

CORRELATION AND PATH ANALYSIS FOR GROWTH, EARLINESS, YIELD AND QUALITY PARAMETERS IN CHILLI (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

Rinchan Dolkar*, M. B. Madalageri and G. Manjunath¹

Department of Vegetable Science, University of Horticultural Sciences,, Bagalkot - 587 103 (Karnataka) ¹Deptt. of Plant Pathology, College of Horticulture, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India *E-mail: drinchan2012@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Character association and path analysis in twelve advanced breeding lines of chilli was studied for 16 important traits. The phenotypic and genotypic association of fruit yield was significantly positive with per cent fruit set, number of fruits/plant, number of secondary branches/plant, plant height, fruit weight, total fruit chlorophyll content, pericarp weight and number of primary branches at both genotypic and phenotypic level. However, days to first flowering and days to 50 per cent flowering showed negative and significant correlation with total yield. The genotypic and phenotypic path coefficient revealed that total green chilli yield had high direct positive effect from number of fruit / plant and pericarp weight. So selection done based on number of fruits/plant and pericarp weight would be rewarding.

Keywords : Chilli, correlation, path analysis, total yield.

Chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) is one of the most important vegetable crops grown throughout India. It is grown for export as well as for domestic market. In India, chilli is grown in area of 792.1 thousand hectares with a production of 1223.4 thousand metric tonnes and with the productivity of 1.5 tonnes/ha (Anon, 2). In any crop improvement program, it is a prerequisite to assess critically the interrelationship for yield and quality and its contributing characters. Yield is the result of the expression and association of several plant growth components. The study of relationships among quantitative traits is important for assessing the feasibility of joint selection of two or more traits. Correlation coefficient analysis measures the mutual relationship between various characters and it determines the component traits on which selection can be relied upon which a effect the improvement. Assessing the direct and indirect effects of each component towards yield through path coefficient analysis would help in identifying the reliable characters contributing to yield. The present investigation was conducted to determine the nature and degree of association among the characters and their direct and indirect effect on green chilli yield.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twelve advanced breeding lines of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) collected from different sources (Table 1) were evaluated at the College of Horticulture, Udayangiri, Bagalkot, Karnataka, during Kharif 2013-14. Geographic position of the experimental site lies in Northern dry zone (Zone-3) of Karnataka State at

14°47' North latitude, 75°21' East longitude and at an altitude of 612.05 metres above the mean sea level. The mean monthly minimum and maximum temperature varied between 13-26°C and 27-36°C, respectively during the cropping season. The accessions were evaluated in randomized block design with three replications for each treatment or a genotype in each replication was represented by single row of 4.50 m length at spacing of 45 cm. The rows were spaced at 90 cm apart and all the recommended agronomic package of practices were followed. Three plants in each experimental plot were randomly selected by avoiding border plants. The selected plants were tagged for taking observations on various growth (at 90 days after transplanting) and green chilli yield parameters. The average of three plants was computed and observations recorded on plant height, number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, days to first flowering, days to 50 % flowering, per cent fruit set, fruit weight, pericarp weight, number of fruits per plant, fruits yield per plant, ascorbic acid content, total chlorophyll content, β-carotene content, seedling vigour index-I, seedling vigour index-II and speed of germination. Correlation coefficients were computed using the method suggested by Al-Jibouri et al. (1). The direct and indirect effects of components characters on yield were estimated through path analysis technique (Wright, 12).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A complex association exists among different plant characters and characters themselves do not

exist in isolation. These characters are often correlated with each other, either due to pleiotrophy or due to genetic linkage. For rational approach towards the improvement of yield, selection will be more rewarding when it is based on the components of yield. Association of yield component with yield thus assume special importance as the basis of indirect selection. In the present investigation the genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were worked out for 16 characters as presented in Tables 2 and 3.

Table	1:	Details	of	chilli	accessions	used	in the
	e	experime	ent	and th	neir source o	f colle	ction.

Code	Genotype name	Source
G1	DCA-136	HRS, Devihosur.
G2	DCA-192	HRS, Devihosur.
G3	DCA-199	HRS, Devihosur.
G4	DCA-223-1	HRS, Devihosur.
G5	Assam chilli	HRS, Devihosur.
G6	ByadagiKaddi	HRS, Devihosur.
G7	EC-28-DPS-06-07-01	COH, Bagalkot
G8	GC-07-03	COH, Bagalkot

G9	CH-1	COH, Bagalkot
G10	HC-07-05	COH, Bagalkot
G11	GC-07-02	COH, Bagalkot
G12	HC-0714	COH, Bagalkot

The estimates of phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficient revealed that the genotypic correlation was higher than the corresponding phenotypic correlation for all the character combinations establishing predominant role of heritable factors.

