

---

## In the Memory of Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt

Vitthalrao B. Khyade<sup>1\*</sup>

### ABSTRACT

Credit of popularization of Intelligence Quotient (IQ) goes to Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt (3 March 1883 – 10 October 1971), an educational psychologist and one of those responsible for devising the 11-plus. Burt claimed his 40 years of research proved a child's intelligence was mainly inherited from its parents and that social circumstances played only a minor role. His research formed the basis of education policy for half a century—from the 1920s until the 1970s. Yet only a year after his death in 1971, evidence began to emerge that Burt was a fraudster who had simply invented results to fit his theories about the heritability of intelligence. Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt (3 March 1883 – 10 October 1971) was an English educational psychologist who made contributions to educational psychology and statistics. Burt is known for his studies on the heritability of IQ. Shortly after he died, his studies of inheritance and intelligence came into disrepute after evidence emerged indicating he had falsified research data. Some scholars have asserted that Burt did not commit fraud. All in all Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt was a well-respected and influential psychologist.

**KEYWORDS:** Memory, Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt

### INTRODUCTION

The Intelligence Quotient (IQ) is a total score derived from one of several standardized tests designed to assess human intelligence. IQ is a score obtained by dividing a person's mental age score, obtained by administering an intelligence test, by the person's chronological age, both expressed in terms of years and months. Historically, even before IQ tests were invented, there were attempts to classify people into intelligence categories by observing their behavior in daily life (Terman, 1916 and Wechsler, 1939). Those other forms of behavioral observation are still important for validating classifications based primarily on IQ test scores. Both intelligence classification by observation of behavior outside the testing room and classification by IQ testing depend on the definition of "intelligence" used in a particular case and on the reliability and error of estimation in the classification procedure. The English statistician Francis Galton made the first attempt at creating a standardized test for rating a person's intelligence.

---

<sup>1</sup> Malegaon Sheti Farm, Agricultural Development Trust Baramati, Shardanagar, Dist. Pune, Maharashtra, India

\*Responding Author

## In the Memory of Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt

A pioneer of psychometrics and the application of statistical methods to the study of human diversity and the study of inheritance of human traits, he believed that intelligence was largely a product of heredity (by which he did not mean genes, although he did develop several pre-Mendelian theories of particulate inheritance)(Cowan, 1972; Blumer, 1999 and Burbridge, 2001). He hypothesized that, there should exist a correlation between intelligence and other observable traits such as reflexes, muscle grip, and head size (Fancher, 1983). He set up the first mental testing centre in the world in 1882 and he published "Inquiries into Human Faculty and Its Development" in 1883, in which he set out his theories. After gathering data on a variety of physical variables, he was unable to show any such correlation, and he eventually abandoned this research (Kaufman 2009 and Gillham, 2001). The credit of contributions to educational psychology and statistics goes to Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt (3 March 1883 – 10 October 1971). Burt is known for his studies on the heritability of IQ. Shortly after he died, his studies of inheritance and intelligence came into disrepute after evidence emerged indicating he had falsified research data. Some scholars have asserted that Burt did not commit fraud. 10 October is the "World Mental Health Day" and death anniversary of Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt.

