to this study because both have shown that mulching produced the tallest plant with the most number of suckers per clump.

Table 2: Monthly increase in stemheight and sucker sprouts of table banana (Latundan var.) as affected by the basal density of mulched materials

TREATMENTS	Monthly Increase inStem Height (cm), (above data) Number of Sucker Sprouts Per Month, (below data)							
I KEA I WEN I S	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th
$T_0 = $ control (no mulch materials applied)	108	116	128	136	145	162 c	169 с	177 c
	1.75	0.50	1.25	0.25	1.00	1.75	0.50 с	0.50 b
$T_1$ = with 15-cm high mulch materials applied on the base	152	161	178	185	191	210 b	216 b	224 b
	1.25	1.50	2.50	1.00	2.75	5.00	2.50 b	1.00 b
$T_2$ = with 30-cm high	158	167	200	212	222	245 a	252 a	263 a
mulch materials applied on the base	1.00	1.50	2.75	0.75	2.75	4.25	4.25a	3.50 a
$T_3$ = with 45-cm high mulch materials applied on the base	157	162	183	194	199	224 a	234a	248 a
	0.75	1.50	2.00	1.00	2.50	4.00	4.00a	3.25 a
S.E.	7.38	7.37	9.10	9.71	9.63	9.89	9.80	9.99
	0.26	0.27	0.33	0.14	0.28	0.55	0.45	0.41
CV (%)	5.10	4.90	5.30	5.30	5.10	4.70	4.50	4.40
	21.8	21.6	15.5	18.7	12.4	14.7	16.0	19.9
p-value	0.026	0.025	0.014	0.017	0.016	0.006*	0.004*	0.001*
	0.619	0.490	0.416	0.217	0.060	0.184	0.001 *	0.002*

Means with a common letter within a column are not significantly different at 0.05 level of significance based on ANOVA and LSD.

•		•	•	
TREATMENTS	No. of Hands Per Fruit Bunch	Weight of Fruit Bunch (kg)	Weight of Oldest Hand Per Bunch (kg)	
$T_0 = $ control (no mulch materials applied)	5.00	4.94b	0.66 b	
$T_1$ = with 15-cm high mulch materials applied on the base	5.50	5.47 b	0.77 a	
$T_2$ = with 30-cm high mulch materials applied on the base	5.50	6.39 a	0.79 a	
$T_3$ = with 45-cm high mulch materials applied on the base	6.25	6.64 a	0.87 a	
S.E.	0.81	0.18	0.25	
CV (%)	14.60	3.10	32.50	
p-value	0.094	0.000*	0.008*	
			1	

Table 3: Yield parameters of table banana (Latundanvar.) as affected by the basal density of mulched materials

Means with a common letter within a column are not significantly different at 0.05 level of significance based on ANOVA and LSD.

Yield data revealed no significant differences on the number of hands per fruit bunch. However, the weight of fruit bunch as well as the weight of oldest hand per bunch did show substantial differences (Table 3).

The heaviest fruit bunch weighing 6.64 kg and fruit hand weighing 0.87 kg was obtained in  $T_3$ . It was followed by  $T_2$  weighing 6.39 kg fruit bunch and 0.79 kg fruit hand.  $T_1$  and  $T_0$  got the lowest weights of the above-mentioned parameters. Although the highest weight of fruit bunch was observed in  $T_3$ , it was not statistically different from  $T_2$ . Fruit bunch weights of  $T_1$  and  $T_0$  were also not statistically different from each other. While the weight of oldest fruit hand was not statistically different for all the treatments, except in  $T_0$ .

The yield data showed that table banana fruiting can be affected by the application of mulched materials at different density or thickness. ThoughT<sub>3</sub> got the highest weights of fruit bunch and oldest hand per bunch, the same were not statistically different from  $T_2$ . This implies that mulched materials applied at 30-cm high is the favorable density of mulching application for table banana (Latundan var.). This finding is backed up by the result in Singh Gorakh[12]on the impact of mulches in meadow orchard of guava. Here among the organic mulches, the fruit yield was maximum (12.5 kg/plant or 62.5 tons/ha) in plants mulched with banana leaf followed by paddy straw and grass mulches (12.4 kg/plant or 62.0 tons/ha). The plants without mulch had produced 12.0 kg/plant or 60 tons/ha. Heaviest fruit (250 g) was obtained from banana leaf mulched followed by polyethylene mulched film (225 g).

Moreover Ssali et al. [13] on the effects of mulch and mineral fertilizer in highland bananafound that banana yield was significantly higher in the sole mulch treatment than in the control. The researchers foundthat combining mineral fertilizer with mulch established no demonstrable advantage to sole mulch.