Among the growth parameters, the phenotypic and genotypic associations of fruit yield were significantly positive with number of secondary branches, plant height and number of primary branches. Therefore, it is logical to attempt for selecting genotypes performing well for growth contributing characters which would simultaneously help in improvement of yield. These results are in conformity with those of Ganeshreddy *et al.* (5) for plant height and number of secondary branches and Smitha and Basavaraj (10) for stem thickness. Among the

Table 2 : Genotypic correlation coefficients among growth, earliness, yield and quality parameters in green chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	1.000	0.345 *	0.702 **	-0.010	-0.388 *	0.641 **	0.541 **	0.613 **	0.412 *	0.423 *	0.057	0.032	0.031	0.187	0.195	0.573 **
2		1.000	0.968 **	0.497 **	0.053	0.593 **	-0.196	-0.136	0.831 **	-0.052	0.503	0.029	-0.296	-0.002	-0.201	0.324 *
3			1.000	0.293	-0.124	0.803 **	0.149	0.204	0.880 **	0.148	0.544 *	-0.020	-0.131	-0.015	-0.097	0.635 **
4				1.000	0.738 **	-0.201	-0.472 **	-0.387 *	0.310	-0.056	0.220	0.449 *	-0.382	-0.298	-0.646 **	-0.349 *
5					1.000	-0.499 **	-0.475 **	-0.383 *	-0.007	0.012	0.064	0.155	-0.521	-0.290	-0.605 **	-0.503 **
6						1.000	0.408	0.411 *	0.782 **	0.232	0.569 *	-0.024	0.190	0.241	0.206	0.932 **
7							1.000	0.982 **	-0.094	0.763 **	-0.296	0.241	0.489 **	0.433 **	0.338 *	0.569 **
8								1.000	-0.075	0.848 **	-0.311	0.217	0.483 **	0.498 **	0.302	0.536 **
9									1.000	-0.096	0.868 **	-0.042	-0.230	-0.179	-0.200	0.676 **
10										1.000	-0.348 *	0.308	0.489 **	0.521	0.202	0.280
11											1.000	-0.181	-0.389 *	-0.347 *	-0.288	0.555 **
12												1.000	0.379 *	0.179	-0.088	-0.239
13													1.000	0.654 **	0.773 **	0.225
14														1.000	0.694 **	0.192
15															1.000	0.213
																1.000
16																1.000

Critical r value = 0.423 at 1 per cent, and 0.329 at 5 per cent

* and ** indicate significant at 5 and 1 per cent probability level, respectively

5. Days to 50 % flowering

- 1. Plant height
- 2. Number of primary branches

3. Number of secondary branches

- 4. Days to first flowering
- 6. Fruit set (%)
 7. Fruit weight
- 8. Pericarp weight

9. Number of fruits per plant

- 10. Ascorbic acid content
- 11. Total chlorophyll content
- 12. β -Carotene content
- 13. Seedling vigour index-I
- 14. Seedling vigour index-II
- 15. Speed of germination16. Total yield

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	1.000	0.275	0.662 **	-0.03 4	-0.37 5	0.614 **	0.509 **	0.582 **	0.393 *	0.403 *	0.040	0.025	0.296	0.180	0.178	0.547 **
2		1.000	0.859 **	0.468 **	0.058	0.538 **	0.175	-0.12 7	0.758 **	0.050	0.458 **	0.039	-0.25 1	0.006	-0.16 3	0.297
3			1.000	0.285	-0.12 8	0.792 **	0.145	0.199	0.876 **	0.146	0.542 *	-0.02	-0.12 3	-0.01 8	$^{-0.10}_{1}$	0.632 **
4				1.000	0.734 **	-0.20 4	-0.46 7**	-0.38 2	0.305	-0.052	0.218	0.432 **	-0.36 7	-0.29 4	$^{-0.63}_{6^{**}}$	-0.34 5*
5					1.000	-0.49 6**	-0.46 9**	-0.38 1*	-0.00 9	0.013	0.064	0.163	0.505 **	_0.27 4	-0.59 7**	$^{-0.50}_{1*}$
6						1.000	0.403 *	0.410 *	0.778 **	0.230	0.561 **	-0.03 8	0.189	0.232	0.213	0.923 **
7							1.000	0.979 **	-0.09 3	0.756 **	0.294	0.231	0.474 **	0.431 **	0.335 *	0.565 **
8								1.000	-0.07 3	0.838 **	0.310	0.202	0.463 **	0.489 **	0.302	0.535 **
9									1.000	-0.09 6	0.864 **	-0.04 3	-0.22 8	-0.18 0	-0.19 7	0.676 **
10										1.000	-0.34 5*	0.295	0.481 **	0.519 **	0.200	0.277
11											1.000	-0.17 8	-0.37 1*	-0.34 0*	-0.28 5	0.551 **
12												1.000	0.343 *	0.187	-0.09 6	-0.02 1
13													1.000	0.638 **	0.745 **	0.213
14														1.000	0.678 **	0.187
15															1.000	0.211
16																1.000