### CHILDHOOD OF BURT

Burt was born on 3 March 1883, the first child of Cyril Cecil Barrow Burt (b. 1857), a medical practitioner, and his wife Martha ( Hearnshaw, 1979). He was born in London (some sources give his place of birth as Stratford on Avon, probably because his entry in *Who's Who* gave his father's address as Snitterfield, Stratford; in fact the Burt family moved to Snitterfield when he was ten) (Hearnshaw, 1979). Burt's father initially kept a chemist shop to support his family while he studied medicine. On qualifying, he became the assistant house surgeon and obstetrical assistant at Westminster Hospital, London (Hearnshaw, 1979). The younger Cyril Burt's education began in London at a Board school near St. James's Park (Hearnshaw, 1979). In 1890, the family briefly moved to Jersey then to Snitterfield, Warwickshire in 1893, where Burt's father opened a rural practice. Early in Burt's life he showed a precocious nature, so much so that his father, a physician, often took the young Burt with him on his medical rounds. One of the elder Burt's more famous patients was Darwin Galton, brother of Francis Galton. The visits the Burts made to the Galton estate not only allowed the young Burt to learn about the work of Francis Galton, but also allowed Burt to meet him on multiple occasions and to be strongly drawn to his ideas; especially his studies in statistics and individual differences, two defining characters of the London School of Psychology whose membership includes both Galton and Burt. He attended King's School, Warwick, from 1892 to 1895, and later won a scholarship to Christ's Hospital, then located in London, where he developed his interest in psychology (Aldrich, Richard, 2002). From 1902, he studied at Jesus College, Oxford, where he specialized in philosophy and psychology, the latter under William McDougall. McDougall, knowing Burt's interest in Galton's work, suggested that he focus his senior project on psychometrics, thus giving Burt his initial inquiry into the development and structure of mental tests, an interest that

## **In the Memory of Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt**

would last the rest of his life. Burt was one of a group of students who worked with McDougall, which included William Brown, John Carl Frugel, May Smith, who all went on to have distinguished careers in psychology. Burt graduated with second-class honours in 1906 which he supplemented by a teaching diploma. In 1907, McDougall invited Burt to help with a nationwide survey of physical and mental characteristics of the British people, proposed by Francis Galton, in which he was to work on the standardization of psychological tests. This work brought Burt into contact with eugenics, Charles Spearman, and Karl Pearson. In the summer of 1908, Burt visited the University of Würzburg, Germany, where he first met the psychologist Oswald Külpe (Aldrich, Richard, 2002). In 1908, Burt took up the post of Lecturer in Psychology and Assistant Lecturer in Physiology at Liverpool University, where he was to work under the famed physiologist Sir Charles Sherrington. In 1909 Burt made use of Charles Spearman's model of general intelligence to analyze his data on the performance of schoolchildren in a battery of tests. This first research project was to define Burt's life's work in quantitative intelligence testing, eugenics, and the inheritance of intelligence. One of the conclusions in his 1909 paper was that upper-class children in private preparatory schools did better in the tests than those in the ordinary elementary schools, and that the difference was innate. In 1913, Burt took the part time position of a school psychologist for the London County Council (LCC), with the responsibility of picking out the 'feeble-minded' children, in accordance with the Mental Deficiency Act of 1913. He notably established that girls were equal to boys in general intelligence. The post also allowed him to work in Spearman's laboratory, and receive research assistants from the National Institute of Industrial Psychology, including Winifred Raphael. Burt was much involved in the initiation of child guidance in Great Britain and his 1925 publication *The Young Delinquent* led to opening of the London Child Guidance Clinic in Islington in 1927. In 1924 Burt was also appointed part-time professor of educational psychology at the London Day Training College (LDTC), and carried out much of his child guidance work on the premises (Aldrich, Richard, 2002).

### **CAREER**

In 1931, Burt resigned his position at the LCC and the LDTC after he was appointed Professor and Chair of Psychology at University College, London, taking over the position from Charles Spearman, thus ending his almost 20-year career as a school psychological practitioner. One of his students, Reuben Conrad, recalled that he once arrived at the university with a chimpanzee that he had borrowed from London Zoo, though Conrad could not recall what point Burt was trying to make (D. Bishop, 2016). While at London, Burt influenced many students, including Raymond Cattell and Hans Eysenck, and toward the end of his life, Arthur Jensen and Chris Brand. Burt was a consultant with the committees that developed the 11-plus examinations. This issue, and the allegations of fraudulent scholarship against him, are discussed in various books and articles listed below, including *Cyril Burt: Fraud or Framed* and *The Mismeasure of Man*. In 1942, Burt was elected President of the British Psychological Society. In 1946, he became the first British psychologist to be knighted for his contributions to psychological testing and for

## In the Memory of Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt

making educational opportunities more widely available, according to an account by J. Philippe Rushton (Philippe Rushton, 1994). Burt was a member of the London School of Differential Psychology, and of the British Eugenics Society. Because he had suggested on radio in 1946 the formation of an organization for people with high IQ scores, he was made honorary president of Mensa in 1960. He officially joined Mensa soon thereafter (Victor Serebriakoff, 1986). At age 68, Burt retired but continued writing articles and books. He died of cancer at age 88 in London on 10 October 1971.