## CONCLUSION

Mulching of organic residues at a density (thickness) of 30-45 cm is morebeneficial for the growth and fruiting performance of table banana (Latundan var.)as well as the production of healthy suckers.Too much application of organic mulches to banana clumps, i.e., more than 45-cm thick, tends to reduce thenumber of suckers coming out from the base. Thicker mulch mayincrease the basal temperature which could make the condition unfavorable for the banana suckers to come out from the clump.

# RECOMMENDATION

This study was conducted during a period when the surroundings was generally dry because of El Niño phenomenon which occurred in the area. Thus, a similar study done during rainy season may be conducted, to compare the effects of basal density of mulched materials on the agronomic and yield parameters of bananaapplied during dry and wet seasons.

# REFERENCES

[1] Adekalu, K.O., I.A. Olorunfemi, J.A. Osunbitana. 2007. Grass mulching effect on infiltration, surface runoff and soil loss of three agricultural soils in

P-ISSN 2350-7756 | E-ISSN 2350-8442 | www.apjmr.com Asia Pacific Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, Vol. 3, No. 5, December 2015 *Nigeria*. Bioresource Technology 98(4), 912–917, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2006.02.044.

- [2] Habiito, Cielito F. & Roehlano M. Briones, 2005. *Philippine Agriculture over the Years: Performance, Policies and Pitfalls*, Paper presented at the conference entitled "Policies to Strengthen Productivity in the Philippines," sponsored by the Asia-Europe Meeting (ASEM)Trust Fund, Asian Institute of Management Policy Center, Foreign Investment Advisory Service, Philippines Institute of Development Studies and the World Bank, Held in Makati City, Philippines, June 27, 2005, available online at: worldbank.org.
- [3] Kotoky, U. & R.K. Bhattacharyya. 1990. Leaf nutrient concentration of some banana cultivars as influenced by organic mulches, ISHS Acta Horticulturae 296: Tropical Fruits, XXIII I.H.C. International Society for Horticultural Science.
- [4] Alzate, L.O. 1985. *Growth and yield of white potato as affected by different mulching materials*, Food and Agriculture Organization, agris.fao.org.
- [5] De la Pena, Ramon S; Melchor, Florendo M. 1993. *Effects of Mulching and Intercropping on Upland Taro*. Proceedings of the Sustainable Taro Culture for the Pacific Conference, 1992 Sept 24-25; Honolulu, Hawaii. Honolulu (HI): University of Hawaii. p. 46-47, URI: http://hdl.handle.net/10125/4092.
- [6] McIntyre, Beverly D., Paul R. Speijer, Susan
  J. Riha and Fred Kizito. 2000. Effects of Mulching on Biomass, Nutrients, and Soil Water in Banana Inoculated with Nematodes, Agronomy Journal, Vol. 92 No. 6, p. 1081-1085, doi:10.2134/agronj2000.9261081x.
- [7] McIntyre, B. D., C. S. Gold, H. Ssali, and S. J. Riha. 2003. Effects of mulch location on banana weevil, soil and plant nutrients, soil water and biomass in banana fields. Biology and Fertility of Soils, December 2003, Vol. 39, Issue 2, pp 74-79.
- [8] Gandhi Navdeep, Bains G S. 2006. Effect of mulching and date of transplanting on yield contributing characters of tomato, Journal of Research, Volume : 43, Issue : 1, Department of Agronomy, Agrometeorology and Forestry Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana.
- [9] Afrifa, A.A., K. Ofori-Frimpong, M.R. Appiah, and B.J. Halm. 2003. *Effects of mulching on soilnutrients and yield of Robusta coffee*. Tropical agriculture, vol. 80, no2, pp. 105-109.
- [10] Mal, B.; B. C Banik, S. N. Ghosh, and P. K. Maity.
   2006. Studies on the effect of mulching in pomegranate cv. Ganesh. Proceedings of the national symposium on production, utilization and export

of underutilized fruits with commercial potentialities, Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, India, 22-24 November, 2006 2006 pp. 162-167. (Available online at:

http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/20083297205.htm l).

- [11] Dipika Sarmah, Mahanta Pradip, Talukdar Madhumita, & Das Ranjan. 2014. Effect of mulching on growth and flowering of gerbera (Gerbera jamesonii Bolus) cv. Red Gem under Assam Condition, Research on Crops, Vol. 15, Issue 1, DOI: 10.5958/j.2348-7542.15.1.029.
- [12] Singh Gorakh. 2009. Impact of mulches in meadow orchard of guava, Progressive Horticulture, Vol. 41, Issue 1, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi.
- [13] Ssali, H., B.D. McIntyre, C.S. Gold, I.N. Kashaija, and F. Kizito. 2003. *Effects of mulch and mineral fertilizer on crop, weevil and soil quality parameters in highland banana*, Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, Vol. 65, Issue 2, pp. 141-150.

## Copyrights

Copyright of this article is retained by the author/s, with first publication rights granted to APJMR. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creative commons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)