Table 3: Phenotypic correlation coefficients among growth, earliness, yield and quality parameters in chilli (Capsicum annuum L.).

Critical r value= 0.423 at 1 per cent and 0.329 at 5 per cent, *

and ****** indicate significant at 5 and 1 per cent probability level, respectively

- 1. Plant height
- 5. Days to 50 % flowering
- 2. Number of primary branches
- 6. Fruit set (%) 7. Fruit weight
- 3. Number of secondary branches 4. Days to first flowering
- 8. Pericarp weight

earliness parameters, days to first flowering and days to fifty per cent flowering had significant negative association with total yield. Krishna et al. (8) and had also recorded the similar type of results with days to first flowering and Sharma et al. (9) and Chattopadhyay et al. (3) for days to 50 per cent flowering. Among the yield parameters per cent fruit, number of fruits per plant, fruit weight and pericarp weight had significant positive association with the yield. These results are in agreement with Smitha and Basavaraj (10), Krishna et al. (8), Ganeshreddy et al. (5), Tembhurne et al. (11). With regard to fruit quality parameters, total chlorophyll content had highly significant and positive association with yield. Chattopadhyay et al. (3) also reported positive association of chlorophyll content with yield. Therefore, the selection of fruit with high chlorophyll content would also result in improvement of total yield. However, in the present study, contrary to the general

- 9. Number of fruits per plant
- 10. Ascorbic acid content
- 11. Total chlorophyll content 12. β-Carotene content
- 13. Seedling vigour index-I 14. Seedling vigour index-II
- 15. Speed of germination
- 16. Total yield

belief, the seed quality parameter had no association with the yield which needs further investigation.