### THE BURT AFFAIR

Over the course of his career, Burt published numerous articles and books on a host of topics ranging from psychometrics through philosophy of science to parapsychology. It is his research in behavior genetics, most notably in studying the heritability of intelligence (as measured in IQ tests) using twin studies that have created the most controversy, frequently referred to as "the Burt Affair" (Joynson, 1989; Fletcher, 1991; Mackintosh, 1995; Plucker, Jonathan, 2007). Shortly after Burt died it became known that all of his notes and records had been burnt, and he was accused of falsifying research data. The 2007 Encyclopedia Britannica noted that it is widely acknowledged that his later work was flawed and many academics agree that data were falsified, though his earlier work is often accepted as valid. From the late 1970s, it was generally accepted that "he had fabricated some of the data, though some of his earlier work remained unaffected by this revelation." This was due in large part to research by Oliver Gillie (1976) and Leon Kamin (1974). The possibility of fabrication was first brought to the attention of the scientific community when Kamin noticed that Burt's correlation coefficients of monozygotic and dizygotic twins' IQ scores were the same to three decimal places, across articles – even when new data were twice added to the sample of twins. Leslie Hearnshaw, a close friend of Burt and his official biographer, concluded after examining the criticisms that most of Burt's data from after World War II were unreliable or fraudulent (Hearnshaw, 1979). In 1976, the London Sunday Times claimed that two of Burt's supposed collaborators, Margaret Howard and J. Conway, were invented by Burt himself. They based this on the lack of independent articles published by them in scientific journals, and the fact that they allegedly only appeared in the historical record as reviewers of Burt's books in the *Journal of Statistical Psychology* when the journal was redacted by Burt. However, Miss Howard was also mentioned in the membership list of the British Psychological Society, Prof. John Cohen remembered her well during the 1930s (Fletcher, Ronald 1991) and Prof. Donald MacRae had personally received an article from her in 1949 and 1950. According to Ronald Fletcher there is also full documentary evidence of the existence of Miss Conway (Rushton, John Philippe 2002). William H. Tucker argued in a 1997 article that: "A comparison of his twin sample with that from other well documented studies, however, leaves little doubt that he committed fraud" (Tucker, William, 1997). Robert Joynson and Ronald Fletcher published books in support of Burt (Fletcher, Ronald, 1991). Cambridge University Professor of Psychology Nicholas Mackintosh edited *Cyril Burt: Fraud or Framed?*, which was presented by the publisher as arguing that "his defenders have sometimes, but by no

## **In the Memory of Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt**

means always, been correct, and that his critics have often jumped to hasty conclusions"( Miele, Frank , 2002).Psychologists Arthur Jensen and J. Philippe Rushton have pointed out that the controversial correlations reported by Burt are in line with the correlations found in other twin studies"( Miele, Frank , 2002). Rushton (1997) wrote that five different studies on twins reared apart by independent researchers corroborated Cyril Burt's findings and had given almost the same heritability estimate (average estimate 0.75 vs. 0.77 by Burt). Jensen has also argued that "[n]o one with any statistical sophistication, and Burt had plenty, would report exactly the same correlation, 0.77, three times in succession if he were trying to fake the data." W.D. Hamilton claimed in a 2000 book review that the claims made by his detractors in the so-called "Burt Affair" had been either wrong or grossly exaggerated (Hamilton, 2000).

According to Earl B. Hunt, it may never be found out whether Burt was intentionally fraudulent or merely careless. Noting that other studies on the heritability of IQ have produced results very similar to those of Burt's, Hunt argues that Burt did not harm science in the narrow sense of misleading scientists with false results, but that in the broader sense science in general and behavior genetics in particular were profoundly harmed by the Burt Affair, leading to an unjustified general rejection of genetic studies of intelligence and a drying up of funding for such studies (Hunt, 2011).