Path analysis (Table 4 and 5) revealed that number of fruits per plant and pericarp weight had the highest positive direct effect on yield per plant indicating their true positive and significant association with total yield. Chaudhary et al. (4), Karad et al. (7), Ganeshreddy et al. (3), Islam and Singh (6), Sharma et al. (9), Chattopadhyay et al. (3) for number of fruits per plant and pericarp weight also reported the similar results. Therefore, direct selection for these traits would be rewarding for improvement of total yield. Plant height, fruit weight, total fruit chlorophyll content and number of primary branches had highly significant positive correlation with total yield. But, they had low to negligible direct positive effects indicating that their association with the yield was not strong and true.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	r G
1	-0.001	-0.005	-0.223	0.005	-0.020	-0.085	-0.024	0.460	0.543	-0.127	-0.002	0.001	0.069	0.021	-0.044	0.573*
2	-0.000	-0.016	-0.307	-0.260	0.002	-0.079	0.009	-0.103	1.093	0.015	-0.017	0.001	-0.060	-0.000	0.046	*
													-0.000			0.324*
3	-0.001	-0.016	-0.317	-0.153	-0.006	-0.107	-0.006	0.156	1.158	-0.044	-0.018	-0.001	-0.026	-0.001	0.022	0.635* *
4	0.000	-0.008	-0.093	-0.523	0.039	0.020	0.021	-0.295	0.407	0.017	-0.007	0.028	-0.077	-0.034	0.148	
-	0.000	-0.008	-0.095	-0.525	0.039	0.020	0.021	-0.295	0.407	0.017	-0.007	0.028	-0.077	-0.034	0.140	-0.349 *
5	0.000	-0.000	0.039	-0.386	0.053	0.066	0.021	-0.292	-0.009	-0.003	-0.002	0.009	-0.105	-0.033	0.139	-0.503
																**
6	0.000	-0.009	-0.254	0.105	-0.026	-0.133	-0.018	0.313	1.028	-0.070	-0.019	-0.001	0.038	0.028	-0.047	0.932* *
7	0.000	0.003	-0.047	0.247	-0.025	-0.054	-0.045	0.749	-0.124	-0.230	0.010	0.015	0.099	0.050	-0.078	0.569*
																*
8	-0.000	0.002	-0.065	0.202	-0.020	-0.054	-0.045	0.762	-0.099	-0.256	0.010	0.013	0.098	0.057	-0.069	0.536* *
9	-0.000	-0.013	-0.279	-0.162	-0.000	-0.104	0.004	-0.057	1 212	0.020	-0.030	-0.002	-0.046	-0.020	0.046	0.676*
									1.315	0.029	-0.030					*
10	0.000	0.000	-0.047	0.029	0.000	-0.031	-0.030	0.646	-0.126	-0.301	0.012	0.019	0.099	0.060	-0.046	0.281
11	-0.000	-0.008	-0.172	-0.115	0.003	-0.076	0.013	-0.237	1.142	0.105	-0.034	-0.011	-0.078	-0.040	0.066	0.555*
12	0.000	-0.000	0.006	-0.235	0.008	0.003	-0.011	0.165	-0.055	-0.093	0.006	0.063	0.077	0.020	0.020	-0.023
13	-0.000	0.004	0.041	0.200	-0.028	-0.025	-0.022	0.368	-0.303	-0.147	0.013	0.024	0.202	0.076	-0.178	0.226
14	-0.003	0.000	0.004	0.156	-0.015	-0.032	-0.019	0.380	-0.235	-0.157	0.012	0.011	0.132	0.116	-0.160	0.190
15	-0.000	0.003	0.030	0.338	-0.032	-0.027	-0.015	0.230	-0.264	-0.061	0.010	-0.005	0.156	0.080	-0.230	0.214
											0.010				-0.230	0.214

 Table 4: Genotypic path coefficient analysis among growth, earliness, yield and quality parameters in green chill (Capsicum annuum L.).

Residual= -1.01; Bold diagonal figures indicate direct effect; rG = Genotypic correlation coefficient of total yield

* and ** indicate significant at 5 and 1 per cent probability level, respectively

- 1. Plant height
- 5. Days to 50 % flowering 6. Fruit set (%)
- 2. Number of primary branches
- Number of secondary branches
 Days to first flowering
 - hes 7. Fruit weight 8. Pericarp weight

REFERENCES

- Al-Jibouri, H. A., Miller, P. A. and Robinson, H. V. (1958). Genotypic and environmental variances and co-variances in addition to upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. *Agron. J.*, **50** : 533-536.
- 2. Anonymous. (2011). Indian Horticulture Database-2011.http: //www. nhb. gov. in.
- Chattopadhyay, A., Sharangi, A. B., Dai, N. and Dutt, S. (2011). Diversity of genetic resources and genetic association analyses of green and dry chillies of eastern India. *Chilean J. Agric. Res.*, **71** : 350-355.
- 4. Chaudhary, P., Kumar, S. and Verma, P.P.S. (2013). Correlation and path analysis in brinjal

9. Number of fruits per plant 10. Ascorbic acid content

12. β-Carotene content

- Seedling vigour index-I
 Seedling vigour index-II
- 11. Total chlorophyll content 15. Speed of germination
 - 16. Total viald
 - 16. Total yield

(Solanum melongena L.), HortFlora Res. Spectrum, **2**(4): 346-351

- Ganeshreddy, M., MohanKumar, H.D. and Salimath, P.M. (2008). Correlation and path coefficient analysis in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **21** : 259-261.
- 6. Islam, S. and Singh, R. V. (2009). Correlation and path analysis in sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Veg. Sci.,* **36** : 128-130.
- Karad, S. R., Navale, P. A. and Kadam, D. E. (2006). Variability and Path-Coefficient analysis in Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Intern. J. Agric. Sci.*, 2(1): 90-92.
- Krishna, U., Patil, M. P., Madalageri, M. B., Mulge, R. and Jagadeesha, R. C. (2007). Character association and path analysis studies

Table 5: Phenotypic path coefficient analysis among growth, earliness, yield and quality parameters in green chilli (*Capsicum annuum*.L).