### **CONCLUSION**

Since the controversy around Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt's name is of great importance to the integrity of psychology and other research fields, it has drawn significant attention from the academic community as a whole. Many significant individuals in psychology and related fields have examined the evidence on both sides, and the conclusions are mixed. Recently, senior fellows of the British Psychological Society campaigned to have them, "Burt's case" reheard so that a new verdict can be rendered. The Society agreed to reopen the case, causing some strong reactions on both sides of the debate. And for now, Burt's reputation remains sullied, and his story reminds the field of psychology and academia in general of the significance of intellectual honesty.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The Article is dedicated to the memory of Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt, who like a titan raised the "Global Psychological Culture". Author would like to express his gratitude towards the dignitaries of International Journal of Social Impact for kind co-operation and encouragement which served a lot to orchestrate the progression of publication of present research work. The present research article is the part of celebration of "World Mental Health Day: 10 October, 2016" at Agricultural Development Trust Baramati, Shardanagar, (Malegaon Khurd) Tal. Baramati. Dist. Pune 413 115, Maharashtra, India.

## In the Memory of Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt

### REFERENCES

- Aldrich, Richard (2002). *The Institute of Education 1902–2002 : a centenary history*. London: Institute of Education. ISBN 0-85473-635-2.
- Arthur R. Jensen, "Sir Cyril Burt (1883–1971)", *Psychometrika* 37, Number 2 (1972), 115–117.
- Bishop, D. (2016). *The Psychologist* Vol.29 (pp.578 579)  
<https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/volume-29/july/quality-and-longevity>
- Bulmer, M (1999). "The development of Francis Galton's ideas on the mechanism of heredity". *Journal of the History of Biology*. 32 (3): 263–292.doi:10.1023/a:1004608217247.
- Burbridge, D (2001). "Francis Galton on twins, heredity and social class". *British Journal for the History of Science*. 34 (3): 323–340. doi:10.1017/s0007087401004332.
- Cowan, R. S. (1972). "Francis Galton's contribution to genetics". *Journal of the History of Biology*. 5 (2): 389–412. doi:10.1007/bf00346665.
- Dr. APIS Science Spectrum (2015). *World Mental Health Day Celebration through Research Group Agricultural Development Trust, Baramati India*.
- Fancher, R. E. (1983). "Biographical origins of Francis Galton's psychology". *Isis*. 74 (2): 227–233. doi:10.1086/353245.
- Fletcher, Ronald (1991). *Science, Ideology & the Media: The Cyril Butt Affair*. New Brunswick, N J: Transaction Publishers, p. 392.
- Fancher, Raymond (2012). "Fixing it For Heredity". *London Review of Books*. Retrieved, 7December 2012.
- Gillham, Nicholas W. (2001). "Sir Francis Galton and the birth of eugenics". *Annual Review of Genetics*. 35 (1): 83–101. doi:10.1146/annurev.genet.35.102401.090055.PMID 11700278.
- Gillie, O. (24 October 1976). *Crucial data was faked by eminent psychologist*. London: Sunday Times.
- Gillie, O (1977). "Did Sir Cyril Burt Fake His Research on Heritability of Intelligence?". *The Phi Delta Kappan*. 58 (6): 469–471.
- Hamilton, W. D. (July 2000). "A Review of Dysgenics: Genetic Deterioration in Moder. Populations". *Annals of Human Genetics*. 64 (4): 363 374. doi:10.1046/j.1469-1809.2000.6440363.x.
- Hearnshaw, Leslie Spencer (1979). *Cyril Burt, Psychologist*. London: Hodder and Stoughton. ISBN 0- 8014-1244-7.
- Hearnshaw, (1979), p2. Mazumdar, Pauline H. "Burt, Sir Cyril Lodowic". *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography* (online ed.). Oxford University Press.  
doi:10.1093/ref:odnb/30880.(Subscription or UK public library membership required.).
- Hearnshaw, L. (1979). *Cyril Burt: Psychologist*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Hunt, Earl (2011). *Human Intelligence*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 234–235. J. Philippe Rushton, *Society*, March–April (1994). "Victim of Scientific Hoax – Cyril Burt and the Genetic IQ Controversy" at the Wayback Machine (archived 13 October 2004) J. Philippe Rushton, *Society*, March–April 1994, Vol. 31(3), p. 40(5).

## In the Memory of Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt

- Joynson, Robert Billington (1989). *The Burt Affair*. London: Routledge. ISBN 0-415-01039-X. J. Philippe Rushton, Society, March–April 1994, Vol. 31(3), p. 40(5).
- Kamin, L.J. (1974). *The Science and Politics of IQ*. Potomac, Maryland: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Kaufman 2009, p. 21 "Galton's so-called intelligence test was misnamed."
- Mackintosh, N. J. (1995). *Cyril Burt: Fraud or Framed?* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Miele, Frank (2002). *Intelligence, Race, And Genetics: Conversations with Arthur R. Jensen*, pp. 99–103. Oxford: Westview Press. ISBN 0-8133-4274-0. National Register of Archives [2], Accessed 18 August 2007.
- Plucker, Jonathan (2007). "The Cyril Burt Affair". *Human Intelligence*. Indiana University [1]. Retrieved July 2007. Check date values in: `|access-date= (help)`; External link in `|publisher= (help)`
- Samelson, F. (1997). "What to do about fraud charges in science; or, will the Burt affair ever end?". *Genetica*. 99 ((2-3)): 1451. doi:10.1023/A:1018302319394. PMID 9463070.
- Thomas J. Bouchard, and Donald D. Dorfman (May 1995). "Two Views of The Bell Curve". *Contemporary Psychology*. 40 (5). Retrieved July 2007. Check date values in: `|access-date= (help)`.
- Publisher's book description.  
<http://www.amazon.com/Cyril-Burt-N-JMackintosh/dp/019852336X>.
- Rushton, J. P. (1997). "Race, Intelligence, And The Brain" (PDF). *Personality and Individual Differences*. 23: 169–180. doi:10.1016/s0191-8869(97)80984-1.
- Rushton, John Philippe (2002). "New Evidence on Sir Cyril Burt: His 1964 Speech to the Association of Educational Psychologists" (PDF). *Intelligence*. 30: 555–567. doi:10.1016/s0160-2896(02)00094-6.
- "Sir Cyril Burt." *Encyclopædia Britannica*. 2007. *Britannica Concise Encyclopædia*. 19 Apr. 2007. "Burt, Cyril Lodowic." *The Columbia Encyclopedia, Sixth Edition*. 2005.
- Terman 1916, p. 79 "What do the above IQ's imply in such terms as feeble-mindedness, borderline intelligence, dullness, normality, superior intelligence, genius, etc.? When we use these terms two facts must be born in mind: (1) That the boundary lines between such groups are absolutely arbitrary, a matter of definition only; and (2) that the individuals comprising one of the groups do not make up a homogeneous type."
- Tucker, William H (1997). "Re-reconsidering Burt: Beyond a Reasonable Doubt". *Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences*. 33 (2): 145–162. doi:10.1002/(sici)1520-6696(199721)33:2<145::aid-jhbs6>3.3.co;2-g.
- Victor Serebriakoff (1986). *Mensa - The Society for the Highly Intelligent*. Stein and Day. p. 65. ISBN 0-8128-3091-1.
- Vitthalrao B. Khyade (2016). In the memory of Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt. *International Academic Journal of Humanities* Vol.3 No.9 : 15 - 21. ISSN 2454 – 2245. [www.iaiest.com](http://www.iaiest.com)
- Wechsler 1939, p. 37 "The earliest classifications of intelligence were very rough ones. To a large extent they were practical attempts to define various patterns of behavior in medical-legal terms."

**In the Memory of Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt**



----- **Sir Cyril Lodowic Burt** -----

**(Birth : 3 March, 1883)**

**(Death: 10 October, 1971)**