	-					•	-			<u> </u>			-			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	rP
1	0.582	0.108	0.257	0.042	-0.152	-0.140	0.665	-0.820	-0.186	0.095	0.043	0.008	0.086	0.048	-0.089	0.547 **
2	0.161	0.393	0.333	-0.573	0.024	-0.122	-0.229	0.179	-0.359	-0.012	0.483	0.012	-0.073	0.002	0.081	0.297
3	0.386	0.337	0.387	-0.349	-0.052	-0.180	0.190	-0.282	-0.415	0.035	0.571	-0.006	-0.036	-0.005	0.051	0.633 **
4	-0.020	0.184	0.110	-1.225	0.297	0.047	-0.610	0.539	-0.145	-0.012	0.230	0.127	-0.106	-0.078	0.317	-0.346 *
5	-0.218	0.023	-0.050	-0.900	0.404	0.113	-0.614	0.538	0.005	0.003	0.068	0.048	-0.147	-0.072	0.297	-0.501 **
6	0.358	0.211	0.307	0.251	-0.201	-0.228	0.527	-0.579	-0.369	0.054	0.592	-0.011	0.055	0.061	-0.106	0.924 **
7	0.297	-0.069	0.056	0.572	-0.190	-0.092	1.306	-1.381	0.045	0.178	-0.310	0.068	0.138	0.114	-0.167	0.565* *
8	0.339	-0.050	0.077	0.469	-0.154	-0.093	1.280	-1.409	0.035	0.198	-0.328	0.060	0.134	0.129	-0.150	0.536* *
9	0.229	0.298	0.339	-0.374	-0.004	-0.177	-0.123	0.103	-0.474	-0.023	0.911	-0.013	-0.066	-0.048	0.098	0.677* *
10	0.235	-0.020	0.057	0.064	0.006	-0.052	0.988	-1.182	0.046	0.236	-0.364	0.087	0.139	0.137	-0.100	0.277
11	0.024	0.180	0.210	-0.268	0.026	-0.128	-0.384	0.438	-0.410	-0.081	1.053	-0.053	-0.108	-0.090	0.142	0.552* *
12	0.015	0.016	-0.008	-0.529	0.066	0.009	0.302	-0.285	0.020	0.070	-0.188	0.295	0.099	0.050	0.048	-0.022
13	0.173	-0.099	-0.048	0.450	-0.204	-0.043	0.619	-0.653	0.108	0.114	-0.391	0.101	0.290	0.168	-0.371	0.213
14	0.105	0.003	-0.007	0.361	-0.111	-0.053	0.563	-0.690	0.086	0.123	-0.359	0.055	0.185	0.264	-0.338	0.187
15	0.104	-0.064	-0.039	0.780	-0.241	-0.049	0.438	-0.426	0.094	0.047	-0.301	-0.028	0.216	0.179	-0.498	0.211

Residual=0.004; Bold diagonal figures indicate direct effect, rP= Phenotypic correlation coefficient of Total yield

* and ** indicate significant at 5 and 1 per cent probability level, respectively.

1. Plant height

9.

2. Number of primary branches

in green

- 6. Fruit set (%)
- Number of secondary branches
 Days to first flowering

chilli

Fruit weight
 Pericarp weight

for yield and yield

5. Days to 50 % flowering

- 9. Number of fruits per plant
- 10. Ascorbic acid content
- 11. Total chlorophyll content 15. Speed of
- 12. β -Carotene content
- Seedling vigour index-I
 Seedling vigour index-II
- 15. Speed of germination
- 16. Total yield
- Tembhurne, B. V., Revanappaand Kuchanur P. H. (2008). Varietal performance, genetic variability and correlation studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 21: 541-543.
- 12. Wright, S. (1921). Correlation and causation. *J. Agric. Res.*, **20** : 557-587.

 Smitha, R. P. and Basavaraja, N. (2006). Variability and correlation studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Karnataka J. Agril. Sci.*, **19**: 975-977.

annuum L.). J. Hort. Forest., 2: 58-65.

attributes. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 20: 99-101.

(2010). Genetic variability and character

Sharma, V. K., Semwal, C. S. and Uniyal, S. P.

association analysis in bell pepper (Capsicum

Citation : Dolkar R., Madalageri M.B. and Manjunath G. (2015). Correlation and path analysis for growth, earliness, yield and quality parameters in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *HortFlora Res. Spectrum*, **4**(3) : 268-272